# **Engineering a Model Cell for Rational Tuning of GPCR Signaling**

William M. Shaw<sup>1,2</sup>, Hitoshi Yamauchi<sup>3</sup>, Jack Mead<sup>3</sup>, Glen-Oliver F. Gowers<sup>1,2</sup>, David J. Bell<sup>2,4</sup>, David Öling<sup>5</sup>, Niklas Larsson<sup>5</sup>, Mark Wigglesworth<sup>6,\*</sup>, Graham Ladds<sup>3,\*\*</sup> and Tom Ellis<sup>1,2,7,\*\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Synthetic Biology, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 1PD, UK

<sup>4</sup>SynbiCITE Innovation and Knowledge Centre, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK

<sup>5</sup>Discovery Biology, Discovery Sciences, Innovative Medicines and Early Development Biotech Unit, AstraZeneca R&D, 431 50 Mölndal, Sweden

<sup>6</sup>Hit Discovery, Discovery Sciences, IMED Biotech Unit, AstraZeneca, Macclesfield SK10 4TG, UK <sup>7</sup>Lead Contact

\*Correspondence: mark.wigglesworth@astrazeneca.com

\*\*Correspondence: grl30@cam.ac.uk

# SUMMARY

G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling is the primary method eukaryotes use to respond to specific cues in their environment. However, the relationship between stimulus and response for each GPCR is difficult to predict due to diversity in natural signal transduction architecture and expression. Using genome engineering in yeast, we here constructed an insulated, modular GPCR signal transduction system to study how the response to stimuli can be predictably tuned using synthetic tools. We delineated the contributions of a minimal set of key components via computational and experimental refactoring, identifying simple design principles for rationally tuning the dose-response. Using five different GPCRs, we demonstrate how this enables cells and consortia to be engineered to respond to desired concentrations of peptides, metabolites, and hormones relevant to human health. This work enables rational tuning of cell sensing, while providing a framework to guide reprogramming of GPCR-based signaling in other systems.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Correspondence: t.ellis@imperial.ac.uk

# INTRODUCTION

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are widely represented in most lifeforms and comprise the largest family of signaling proteins in humans, with over 800 members detecting structurally diverse agonists (Fredriksson, 2003; Pierce et al., 2002). Their abundance and ubiquity to all cell types makes them one of the most important signaling pathway classes in healthcare, but also one of the most complex (Marinissen and Gutkind, 2001; Santos et al., 2017). Multiple types of G protein-based signaling are seen and the downstream signal transduction to activate gene expression is typically complex and intertwined with other pathways (Kenakin, 2013; Neves, 2002). The nature of signal transduction through the pathway also depends on many different factors, including the stoichiometry of the signaling proteins, the presence of inherent feedback mechanisms, and even cellular history (Selbie and Hill, 1998). Altogether, this makes it difficult to delineate receptor and signaling properties simply from measuring the activation of downstream targets (Prezeau et al., 2010). It also makes it a major challenge to predict how changes in the levels of pathway components, for example due to different environments or mutations, can affect the performance of a given signaling pathway.

One of the most studied examples of eukaryotic GPCR signaling is the pheromone response pathway of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Bardwell, 2004), having been the focus of significant efforts from systems biology to model its actions via quantification of its behavior (Yu et al., 2008). To understand this pathway, researchers have parsed the contributions of numerous studies that have perturbed the dose-response and dynamics of the native system by changing growth conditions, by protein mutagenesis, via traditional gene overexpression and knockout methods, and more recently using optogenetics (Alvaro and Thorner, 2016; Atay and Skotheim, 2017; Harrigan et al., 2018). While these efforts have helped to build our best picture of the events required for the transduction of signal from agonist to gene activation, inability to control the whole pathway in these experiments has meant that a complete system for exploring the dose-response relationship has not yet been achieved (Atay and Skotheim, 2017).

*In silico* approaches typically model a system by concentrating only on the key components and varying important parameters of these such as their expression levels, while removing other non-key interactions from consideration (Aldridge et al., 2006; Kholodenko, 2006). With advanced genome engineering and synthetic biology tools available, it now becomes possible to take an equivalent modelling approach *in vivo*, removing any non-essential interactions via gene knockout and finely tuning the expression of the key components using promoter libraries (Chan et al., 2005; Temme et al., 2012). This engineering approach – known as refactoring – makes a system easier to study by removing all non-essential natural regulation and feedback, thus enabling the system to be more efficiently tuned and directly measured. Effectively this generates cells streamlined for improved understanding of pathways and systems, while also making these cells more straightforward to utilize in downstream applications.

Here, we used genome engineering to construct a heavily-modified yeast suitable as an *in vivo* model for tuning GPCR signaling. By removing non-essential components, native transcriptional feedback regulation, and all connections to the mating response we built a model strain retaining only the core signaling elements. In conjunction with a mathematical model, we used promoter libraries to vary the

key components in this simplified, refactored pathway and uncovered principles for tuning the sensitivity, basal activity, and signal amplitude of the dose-response curve via expression level. This new knowledge provides us with a rational approach for tuning signaling characteristics and, as we demonstrate, enables us to quickly reprogram yeast to sense and measure a variety of different inputs, either in single cell systems or community-based systems.

# RESULTS

# A Highly-Engineered Model Strain for Probing the Signaling Pathway Response

Glucose sensing and the pheromone response pathway are the two native GPCR signaling pathways in *S. cerevisiae* (Versele et al., 2001), and the latter has long been the go-to choice for coupling heterologous GPCRs to yeast gene expression or for building systems for evolving GPCRs to desired targets (Dong et al., 2010; Ladds et al., 2005a). Core to this pathway is an extensively-studied mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling cascade that functions with its own intrinsic feedback to maintain a robust input-output relationship in varying conditions (Chen and Thorner, 2007). As this MAPK cascade displays a graded, linear response with respect to dose and can be considered as a black-box processing unit in transduction through the pathway (Bashor et al., 2008; Kofahl and Klipp, 2004; Poritz et al., 2001), we chose to make this natural system the core from which we build and tune GPCR signaling pathways.

Keeping the five genes of the MAPK cascade fixed, we set out to generate a model strain for our work by first removing all other GPCR pathway-related genes from *S. cerevisiae* (**Figure 1**). This required making precise changes at 18 genomic loci in BY4741 yeast, generating our model strain, yWS1922, via nine rounds of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing (**Figure S1A-S1C**). Genomic changes were validated at each round by PCR and locus sequencing followed by long-read nanopore sequencing of the final strain (**Figure S1D+S1E**).

During strain construction, we added addressable 24 bp targets in place of the open reading frames (ORFs) of all deleted genes. These allow for rapid and markerless (re)insertion of native or heterologous ORFs into these locations at high efficiency by CRISPR-aided multiplex integration (**Figure S1F-S1H**). For stable single-copy addition of further genes, three highly-characterized landing pads were also introduced that interface with the MoClo Yeast Toolkit (YTK) modular cloning system, which enables rapid multigene construction from high-characterized parts (Lee et al., 2015). These changes were designed to facilitate rapid exploration of the effects of altering individual components of the pheromone response pathway.

To determine how the model strain performed with all non-essential components removed, the native GPCR (*STE2*), Ga (*GPA1*), G $\beta$ (*STE4*), G $\gamma$ (*STE18*) and pheromone responsive transcription factor (*STE12*) genes were restored at their natural loci to generate the "Quasi-WT" strain (**Figure S1H**). The a-factor dose-response of this strain was then compared to BY4741 yeast using the pheromone

response *FUS1* promoter driving sfGFP expression (Hagen et al., 1991; Minic et al., 2005). As expected, a substantial increase in sensitivity and signal output was observed upon minimizing the signaling pathway, largely due to the removal of the native regulator of G protein signaling, Sst2 (**Figure S2A**).



# Figure 1. A Model GPCR Strain for Probing Pathway Performance.

(A) Pathway variants are generated by assembling the key signaling components into a single multigene cassette, using a library of well-characterized promoters to vary the expression, and then chromosomally integrating into the model strain, yWS1922, to reconstitute a minimized GPCR signaling pathway.

(B) 11 of the 15 genes deleted from the yeast mating and glucose-sensing pathways in the model strain, leaving only the core signaling elements of the MAPK cascade intact.

(C) A refactored signaling pathway, consisting of a minimized set of signaling components for transmitting a unidirectional signal from the cell surface to the nucleus. Gauges and padlocks represent components we have chosen to vary or keep fixed, respectively.

(D) The 15 gene deletions in the model strain, serving six key purposes; i) to remove negative feedback within the signaling pathway (*SST2*), ii) to prevent unwanted cell-cycle arrest (*FAR1*), iii) to prevent α-factor signal degradation (*BAR1*), iv) to be refactored with synthetic tools (*STE2*, *GPA1*, *STE4*, *STE18*, and *STE12*), v) to remove mechanisms for pheromone-based communication (*MF*(*ALPHA*)1+2, *MFA1*+2 and *STE3*), and vi) to remove all other instances of GPCR/G-protein signaling (*GPR1* and *GPA2*).

# Tuning GPCR and G Protein Levels Alters Response Sensitivity and Basal Activity

Previous work has shown that the sensitivity of the yeast pheromone response pathway can be changed by altering the receptor number in the absence of Sst2 (Bush et al., 2016). Basal activity from constitutive receptor activity can also be reduced by overexpressing Ga (Bakker et al., 2001; Burstein et al., 1997), as this acts as a negative regulator of signaling since G $\beta\gamma$  propagates the response to the MAPK cascade (Bardwell, 2004). Therefore, to explore how sensitivity and basal activity could be varied by altering expression of the GPCR, Ga, and G $\beta\gamma$ , we built a mathematical model derived from a previously-described cubic ternary complex model (Bridge et al. 2018), designing this to capture G protein signaling in our minimized pheromone response pathway (**Figure 2A**). We then systematically probed the response of the pathway in this model by individually altering the initial GPCR, Ga, and G $\beta\gamma$  concentrations, while keeping all other components fixed (**Figure 2D+2E, Model**).

While this model demonstrated a clear monotonic relationship between receptor number, sensitivity and maximum signal, as previously shown by Bush et al. (2016), the relationship between Ga and G $\beta\gamma$  levels and pathway response was non-monotonic. At lower concentrations of Ga, constitutive activation of the pathway was observed due to increased free G $\beta\gamma$ . This, in combination with the incorrect trafficking and instability of G $\beta\gamma$ , due to low levels of Ga, leads to a lower maximum-fold change in activation (Hirschman et al., 1997; Song et al., 1996). At higher Ga concentrations, free G $\beta\gamma$  is rapidly sequestered, also leading to a decrease in the maximum fold change by acting as a "sponge" to signaling. A reverse behavior was seen when varying the initial concentration of G $\beta\gamma$ , with low concentrations decreasing maximum signaling and high concentrations leading to increased basal activity, albeit with a lower maximum signal, due to incorrect trafficking of free G $\beta\gamma$ , and therefore the Ste5 scaffold (Pryciak and Huntress, 1998). Taken together, the model predicts an optimal level of Ga and G $\beta\gamma$  expression where all three members of the heterotrimeric G protein are required to be in balance to give a high-fold change in signal upon activation.

Next, using the model strain and modular cloning system, we experimentally validated the findings of the mathematical model using a minimized pheromone response pathway. This was constructed by refactoring the GPCR, Ga, G $\beta\gamma$ , and transcription factor so that these are expressed from constitutive promoters that we determined to give approximately the same expression of the native promoters in their uninduced state (**Figure S2B-S2G**). For the G $\beta\gamma$  component, we expressed both subunits together as a bicistronic protein (Ste4-2A-Ste18) where the self-cleaving 2A peptide releases equimolar amounts of the two proteins (Liu et al., 2017). The *FUS1* promoter was used to express sfGFP as the response reporter (**Figure S2H**), enabling pathway activation to be measured by flow cytometry. With this strain, we then individually varied the expression of the GPCR, Ga, and G $\beta\gamma$  by changing their promoter strengths while keeping all other components fixed. This gave *in vivo* results that qualitatively matched the model, demonstrating tuneability of sensitivity by changing GPCR expression and revealing the predicted optimal levels of Ga and G $\beta\gamma$  that give the peak response (**Figure 2D+2E, Experimental**). As basal activity could be reduced by altering levels of either Ga or G $\beta\gamma$ , we decided to concentrate on Ga tuning, using a strain with fixed expression of G $\beta\gamma$  (yWS677) for all further experiments.



# Figure 2. Model-Guided Tuning for Optimal G Protein Signaling.

(A) Cubic ternary complex model of G protein signaling in the minimized pheromone response pathway.

(B) The minimized  $\alpha$ -factor signaling pathway. Binding of the ligand ( $\alpha$ -factor) to its specific GPCR (Ste2) on the cell surface leads to GDP-GTP exchange on the Ga subunit (Gpa1) and the release of the G $\beta\gamma$  dimer (Ste4 and Ste18), recruiting the MAP-kinase cascade to the membrane and facilitating the induction of the pathway via Ste20, ultimately resulting in the phosphorylation of the Ste12 transcription factor to induce gene expression via the pheromone responsive *FUS1* promoter. (C) Promoter combinations used for refactoring Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste4-2A-Ste18.

(D)  $\alpha$ -factor dose-response characteristics from individually varying the GPCR (green), G $\alpha$  (blue), and G $\beta\gamma$  (grey) concentrations computationally and expression levels experimentally.

(E) Analysis of dose-response characteristics, demonstrating trends in the expression profiles of the refactored signaling components. Sensitivity is defined as the lowest concentration to produce a 2-fold change in GFP expression over background. See **Figure S3** for a quantitative plot of Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste4-2A-Ste18 expression vs pathway output.

Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.

# Modulating Signal Output by Refactoring the Ste12 Transcription Factor

Next, we sought to modulate maximum pathway output by varying the expression of the pheromoneresponsive transcription factor, Ste12, as levels of transcription factor in a system often dictate gene expression strength (Brewster et al., 2014). However, increasing the expression of Ste12 led to poor cell growth, presumably by triggering high basal activity of mating response genes (Dolan and Fields, 1990) (**Figure S4A+S4B**). We therefore needed a new means to tune pathway-mediated expression without changing Ste12 concentrations.

By fusing the pheromone-responsive domain of Ste12 (PRD; 216-688) to the full-length LexA bacterial repressor protein (Mukherjee et al., 2015; Pi et al., 1997) we generated a synthetic transcription factor (sTF; LexA-PRD) able to target the pathway output to a library of modular synthetic promoters containing LexA operator sequences (LexO) (**Figure 3C**). We could then vary the recruitment of the LexA-PRD transcription factor by altering the number of operator sequences in the promoter upstream activating sequence (UAS), enabling us to modulate the maximum output of the response over a three-fold range without compromising the tightness of the OFF state (**Figure 3D**). Changing the identity of the core region of the promoter to alter the transcription initiation rate offers a further approach to tuning the output (**Figure 3E**). Externally tuning the activation of the synthetic promoters with chemical inducers was also made possible by fusing the PRD to ligand-inducible DNA binding domains (DBDs) (McIsaac et al., 2014; Urlinger et al., 2000) (**Figure 3F-3K**). Finally, we validated that the pheromone response pathway and the downstream mating response were now decoupled by this design, by demonstrating that native Ste12-regulated genes were no longer transcriptionally activated by pathway activity (**Figure S4C**).



# Figure 3. Modulating the Maximum Pathway Output Using Synthetic Transcription Factors.

(A) The native pheromone-responsive transcription factor, Ste12, composed of a DNA binding domain (DBD; 1-215) and pheromone-responsive domain (PRD; 216-688), targets a mating response gene via the pheromone-response element (PRE).

(B) sTFs are created from fusion of orthogonal DBDs and the Ste12 PRD which can then be targeted to synthetic promoters.(C) Fusion of the full-length bacterial LexA repressor with the Ste12 PRD controls the expression of a modular promoter with an interchangeable UAS and core promoter region, upstream of sfGFP.

(D+E) Maximum α-factor-activated pathway expression mediated by the LexA-PRD sTF driving the expression from synthetic promoter variants with UAS and core promoter modules modified, respectively.

(F) Fusion of the TetR bacterial repressor with the Ste12 PRD, targets a synthetic promoter with 7 repeats of the TetO binding site and the minimal *LEU2* promoter, driving aTc-repressible expression of sfGFP.

(G) Inducing maximum α-factor-induced expression of the TetR-PRD-mediated signaling pathway over a range of aTc concentrations.

(H) a-factor dose-response curve of the TetR-PRD-mediated pathway with and without aTc.

(I) A fusion of the PRD to the Z3E transcription factor (itself a fusion of Zif268 DBD and the human estrogen receptor ligand binding domain) targets the pZ3 promoter (a modified GAL1 promoter with six Zif268 binding sites) (McIsaac et al., 2014) driving  $\beta$ -estradiol-conditional expression of sfGFP.

(J) Inducing maximum  $\alpha$ -factor-induced expression of the Z3E-PRD-mediated signaling pathway over a range of  $\beta$ -estradiol concentrations.

(K) a-factor dose-response curve of the Z3E-PRD-mediated pathway with and without  $\beta$ -estradiol.

Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.

# **Refactoring Enables Rational Optimization of Pheromone Sensing**

Together our mathematical and *in vivo* models reveal that although GPCR dose-response is the output of a complex system, variability can be achieved by altering the promoter identify for just three components (receptor, Ga, and reporter), offering a simple approach to rationally tune the sensitivity, basal activity, and signal output of a GPCR signaling pathway. To demonstrate this in practice, we next set out to optimize the a-factor response of our minimized response pathway through iterative refactoring of these components. Our starting strain, with constitutive expression of the three components set at native levels (**Figure 3A+3B, Design 1**), performed poorly compared to the Quasi-WT strain, which we hypothesized was due to removal of regulated expression of pathway components. Indeed, Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste12 all have Ste12-activated promoters and so benefit from positive feedback in their native setting (Paliwal et al., 2007). Guided by our model, we were able to restore performance by engineered reintroduction of Ste12-mediated feedback (**Figure S4D-S4G**), however we did not move forward with this design as it would couple sensitivity to pathway output.

Instead, to improve on the performance of the Design 1 strain, we increased Gpa1 levels using the *PGK1* promoter to reduce the basal activity of the response to effectively zero (**Figure 3A+3B**, **Design 2**). The dose-response sensitivity could then also be boosted by increasing Ste2 expression via the strongest promoter available (*pCCW12*). This version (**Figure 3A+3B**, **Design 3**) now approached the sensitivity of the Quasi-WT strain for the α-factor inducer and the physical limits of the receptor (**Figure S4H**). Finally, the output strength of the response could be maximized by linking the pathway to activate the best performing synthetic promoter, LexO(6x)-*pLEU2m*, via the LexA-PRD sTF (**Figure 3A+3B**, **Design 4**). The resulting pathway was highly optimized in comparison to the Quasi-WT strain with improved operational range, tightness, dynamic range, and maximum output. Notably, this is achieved without the need for feedback regulation of signaling components and also offers a pathway decoupled from the >100 genes usually upregulated in the mating response (Roberts et al., 2000). Furthermore, the engineering we had performed to the Design 4 strain had come with no penalty to the growth rate when compared to wild type or Quasi-WT yeast (**Figure S4I-J**).

Understanding how the pathway dose-response can be shifted in this manner advances our basic knowledge of how component level changes effect signal transduction. Alongside this, it also offers direct applications for synthetic biology, where reprogramming cells to receive specific signals and respond in a desired manner is a core goal (Brophy and Voigt, 2014). Indeed, GPCRs represent the ideal sensory module for eukaryotic synthetic biology as they are responsive to a plethora of ligands and stimuli, often operate with high specificity (Heng et al., 2014), and naturally have modularity written into their signaling architecture (Andrianantoandro et al., 2006; Katritch et al., 2012).

With this in mind, we set out to establish our model strain as a host cell for rationally engineering yeast as sensors that detect diverse inputs via heterologous GPCRs (see **Figure S5** for a description of the final toolkit). As an initial demonstration we took the Mam2 receptor from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, which detects a 23 amino acid peptide pheromone called P-factor (Ladds et al., 2005b). Using the optimized tuning levels determined for Design 4, we generated a P-factor-sensing strain in less than a week. We then compared the response of this sensor strain to the response observed in its native context, as reported by Croft et al. (2013) (**Figure 3D**). The Mam2 sensor strain behaved almost

exactly as in *S. pombe*, achieving an identical potency (EC50) to P-factor. Furthermore, the Mam2 sensor strain displayed no detectable basal activity and exhibited a 180-fold change in signal after activation, suggesting the optimization we had performed would also be suitable for other GPCRs.



#### Figure 4. Tuning the Minimized Response Pathway Through Iterative Refactoring.

(A) a-factor dose-response curves for the 4 sequential minimized pathway designs compared to the Quasi-WT response. (B) Dose-response characteristics for the 4 minimized pathway designs compared to Quasi-WT. Tightness is defined as the reciprocal of basal activity and the dynamic range is defined as (maximum output/basal activity). Sensitivity and operational range were determined from the fitted curve, defining sensitivity as the lowest concentration for which a >2-fold change in GFP expression is seen, and operational range as the concentration span between the sensitivity and the lowest concentration that gives a GFP expression within 2-fold of the maximum. All values were then normalized to the minimum measurable value and the maximum calculated value in the dataset.

(C) Domesticating the *S. pombe* Mam2 receptor in yWS677. The conditions identified during the 3-week optimization of the a-factor response with Ste2 receptor were directly applied to the design of the Mam2 sensor strain, enabling construction in less than a week.

(D) P-factor dose-response curves of the Mam2 sensor (light blue) compared to the wild-type Mam2 response in its native *S. pombe* background (black) using previously obtained data from Croft et al. (2013). Slight differences in curve shape are likely due to differences in assay length and choice of reporter.

Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation from triplicate isolates. *S. pombe* Mam2 dose-response taken from Croft et al. (2013) and represents P-factor-dependent transcription of  $\beta$ -galactosidase using the sxa2 promoter, taking measurements 16 hours after stimulation. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.

# Engineered Consortia for Extending and Narrowing Operational Range

Efforts to create sensor strains over the last two decades have coupled heterologous GPCRs to the yeast pheromone response pathway with varying success. Now with the approach described here, the sensitivity, basal activity, and response output of cells sensing via heterologous GPCRs can be rationally tuned. However, one further important characteristic of a sensor - its operational range (the Hill slope of its dose-response curve) - is more difficult to adjust, as it is determined largely by the ligand-binding properties of the receptor. Some receptors will confer a narrow range switch-like behavior, only requiring a small increase in signal to trigger maximum output (*i.e.* a digital response), whereas others will give a wide operational range where there is a proportional relationship between signal and output (*i.e.* a linear response) (Dueber et al., 2007). For sensor applications, a linear response is typically required, whereas the digital response is more desirable for point-of-care and gene circuit applications.

Given these considerations, we next set-out to solve how the operational range can also be tuned using an engineering approach, so that the Hill slope can be reduced to expand an operational range, or the Hill slope can be increased to narrow an operational range. For this we first built two new sensor strains, both sensing medically-relevant metabolites by having human GPCRs coupled to our refactored yeast pathway. The chosen receptors were the adenosine-responsive A2BR receptor, previously shown to give a digital-like response in yeast (Beukers, 2004), and the melatonin-responsive MTNR1A receptor, previously shown to give a linear-like response in yeast (Kokkola et al., 1998) (**Figure S6A-S6D**).

While previous efforts have tuned the yeast pheromone response Hill slope by overlaying synthetic feedback loops into the MAPK cascade (Bashor et al., 2008; Galloway et al., 2013; Ingolia and Murray, 2007), feedback loops on the components tuned in our approach proved ineffective in changing the dose-response curves (**Figure S6E-S6L**), likely because our orthogonal sTFs do not have the autoregulatory feedback that Ste12 has via its native promoter (Paliwal et al., 2007). Without this avenue, we instead choose a different tactic; tuning the Hill slope by creating engineered communities of cells that change the average response at the population level.

Firstly, to create a population that linearizes the steep response curve of our adenosine-sensing cells, we took inspiration from a strategy employed by previous artificial sensor systems, where receptors with different sensitivities are combined and their average response determines the output (Vallée-Bélisle et al., 2012). We used rational tuning of GPCR levels to create two new strains with increased and decreased sensitivity to adenosine, and then tuned the output promoters so that their maximum outputs match (**Figure 5A**), using total fluorescence of each culture to measure GFP output (**Figure S7A+S7B**). We then co-cultured the three-sensing strains in a 1:1:1 ratio to create a consortium whose average response integrates the signal from all cells to give an extended operational range. This almost halved the Hill slope of the response while maintaining a similar potency, yielding an operational range 50-fold greater than the initial response (**Figure 5B+5C**).

Narrowing the operational range of the melatonin-responsive MTNR1A sensor strains required more complex engineering as the Hill slope of a response can only be increased via mechanisms such as cooperativity (Dueber et al., 2007), sequestration (Buchler and Louis, 2008), or positive feedback

(Ferrell, 2002). As before, we utilized a community-based approach, but here using cell-to-cell communication to enable feedback at the population level (Groß et al., 2011; Urrios et al., 2016). A two-cell system was designed where the first cell acts as an amplifier, sensing via MTNR1A and responding by secreting a-factor from the reintroduced gene. The second cell senses a-factor and responds with reporter gene (sfGFP) expression, and also secretes constitutive levels of the a-factor degrading protease, Bar1, to create a threshold for activation (**Figure 5D+5E**).

Computational modelling revealed that fine-tuning Bar1 levels was important for a generating a steep Hill slope while maintaining tightness and high dynamic range, albeit with some loss of sensitivity (**Figure S7C**). To determine the optimal expression of Bar1, we tested a range of promoters and measured the dose-response of the two-cell system. The *RPL18B* promoter emerged as ideal choice for driving Bar1 expression as it eliminated basal activity in the two-cell amplifier-reporter system while also maintaining high levels of maximum signal (**Figure S7D**).

Following the creation of the α-factor-detecting reporter cells and the tuning of Bar1 levels secreted by these, we engineered the digital response in two steps using our toolkit (**Figure S7F-S7H**). Amplifier cells were first created by linking the output of MTNR1A sensor strain to the production of peptide pheromone, α-factor. The sensitivity of these cells was then tuned by adjusting MTNR1A receptor expression in the amplifier strain, so that the potency of the response in the two-cell system matched that of the single cell version. When co-cultured in a 1:1 ratio, the final tuned two-cell system maintained the same potency but now provided a dose-response curve with a 2.3-fold increase in Hill slope and more than 200-fold decrease in the operational range (**Figure 5F+5G**).



Figure 5. Engineered Consortia for Tuning the Operational Range of Heterologous GPCR Sensors.

(A) Engineered cells combined to produce a system with an extended operational range. First, a range of cells are produced with different sensitivities to a ligand by expressing the GPCR at different levels. Next, the ligand responses are tuned to produce equivalent maximum outputs. Finally, the cells are combined in equal parts to create a mixed population of cells whose average expression has an extended operational range.

(B) The dose-response of the human A2BR receptor to adenosine in a single yeast strain, operational over 1.6 orders of magnitude.

(C) The extended dose-response of a consortia of three engineered strains, operational over 3.3 orders of magnitude.

(D) A mixed population of yeast strains engineered as amplifier and reporters is designed to create a digital response from an otherwise linear sensor. In response to ligand, amplifier cells release a-factor which is detected by reporter cells constitutively secreting the a-factor degrading protease, Bar1. The presence of Bar1 degrades low levels of a-factor preventing reporter strain activation until levels of a-factor are high enough to saturate the capacity of Bar1-mediated degradation.

(E) Computational model of the amplifier-reporter system response to increasing ligand (L) with Bar1-mediated threshold response included.

(F) The broad dose-response of the human MTNR1A receptor to melatonin, operational over 3.8 orders of magnitude.

(G) Digitized melatonin sensing with the two-strain system, operational over 1.5 orders of magnitude.

Operational range is defined as the concentration span between 5% and 95% of the activated response. Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels determined by a plate reader and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.

# Yeast GPCR Sensors for Metabolite Quantification and Pathogen Detection

The ability to rationally tune all dose-response properties for GPCR-signaling enables yeast strains to be optimized as sensors appropriate for different types of applications. To demonstrate this, we tackled two different example cases; metabolite quantification, where specificity and a wide dynamic range are desired, and point-of-care pathogen detection, where a sensitive digital-like response is needed.

Germann et al. recently reported production of melatonin from *S. cerevisiae* by constructing a biosynthetic pathway that converts *L*-tryptophan into melatonin via three non-native intermediates (Germann et al., 2016). We thus sought to create sensor strains optimized for measuring melatonin production from these engineered yeast, exploiting the fact that our MTNR1A sensor strains demonstrate exquisite specificity for melatonin over its precursors (**Figure 6A**).

To enable quantification of melatonin from our strains we engineered a two-strain consortia to widen the operational range to match the range of melatonin concentrations reported by Germann et al. from their production strains (**Figure 6B**). The resulting curve was linear over four orders of magnitude. We harvested supernatant from 88 different melatonin producer strains from the previous study, and in parallel measured melatonin levels with our consortia of sensor strains and by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) (**Figure 6C+6D**). The calculated concentrations of melatonin determined by the two methods agreed strongly, with the yeast sensor arguably more accurate over the large span of concentrations, due to the known limitations of the linear range in LC-MS measurement (Gika et al., 2014).

For pathogen detection, we next set out to tune a point-of-care sensor for *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis*, recently developed by Ostrov et al. (2017). This yeast GPCR-based sensor, utilizing the Ste2 homolog from *P. brasiliensis* (PbSte2), detects environmental levels of pheromone peptide released by haploid *P. brasiliensis* cells (PbPeptide) as a means of specifically detecting this human pathogen in complex samples (**Figure 6E**). This receptor exhibits a wide operational range with nanomolar sensitivity in *S. cerevisiae* (Ostrov et al., 2017) but a digital "yes/no" response would be more appropriate for point-of-care use, as would greater sensitivity. To optimize these two properties, we applied our amplifier-receiver two strain approach to the PbSte2 sensor (**Figure 6F-6H**). Increasing receptor levels with the *CCW12* promoter and incorporating signal amplification created a consortia that now detected in the picomolar range, reporting with a digital-like response due to an operational range now narrowed by 1000-fold.



#### Figure 6. Applications of Tunable Yeast GPCR Sensor Strains.

(A) Selectivity of the MTNR1A sensor strain to melatonin and intermediates in the melatonin biosynthesis pathway from Germann et al., 2016.

(B) A linearized MTNR1A sensor population consisting of two strains with different sensitives to linearize the dose-response of melatonin sensing in the range of concentrations appropriate for microbial production as reported by Germann et al.

(C) Measuring the production of melatonin from the spent media of 88 different yeast producer strains using the MTNR1A sensor consortia and LC-MS.

(D) The measured production of melatonin from the 88 producer strains, from Germann et al., as determined from measurements from the sensor consortia and LC-MS. A linear y=x curve was fitted to the dataset.

(E) Detection of the P. brasiliensis pheromone peptide (PbPeptide) using the P. brasiliensis Ste2 homologue (PbSte2).

(F+G) PbPeptide dose-response of the single cell PbSte2 sensor compared to the two-cell amplifier-receiver consortia.

(H) Potency (logEC50), Hill slope, and operational range values of the single and mixed cell populations compared to data from Ostrov et al.

Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry (A-D) and GFP levels determined by a plate reader (E-H) and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.

See Table S1 for a list of GPCRs shown to functionally couple in S. cerevisiae that could be used for sensor applications.

# DISCUSSION

In this study, we used genome engineering and synthetic biology tools to refactor a minimal GPCR signaling pathway so that it could be rationally tuned both *in silico* and *in vivo*. This revealed three key design principles for tuning the signaling pathway dose-response curve: i) sensitivity is increased by increasing GPCR numbers, ii) basal activity is reduced by finding the G protein expression optima, and iii) amplitude (pathway output) is tunable via synthetic transcription factors and engineered promoters. With these principles we were able to engineer yeast strains for desired performance as sensors for peptide inducers, and for primary and secondary metabolites.

Uncovering these principles was achieved here by the close connection between *in silico* modelling and experimental validation. This was made possible by refactoring yeast into a strain that effectively acts as an *in vivo* model, with component expression levels that can be individually varied, and performance simple to measure via fluorescent output. The *in silico* model allowed us to first understand where component level tuning has the greatest impact on the signaling response, with experimental work then confirming this and identifying the promoters that will achieve these levels in subsequent engineering.

As well as confirming past observations on signaling stoichiometry, the model also revealed a complex, non-monotonic relationship between G protein subunit levels and pathway activity. An unexpected decrease in the response maximum output was predicted by the model for low Ga or high G $\beta\gamma$  levels, with this confirmed by experiments. By modeling different mechanisms, we were able to attribute this reduced activity to incorrect trafficking of G $\beta\gamma$  in the absence of free Ga. This insight told us that fine-tuned expression of G protein subunits would be essential for signal transduction that has minimal basal activity but maintains a high output when activated.

Varying signaling component stoichiometries in our *in silico* and *in vivo* work also demonstrated that the GPCR-mediated response is not merely defined by the receptor's intrinsic properties (i.e. ligand affinity); instead it is a function of the properties of all components in the signaling pathway and particularly their relative levels. This fact has important consequences. It explains how signal transduction behavior could be significantly altered by a change in component levels, whether due to a change in environmental conditions or due to altered expression and protein turnover, for example in different tissues. Indeed, via this mechanism cells can have different sensitivities and activation thresholds for the same agonist while expressing identical receptors. Importantly, this fact also underlines why non-coding genetic variation, such as mutation in promoter regions, has to also be considered alongside protein polymorphisms when assessing how genetic variation links to health and to the efficacy of treatments (Ward and Kellis, 2012). Already, receptor variation in humans is recognized as a major cause of GPCR-targeting drugs being ineffective in many individuals (Hauser et al., 2018), and it is possible that non-coding mutations that alter pathway stoichiometries could further explain such cases.

We anticipate that the tuning principles uncovered here in yeast will also be relevant for GPCR signaling in all eukaryotes, however, it is worth re-stressing the large diversity in the type and structure of downstream signaling pathways paired with GPCRs in different organisms and cell types. The next steps for our approach will therefore be to use equivalent tools to refactor a canonical mammalian

GPCR pathway so that its components can be tuned and assessed in isolation to the point where the dose-response to the agonist can be set as desired. This would also accelerate applications in pharmacology and healthcare that utilize GPCRs, such as in cell-based theranostics where cells are engineered to detect and act upon receiving defined cues within the human body (Heng et al., 2014).

While mammalian systems remain the go-to choice for studying GPCR activity, the genetic amenability, ease of use, and low cost make yeast an ideal organism for many sensor applications (Adeniran et al., 2014; Blount et al., 2012; Jarque et al., 2016; Ladds et al., 2005a). As demonstrated here, our model strain offers immediate applications for engineering yeast to sense its environment, whether as single strains or in engineered consortia. Already efforts in synthetic biology have used engineered yeast sensors as medical diagnostics (Adeniran et al., 2018), for pathogen detection (Ostrov et al., 2017), and as a tool for accelerating metabolic engineering (Ehrenworth et al., 2017; Mukherjee et al., 2015). In all these applications, it is desirable for the user to have control over the response to input and the magnitude of gene expression it triggers. Full ability to tune sensors, as shown here, allows engineering for desired detection windows, and could be used in further work to define thresholds for activation (e.g. for directed evolution (Adeniran et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2010)) or for matching the input/output levels when cells are engineered to detect and act, or to communicate in connected systems (Billerbeck et al., 2018).

A current limitation of using yeast as sensors is that most medically-relevant GPCRs do not port directly into *S. cerevisiae* without requiring optimization of expression, membrane translocation, and pathway coupling (Sarramegna et al., 2003). Co-expression of mammalian accessory proteins (Fukutani et al., 2015) and the humanization of the yeast membrane (Routledge et al., 2016) have also been shown to improve porting of receptors from mammalian species, and at the last count at least 50 different foreign GPCRs have been demonstrated to function in yeast (**Table S1**). These past successes provide a guide for those looking to generate yeast sensors for ligands and metabolites. However, without further experimental testing there are no guarantees that they will behave as required. In particular, the specificity of the receptor for the intended ligand is a major consideration as many receptors are promiscuous in what they bind. Another consideration is whether the ligand of interest can easily access a GPCR on the yeast cell membrane, especially given that yeast has a primitive cell wall. Fortunately for extracellular metabolite sensing, the porous structure of the cell wall is less of a concern, as it allows the free passage of molecules as large as 800 kDa (De Nobel and Barnett, 1991).

Our model strain now offers a new starting point for the many applications of GPCR-sensing enabling further work in a systematic, plug-and-play manner. Our overall strategy, of simplifying and refactoring a natural pathway to first understand it and then rationally expand it, should also be applicable to other systems in and beyond signal transduction. With the accelerating capabilities of genome engineering and synthetic biology in all organisms, it is likely that we will see the creation of equivalent *in vivo* model strains to rationally explore and exploit the key features and parameters of other important biological systems.

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes eight figures and four tables.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Simo Baallal Jacobsen, Susanne Germann, and Jochen Förster for providing the melatonin producer strains. We also wish to thank Nili Ostrov and Miguel Jimenez for providing data for the *P. brasiliensis* fungal sensor. Thanks to Benjamin Blount and Charlie Gilbert for advice and input during this project, Robert Chen for establishing the YTK system in our lab, and John Dueber for early access to the YTK plasmids. We acknowledge the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) for funding of this work as CASE PhD award BB/M503381/1 in collaboration with AstraZeneca and grant BB/M000015X/2.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Experiments were conceived by W.M.S., D.Ö., N.L., G.L., T.E., and M.W. and performed by W.M.S. Nanopore sequencing was performed by G.-O.F.G. and LC-MS was performed by D.J.B. Data analysis was performed by W.M.S. Modelling was conceived by H.Y., J.M., W.M.S., and G.L. and performed by H.Y. and J.M. The manuscript was written and edited by W.M.S., H.Y., T.E., G.L., and M.W. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

# **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

David Öling, Niklas Larsson, and Mark Wigglesworth are employees of, and shareholders in AstraZeneca. The remaining authors have no competing interests to declare.

# REFERENCES

Adeniran, A., Sherer, M., and Tyo, K.E.J. (2014). Yeast-based biosensors: design and applications. FEMS Yeast Res. 15, 1–15.

Adeniran, A., Stainbrook, S., Bostick, J.W., and Tyo, K.E.J. (2018). Detection of a Peptide Biomarker by Engineered Yeast Receptors. ACS Synth. Biol. 7, 696–705.

Aldridge, B.B., Burke, J.M., Lauffenburger, D.A., and Sorger, P.K. (2006). Physicochemical modelling of cell signalling pathways. Nat. Cell Biol. 8, 1195–1203.

Alvaro, C.G., and Thorner, J. (2016). Heterotrimeric G Protein-coupled Receptor Signaling in Yeast Mating Pheromone Response. J. Biol. Chem. 291, 7788–7795.

Andrianantoandro, E., Basu, S., Karig, D.K., and Weiss, R. (2006). Synthetic biology: new engineering rules for an emerging discipline. Mol. Syst. Biol. 2, 2006.0028.

Atay, O., and Skotheim, J.M. (2017). Spatial and temporal signal processing and decision making by MAPK pathways. J. Cell Biol. 216, 317–330.

Bakker, R.A., Schoonus, S.B.J., Smit, M.J., Timmerman, H., and Leurs, R. (2001). Histamine H1-Receptor Activation of Nuclear Factor-κB: Roles for Gβγ- and Gα q/11 -Subunits in Constitutive and Agonist-Mediated Signaling. Mol. Pharmacol. *60*, 1133–1142.

Baranski, T.J., Herzmark, P., Lichtarge, O., Gerber, B.O., Trueheart, J., Meng, E.C., Iiri, T., Sheikh, S.P., and Bourne, H.R. (1999). C5a Receptor Activation. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 15757–15765.

Bardwell, L. (2004). A walk-through of the yeast mating pheromone response pathway. Peptides 25, 1465–1476.

Bashor, C.J., Helman, N.C., Yan, S., and Lim, W.A. (2008). Using Engineered Scaffold Interactions to Reshape MAP Kinase Pathway Signaling Dynamics. Science (80-. ). 319, 1539–1543.

Beukers, M.W. (2004). Random Mutagenesis of the Human Adenosine A2B Receptor Followed by Growth Selection in Yeast. Identification of Constitutively Active and Gain of Function Mutations. Mol. Pharmacol. *65*, 702–710.

Billerbeck, S., Brisbois, J., Agmon, N., Jimenez, M., Temple, J., Shen, M., Boeke, J.D., and Cornish, V.W. (2018). A scalable peptide-GPCR language for engineering multicellular communication. Nat. Commun. 9, 5057.

Blount, B.A., Weenink, T., and Ellis, T. (2012). Construction of synthetic regulatory networks in yeast. FEBS Lett. 586, 2112–2121.

Brewster, R.C., Weinert, F.M., Garcia, H.G., Song, D., Rydenfelt, M., and Phillips, R. (2014). The Transcription Factor Titration Effect Dictates Level of Gene Expression. Cell 156, 1312–1323.

Bridge, L.J., King, J.R., Hill, S.J., and Owen, M.R. (2010). Mathematical modelling of signalling in a two-ligand G-protein coupled receptor system: Agonist-antagonist competition. Math. Biosci. 223, 115–132.

Bridge, L.J., Mead, J., Frattini, E., Winfield, I., and Ladds, G. (2018). Modelling and simulation of biased agonism dynamics at a G proteincoupled receptor. J. Theor. Biol. 442, 44–65.

Brophy, J.A.N., and Voigt, C.A. (2014). Principles of genetic circuit design. Nat. Methods 11, 508–520.

Brown, A.J., Dyos, S.L., Whiteway, M.S., White, J.H.M., Watson, M.-A.E.A., Marzioch, M., Clare, J.J., Cousens, D.J., Paddon, C., Plumpton, C., et al. (2000). Functional coupling of mammalian receptors to the yeast mating pathway using novel yeast/mammalian G protein asubunit chimeras. Yeast *16*, 11–22.

Brown, A.J., Goldsworthy, S.M., Barnes, A. a., Eilert, M.M., Tcheang, L., Daniels, D., Muir, A.I., Wigglesworth, M.J., Kinghorn, I., Fraser, N.J., et al. (2003). The Orphan G Protein-coupled Receptors GPR41 and GPR43 Are Activated by Propionate and Other Short Chain Carboxylic Acids. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 11312–11319.

Brown, A.J., Daniels, D.A., Kassim, M., Brown, S., Haslam, C.P., Terrell, V.R., Brown, J., Nichols, P.L., Staton, P.C., Wise, A., et al. (2011). Pharmacology of GPR55 in Yeast and Identification of GSK494581A as a Mixed-Activity Glycine Transporter Subtype 1 Inhibitor and GPR55 Agonist. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 337, 236–246.

Buchler, N.E., and Louis, M. (2008). Molecular Titration and Ultrasensitivity in Regulatory Networks. J. Mol. Biol. 384, 1106–1119.

Burstein, E.S., Spalding, T. a, and Brann, M.R. (1997). Pharmacology of Muscarinic Receptor Subtypes Constitutively Activated by G Proteins. Mol. Pharmacol. *51*, 312–319.

Bush, A., Vasen, G., Constantinou, A., Dunayevich, P., Patop, I.L., Blaustein, M., and Colman-Lerner, A. (2016). Yeast GPCR signaling reflects the fraction of occupied receptors, not the number. Mol. Syst. Biol. *12*, 898.

Campbell, R.M., Cartwright, C., Chen, W., Chen, Y., Duzic, E., Fu, J.-M., Loveland, M., Manning, R., McKibben, B., Pleiman, C.M., et al. (1999). Selective A1-adenosine receptor antagonists identified using yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae functional assays. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. *9*, 2413–2418.

Chambers, J.K., Macdonald, L.E., Sarau, H.M., Ames, R.S., Freeman, K., Foley, J.J., Zhu, Y., McLaughlin, M.M., Murdock, P., McMillan, L., et al. (2000). A G Protein-coupled Receptor for UDP-glucose. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 10767–10771.

Chan, L.Y., Kosuri, S., and Endy, D. (2005). Refactoring bacteriophage T7. Mol. Syst. Biol. 1, E1-E10.

Chen, R.E., and Thorner, J. (2007). Function and regulation in MAPK signaling pathways: Lessons learned from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Cell Res. *1773*, 1311–1340.

Chung, C.T., Niemela, S.L., and Miller, R.H. (1989). One-step preparation of competent Escherichia coli: transformation and storage of bacterial cells in the same solution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *86*, 2172–2175.

Croft, W., Hill, C., McCann, E., Bond, M., Esparza-Franco, M., Bennett, J., Rand, D., Davey, J., and Ladds, G. (2013). A Physiologically Required G Protein-coupled Receptor (GPCR)-Regulator of G Protein Signaling (RGS) Interaction That Compartmentalizes RGS Activity. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 27327–27342.

Dolan, J.W., and Fields, S. (1990). Overproduction of the yeast STE12 protein leads to constitutive transcriptional induction. Genes Dev. 4, 492–502.

Dong, S., Rogan, S.C., and Roth, B.L. (2010). Directed molecular evolution of DREADDs: a generic approach to creating next-generation RASSLs. Nat. Protoc. 5, 561–573.

Dueber, J.E., Mirsky, E.A., and Lim, W.A. (2007). Engineering synthetic signaling proteins with ultrasensitive input/output control. Nat. Biotechnol. 25, 660–662.

Ehrenworth, A.M., Claiborne, T., and Peralta-Yahya, P. (2017). Medium-Throughput Screen of Microbially Produced Serotonin via a G-Protein-Coupled Receptor-Based Sensor. Biochemistry 56, 5471–5475.

Erickson, J.R., Wu, J.J., Goddard, J.G., Tigyi, G., Kawanishi, K., Tomei, L.D., and Kiefer, M.C. (1998). Edg-2/Vzg-1 Couples to the Yeast Pheromone Response Pathway Selectively in Response to Lysophosphatidic Acid. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 1506–1510.

Erlenbach, I., Kostenis, E., Schmidt, C., Hamdan, F.F., Pausch, M.H., and Wess, J. (2001a). Functional expression of M1, M3 and M5 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in yeast. J. Neurochem. 77, 1327–1337.

Erlenbach, I., Kostenis, E., Schmidt, C., Serradeil-Le Gal, C., Raufaste, D., Dumont, M.E., Pausch, M.H., and Wess, J. (2001b). Single Amino Acid Substitutions and Deletions That Alter the G Protein Coupling Properties of the V2 Vasopressin Receptor Identified in Yeast by Receptor Random Mutagenesis. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 29382–29392.

Evans, B.J., Wang, Z., Broach, J.R., Oishi, S., Fujii, N., and Peiper, S.C. (2009). Chapter 20 Expression of CXCR4, a G-Protein–Coupled Receptor for CXCL12 in Yeast. In Methods in Enzymology, (Elsevier Inc.), pp. 399–412.

Ferrel, J.E. (1996). Tripping the switch fantastic: how a protein kinase cascade can convert graded inputs into switch-like outputs. Trends Biochem. Sci. 21, 460–466.

Ferrell, J.E. (2002). Self-perpetuating states in signal transduction: positive feedback, double-negative feedback and bistability. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 14, 140–148.

Fowler, T.J., DeSimone, S.M., Mitton, M.F., Kurjan, J., and Raper, C.A. (1999). Multiple Sex Pheromones and Receptors of a Mushroomproducing Fungus Elicit Mating in Yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell *10*, 2559–2572.

Fredriksson, R. (2003). The G-Protein-Coupled Receptors in the Human Genome Form Five Main Families. Phylogenetic Analysis, Paralogon Groups, and Fingerprints. Mol. Pharmacol. *63*, 1256–1272.

Fukutani, Y., Hori, A., Tsukada, S., Sato, R., Ishii, J., Kondo, A., Matsunami, H., and Yohda, M. (2015). Improving the odorant sensitivity of olfactory receptor-expressing yeast with accessory proteins. Anal. Biochem. 471, 1–8.

Gaibelet, G., Meilhoc, E., Riond, J., Saves, I., Exner, T., Liaubet, L., Nurnberg, B., Masson, J.-M., and Emorine, L.J. (1999). Nonselective coupling of the human u-opioid receptor to multiple inhibitory G-protein isoforms. Eur. J. Biochem. 261, 517–523.

Galloway, K.E., Franco, E., and Smolke, C.D. (2013). Dynamically Reshaping Signaling Networks to Program Cell Fate via Genetic Controllers. Science (80-. ). 341, 1235005–1235005.

Germann, S.M., Baallal Jacobsen, S.A., Schneider, K., Harrison, S.J., Jensen, N.B., Chen, X., Stahlhut, S.G., Borodina, I., Luo, H., Zhu, J., et al. (2016). Glucose-based microbial production of the hormone melatonin in yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biotechnol. J. 11, 717–724.

Gietz, R.D., and Schiestl, R.H. (2007). Microtiter plate transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. Nat. Protoc. 2, 5-8.

Gika, H.G., Wilson, I.D., and Theodoridis, G.A. (2014). LC–MS-based holistic metabolic profiling. Problems, limitations, advantages, and future perspectives. J. Chromatogr. B 966, 1–6.

Groß, A., Rödel, G., and Ostermann, K. (2011). Application of the yeast pheromone system for controlled cell-cell communication and signal amplification. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 52, 521–526.

Hagen, D.C., McCaffrey, G., and Sprague, G.F. (1991). Pheromone response elements are necessary and sufficient for basal and pheromone-induced transcription of the FUS1 gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. *11*, 2952–2961.

Harrigan, P., Madhani, H.D., and El-Samad, H. (2018). Real-Time Genetic Compensation Defines the Dynamic Demands of Feedback Control. Cell 175, 877–886.e10.

Hauser, A.S., Chavali, S., Masuho, I., Jahn, L.J., Martemyanov, K.A., Gloriam, D.E., and Babu, M.M. (2018). Pharmacogenomics of GPCR Drug Targets. Cell *172*, 41–54.e19.

Heng, B.C., Aubel, D., and Fussenegger, M. (2014). G Protein–Coupled Receptors Revisited: Therapeutic Applications Inspired by Synthetic Biology. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 54, 227–249.

Hirschman, J.E., De Zutter, G.S., Simonds, W.F., and Jenness, D.D. (1997). The G beta gamma complex of the yeast pheromone response pathway. Subcellular fractionation and protein-protein interactions. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 240–248.

Hoops, S., Sahle, S., Gauges, R., Lee, C., Pahle, J., Simus, N., Singhal, M., Xu, L., Mendes, P., and Kummer, U. (2006). COPASI--a COmplex PAthway SImulator. Bioinformatics 22, 3067–3074.

Houser, J.R., Ford, E., Nagiec, M.J., Errede, B., and Elston, T.C. (2012). Positive roles for negative regulators in the mating response of yeast. Mol. Syst. Biol. 8, 1–10.

Huang, X.-P., Karpiak, J., Kroeze, W.K., Zhu, H., Chen, X., Moy, S.S., Saddoris, K.A., Nikolova, V.D., Farrell, M.S., Wang, S., et al. (2015). Allosteric ligands for the pharmacologically dark receptors GPR68 and GPR65. Nature *527*, 477–483.

Ingolia, N.T., and Murray, A.W. (2007). Positive-Feedback Loops as a Flexible Biological Module. Curr. Biol. 17, 668-677.

Jarque, S., Bittner, M., and Hilscherová, K. (2016). Freeze-drying as suitable method to achieve ready-to-use yeast biosensors for androgenic and estrogenic compounds. Chemosphere 148, 204–210.

Kajkowski, E.M., Price, L.A., Pausch, M.H., Young, K.H., and Ozenberger, B.A. (1997). Investigation of Growth Hormone Releasing Hormone Receptor Structure and Activity Using Yeast Expression Technologies. J. Recept. Signal Transduct. *17*, 293–303.

Katritch, V., Cherezov, V., and Stevens, R.C. (2012). Diversity and modularity of G protein-coupled receptor structures. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 33, 17–27.

Kenakin, T. (2013). New concepts in pharmacological efficacy at 7TM receptors: IUPHAR Review 2. Br. J. Pharmacol. 168, 554–575.

Kholodenko, B.N. (2006). Cell-signalling dynamics in time and space. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7, 165–176.

King, K., Dohlman, H., Thorner, J., Caron, M., and Lefkowitz, R. (1990). Control of yeast mating signal transduction by a mammalian beta 2-adrenergic receptor and Gs alpha subunit. Science (80-. ). 250, 121–123.

Klein, C., Paul, J.I., Sauvé, K., Schmidt, M.M., Arcangeli, L., Ransom, J., Trueheart, J., Manfredi, J.P., Broach, J.R., and Murphy, A.J. (1998). Identification of surrogate agonists for the human FPRL-1 receptor by autocrine selection in yeast. Nat. Biotechnol. *16*, 1334–1337.

Kofahl, B., and Klipp, E. (2004). Modelling the dynamics of the yeast pheromone pathway. Yeast 21, 831–850.

Kokkola, T., Watson, M., White, J., Dowell, S., Foord, S.M., and Laitinen, J.T. (1998). Mutagenesis of Human Mel1aMelatonin Receptor Expressed in Yeast Reveals Domains Important for Receptor Function. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 249, 531–536.

Ladds, G., Goddard, A., and Davey, J. (2005a). Functional analysis of heterologous GPCR signalling pathways in yeast. Trends Biotechnol. 23, 367–373.

Ladds, G., Davis, K., Das, A., and Davey, J. (2005b). A constitutively active GPCR retains its G protein specificity and the ability to form dimers. Mol. Microbiol. 55, 482–497.

Larsen, M.J., Lancheros, E.R., Williams, T., Lowery, D.E., Geary, T.G., and Kubiak, T.M. (2013). Functional expression and characterization of the C. elegans G-protein-coupled FLP-2 Receptor (T19F4.1) in mammalian cells and yeast. Int. J. Parasitol. Drugs Drug Resist. 3, 1–7.

Lee, M.E., DeLoache, W.C., Cervantes, B., and Dueber, J.E. (2015). A Highly Characterized Yeast Toolkit for Modular, Multipart Assembly. ACS Synth. Biol. 4, 975–986.

Leplatois, P., Josse, A., Guillemot, M., Febvre, M., Vita, N., Ferrara, P., and Loison, G. (2001). Neurotensin induces mating in Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells that express human neurotensin receptor type 1 in place of the endogenous pheromone receptor. Eur. J. Biochem. *268*, 4860–4867.

Liu, R., van Veldhoven, J.P.D., and IJzerman, A.P. (2016). The role of the C-terminus of the human hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors 2 and 3 in G protein activation using  $G\alpha$ -engineered yeast cells. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 770, 70–77.

Liu, Z., Chen, O., Wall, J.B.J., Zheng, M., Zhou, Y., Wang, L., Ruth Vaseghi, H., Qian, L., and Liu, J. (2017). Systematic comparison of 2A peptides for cloning multi-genes in a polycistronic vector. Sci. Rep. 7, 2193.

Marinissen, M.J., and Gutkind, J.S. (2001). G-protein-coupled receptors and signaling networks: emerging paradigms. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 22, 368–376.

McIsaac, R.S., Gibney, P.A., Chandran, S.S., Benjamin, K.R., and Botstein, D. (2014). Synthetic biology tools for programming gene expression without nutritional perturbations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, e48–e48.

Minic, J., Persuy, M.-A., Godel, E., Aioun, J., Connerton, I., Salesse, R., and Pajot-Augy, E. (2005). Functional expression of olfactory receptors in yeast and development of a bioassay for odorant screening. FEBS J. 272, 524–537.

Miret, J.J., Rakhilina, L., Silverman, L., and Oehlen, B. (2002). Functional Expression of Heteromeric Calcitonin Gene-related Peptide and Adrenomedullin Receptors in Yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 6881–6887.

Motulsky, H., and Christopoulos, A. (2005). Fitting models to biological data using linear and nonlinear regression. Pharm. Stat. 4.

Mukherjee, K., Bhattacharyya, S., and Peralta-Yahya, P. (2015). GPCR-Based Chemical Biosensors for Medium-Chain Fatty Acids. ACS Synth. Biol. 4, 1261–1269.

Neves, S.R. (2002). G Protein Pathways. Science (80-. ). 296, 1636-1639.

De Nobel, J.G., and Barnett, J.A. (1991). Passage of molecules through yeast cell walls: A brief essay-review. Yeast 7, 313–323.

Olesnicky, N.S., Brown, A.J., Dowell, S.J., and Casselton, L.A. (1999). A constitutively active G-protein-coupled receptor causes mating self-compatibility in the mushroom Coprinus. EMBO J. 18, 2756–2763.

Ostrov, N., Jimenez, M., Billerbeck, S., Brisbois, J., Matragrano, J., Ager, A., and Cornish, V.W. (2017). A modular yeast biosensor for low-cost point-of-care pathogen detection. Sci. Adv. 3, e1603221.

Overton, H.A., Babbs, A.J., Doel, S.M., Fyfe, M.C.T., Gardner, L.S., Griffin, G., Jackson, H.C., Procter, M.J., Rasamison, C.M., Tang-Christensen, M., et al. (2006). Deorphanization of a G protein-coupled receptor for oleoylethanolamide and its use in the discovery of small-molecule hypophagic agents. Cell Metab. *3*, 167–175.

Paliwal, S., Iglesias, P.A., Campbell, K., Hilioti, Z., Groisman, A., and Levchenko, A. (2007). MAPK-mediated bimodal gene expression and adaptive gradient sensing in yeast. Nature 446, 46–51.

Pi, H., Chien, C.T., and Fields, S. (1997). Transcriptional activation upon pheromone stimulation mediated by a small domain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Ste12p. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17, 6410–6418.

Pierce, K.L., Premont, R.T., and Lefkowitz, R.J. (2002). Seven-transmembrane receptors. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 3, 639-650.

Poritz, M.A., Malmstrom, S., Kim, M.K.H., Rossmeissl, P.J., and Kamb, A. (2001). Graded mode of transcriptional induction in yeast pheromone signalling revealed by single-cell analysis. Yeast 18, 1331–1338.

Prezeau, L., Rives, M.-L., Comps-Agrar, L., Maurel, D., Kniazeff, J., and Pin, J.-P. (2010). Functional crosstalk between GPCRs: with or without oligomerization. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 10, 6–13.

Price, L. a, Kajkowski, E.M., Hadcock, J.R., Ozenberger, B. a, and Pausch, M.H. (1995). Functional coupling of a mammalian somatostatin receptor to the yeast pheromone response pathway. Mol. Cell. Biol. *15*, 6188–6195.

Prokic, E.J., Weston, C., Yamawaki, N., Hall, S.D., Jones, R.S.G., Stanford, I.M., Ladds, G., and Woodhall, G.L. (2015). Cortical oscillatory dynamics and benzodiazepine-site modulation of tonic inhibition in fast spiking interneurons. Neuropharmacology 95, 192–205.

Pryciak, P.M., and Huntress, F.A. (1998). Membrane recruitment of the kinase cascade scaffold protein Ste5 by the Gbeta gamma complex underlies activation of the yeast pheromone response pathway. Genes Dev. *12*, 2684–2697.

Roberts, C.J., Nelson, B., Marton, M.J., Stoughton, R., Meyer, M.R., Bennett, H.A., He, Y.D., Dai, H., Walker, W.L., Hughes, T.R., et al. (2000). Signaling and Circuitry of Multiple MAPK Pathways Revealed by a Matrix of Global Gene Expression Profiles. Science (80-.). 287, 873–880.

Routledge, S.J., Mikaliunaite, L., Patel, A., Clare, M., Cartwright, S.P., Bawa, Z., Wilks, M.D.B., Low, F., Hardy, D., Rothnie, A.J., et al. (2016). The synthesis of recombinant membrane proteins in yeast for structural studies. Methods *95*, 26–37.

Sander, P., Grünewald, S., Reiländer, H., and Michel, H. (1994). Expression of the human D 2S dopamine receptor in the yeasts Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe : A comparative study. FEBS Lett. 344, 41–46.

Santos, R., Ursu, O., Gaulton, A., Bento, A.P., Donadi, R.S., Bologa, C.G., Karlsson, A., Al-Lazikani, B., Hersey, A., Oprea, T.I., et al. (2017). A comprehensive map of molecular drug targets. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *16*, 19–34.

Sarramegna, V., Talmont, F., Demange, P., and Milon, A. (2003). Heterologous expression of G-protein-coupled receptors: comparison of expression systems from the standpoint of large-scale production and purification. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 60, 1529–1546.

Scott, B.M., Chen, S.K., Bhattacharyya, N., Moalim, A.Y., Plotnikov, S. V., Heon, E., Peisajovich, S.G., and Chang, B.S.W. (2018). Coupling of Human Rhodopsin to a Yeast Signaling Pathway Enables Characterization of Mutations Associated with Retinal Disease. Genetics genetics.301733.2018.

Segota, I., and Franck, C. (2017). Extracellular Processing of Molecular Gradients by Eukaryotic Cells Can Improve Gradient Detection Accuracy. Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 248101.

Selbie, L.A., and Hill, S.J. (1998). G protein-coupled-receptor cross-talk: the fine-tuning of multiple receptor-signalling pathways. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 19, 87–93.

Shao, D., Zheng, W., Qiu, W., Ouyang, Q., and Tang, C. (2006). Dynamic Studies of Scaffold-Dependent Mating Pathway in Yeast. Biophys. J. 91, 3986–4001.

Smith, B., Hill, C., Godfrey, E.L., Rand, D., van den Berg, H., Thornton, S., Hodgkin, M., Davey, J., and Ladds, G. (2009). Dual positive and negative regulation of GPCR signaling by GTP hydrolysis. Cell. Signal. 21, 1151–1160.

Song, J., Hirschman, J., Gunn, K., and Dohlman, H.G. (1996). Regulation of Membrane and Subunit Interactions by N -Myristoylation of a G Protein α Subunit in Yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 20273–20283.

Sprague, G.F., and Herskowitz, I. (1981). Control of yeast cell type by the mating type locus: I. Identification and control of expression of the a-specific gene BAR1. J. Mol. Biol. *153*, 305–321.

Su, T.-C., Tamarkina, E., and Sadowski, I. (2010). Organizational constraints on Ste12 cis-elements for a pheromone response in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. FEBS J. 277, 3235–3248.

Temme, K., Zhao, D., and Voigt, C.A. (2012). Refactoring the nitrogen fixation gene cluster from Klebsiella oxytoca. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 7085–7090.

Urlinger, S., Baron, U., Thellmann, M., Hasan, M.T., Bujard, H., and Hillen, W. (2000). Exploring the sequence space for tetracyclinedependent transcriptional activators: Novel mutations yield expanded range and sensitivity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97, 7963–7968.

Urrios, A., Macia, J., Manzoni, R., Conde, N., Bonforti, A., de Nadal, E., Posas, F., and Solé, R. (2016). A Synthetic Multicellular Memory Device. ACS Synth. Biol. 5, 862–873.

Vallée-Bélisle, A., Ricci, F., and Plaxco, K.W. (2012). Engineering Biosensors with Extended, Narrowed, or Arbitrarily Edited Dynamic Range. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 2876–2879.

Versele, M., Lemaire, K., and Thevelein, J.M. (2001). Sex and sugar in yeast: two distinct GPCR systems. EMBO Rep. 2, 574-579.

Ward, L.D., and Kellis, M. (2012). Interpreting noncoding genetic variation in complex traits and human disease. Nat. Biotechnol. 30, 1095–1106.

Weston, C., Poyner, D., Patel, V., Dowell, S., and Ladds, G. (2014). Investigating G protein signalling bias at the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor in yeast. Br. J. Pharmacol. 171, 3651–3665.

Weston, C., Lu, J., Li, N., Barkan, K., Richards, G.O., Roberts, D.J., Skerry, T.M., Poyner, D., Pardamwar, M., Reynolds, C.A., et al. (2015). Modulation of Glucagon Receptor Pharmacology by Receptor Activity-modifying Protein-2 (RAMP2). J. Biol. Chem. 290, 23009–23022.

Whiteway, M., Hougan, L., Dignard, D., Thomas, D.Y., Bell, L., Saari, G.C., Grant, F.J., O'Hara, P., and MacKay, V.L. (1989). The STE4 and STE18 genes of yeast encode potential  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  subunits of the mating factor receptor-coupled G protein. Cell *56*, 467–477.

Yi, T.-M., Kitano, H., and Simon, M.I. (2003). A quantitative characterization of the yeast heterotrimeric G protein cycle. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 100, 10764–10769.

Yu, R.C., Resnekov, O., Abola, A.P., Andrews, S.S., Benjamin, K.R., Bruck, J., Burbulis, I., Colman-Lerner, A., Endy, D., Gordon, A., et al. (2008). The Alpha Project: a model system for systems biology research. IET Syst. Biol. 2, 222–233.

Zhang, Q., Bhattacharya, S., and Andersen, M.E. (2013). Ultrasensitive response motifs: basic amplifiers in molecular signalling networks. Open Biol. 3, 130031–130031.

# **METHODS**

# CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Tom Ellis (<u>t.ellis@imperial.ac.uk</u>).

#### EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

### **Bacterial Strains and Growth Media**

NEB® Turbo Competent *E. coli* was used for all cloning experiments. Selection and growth of *E. coli* was performed in Lysogeny Broth (LB) medium at 37 °C with aeration. With the exception of generating competent cells, the LB medium was supplemented with appropriate antibiotics (ampicillin 100 µg/mL, chloramphenicol 34 µg/mL, or kanamycin 50 µg/mL).

### Yeast Strains and Growth Media

For a list of all yeast strains used in this study see **Key Resource Table**. Apart from BY4741, all strains used in this study are a derivative of the yWS677 model strain (*sst2* $\Delta$ 0 *far1* $\Delta$ 0 *bar1* $\Delta$ 0 *ste2* $\Delta$ 0 *ste12* $\Delta$ 0 *gpa1* $\Delta$ 0 *ste3* $\Delta$ 0 *mf(alpha)1* $\Delta$ 0 *mf(alpha)2* $\Delta$ 0 *mfa1* $\Delta$ 0 *mfa2* $\Delta$ 0 *gpr1* $\Delta$ 0 *gpa2* $\Delta$ 0), which is itself a derivative of BY4741 (MATa *his3* $\Delta$ 1 *leu2* $\Delta$ 0 *met15* $\Delta$ 0 *ura3* $\Delta$ 0). The yWS677 model strain was generated using iterative markerless CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering (see **Methods Details**). Further editing of yWS677 to create the additional strains was performed in a single step using markerless CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering.

Yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) was used for culturing cells in preparation for transformation: 1% (w/v) Bacto Yeast Extract (Merck), 2% (w/v) Bacto Peptone (Merck), 2% glucose (VWR). Cells were cultured at 30 °C shaking at 250 rpm.

Selection of yeast transformants was performed on synthetic complete (SC) dropout agar medium: 2% (w/v) glucose (VWR), 0.67% (w/v) Yeast Nitrogen Base without amino acids (Sigma), 0.14% (w/v) Yeast Synthetic Drop-out Medium Supplements without histidine, leucine, tryptophan, and uracil (Sigma) supplemented with 20 mg/L tryptophan (Sigma), and 20 g/L bacteriological agar (VWR). Depending on the required selection, SC dropout media was supplemented with 20 mg/L uracil (Sigma), 100 mg/L leucine (Sigma), and 20 mg/L histidine (Sigma). Cells were grown at 30 °C static.

All liquid experiments were performed in synthetic complete (SC) medium with 2% (w/v) glucose (VWR), 0.67% (w/v) Yeast Nitrogen Base without amino acids (Sigma), 0.14% (w/v) Yeast Synthetic Drop-out Medium Supplements without histidine, leucine, tryptophan, and uracil (Sigma), 20 mg/L uracil (Sigma), 100 mg/L leucine (Sigma), 20 mg/L histidine (Sigma), and 20 mg/mL tryptophan (Sigma). Unless otherwise stated, all yeast strains were cultured in 500 µL of SC medium and grown in 2.2 mL 96 deep-well plates at 30 °C in an Infors HT Multitron, shaking at 700 rpm.

#### **METHODS DETAILS**

#### **Bacterial Transformations**

Chemically competent cells were created following the TSS protocol for KCM transformations (Chung et al., 1989). A colony of *E. coli* was grown to saturation overnight in 10 mL of LB and then split into two 2 L baffled flasks with 500 mL of LB. The culture was grown for 2-3 h to OD600 ~ 1.0, chilled on ice to stop growth, split between 50 mL conical tubes, and centrifuged at 4000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 minutes. The supernatant was then discarded, and the cell pellets resuspended by aspiration in ice-cold TSS (85 mL LB, 10 g PEG-3350, 5 mL DMSO, and 2 mL 1 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>). 200 µL of the cell suspension was then aliquoted into PCR reaction tubes, flash frozen on dry ice, and put into a -80 °C freezer for long term storage. To transform the DNA, 50 µL of 5 x KCM (500 mM KCl, 150 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 250 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) was added to 200 µL of the competent cell prep after 10 minutes for thawing on ice. 50 µL of the competent cell-KCM cocktail was then added to DNA and transferred to a thermocycler with the following protocol: 4 °C for 10 minutes, 42 °C for 1 minutes, and then 30-60 minutes recovery at 37 °C. Cells were then plated on solid LB medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics.

### **Yeast Transformations**

Chemically competent yeast cells were created following the lithium acetate protocol (Gietz and Schiestl, 2007). Yeast colonies were grown to saturation overnight in YPD. The following morning the cells were diluted 1:100 in 15 mL of fresh YPD in a 50 mL conical tube and grown for 4-6 h to OD600 0.8-1.0. Cells were pelleted and washed once with 10 mL 0.1 M lithium acetate (LiOAc) (Sigma). Cells were then resuspended in 0.1 M LiOAc to a total volume of 100  $\mu$ L/transformation. 100  $\mu$ L of cell suspension was then distributed into 1.5 mL reaction tubes and pelleted. Cells were resuspended in 64  $\mu$ L of DNA/salmon sperm DNA mixture (10  $\mu$ L of boiled salmon sperm DNA (Invitrogen) + DNA + ddH<sub>2</sub>O), and then mixed with 294  $\mu$ L of PEG/LiOAc mixture (260  $\mu$ L 50% (w/v) PEG-3350 (Sigma) + 36  $\mu$ L 1 M LiOAc). The yeast transformation mixture was then heat-shocked at 42 °C for 40 mins, pelleted, resuspended in 200  $\mu$ L 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and plated onto the appropriate synthetic dropout medium.

### Iterative Markerless Editing of Yeast Genome

All genomic edits were performed via CRISPR/Cas9, using a two-plasmid system, consisting of a gRNA expression plasmid (pWS082) and a CRISPR/Cas9 expression plasmid with the choice of three different selection markers (pWS158, URA3; pWS171, LEU2; pWS172, HIS3). This system supplies Cas9 and gRNAs on two different plasmids, which are first linearized and then gap repair with each other in yeast to create single plasmid which contains both components. For multiplexing edits, multiple gRNA fragments can be introduced into yeast simultaneously. Individual gRNAs were expressed using the format used in the YTK system (Lee et al., 2015), whereby a tRNA promoter drives the expression of a tRNA and HDV ribozyme fused to the 5' of the gRNA followed by the *SNR52* terminator. Cas9 is then expressed using the *PGK1* promoter and terminator. To prepare the two plasmids for transformation, the CRISPR/Cas9 and gRNA expression plasmids were first digested with BsmBI or EcoRV, respectively, and the following size fragments were gel purified: pWS082, 1022 bp; pWS158, 10051 bp; pWS171, 10909 bp; pWS172, 10102 bp.

New gRNA targets were designed in Benchling (<u>www.benchling.com</u>) using the CRISPR tool. gRNA sequences were then created using two annealed 26 bp oligonucleotides and assembled into the gRNA expression vector using a Bsmbl Golden Gate assembly using the (GACT) overhang at the 5' and (GTTT) at the 3'. An additional (TT) was included between the 5' overhang and gRNA sequence to complete the HDV ribozyme sequence:

- 5' GACTTTNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN 3'
- 3' AANNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN 5'

Oligonucleotides were assembled into the pWS082 gRNA entry vector using the following protocol: Oligonucleotides were first resuspended at 100  $\mu$ M concentration in H<sub>2</sub>O. Each oligonucleotide was then treated separately with T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK) in the following reaction: 1  $\mu$ L oligonucleotide (100  $\mu$ M), 1  $\mu$ L 10 x T4 DNA ligase buffer (Promega), 0.5  $\mu$ L T4 PNK (NEB), and 7.5  $\mu$ L H<sub>2</sub>O. The mixture was then incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour. The 10  $\mu$ L reactions for both oligonucleotides in the fragment pair were then added together and brought to a total volume of 200  $\mu$ L in H<sub>2</sub>O (10  $\mu$ L oligo (sense) + 10  $\mu$ L oligo (antisense) + 180  $\mu$ L H<sub>2</sub>O). The oligonucleotides were then annealed under slowly decreasing temperatures using the following programme: 96 °C for 6 minutes followed by 0.1 °C/s ramp down to 20 °C, and then hold at 20 °C. The resulting fragment was then ligated into the gRNA expression vector using Golden Gate assembly, which was prepared as follows: 0.1  $\mu$ L of pWS082 (50 fmol/  $\mu$ L), 1  $\mu$ L of the small fragment, 1  $\mu$ L T4 DNA ligase buffer (Promega), 0.5  $\mu$ L T7 DNA Ligase (NEB), 0.5  $\mu$ L BsmBI (NEB), and water to bring the final volume to 10  $\mu$ L. Reaction mixtures were then incubated in a thermocycler using the following program: (42 °C for 2 min, 16 °C for 5 min) x 10 cycles, followed by a final digestion step of 60 °C for 10 min, and then heat inactivation at 80 °C for 10 min. The entire reaction mixture was then transformed directly into *E. coli* and plated on LB medium with ampicillin (100  $\mu$ g/mL). For a list of oligonucleotides used to create the gRNA sequences in this study, see **Table S2**.

To edit the targeted regions, donor DNA was introduced into yeast alongside the CRISPR DNA to facilitate homologydirected repair at the double-strand break. Donor DNA was created by first cloning the sequence into the pYTK001 part entry vector. Donor DNA consisted of 500 bp arms of homology flanking a unique 24 bp sequence containing a new CRISPR/Cas9 target (landing pad), which were designed using the Benchling CRISPR tool to have an off-target score of 100 and a high on-target score. The plasmid was then sequence verified and primers were designed to amplify the donor DNA from the plasmid. The 1024 bp PCR amplicon was then gel purified to generate the donor DNA for transformation. For more information on donor DNA design see **Figure S1A**. For a list of landing pad sequences at the edited loci in the yWS677 and yWS1922 model strains, see below.

### Landing Pads in the yWS677 and yWS1922 Model Strains.

SST2 KO	TAACTTTGGAGGTGTTACTGTCGTACGTTCCTTCTAGGTTTTGCACGCAC
FAR1 KO	TCAAAAAATTTCTATTTACTTTATATTTCTTGACCATCCTTTACACAAAGTCTATAGATCCACTGGAAAGCTTCGTGGGCGTAAGAA GGCAATCTATTA <mark>GATCGTACTTAGAAATGAGGG<b>CGGTTAG</b>TAG</mark> TAGTTCGGGAATCGAGGCCCGTATTTCGAGGCTTTTGCTTTTCCTTTT TTTTTTTCGTTTCTCCACGTCTATACTACGCAATGACTGAATATATAT
BAR1 KO	CATGATGAATTCTTTAATGATCTTCGCGTGATTTAATTCTAGTGGTTCGTATCGCCTAAAATCATACCAAAATAAAAAGAGTGTCTAG AAGGGTCATATA <mark>AATGGGGTTAGCAAGTCGCACGGTTAA</mark> GAAATCTGGAGTACAATTTTTTTATAGCATATAAATATCAAATATATAG TCATTTTTAATACATGGAAAGCATAATAAAAAAAAAA
STE2 KO	TTCAAAGCAATACGATACCTTTTCTTTTCACCTGCTCTGGCTATAATTATAATTGGTTACTTAAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATC CAAGAATCAAAA <mark>CTAGCTTTCGTGTTAGTACG<b>CGGT</b>TGA</mark> TCAAAATTTACGGCTTTGAAAAAGTAATTTCGTGACCTTCGGTATAAG GTTACTACTAGATTCAGGTGCTCATCAGATGCACCACATTCTCTATAAA
GPA1 KO	AGACCAAACTGAGTAGAAGCTATTCATACTGTAAATTGGTATTTTAGCATCACATCAATAATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATATTA AGGTAGGAAATA <mark>TAGCATGGTGACACAAGCAG<b>CGGTGA</b>AGGAACTGTATAATTAAAGTAGTGTTTAGATACGTAAATTCTGTTTCC GAAGATGCAAGAAGGAGCAGCAGCAGCAGAAAAAATTACTATTTTTCTT</mark>
STE12 KO	CTAGAGTGGATATTGATATTTCTCAAACAAGACTCGTCGAAGAAAACACACAC
STE3 KO	TATTCATTITGTGCAGTATTCACATATTCTATTITATTGCTTTTAACTITAGAGGCAATTAAATTTGTGTAGGAAAGGCAAAAATACTA TCAAAATTTTC <mark>AATGTTTCTTGTCCAAGCGG<b>CGGTTAA</b>CACAAGAGTGTCGCATTATATTTACTGGACTAGGAGTATTTTATTTTAC AGGACTAGGATTGAAATACTGCTTTTTAGTGAATTGTGGCTCAAATA</mark>
<i>MF(ALPHA)1</i> KO	AAAATGTTACTGTTCTTACGATTCATTTACGATTCAAGAATAGTTCAAACAAGAAGATACAAACTATCAAATTCATACACAATATAAA CGATTAAAAGA <mark>ACACGAGTTCCCAAAACCAG<b>CGGTTAA</b>GCCCGACTGATAACAACAGTGTAGATGTAACAAAGTCGACTTTGTTCC CACTGTACTTTTAGCTCGTACAAAATACAATATACTTTTCATTTCTCCG</mark>
<i>MF(ALPHA)2</i> KO	GTTTGAGGTGTCCTTCCTATATCTGTTTTTATATTCTATATAGTGGATAATTACTACCATCACCTGCATCAAATTCCAGTAAATTCACA TATTGGAGAAA <mark>GTTCCGATAGGCCAGCATAT<b>CGG</b>TTGA</mark> AAAATGACCCTAAACTACTTCTAAACCCTCTCGATTTCTTTC
MFA1 KO	TAGAGTCTTCATATATAAACCGCCAGAAATGAATTAATGAGAGGGGATCTGTAACTGTTTCTCGGATAAAACCAAAATAAGTACAAAG CCATCGAATAGAA <mark>GCAGTAACGCTCATCAGCTA<b>CGG</b>TTAG</mark> TTTCTGCGTACAAAAACGTTGTTCTCCCTCCTTTATCTTCCTTTTCC GCTACACCAATATATCATGTTTGTTCGTAATATTTCTTTTTAGACCTAAT
MFA2 KO	TTTTATTTCCATCCACTTCTTCTGTCGTTCATCCGTTCATTGACATCACTAGAGACACCAGCGAGCTATCATCTTCATACAACAATAA CTACCAACCTTA <mark>CTTCTCCTGGAGATCAAGGACGGTTAA</mark> TTTTTGACGACAACCAAGAGGTCAAATCAATATCTACCCTTTCATTTA TTACGTGTTGCTGGCAAACTAATTTATTCCAATTCTCTCATCATCATCACCT
GPR1 KO	TGTGTGTGTGTGTCTATAAAAAGCAGTAAGAGTCACCAAAAAAAA
GPA2 KO	ACTACCCAAAGAGCAATCGATAGGTATAAAAGTGAGCAATTGCTATCACAGCGAGCCTTATTGTTACAGCACAAATCACGCGTATTT TCAAGCAAATATC <mark>GCTGTTATCCTGCATCGGAACGGTGA</mark> ATGCACAGCTAAAACAGAGACAAAACTGCATGCCTCTTCTCCCCTT TATTATCACCTTTAAAAAAGATAAAAAAAGAAACTGGAAAAAAGGTAAAAA
URA3 LP	ATATATGTTAATTACCTTTTTTGCGAGGCATATTTATGGTGAAGGATAAGTTTTGACCATCAAAGAAGGTTAATGTGGCTGTGGTTTC AGGGTCCATAAA <mark>ATATTATTGTACACCTACCG<b>CGG</b>T</mark> CCCCGGGAATCTCGGTCGTAATGATTTCTATAATGACGAAAAAAAA
LEU2 LP	TATTITATATTGACTITCGTGTACATTGATCACATCGACTGTTCTATTGGCAAATGAACCACGGGCATTGACTATTITTCAGGTTACT ACTATATATTAT <mark>GCATCAGGTGGACTAGCATGCGGT</mark> CGACACGAAATTACAAAATGGAATATGTTCATAGGGTAGACGAAACTATAT ACGCAATCTACATACATTTATCAAGAAGGAGAAAAAGGAGGATGTAAAG
HO LP	CGCGATTCGGCCCAAATCAGTTTCTCACAGATCATTCGTAGAGTGAAAAAGCACATCGATTATTTGATACCCCTTTGGGTTAATTAC TGTTGAGGTCTTT <mark>ATGGACGAAATGCTTCACCA<b>CGGT</b>TTTAAATTGATGTATCTCATCGCAGGCACGGGCAGTACAGTGCCCTGAG CGTAGGGAAAAATGAAAAAAGGATGTAACTTTTAACATAATTCCAGCACG</mark>
STE4 KO	ATTGGAAGGAAAGAGGGAAGAAAATACGATATTGCTAGTTCATTAAGTCAAGGAAGAAAATACTCAAAAAAACTGTACAGCTCAATCA GGTACACATTACG <mark>GGACCGGCCTCGCGATGCCG<b>CGGTAG</b>CTTCGAATTGGAAATACTGTGAGCAGTAATTATCAATGGATGCTA TTATATAAATATACATACCTACCCCATCCCAT</mark>
STE18 KO	GTTTGATGCAATATTTAACAAGGAGAACAGAAATGTTTTGTGACAGCACCTGTCAATTTTAGGATAGTAGCAATCGCAAACGTTCTC AATAATTCTAAGA <mark>TTGCCATAGACGACTAGCCACGGTTAA</mark> TGATAGTAATAGAATCCAAAAAAAAAA

Reagents for CRIPSR markerless genome editing were prepared as follows: 50 ng of linear CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid, 500 ng of each linearized gRNA expression plasmid, and 1000 ng of each donor DNA. The DNA was then transformed directly into yeast and plated on the appropriate selective media. Edits were validated by colony PCR followed by sanger sequencing of the amplified genomic region (for a list of primers used in this study, see **Table S2**). To rapidly iterate between successive edits when generating the model strain, yWS677, a marker cycling protocol was used, where two deletions or changes were performed per round of editing. Plasmid curing was skipped, and the marker for selecting the CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid was cycled between 3 markers (*URA3*, *LEU2*, and *HIS3*) for each iteration. The final edit was performed with CRISPR/Cas9 plasmid containing the *URA3* selection marker, which was counter selected using 5-FoA to cure the yeast of CRISPR machinery (**Figure S1B+S1C**). The absence of all CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids was validated by colony PCR and replica plating on selective media.

#### Nanopore Sequencing of the yWS677 Genome

DNA was isolated from yWS677 for Nanopore sequencing using the 100/G Genomic-Tip kit (QIAGEN), sheared to 20 kb using a g-TUBE (Covaris) and prepared for sequencing using a Ligation Sequencing Kit 1D<sup>2</sup> R9.5 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). The genomic DNA was then run on an R9.5 flow cell using a MinION Mk 1B (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). A standard 48h sequencing run was performed using the MinKnow 1.5.5 software using local basecalling. Reads were exported directly to fastq using MinKNOW. Canu (v1.5) was used to correct raw reads (www.canu.readthedocs.io) and smartdenovo (www.github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo) was used to de novo assemble the reads into contiguous sequences (contigs) using default flags. Resulting contigs were compared to a WT reference genome (s288c, SGD) using lastdb/lastal (www.last.cbrc.jp) and viewed on integrative genome viewer (IGV) (www.software.broadinstitute.org) to inspect genomic changes. We then probed all discrepancies between the yWS677 genome and the S288C reference using a minimum alignment length of 100 bp.

#### **Growth Curves**

Single colonies were grown to saturation overnight in 3 mL YPD. The next day, the yeast cultures were back diluted to an  $OD_{600}$  of 0.175, and 200 µL was transferred to a 96-well clear, flat-bottom microplate (Corning).  $OD_{600}$  was the measured over 24 h by a Synergy HT Microplate Reader (BioTek) taking measurements every 15 minutes with shaking at 30 °C in between readings. Growth rate per hour was calculated according to **Equation 1**, where *t* is time in hours.

(Equation 1)

 $(ln \frac{OD600(t+3h)}{OD600(t)})/3$ 

#### **Plasmid Construction**

All plasmids within this study were created using the MoClo Yeast Toolkit (YTK) system (Lee et al., 2015). Additional sequences not included within the YTK system that were used within this study can be found in the **Table S3**. For a list of all plasmid constructs used in this study, see **Table S4**. Unless indicated, part sequences were either mutated or synthesized to remove or avoid all instances of the BsmBI, BsaI, BpiI, and NotI recognition sequences.

Construction of all plasmid constructs in **Table S4** was achieved using Golden Gate assembly. All parts were set to equimolar concentrations of 50 fmol/ $\mu$ L (50 nM) prior to experiments. Golden Gate reactions were prepared as follows: 0.1  $\mu$ L of backbone vector, 0.5  $\mu$ L of each plasmid, 1  $\mu$ L T4 DNA ligase buffer (Promega), 0.5  $\mu$ L T7 DNA Ligase (NEB), 0.5  $\mu$ L restriction enzyme (Bsal or BsmBI) (NEB), and water to bring the final volume to 10  $\mu$ L. Reaction mixtures were then incubated in a thermocycler using the following program: (42 °C for 2 min, 16 °C for 5 min) x 25 cycles, followed by a final digestion step of 60 °C for 10 min, and then heat inactivation at 80 °C for 10 min. The entire reaction mixture was then transformed directly into *E. coli* and plated on LB medium with the appropriate antibiotics.

### Creation of the Go Variant Library

G protein c-terminal variants were created by substituting the GFP dropout cassette in the pWS936 Gpa1 C-terminal truncation vector for a small DNA fragment consisting of two annealed oligonucleotides. To create the small DNA fragment, oligonucleotides were first resuspended at 100  $\mu$ M concentration in H<sub>2</sub>O. Each oligonucleotide was then treated separately with T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK) in the following reaction: 1  $\mu$ L oligonucleotide (100  $\mu$ M), 1  $\mu$ L 10 x T4 DNA ligase buffer (Promega), 0.5  $\mu$ L T4 PNK (NEB), and 7.5  $\mu$ L H2O. The mixture was then incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour. The 10  $\mu$ L reactions for both oligonucleotides in the fragment pair were then added together and brought to a total volume of 200  $\mu$ L in H<sub>2</sub>O (10  $\mu$ L oligo (sense) + 10  $\mu$ L oligo (antisense) + 180  $\mu$ L H2O). The oligonucleotides were then annealed under slowly decreasing temperatures using the following programme: 96 °C for 6 minutes followed by 0.1 °C/s ramp down to 20 °C, and then hold at 20 °C. The resulting fragment was then ligated into the Gpa1 C-terminal truncation vector using Golden Gate assembly, which was prepared as follows: 0.1  $\mu$ L of pWS936 (50 fmol/  $\mu$ L), 1  $\mu$ L of the small fragment, 1  $\mu$ L T4 DNA ligase buffer (Promega), 0.5  $\mu$ L T7 DNA Ligase (NEB), 0.5  $\mu$ L BsmBI (NEB), and water to bring the final volume to 10  $\mu$ L. Reaction mixtures were then incubated in a thermocycler using the following program: (42 °C for 2 min, 16 °C for 5 min) x 10 cycles, followed by a final digestion step of 60 °C for 10 min, and then heat inactivation at 80 °C for 10 min. The entire reaction mixture was then transformed directly into *E. coli* and plated on LB medium with chloramphenicol (34  $\mu$ g/mL) For a list of oligonucleotides used to create the G protein library, see **Table S2**.

### **Multiplexed Yeast Plasmid Integrations**

The yWS677 model strain was prepared for multiplex integration of selectable plasmids by integrating landing pads (LPs) at the *URA3*, *LEU2*, and *HO* loci, conforming to the YTK integration plasmid format. Transient expression of Cas9 and the gRNAs targeting the landing pads was achieved by individually assembling Cas9 (*pTDH3-Cas9-tTDH1*) and the gRNAs (created using the YTK050 sgRNA dropout) into cassette plasmids without a yeast marker or yeast replicon. Single, double, and triple integration of marker plasmids were performed with 50, 100, and 200 ng of plasmid, respectively, with 100 ng of the Cas9 expression cassette and 200 ng of each gRNA expression cassette. All plasmids were first linearized by digestion before transformation using NotI-HF (NEB). Successful plasmid integration was selected for using synthetic drop-out media missing the appropriate supplements. Cas9 and gRNA expression was transient and quickly lost due to lack of selection or flanking homology to genome. Initially validated by colony PCR and then assumed thereafter.

# RT-qPCR

All quantitative PCR (qPCR) reactions were performed in an MasterCycler ep realplex 4 (Eppendorf) using SYBR FAST Universal qPCR Master Mix (Kapa Biosystems). For RNA purification, RNA was isolated from yeast culture grown to an OD600 of 1 ± 0.1 using a YeaStar RNA Kit (Zymo Research). RNA was quantified by nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher) and cDNA was generated from each RNA prep using a High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Each qPCR reaction contained 20 ng of cDNA. qPCR results were normalized to the housekeeping gene *HTB2*. All qPCR primers were designed manually using Benchling.

### **Ligand Sensing Protocol**

All sensor strains were picked into 500  $\mu$ L of synthetic complete media and grown in 2.2 mL 96 deep-well plates at 30 °C in an Infors HT Multitron, shaking at 700 rpm overnight. The next day, saturated strains were then diluted 1:100 into fresh media. After 2 h of incubation the strains were induced with their respective ligands and incubated for a further 4 h. All ligands were dissolved in DMSO, and the final concentration of DMSO in all cultures was 1 %. For strains using the TetR-PRD or Z3E-PRD transcription factor, aTc and  $\beta$ -estradiol was added during the back dilution at time 0 h. To perform flow cytometry and plate reader measurements, 200  $\mu$ L from each well was directly transferred to a 96-well clear, flat-bottom microplate (Corning).

For monoclonal cell experiments, cell fluorescence was measured by an Attune NxT Flow Cytometer (Thermo Scientific) with the following settings for measuring sfGFP: FSC 300 V, SSC 350 V, BL1 500 V. Fluorescence data was collected from 10,000 cells for each experiment and analyzed using FlowJo software. For polyclonal cell experiments, cell fluorescence was measured by a Synergy HT Microplate Reader (BioTek) with the following settings for measuring sfGFP: excitation 485/20, emission 528/20, gain 80. Unnormalized, raw fluorescence readings from each well were used for data analysis.

### **Detection of Microbially Produced Melatonin**

Samples for mass spectrometry and the MTNR1A sensor were prepared by centrifuging yeast cultures at 4000 rpm in a large desktop centrifuge for 10 minutes at 4 °C and extracting the supernatant. Supernatant samples were kept on ice before running on the LC-MS or transferred to the -20 °C for later use. No further sample preparation was performed on the supernatant sample before running on the LC-MS. Melatonin standards were kept in 100% DMSO before being diluted in spent media. Spent media was prepared from BY4741 in the same manner as the measured yeast.

An LC-MS method was developed for the measurement of melatonin in media, using an Agilent 1290 UPLC and 6550 quadrupole – time-of-flight (Q-ToF) mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization (Santa Clara, CA). The UPLC column was an Agilent Zorbax Eclipse Plus C-18, 2.1 x 50mm and 1.8um particle size. The UPLC buffers were 0.1% formic acid in water and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (v/v). The gradient elution method is detailed below.

Time (minutes)	% Solvent A	% Solvent B	Flow rate (mL/min)
0	100	0	0.5
0.5	100	0	0.5
1.5	70	30	0.5
2	5	95	0.5
2.5	5	95	0.5
2.6	100	0	0.5
3.6	100	0	0.5

Quantitation was based on the LC retention time from melatonin standard solutions and the area of accurately measured diagnostic ions from the molecule, namely the protonated molecule, [M+H]<sup>+</sup>, along with an in-source fragment (see below). The solutions of a melatonin standard in media were used to generate calibration curves.

#### The MS lons Used for the Measurement of Melatonin.

	Quantifier ion [M+H]+	Qualifier ion [M+H-C₂H₅NO]
Melatonin (C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> )	233.1285	174.0913

To measure the melatonin from producer strains using the MTNR1A sensor strains, 50  $\mu$ L of supernatant was added to an adjusted 450  $\mu$ L volume of the sensor cells and run on the flow cytometer according to the ligand sensing protocol. Melatonin concentrations were then calculated from a standard curve.

#### **Dose-Response Fitting**

All presented dose-response fittings were generated in Prism 7 (GraphPad), which was used to determine the logEC50 and Hill slope. To determine all remaining properties of the dose-response curve, curve fitting was performed using Python (SciPy and Matplotlib) using the 4PL model (**Equation 2**), where x is the concentration, A is the minimum asymptote, B is the steepness, C is the inflection point and D is the maximum asymptote.

(Equation 2)

$$f(x) = \frac{A - D}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{C}\right)^{B}} + D$$

#### **Computational Modeling**

To model biochemical reactions systems, it is customary to use a set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) to describe changes in concentrations of biochemical species. The systems of equations will be defined as shown in **Equation 3**.

(Equation 3)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f_x(x, y, \dots, t)$$
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f_y(x, y, \dots, t)$$

Where in this case, x, y, ... are the concentrations of molecular species within the system, and  $f_x, f_y, ...$  are functions describing the molecular interactions.

Numerical integration of the system of ODEs may allow us to derive the concentration of all molecular species at a certain timepoint. Simulations from numerical integration are taken out by MATLAB R2017a offered by MathWorks.

#### **GPCR Ternary Complex Model**

### Rationale and Implementation

The aim to formulate a system of ODEs of the Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste4:Ste18 promoter library required a top-down approach via reproduction of similar trends against general experimental results. In terms of the Ste2 library, an increase in receptor concentration directly increases maximum response while retaining low constitutive signaling. An increase in [Gpa1] or  $[G_a]$  in the pathway should demonstrate an optimal response, where a specific concentration of  $[G_a]$  produces the maximum response while having constitutive signaling. Increases in [Ste4:Ste18] or  $[G_{\beta\gamma}]$  should also demonstrate an optimal response with some basal activity. Thus, it is important to design a detailed system of ODEs which differentiates the ligand-induced responses against the constitutive response.

Moreover, since the refactored strain observe changes in response by modifying the receptor (Ste2),  $G_a$  subunit (Gpa1), and  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  subunit (Ste4:Ste18) concentration, a detailed model focusing on receptor/G protein interactions was used. Although there are models presenting full yeast pheromone ODE models in detail (Kofahl and Klipp, 2004; Shao et al., 2006), these models are not be ideal for demonstrating the intricate changes in multiple constitutive activities and normal responses within the receptor/G protein interactions. Thus, we have chosen as our basis of the mathematical model our previously described cubic ternary complex model (Bridge et al., 2018), which focuses upon the receptor and heterotrimeric G protein complex. In contrast to our previous work, our model output is the changes in free  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  subunits since this is how the *S. cerevisiae* pheromone-response pathway mediates downstream signaling (Whiteway et al., 1989). Upon release of the  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  dimer from  $G_a$  downstream signaling continues through interaction with Ste5 and recruitment of a MAPK cascade (Ste11, Ste7 and Fus3 respectively). It has been reported that the MAPK-cascade does not modify the Ste2/G protein dynamics and simply acts to amplify and transduce the response from the plasma membrane to the nucleus (Yi et al., 2003). As such, given our specific interest in the dynamics of the R/G protein and the fact that our refactored yeast cells are designed to be linearly correlated we have not included the MAPK in our model simulations with the exception of Ste5, which is considered as the downstream effector.

#### Model Formulation

Here, we formulate the ODE model dynamics, as we have described previously (Bridge et al., 2018; Croft et al., 2013; Prokic et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2009) for refactored *S. cerevisiae* strain yWS1922 to recapitulate results obtained through varying expressions of Ste2 or Gpa1 through a promoter library (**Figure 2D+2E**, **Experimental**). The basis of the mathematical model used is from a cubic ternary complex model (Bridge et al., 2018), in which a receptor may be under its inactive conformation *R* or its active conformation *R*\*. Furthermore, a receptor may bind to G protein *G*, and activation of receptor may cause *G* to dissociate and undergo the G protein cycle. The model describes ligand binding, receptor activation, G protein binding and the G protein cycle (Bridge et al., 2018). The scheme used for the reaction model is depicted in **Figure S8A** with detailed ODEs in **Equation 4**. The synthesis and degradation of the models were assumed to be slower compared to the signaling events and thus omitted for simplicity. Taking ligand concentration as a constant, our system consists of 8 receptor states and 8 non-receptor bound G protein states, with a total for the system of 16 ODEs. For model outputs, concentrations [*G*<sub>βy</sub>\**STE5*] will be taken as subsequent downstream pathways are designed to be linearly correlated in the system. For the individual kinetic rate constants, the lowercase k was used, along with subscripts + and – to denote forward and backward reactions.

# (Equation 4)



### Simulation Results

Here we present the numerical results used to illustrate the Ste2 and Gpa1 promoter library model. Both concentrationresponse curves are created through MATLAB R2017a using the pre-installed ODE solver ode15s. ODEs were solved to obtain endpoint concentration of  $[G_{\beta\gamma}^*]$  after 1000 timepoints (**Figure 2D+2E**, **Model**). Prior to the addition of ligand, the system was run for 1e<sup>8</sup> timepoints to enable complex intermediates to obtain equilibrium (Bridge et al., 2018; Croft et al., 2013; Prokic et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2009). The simulated results demonstrate similar trends to the 'wet' experimental data (**Figure 2D+2E**, **Experimental – Ste2**), where increases in Ste2 (*R* in the model) concentration shows an increase in maximum response. Conversely, for a fixed concentration of *R* increasing concentrations of Gpa1 (*G* in the model) demonstrates a non-monotonic relationship between G protein concentration and maximal signaling response (**Figure 2D+2E**, **Experimental – Gpa1**). For fixed concentrations of *R* and *G<sub>a</sub>* while increasing Ste4/Ste18 (*G*<sub>βγ</sub> in the model), a nonmonotonic relationship shows an optimal signaling response (**Figure 2D+2E**, **Experimental – Ste4:Ste18**). The base parameter set, including initial species concentrations used for both simulations can be found below. Parameter values were qualitatively fit through experimental dose-response results of the Gpa1 and Ste2 promoter library, retaining source values when possible.

Parameter	Meaning	Values	Units	Source
k <sub>L+</sub>	Ligand binding rate	4E+7	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Fitted
k <sub>L-</sub>	Ligand unbinding rate	3.10E-01	s <sup>-1</sup>	(Bridge et al., 2010)
k <sub>act+</sub>	Receptor activation rate to R*	1.00E+00	S <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>act-</sub>	Receptor deactivation rate from R*	1.00E+03	S <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>G+</sub>	G protein binding rate	1.00E+08	M⁻¹s⁻¹	"
k <sub>G-</sub>	G protein unbinding rate	1.00E-01	S <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>GRA+</sub>	G protein re-association rate	7.00E+08	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Fitted
k <sub>GRA-</sub>	G protein dissociation rate	1.30E-03	S⁻¹	(Bridge et al., 2010)
k <sub>hyd+</sub>	Hydrolysis rate of $G_{\alpha GTP}$	1.00E-04	S <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>hyd-</sub>	Exchange rate of GTP to GDP at $G_{\alpha}$	1.00E-04	S <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>GTP+</sub>	R <sup>*</sup> G dissociation rate	1.00E-02	S <sup>-1</sup>	Fitted

V+	Forward cooperativity factor for ligand binding a G bound receptor	1.00E+00		(Bridge et al., 2010)
V-	Backward cooperativity factor for ligand binding	1.00E+00		"
ζ+	Forward cooperativity factor for ligand-bound R activation	1.00E+03		"
ζ.	Backward cooperativity factor for ligand bound R activation	1.00E+00		"
μ+	Forward cooperativity factor for G bound R activation	1.00E+00		"
μ	Backward cooperativity factor for G bound R activation	1.00E+00		"
k <sub>conact</sub>	Constitutive activity of $G_{\beta\gamma}$	1.00E-10	S <sup>-1</sup>	Fitted
k <sub>rev</sub>	Reverse reaction of constitutive activity in $G_{\beta\gamma}$	1.00E-10	S <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>E+1</sub>	STE5 binding rate to $G_{\beta\gamma}^*$	1.00E+3	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>E+2</sub>	STE5 binding rate to $G_{\beta\gamma}$	1.00E+9	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>E-1</sub>	STE5 dissociation rate to $G_{\beta\gamma}^*$	1.00E+0	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>E-2</sub>	STE5 dissociation rate to $G_{\beta\gamma}$	1.00E+0	S <sup>-1</sup>	"
R <sub>tot</sub>	Total receptor concentration	4.15E-10	М	"
G <sub>tot</sub>	Total G protein concentration	4.15E-10	М	"
L <sub>tot</sub>	Total ligand concentration	1.00E-04	М	"

Since the model allows *G* to dissociate in the absence of Ligand *L* or *R*<sup>\*</sup> interaction, multiple levels of constitutive activity (elevated signaling in the absence of ligand) can be made possible. Along with the main pathway of *G* activation through *LR*<sup>\*</sup>  $G_{a\beta\gamma}$ , *R*<sup>\*</sup> $G_{a\beta\gamma}$  may also activate the *G* protein cycle in the absence of ligand.  $G_{a\beta\gamma}$  can also dissociate and activate independently of *R* through rate constant k<sub>GRA</sub>. To further reproduce constitutive features of the yeast pheromone cycle, inactive  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  may also be activated to be  $G_{\beta\gamma}^*$  through k<sub>conact</sub>, which is a simplification of  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  interacting with downstream effectors. This detailed description of the constitutively active pathways allowed us to recapitulate the low constitutive signaling in the experimental results. To demonstrate how signaling decreases from an optimal point when increasing  $G_{\beta\gamma}$ , it has been anticipated that at very high concentrations,  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  is unable to be trafficked to the plasma membrane due to the lack of  $G_a$ , but still affecting the pathway by binding to downstream effectors prematurely (Pryciak and Huntress, 1998; Song et al., 1996). This has been described simply in the model by showing how  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  and  $G_{\beta\gamma}^*$  tompete for the same effector (Ste5). At low initial concentrations of  $G_{\beta\gamma}$ , bill actively bind to Ste5 (due to its higher affinity). However, when the initial concentrations of  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  are increased free  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  is able to compete with the  $G_{\beta\gamma}^*$  and so sequesters the Ste5 species into an inactive complex so reducing the overall signaling maxima.

#### Ste2/Gpa1 Feedback Model

#### Rationale and Implementation

It has been well documented (Kofahl and Klipp, 2004; Shao et al., 2006) that the intracellular concentrations both Ste2 and Gpa1 increase upon pheromone stimulation. This arises due to positive feedback (at the transcriptional level – Ste12) thereby increasing the amount of available Ste2/Gpa1 present at the shmooing tip (Shao et al., 2006). Since expression of all components in our refactored yeast cells are driven through constitutive promoters, not pheromone-inducible ones, we wondered what impact positive feedback would have upon the response output. We therefore constructed a model derived from the Ste2/Gpa1 model described previously (see **Figure S8A**) but incorporating reaction rates to enable the concentration of either/both Ste2/Gpa1 to increase upon production of free  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  dimer (our model output). We hypothesized that increasing both Ste2 and Gpa1 concentrations (R and G respectively in the mode) through a positive feedback loop would enable enhanced maximal signaling (increased  $E_{Max}$ ) production while retaining a low basal activity through suppression by Gpa1 (Note Gpa1 acts to sequester free  $G_{\beta\gamma}$  so blocking signaling (Whiteway et al., 1989)).

#### Model Formulation

The formulation of the system of ODEs for the feedback model requires additional components to the previous system. Since the feedback loop is likely to involve multiple transcription/translation processes, our model required extension from

the single ternary complex model to involve the whole refactored pheromone signaling pathway. In addition, long-term changes in the signaling components must also be considered. To retain a simplified model but that reflected dynamics at the Ste2/Gpa1 level but accounted for the MAPK cascade and gene expression we introduced two arbitrary molecular species (downstream of our readout free  $G_{\beta\gamma}$ ): X1 and X2. Both can either be in an inactive or active state (denoted X or X\* respectively). Once X2 is in its active state it acts as a promoter, directly increasing concentrations of Ste2, Gpa1, or both. The basic scheme of the system of ODEs is denoted in **Figure S8B**.

The detailed system of ODEs can be found in **Equation 5**. In addition to the feedback loop, synthesis and degradation rates have also been considered in the parameter rates due to the longer timescale of the system. This relatively detailed system of ODEs consists of 8 receptor states, 5 non-receptor bound G protein states, and 4 downstream signaling states totaling to 17 ODEs. Model outputs would be concentrations  $[G_{\beta\gamma}]$ .

### (Equation 5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[R]}{dt} &= k_{1-}[LR] - k_{1+}[L][R] + k_{act-}[R^*] - k_{act+}[R] + k_{G}[RG] - k_{G}+[R][G] + k_{Rsyn} - k_{Rint}[R] + k_{6deg}(RG] + R^*G) + k_{Rfdbk}[X2^*] \\ \frac{d[LR]}{dt} &= k_{1+}[L][R] - k_{1-}[LR] + \zeta_{kact-}[LR^*] - \zeta_{k}k_{act+}[LR] + \nu_{k}k_{G}-[R^*G] - \mu_{k}k_{G}+[R^*] + k_{GTP+}[R^*G] - k_{Rint}[R^*] \\ \frac{d[LR]}{dt} &= \zeta_{k}k_{act+}[R] - k_{act-}[R^*] + \zeta_{k}L_{-}[L][R^*] - \zeta_{k}k_{1+}(L][R^*] + \mu_{-}k_{G}-[R^*G] - \mu_{+}k_{G}+[R^*] + k_{GTP+}[R^*G] - k_{Rint}[R^*] \\ \frac{d[LR]}{dt} &= \zeta_{k}k_{act+}[LR] - \zeta_{k}k_{act-}[LR^*] - \zeta_{-}k_{1-}[L][R^*] - \zeta_{-}k_{1-}[LR^*] + \mu_{-}\nu_{k}k_{C-}[R^*G] - \mu_{+}\nu_{k}k_{C-}[LR^*] + \nu_{k}k_{Gdeg}[RG] \\ \frac{d[LR^*]}{dt} &= \zeta_{k}k_{act+}[LR] - \zeta_{k}k_{act-}[LRG] - \nu_{k}k_{1+}[L][RG] + \mu_{-}k_{act-}[R^*G] - \mu_{+}\nu_{k}k_{C-}[LR^*G] - k_{Rint}[RG] - k_{Rint}[RG] - k_{Rint}[RG] - k_{Gdeg}[RG] \\ \frac{d[LR^*G]}{dt} &= k_{k}[R][G] - \nu_{k}L_{-}[LRG] + \nu_{k}k_{1+}[L][RG] - \nu_{-}k_{1-}[LR^*G] - \mu_{-}k_{act-}[LR^*G] - \mu_{+}\zeta_{k}k_{act+}[LRG] - k_{Rint}[RG] - k_{Gdeg}[LRG] \\ \frac{d[LR^*G]}{dt} &= \mu_{+}k_{G}+[R^*][G] - \mu_{-}k_{G}-[R^*G] + \mu_{+}k_{act-}[R^*G] - k_{L}[LRG] + \mu_{-}\zeta_{k}k_{act-}[LR^*G] - k_{L}Gdeg [R^*G] \\ \frac{d[LR^*G]}{dt} &= \mu_{+}k_{G}+[R^*][G] - \mu_{-}k_{G}-[R^*G] + \mu_{+}k_{act+}[RG] - \mu_{-}k_{act-}[R^*G] - k_{L}[LR^*G] - \mu_{-}\zeta_{k}k_{act-}[LR^*G] - \mu_{-}\zeta_{k}k_{act-}[LR^*G] - \mu_{-}k_{act-}[LR^*G] - \mu_{-}k_{act-}[LR^*G] - \mu_{-}k_{act-}[LR^*G] - \mu_{-}k_{act-}[R^*G] - \mu_{-}k_{act-}[R^*G] - k_{L}RG] - \mu_{-}\zeta_{k}k_{act-}[LR^*G] - \mu_{-}k_{act-}[R^*G] - \mu_{-}k$$

#### Simulation Results

Here we present the numerical results to illustrate the Ste2 and Gpa1 feedback model. Final parameter values can be found below. ODE results shown are endpoint concentration of  $[G_{\beta\gamma}]$  after 1000 timepoints, with a 1e<sup>8</sup> timepoint equilibration (Bridge et al., 2018; Croft et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2009). The simulated results seem to show similar trends against the experimental data, with an increase in maximum while retaining a low basal activity to the system (**Figure S4F+S4G**).

	Parameter	Values	Used to	Demonstrate the	Yeast Feedback.
--	-----------	--------	---------	-----------------	-----------------

Parameter	Meaning	Values	Units
k <sub>L+</sub>	Ligand binding rate	9.40E+04	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>L-</sub>	Ligand unbinding rate	3.10E-01	S <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>act+</sub>	Receptor activation rate to R <sup>*</sup>	1.00E+00	S <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>act-</sub>	Receptor deactivation rate from R <sup>*</sup>	1.00E+03	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>G+</sub>	G protein binding rate	1.00E+08	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>G-</sub>	G protein unbinding rate	1.00E-01	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>GRA+</sub>	G protein re-association rate	7.00E+08	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>GRA-</sub>	G protein dissociation rate	1.30E-03	S <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>hyd+</sub>	Hydrolysis rate of $G_{\alpha GTP}$	1.00E-01	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>hyd-</sub>	Exchange rate of GTP to GDP at $G_{\alpha}$	1.00E-04	S <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>GTP+</sub>	R <sup>*</sup> G dissociation rate	1.00E+00	S <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>RGS</sub>	RGS activity rate to hydrolyze G <sub>aGTP</sub>	4.00E+05	S <sup>-1</sup>

k <sub>X1+</sub>	X1 activation rate to X1 <sup>*</sup>	2.00E-03	s⁻¹
k <sub>X1-</sub>	X1 <sup>*</sup> inactivation rate to X1	2.00E-04	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>X2+</sub>	X2 activation rate to X2*	2.00E-03	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>X2-</sub>	X2 <sup>*</sup> inactivation rate to X2	2.00E-04	<b>S</b> <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>Rsyn</sub>	Receptor synthesis rate	1.20E-14	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>Rint</sub>	Receptor internalization rate	2.90E-04	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>RGSsyn</sub>	RGS synthesis rate	3.30E-11	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>RGSdeg</sub>	RGS degradation rate	3.00E-04	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>Gsyn</sub>	G protein synthesis rate	9.55E-14	s <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>Gdeg</sub>	G protein degradation rate	2.30E-04	s <sup>-1</sup>
V+	Forward cooperativity factor for ligand binding a G bound receptor	1.00E+00	
V-	Backward cooperativity factor for ligand binding	1.00E+00	
ζ+	Forward cooperativity factor for ligand-bound R activation	1.00E+03	
ζ.	Backward cooperativity factor for ligand bound R activation	1.00E+00	
μ.	Forward cooperativity factor for G-bound R activation	1.00E+00	
μ	Backward cooperativity factor for G bound R activation	1.00E+00	
R <sub>tot</sub>	Total receptor concentration	4.15E-10	Μ
L <sub>tot</sub>	Total ligand concentration	1.00E-04	M
X1 <sub>init</sub>	Total X1 concentration	1.00E-07	Μ
X2 <sub>init</sub>	Total X2 concentration	1.00E-07	Μ

### **Digital Sensor Model**

#### Rationale and Implementation

An application demonstrating the flexibility in the operational range of the yeast GPCR-based sensors was to use mixed populations of communicating strains to narrow the range, creating concentration-response curves close to a digital, 'all or none' response. The aim of this digital sensor model was to describe, in detail, the interactions between yeast cells in which their properties are modified so the product of one cell (a-factor; Amplifier cell) becomes the agonist of another (Reporter cell). The Reporter cell expresses, under the constitutive *RPL18B* promoter, Bar1, a protease which degrades a-factor (Sprague and Herskowitz, 1981). It has been suggested that the presence of Bar1 reduces 'non-productive mating' events in *S. cerevisiae* by rapidly degrading low levels of a-factor (Segota and Franck, 2017). In essence, Bar1 works as a barrier between the a-factor producing cell and the reporter cell, degrading the low levels of constitutively released a-factor from the Amplifier cell. As concentrations of stimulating agonist (melatonin) increase, the proteolytic activity of Bar1 is saturated with increased a-factor, allowing the activation of the reporter strain.

#### **Model Formulation**

Our previous modelling efforts have concentrated upon the dynamics of the  $R/G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  complex. However, to enable qualitative modelling of the digital sensor (effectively two entire pheromone signaling cascades) we decided to use a heavily reduced model for the  $R/G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  complex. We felt this important since our focus is on the interactions/behaviors of the two cells rather than solely the G protein cycle within a cell. Thus, we have chosen a reduced model which accurately describes overall *in vivo* responses for time- and dose-dependent effects in the system.

To deviate from processes within the cell and focus on the interactions between cells, a reduced yeast pheromone pathway based on a model developed by Smith et al. (2009) was used. The structure of the reduced model consisting of 9 ODEs for each cell, modified to fit the experimental system through the addition of Bar1 interaction with α-factor, along with a modification to set a maximum amount of possible product each cell can produce.

The overall scheme used for the model is shown in **Figure S8C**. In this system, receptor states are simplified to two (R and  $R^*$ ) and G protein states are simplified to three species ( $G_{off}$ ,  $G_{on}$ , and  $G_{on}Effector$ ). The activated G protein will interact with an Effector protein (Ste5) which consequently activates a series of delay species to simulate the MAPK-like signaling cascade. *prez*<sub>3</sub> is a term responsible for creating the final product of each cell by being directly converted to its corresponding product. It will act as a 'cap' by limiting maximum production within the concentration of *prez*<sub>3</sub>. Constitutive activity of each of the cell is achieved by directly adding *GFP* (the product generated from the reporter cell) to the system.

The detailed system of ODEs can be found in **Equation 6**. Synthesis and degradation of the system are omitted for simplicity, apart from  $R^*$  internalization. Our system includes the full pheromone response of two yeast cells, interactions between the two cells, and the constitutive protease activity of Bar1 consisting of 23 ODEs. Model outputs are either the product of the a-factor producing cell (*afactor*) or the product of the reporter cell (*GFP*), depending on the setup of the assay.

# (Equation 6)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d[R_1]}{dt} &= [R_1^*]k_{71} - [L][R_1]k_{11} - [R_1]k_{con1} \\ \frac{d[G_{r1}]}{dt} &= [L][R_1]k_{11} - [R_1^*]k_{71} - [R_1^*]k_{41} + [R_1]k_{con1} \\ \frac{d[G_{r1}]}{dt} &= [G_{on1}]k_{31} - [R_1^*][G_{off1}]k_{21} \\ \frac{d[G_{off1}]}{dt} &= [G_{on1}Effector_1]k_{61} - [G_{on1}]k_{31} - [G_{on1}][Effector_1]k_{51} \\ \frac{d[G_{off1}]}{dt} &= [G_{on1}Effector_1]k_{61} - [G_{on1}Effector_1]k_{51} \\ \frac{d[G_{off1}]}{dt} &= [G_{on1}Effector_1]a_{1} - [Z_{11}]\beta_1 \\ \frac{d[Z_{11}]}{dt} &= [G_{on1}Effector_1]a_{1} - [Z_{21}][preafactor]a_{21} \\ \frac{d[Z_{11}]}{dt} &= [Z_{21}][preafactor]a_{21} - [afactor]\beta_{21} - [afactor][R_2]k_{12} + [R_2^*]k_{72} - [Bar1][afactor]k_8 \\ \frac{d[R_2]}{dt} &= [R_2^*]k_{72} - [afactor][R_2]k_{12} - [R_2]k_{con2} \\ \frac{d[R_2]}{dt} &= [R_2^*][G_{off2}]k_{22} - [R_2^*]k_{72} - [R_2^*]k_{72} - [G_{on2}][Effector_2]k_{52} \\ \frac{d[G_{off2}]}{dt} &= [G_{on2}Effector_1]k_{22} - [R_2^*][G_{off2}]k_{22} \\ \frac{d[G_{off2}]}{dt} &= [G_{on2}Effector_1]k_{62} - [G_{on2}Effector_2]k_{62} - [G_{on2}][Effector_2]k_{52} \\ \frac{d[G_{off2}]}{dt} &= [G_{on2}Effector_1]k_{62} - [G_{on2}Effector_2]k_{62} \\ \frac{d[G_{off2}]}{dt} &= [G_{on2}Effector_1]k_{62} - [G_{on2}Effector_2]k_{52} \\ \frac{d[Effector_2]}{dt} &= [G_{on2}Effector_1]k_{62} - [G_{on2}Effector_2]k_{52} \\ \frac{d[G_{n2}Effector_2]}{dt} &= [G_{on2}Effector_2]k_{52} - [G_{on2}Effector_2]k_{52} \\ \frac{d[G_{n2}Effector_2]}{dt} &= [G_{on2}Effector_2]k_{52} - [G_{on2}Effector_2] \\ \frac{d[Z_{12}]}{dt} &= [G_{on2}Effector_2]a_{2} - [Z_{12}]\beta_{2} \\ \frac{d[G_{n2}Effector_2]}{dt} &= [G_{n2}][Effector_2]k_{52} - [G_{on2}Effector_2] \\ \frac{d[Z_{12}]}{dt} &= [R_{1}^*]k_{71} - [L][R_{1}]k_{11} \\ \frac{d[Bar1]}{dt} &= [R_{1}^*]k_{71} - [L][R_{1}]k_{11} \\ \frac{d[Bar1]}{dt} &= [InactiveBar1]k_{9} + [InactiveBar1]k_{10} - [Bar1][afactor]k_{8} \\ \frac{d[InactiveBar1]k_{10}}{dt} \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

# Parameter Estimation

260-minute endpoint readings of the refactored melatonin-sensitive yeast cell (MTNR1A sensor), Digital Feedback including the reporter cell without constitutive Bar1 activity, and Digital Feedback with Bar1 activity data were fitted simultaneously. Since most of the yeast pheromone system except the core MAPK cascade were refactored within the system, parameter values related to the receptor and its subsequent G protein activation rates were fitted using COPASI 4.16 (Hoops et al., 2006). Moreover, parameters and species introduced in the system for the first time were fitted against experimental data mentioned above. The set of finalized parameter values were obtained through parameter estimation algorithms provided in COPASI. To simulate and accurately fit incubation times in COPASI, constitutive fluorescence has been set as the initial concentrations of the corresponding products (3.1 nM for MTNR1A sensor, 10.4 nM for digital feedback without Bar1, and 4.4 nM for digital feedback with Bar1). Two optimization algorithms were tested: the Hooke and Jeeves algorithm and the evolutionary programming algorithm. Both methods reliably converged to the same minimum within the parameter space, which were thus considered as a global minimum.

# Simulation Results

Here we present the parameter values and fitted graphs of the model, which can be found below. Ligand and Receptor specific parameters were refitted to the experimental time course data, as well as Bar1 interaction and initial concentration. Initial concentrations of Receptor,  $G_{off}$ , and Effector were set consistently at 16  $\mu$ M for both cells.

Parameter	Meaning	Values	Units	Source
<b>k</b> <sub>11</sub>	Lineral binding rate	2.75E8	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	Fitted
k <sub>12</sub>	Ligand binding rate	1.40E5	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	"
<b>k</b> <sub>21</sub>		2726.24	s <sup>-1</sup>	(Smith et al., 2009)
k <sub>22</sub>	Receptor activation rate to R	1.21E4	s⁻¹	"
k <sub>31</sub>		4.00E-3	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>32</sub>	G protein unbinding rate	4.00E-3	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>41</sub>		1.06E-7	s <sup>-1</sup>	Fitted
k <sub>42</sub>	R Internalization rate	3.08E-8	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>51</sub>	<b>Fff</b> at a big dia a wate	1.04E6	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	(Smith et al., 2009)
k <sub>52</sub>	Effector binding rate	1.04E6	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	"
<b>k</b> <sub>61</sub>	<b>F#</b> -stan unbigdie austa	0.0942	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>62</sub>	Effector unbinding rate	0.0942	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>71</sub>	Lines du schie die suste	86.98	s <sup>-1</sup>	Fitted
k <sub>72</sub>	Ligand unbinding rate	2.14E-7	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
α <sub>1</sub>		17.75		(Smith et al., 2009)
α2		17.75		"
β <sub>1</sub>		1859.57		"
β <sub>2</sub>	Trans animitian al dalars	1859.57		"
α <sub>11</sub>	I ranscriptional delay	42.41		"
α <sub>12</sub>		42.41		"
β <sub>11</sub>		0.93		"
β <sub>12</sub>		0.93		"
α <sub>21</sub>		2.80E6	s <sup>-1</sup>	Fitted
α <sub>22</sub>	prez <sub>3</sub> activation rate	6.63E9	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
β <sub>21</sub>		6.32E-7	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
β <sub>22</sub>	prez <sub>3</sub> inactivation rate	4.90E-8	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>8</sub>	a-factor inactivation rate	4.19E8	M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>9</sub>	Bar1 recycle rate	4.35E-6	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
k <sub>10</sub>	Bar1/a-factor degradation rate	3.38E4	s <sup>-1</sup>	"
pre $\alpha$ -factor <sub>tot</sub>	Total pred-factor concentration	4.66E-8	М	"
preGFP <sub>tot</sub>	Total preGFP concentration	1.52E-8	М	"
Bar1 <sub>tot</sub>	Total Bar1 concentration	1.68E-10	М	"

Parameter	Values	llsed	for the	Double	Cell S	vstem
i arameter	values	USEU	ior the	Double	Ocii O	y stern.

Final figures and fitting results created through the model are shown in **Figure S8D**. Fitting results demonstrate a lower  $E_{Max}$ , higher Hill slope and increased potency upon introduction of Bar1, which was also observed in the 'wet' experimental data (**Figure S7D-S7H**). To provide an estimate of quality of the fitting of the model to the biological data we used residual analysis (**Figure S8E**), by which each residual is taken from the deviation of an observed value against the predicted value (Motulsky and Christopoulos, 2005). Residual values are defined in **Equation 7**.

(Equation 7)

# $e_i = y_i - f_i$

Where a dataset has *n* values marked  $y_1, ..., y_n$  (collectively defined as  $y_i$ ), the predicted values marked  $f_1, ..., f_n$  (collectively  $f_i$ ). All residual plots suggest an unbiased distribution with an equal deviation from 0. The residual plot digital feedback without Bar1 suggest a good fitting result with an unbiased distribution and a consistent variance throughout. Although heteroscedasticity may be observed in MTNR1A sensor and the digital feedback with Bar1, differences in variation within each ligand concentration suggests the natural tendency for variation to occur under the system with higher ligand concentration. Nevertheless, the residual plots suggest an unbiased distribution of experimental results.  $R^2$  values also suggest and give some information about the goodness of fit, defined in **Equation 8**.

(Equation 8)

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_i e_i^2}{\sum_i (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$

With  $\bar{y}$  as the mean of the dataset. Along with a R<sup>2</sup> value of 97.93%, 99.90%, and 99.68% for the MTNR1A sensor, the digital feedback without Bar1, and digital feedback with Bar1 respectively, the non-linear regression suggests a good fit with the experimental data.

Using the model, we simulate the effects of increasing concentrations of Bar1 (**Figure S7C**). At low concentrations of Bar1 constitutive signaling is decreased while obtaining similar or slightly lower concentrations close to the  $E_{Max}$ . These biological responses suggest that the reporter cell has a 'capped' response where from a defined concentration, the reporter cell will not exceed its maximum capacity to produce *GFP*. This interpretation enables the reporter cell to experience *afactor* saturation and even with a relatively small concentration of *Bar1* it will not be able to degrade *afactor* sufficiently at higher [L] (**Figure S7D**). With the introduction of Bar1, basal activity can be reduced while retaining the maximum possible response of the system.

#### Generation of Ultrasensitivity

Ultrasensitivity, or the ability to create a digitalized response from a graduated input within a steady state response, is a well-studied field in systems biology (Zhang et al., 2013) and is said to be possible through various motifs such as multistep, feed-forward cooperativity, zero-order, and inhibitor ultrasensitivity. Within this ODE model, it has been designed to have two different mechanisms of ultrasensivity: through the amplification of the reporter cell and inhibition through Bar1. The MAPK cascade is a well known pathway where ultrasensitivity can arise from multistep-phosphorylation, positive feedback, and the cooperativity obtained through scaffolding protein, which is referred to as Ste5 in yeast (Ferrel, 1996; Shao et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2013). Bar1 interaction is also considered as a source of ultrasensitivity, where a competitive inhibitor will essentially compete against the Ste2 receptor.

# QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical tests of all experiments was performed using GraphPad Prism version 7 or MathWorks MATLAB version R2017a and are detailed within the legend of each figure. For flow cytometry experiments, fluorescence data was collected from 10,000 cells for each datapoint and analyzed using FlowJo software, representing the average fluorescence of the population as the geometric mean. In all figures, the data points represent the mean ± SD. Curves fitted to all dose-response data was fitted in Prism 7 using the Nonlinear Regression: Variable slope (four parameter) curve fitting. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine signifiance between the mean growth rates of the wild type, model, and sensor yeast strains. Residual analysis was used to provide an estimate of quality of the fitting of the Cell-Cell Digital model to the biological data, by which each residual is taken from the deviation of an observed value against the predicted value (Motulsky and Christopoulos, 2005).

# DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

All part plasmids described in this paper are available at Addgene (Addgene ID: 123024-123065). Corrected nanopore sequencing reads for the yWS677 model strain are available at Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (SRA accession: PRJNA516326). MATLAB code for the mathematical models in this paper are available at BioModels Database (BioModels ID: Cubic Ternary Complex Model, MODEL1901300001; Cell-To-Cell Digital Sensor Model, MODEL1901300002).

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION





CRISPR Donor DNA	de novo contig ID	Query identity	Alignment length	Query coverage
SST2	Chr12	99.02%	1,024	100.00%
FAR1	Chr10	98.93%	1,026	100.00%
BAR1	Chr09	98.73%	1,024	100.00%
STE2	Chr06	99.30%	993	100.00%
GPA1	Chr08	98.72%	1,018	100.00%
STE12	Chr08	98.68%	987	100.00%
STE3	Chr11	98.06%	103	100.00%
MF(ALPHA)1	Chr16	99.22%	1,024	100.00%
MF(ALPHA)2	Chr07	98.73%	1,024	100.00%
MFA1	Chr04	98.05%	1,024	100.00%
MFA2	Chr14	98.34%	1,025	100.00%
GPR1	Chr04	97.66%	1,025	100.00%
GPA2	Chr05	91.64%	1,040	100.00%
URA3	Chr05	98.15%	1,024	100.00%
LEU2	Chr03	98.82%	1,014	100.00%
но	Chr04	99.61%	1,024	100.00%







Н



# Figure S1. Cell Line Development.

(A-C) Strategy for engineering the GPCR model strains.

(A) To generate the 15 gene KOs in **Figure 1D**, double strand breaks (DSBs) were generated between the start and stop codon of the ORF of each gene using CRISPR/Cas9. Donor DNA was provided as a template for homology-directed repair (HDR), comprising 500 bp homology arms flanking a unique 20 bp Cas9 targeting sequence followed by a CGG protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence. The resulting KOs represent a precise substitution of the open reading frame with a unique landing pad (LP) to enable future editing at each locus. A further 3 edits were performed at the *URA3*, *LEU2*, and *HO* loci to prepare the cells for efficient multiplexed integration of YTK plasmids by installing a landing pad between the two arms of homology.

(B) To rapidly iterate through the 18 genomic edits a marker cycling strategy was employed. Once an edit, facilitated by CRISPR/Cas9 carried on a 2µ plasmid containing one of the three auxotrophic markers, *URA3*, *LEU2* and *HIS3*, had been confirmed by colony PCR, the next set of edits would immediately be performed by transforming the donor DNA and CRISPR/Cas9 machinery supplied on a plasmid using the next marker in the cycle, removing the need to cure cells of the previous marker. A 3-marker cycle was sufficient to remove all trace of a marker before it was reused in the cycle.

(C) Edits were implemented in a pairwise manner, totaling 8 rounds of editing to generate the yWS677 strain and an additional round to generate the yWS1922 strain. 12 colonies were screened for each pairwise edit, yielding at least one correct colony at each round. Editing of yWS677 and yWS1922 was followed by counterselection of the *URA3* CRISPR apparatus by 5-FoA to generate clean strains. The final strain was validated for loss of all CRISPR plasmids via replica plating on Ura-, Leu- and His- media and colony PCR.

(D+E) Nanopore sequencing of the yWS677 stain

(D) *De novo* contigs assembled using Smartdenovo from reads corrected by Canu, representing the full set of 16 chromosomes from *S. cerevisiae*, confirmed by exact alignment to S288C reference genome using a minimum alignment length of 100 bp. All discrepancies with the reference genome are highlighted and correspond to the 16 edits described in E. No other discrepancies were detected, suggesting precise CRISPR/Cas9 editing during the 8 rounds.

(E) A list of the expected changes and confirmation of their correct positioning within the yWS677 genome. Note all alignments are approximately 1000 bp in length, as this was the size of the donor DNA transformed, except for *STE3*. Due to cloning issues with the *STE3* KO donor DNA, a smaller fragment generated from oligonucleotides was used instead.

(F-H) Efficient (Re)integration of DNA at the genomic CRISPR landing pads in yWS677.

(F) Single, double, and triple integration of *URA3*, *LEU2*, and *HIS3* maker cassettes at the *URA3*, *LEU2*, and *HO* loci, encoding sfGFP, mRuby2, and mTagBFP2, with and without Cas9 and the sgRNAs required to generate DSBs at their respective LP.

(G) Green, red, and blue fluorescence of 96 random colonies from the CRIPSR-aided triple integration. 90/96 colonies correct for triple integration. The remaining 6 colonies contained a mixture of multiple integrations, or missing fluorescence proteins. Experimental measurements are sfGFP, mRuby2, and mTagBFP2 levels per cell determined by flow cytometry.

(H) Colony PCR verification of the multiplexed re-integration of *STE2*, *GPA1*, and *STE12* into yWS677 using markerless CRISPR/Cas9 editing to create the Quasi-WT strain. 8/10 random transformants were correct for the triple gene re-integration. 5-FoA counter selection was used to cure a confirmed strain of the CRISPR plasmid, and direct Sanger sequencing of the 3 genes was performed to confirm their identity to validate the Quasi-WT strain.



# Figure S2. Initial Pathway Refactoring.

(A) a-factor dose-response curve of the pheromone response pathway in BY4741 (WT yeast), Quasi-WT, and Quasi-WT overexpressing Sst2, using the *FUS1* promoter driving the expression of sfGFP. The poor response of BY4741 compared to Quasi-WT is due to the role of Sst2.

(B-G) Here, we determine the promoter combinations for refactoring Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste12 in yWS677 (Ste4 and Ste18 were refactored in yWS1922 after establishing the expression of Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste12 in yWS677). To do this, we substituted the native *STE2*, *GPA1*, and *STE12* ORFs in yWS677 for the sfGFP ORF to serve as a proxy for native expression, enabling us to select promoter/terminator combinations from the YTK system for refactoring the minimized pheromone response pathway.

(B) Before using YTK constitutive promoters, their performance under pheromone induction was measured to ensure it had no effect. 19 constitutive promoters from the YTK system were measured driving expression of sfGFP, with and without induction using 100 nM  $\alpha$ -factor peptide, in the Quasi-WT strain, after the standard assay time of 4 hours.

(C) Relative fluorescence change (induced/uninduced) due to pheromone induction calculated from (B). A similar response was observed for all promoters, suggesting a common trait responsible for the increase in sfGFP fluorescence, likely caused by morphological changes as part of the pheromone response, leading to system wide increase in total protein.

(D) Using LPs previously introduced at the gene KO sites, the sfGFP ORF was introduced between the native regulatory elements of *STE2*, *GPA1*, and *STE12* to serve as a proxy for relative gene expression.

(E) The GFP fluorescence levels of the *STE2*, *GPA1*, and *STE12* ORF-GFP substitution strains were compared to the 19 constitutive promoters of the YTK system also driving the expression of sfGFP, integrated at the *URA3* locus of yWS677. The grey ellipse highlights 4 constitutive promoters with similar expression levels to the 3 genes; *pSAC6*, *pPOP6*, *pRNR2*, and *pRAD27*.

(F) An expanded promoter/terminator library including all 6 terminators within the YTK toolkit in combination with the *pSAC6*, *pPOP6*, *pRNR2*, and *pRAD27* promoters to provide a larger profile of sfGFP expression levels to match with the native expression of *STE2*, *GPA1*, and *STE12*.

(G) Selection of promoter/terminator combinations both unique to each other and similar to native expression of *STE2* (green), *GPA1* (blue), and *STE12* (purple) chosen for the initial refactoring of the minimized pheromone response pathway (**Figure 3, Design 1**).

(H) Characterizing two of the most used pheromone responsive promoters. a-factor dose-response curve of the pheromone response pathway Quasi-WT background using the *FUS1* and *FIG1* promoters. As *pFUS1* demonstrated more intrinsic leak this promoter was used to explore points of tuning in the pheromone response pathway, as discreet changes would be more measurable.

Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.



#### Figure S3. Quantitative Plots of Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste4-2A-Ste18 Expression vs Pathway Output.

(A) a-factor dose-response of the minimized pathway designs where the intracellular levels of GPCR are varied using a promoter library driving the expression of Ste2.

Promoter identity is plotted as heatmap of GFP fluorescence from data in **Figure S2B**. Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.

(B) Sensitivity of the Ste2 pathway variants to α-factor. Sensitivity was determined from the fitted curve, defining sensitivity as the lowest concentration for which a >2-fold change in GFP expression is seen.

(C) Experimental ON/OFF response of the minimized pathway designs where the intracellular levels of Ga are varied using a promoter library driving the expression of Gpa1.

(D) Experimental maximum x-fold change in signal for Gpa1 promoter library.

(E) Experimental ON/OFF response of the minimized pathway designs where the intracellular levels of  $G\beta\gamma$  are varied using a promoter library driving the expression of Ste4-2A-Ste18.

(F) Experimental maximum x-fold change in signal for Ste4-2A-Ste18 promoter library.

Promoter identity is plotted as x-value of GFP fluorescence from data in **Figure S2B**. Curves fitting using a 6<sup>th</sup> order smoothing polynomial with 3 neighbors on each side. Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates.



### Figure S4. Redirecting the Pheromone Response to Synthetic Promoters.

(A+B) Experimental changes to the expression of Ste12.

(A) A library of 17 constitutive promoters, from the YTK system, driving the expression of Ste12 with and without the overexpression of Dig1 and Dig2 in all combinations. The spotted yeast are direct transformants of two plasmids; the first containing the refactored pathway, with Ste12 under varying strengths of promoter, and the second containing either a blank spacer sequence, Dig1, Dig2, or Dig1 and Dig2 under the control of strong promoters. In the absence of Dig1 or Dig2 overexpression, expressing Ste12 with anything greater than a low-medium strength promoter was toxic to the cells.

(B) It has previously been reported that Dig1 and Dig2 sit in a fine balance with Ste12, and the presence of these two negative regulators positively affect transcription by stabilizing inactive Ste12 (Houser et al., 2012). These data support these findings and suggests that increasing the concentration of Ste12 in the cells may lead to a large pool of unregulated transcription factor that may be constitutively activating the 100+ genes usually upregulated in the pheromone response, leading to cellular burden and toxicity (Dolan and Fields, 1990). As there is a fine balance between Ste12 and the two negative regulators, tuning the maximum signal output via the expression of Ste12 would require parallel tuning of both Dig1 and Dig2. Due to the combinatorial complexity of this problem we chose alternative approaches for modulating the signal amplitude and kept the expression Ste12 and synthetic transcription factors fixed at low levels using the *RAD27* promoter.

(C) Decoupling the pheromone response pathway from PRE-containing genes using the LexA-PRD transcription factor targeting a synthetic promoter (*LexO(6X)-pLEU2m*). Fold-change in transcription of the refactored components, *STE2*, *GPA1* and *STE2/sTF*, the negative regulators of Ste12, *DIG1* and *DIG2*, and several of the most highly-upregulated genes in the pheromone response, *FIG1*, *PRM2*, *CIK1* (Su et al., 2010), in the Quasi-WT and Design 4 strains, with and without pheromone induction, as determined by RT-qPCR. The dotted line represents no fold-change.

(D-G) Sensitivity of the Quasi-WT response is largely due to Ste12-mediated transcriptional feedback of Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste12.

(D) Native transcriptional feedback of the Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste12 in the pheromone response pathway after stimulation.

(E) Linear range within a time-course experiment measuring sfGFP fluorescence from *STE2*, *GPA1*, and *STE12* regulatory elements after stimulation with 100 nM a-factor, fitted with a linear curve. The third minimized pathway (**Figure 4C, Design 3**) was used to upregulate native gene expression in response to a-factor.

(F) Model of Ste2 and Gpa1 feedback using different strengths of positive feedback on the expression of Ste2 and Gpa1, using values for Ste2 feedback 1 order of magnitude greater than Gpa1 as determined by the time-course experiments.

(G) Reconstructing the Quasi-WT response in the first minimized pathway design by introducing transcriptional feedback of Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste12, controlled by the pheromone inducible *FUS1* promoter. The differences between Design 1 and Quasi-WT seems to be largely due to the positive feedback of native Ste12-activated promoters for Ste2, Gpa1, and Ste12. (H) Overexpression of Ste2 in the Design 4 strain with one or two additional integration vectors expressing Ste2 from a strong promoter (*pCCW12*). Although 2x and 3x Ste2 should be present in the system, the activation at 1e-10 M α-factor remains unchanged. This also seems to be the limit for the Quasi-WT response, suggesting the receptor is at the physical limit of α-factor detection and further Ste2 in the system will not be able to detect lower than this value.

(I+J) Growth rates of the wild type, model, and refactored strains.

(I) Growth rates of the base strains, demonstrating no significant difference between BY4741 parental strain and the yWS677 strain. However, a significant increase in growth was seen in the yWS1922 strain compared to both BY4741 and yWS677. (J) Growth rates of the Quasi-WT and Design 4 Ste2 overexpression strains, demonstrating no significant difference between the four strains.

Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Unless indicated, curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit. GraphPad Prism one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) used to determine statistical significance between growth rates (\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.005, \*\*P < 0.005).



# Figure S5. Final GPCR-Based Sensor Toolkit Format, Parts List, and Workflow.

Here, a module refers to either a cassette or multigene cassette that integrates into the yeast genome at one of the 3 sites provided in the YTK system starter set (*URA3*, *LEU2* or *HO* loci). All parts and cloning steps conform to the YTK MoClo standard (Lee et al., 2015).

(A) A list of parts and formatting of the multigene cassette used for generating the minimized GPCR pathway (*URA3* module) which integrates at the *URA3* loci, indicating the instances where promoters (*pYTK*) and terminators (*tYTK*) from the YTK system are used. Spacer sequences are provided to exclude components in the instances where they are not required. Alternatively, components can be transferred to the additional *LEU2* or *HO* modules for combinatorial pathway refactoring, to reduce cloning requirements.

(B) Additional parts for use with the LEU2 and HO modules, for integrating at LEU2 and HO loci, respectively.

(C) Assembling and integrating the *URA3* module for generating a minimized GPCR sensor in the yWS677 model strain, following the YTK hierarchical assembly strategy (see Lee et al. (2015) for more details).

(D) Multiple modules can be integrated simultaneously with the aid of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated DSB at the *URA3*, *LEU2* and *HO* landing pads. Once co-transformed with the other modules, the transient expression of Cas9 and appropriate gRNAs (no yeast marker or replicon) significantly increases the efficiency of double and triple plasmid integrations to practical levels (See **Figure S1F+S1G**).



# Figure S6. Linearizing and Digitizing the A2BR and MTNR1A sensors using intracellular feedback loops.

(A-D) Screening the A2BR and MTNR1A receptors against a chimeric Gpa1-Ga library to identify optimal coupling to the pheromone response pathway. Sensors were created using the optimized conditions identified for Design 4, taking less than a week to create.

(A) Coupling of the A2BR receptor to the Gpa1-Ga library in the presence and absence of saturating concentrations of adenosine (100  $\mu$ M).

(B) Coupling of the MTNR1A receptor to the chimeric Gpa1-Ga library in the presence and absence of saturating concentrations of melatonin (100  $\mu$ M). As the wild-type Gpa1 coupled well to both A2BR and MTNR1A all future experiments were performed using this G protein.

(C) Adenosine dose-response curve of the A2BR sensor strain, demonstrating a comparatively high Hill slope.

(D) Melatonin dose-response curve of the MTNR1A sensor strain, demonstrating a comparatively low Hill slope.

(E-L) Overlaying synthetic feedback (FB) loops onto the minimized sensing pathway to achieve linearization and digitization of A2BR and MTNR1A, respectively.

(E) Negative feedback loops using the expression of Sst2, Gpa1, Msg5, and Dig1 as an output of pathway activation (Bardwell, 2004; Bashor et al., 2008; Galloway et al., 2013).

(F+G) Feedback of negative regulators of the pheromone response pathway to linearize the dose-response of the A2BR receptor.

(H) Hill slope values from the normalized curves of the 4 negative feedback conditions and no feedback control. Although feedback of the negative regulators had a significant impact on the response, when the output of each response was normalized, the effect on the Hill slope was minimal.

(I) Positive feedback loops using the expression of Ste50, Ste4-2A-Ste18, and MTNR1A receptor as an output of pathway activation (Bardwell, 2004; Bashor et al., 2008; Galloway et al., 2013). Although Ste11 has been demonstrated as a viable candidate for positive feedback, it was omitted from the list as it was also shown to cause a large fitness defect when used in this manner (Ingolia and Murray, 2007).

(J+K) Feedback of positive regulators of the pheromone response pathway to digitize the dose-response of the MTNR1A receptor.

(L) Hill slope values from the normalized curved of the 3 positive feedback conditions and no feedback control. Feedback of these signaling components had a very small effect on the response of the system.

Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.



L	[Bar1] / promoter	1e-7 / pCCW12	5e-8 / pTDH3	2e-8 / pPGK1	1e-9 / <i>pRPL18B</i>	0 / No Bar1
Hill	Model	1.10	1.11	1.14	1.44	1.49
slope	Expt.	0.74 ± 0.14	0.71 ± 0.08	$1.02 \pm 0.21$	$1.10 \pm 0.14$	$1.32 \pm 0.13$
	Model	-6.64	-6.73	-6.95	-8.26	-9.36
	Expt.	-7.71 ± 0.13	-7.95 ± 0.08	-8.50 ± 0.12	-8.59 ± 0.07	-9.41 ± 0.05



# Figure S7. Linearizing and digitizing the A2BR and MTNR1A response, respectively.

(A+B) Unnormalized sfGFP fluorescence is used to account for differences in growth rate between strains.

(A) Adenosine dose-response of several adenosine sensors expressing A2BR using the weak RPL18B (low sensitivity), medium HHF2 (mid sensitivity), and strong CCW12 (high sensitivity) promoters. Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels per cell determined by flow cytometry and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates.

(B) Adenosine dose-dependent OD measurements of the three different sensitivity A2BR sensor strains after the standard 6 h assay time. To account for any differences in the growth rate between the different strains when activated or inactive, all future sfGFP measurements for experiments using microbial consortia were taken as the unnormalized fluorescence of the population using a plate reader. All strains set up at the starting OD of 0.175 at time 0 h and measurements were taken at 6 h. In this way, sfGFP fluorescence represents both growth rate and sfGFP production rate.

(C-E) Tuning the expression of Bar1 in the two-cell amplifier-reporter system.

(C) Varying the concentration of Bar1 in the two-cell amplifier-reporter model.

(D) Experimentally varying the expression of Bar1 in the two-cell amplifier-reporter system using a select promoter library.

(E) Hill slope values from computationally and experimentally varying the levels of Bar1 in the amplifier-reporter system.

(F-H) Digitising and fine-tuning the MTNR1A sensor response.

(F) Melatonin dose-response of the MTNR1A sensor strain in a monogenic population of cells.

(G) Digitisation of the MTNR1A response via α-factor mediated cell-cell communication. In response to melatonin, the first cell produces large quantities of α-factor peptide that is then detected by the second cell, which responds by producing sfGFP.

(H) Fine tuning the MTRN1A digital response by reducing the receptor expression in the first cell, so that the logEC50 matches the response of the single cell system. By lowering the expression of the MTNR1A receptor in the first cell using the *ALD6* promoter, we were able to shift the potency (logEC50) of the melatonin dose-response right by 1.5 orders of magnitude, to match the potency of the first, single cell system, while maintaining a high Hill slope.

Data normalised to the minimum and maximum values within each dataset. Unnormalized, raw fluorescence readings were taken using a plate reader to account for growth during the 6h assay. Results are means ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates.

Experimental measurements are sfGFP levels determined by a plate reader and shown as the mean ± standard deviation from triplicate isolates. Curves were fitted using GraphPad Prism variable slope (four parameter) nonlinear regression fit.







F

### Abbreviations used

		$G_{aGDP}$	Inactive a subunit
L	Ligand	G <sub>aGTP</sub>	Active a subunit
R	Unbound Receptor	X1, X2	Inactive species for transcriptional delay
R*	Activated Receptor	X1*, X2*	Active species for transcriptional delay
G <sub>aßy</sub>	Heterotrimeric G protein	G <sub>off</sub>	Inactive G protein
G <sub>Bv</sub>	β <b>γ</b> subunit	Gon	Active G protein
G <sub>βγ</sub> *	βy subunit with downstream effector	Z	Arbitrary species to simulate transcriptional decay

### Figure S8. Model Schematics.

(A) The detailed reaction scheme of the cubic ternary complex model modified for the refactored *S. cerevisiae* pathway. Reactions can be divided into modules of which involves Receptor activation (Top) and G protein cycle (Bottom). Black letters each represent a species within a system, and all arrows are marked in red letters with rate constants  $k_{\bullet}$  and corresponding cooperativity factors  $\mu$ , v, and  $\zeta$ , which produce a unique rate constant for each reaction.

(B) The detailed reaction scheme of the single ternary complex model modified for the refactored *S. cerevisiae* pathway. Reactions can be divided into modules of which involves Receptor activation (Top), G protein cycle (Middle), and the downstream cascade (Bottom). Black letters each represent a species within a system, and all arrows are marked in red letters with rate constants  $k_{\bullet}$  and corresponding cooperativity factors  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ , and  $\zeta$ , which produce a unique rate constant for each reaction.

(C) Schematic diagram of the double cell system. (Left) Signaling system within a cell. Each cell contains the corresponding set of kinetic rates and species. (Right) Simplified version of the full system, including the interaction of Bar1 and  $\alpha$ -factor and its degradation.

(D) Overall fitting results against time course data as concentration-response curves taken through endpoint readings. Solid shapes represent experimental results reading fluorescence 260 minutes after the addition of ligand. Solid lines represent ODE results derived from time courses of 260 minutes. Blue represents the system with the  $\alpha$ -factor producing cell only and red represents the system with two cells with Bar1.

(E) Residual plots of the experimental concentration-response curves against the computational fitting results. (Left) Residual plots of the MTNR1A sensor. (Middle) Residual plots of the experimental results of digital feedback without Bar1. (Right) Residual plots of the experimental results of digital feedback with Bar1.

(F) Abbreviations used in the model schematics.

# Table S1. Functional GPCRs in S.cerevisiae.

5-Hydroxytryptamine receptors       5-HT4 receptor       Human       Serotonin       (Ehrenworth et al., 2001a)         Acetylcholine receptors (muscarinic)       M1 receptor       Rat       Acetylcholine       (Efrenbach et al., 2001a)         M3 receptor       Rat       Acetylcholine       (Efrenbach et al., 2001a)         Adenosine receptors       A1 receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         Adrenoceptors       B2-adrenoceptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         Adrenoceptors       B2-adrenoceptor       Human       Adenosine       (King et al., 1990)         Adrenomedullin       AMT receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoid receptors       CB1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CSR4       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011) </th <th>Sub-class</th> <th>GPCR name</th> <th>Species</th> <th>Ligand</th> <th>Reference</th>	Sub-class	GPCR name	Species	Ligand	Reference
Acetytcholine receptors (muscarinic)       MT receptor       Human       Acetytcholine       (Erlenbach et al., 2001a)         Adenosine receptors       A1 receptor       Human       Acetytcholine       (Erlenbach et al., 2001a)         Adenosine receptors       A1 receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         Adenosine receptors       \$2-adrenoceptor       Human       Adenosine       (Brown et al., 2002)         Adrenomedullin       AMT receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Brown et al., 2002)         Adrenomedullin       AMT receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Brown et al., 2002)         Adrenomedullin       AMT receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoid receptors       CBT receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CCRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2009)         Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2001)         Complement peptide receptors       CS31 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2003)         Complement peptide receptors       CS31 receptor       H	5-Hydroxytryptamine receptors	5-HT4 receptor	Human	Serotonin	(Ehrenworth et al., 2017)
M3 receptor       Rat       Acetytcholine       [Erlenbach et al., 2001a]         Adenosine receptors       A1 receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         A2A receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         A2B receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Rorvn et al., 2000)         Adrenoceptors       \$2-adrenoceptor       Human       Adenosine       (King et al., 1990)         Adrenomedullin       AM1 receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoid receptors       CB1 receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoid receptors       CB1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2001)         Class A Orphans       GPR13       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2001)         Complement peptide receptors       CSa1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2001)         Complement peptide receptors       CSa1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2003)         FFA3 Receptor	Acetylcholine receptors (muscarinic)	M1 receptor	Human	Acetylcholine	(Erlenbach et al., 2001a)
M5 receptor       Human       Acetytchlene       (Erlenbach et al., 2001a)         Adenosine receptors       A1 receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         A2P receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         Adrenoceptors       \$2-adrenoceptor       Human       Adenosine       (Ring et al., 1990)         Adrenomedullin       AM1 receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Adrenomedullin       CB1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2001)         Cannabinoid receptors       CCB1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2001)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2001)         CAss A Orphans       GPR119       Human       Canabinoids       (Brown et al., 2006)         Complement peptide receptors       CSAT receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2006)         Complement peptide receptors       CS4T receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2001)         Complement peptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)		M3 receptor	Rat	Acetylcholine	(Erlenbach et al., 2001a)
Adenosine receptors       A1 receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         A2A receptor       Human       Adenosine       (Campbell et al., 1999)         Adrenceptors       β2-adrenceptor       Human       Adenosine       (Brown et al., 2000)         Adrenomedullin       M1 receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoid receptors       CB1 receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoids       CBrown et al., 2011)       CB2 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       CArenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       Oleoylethanolamide       (Dvent et al., 2009)         Class A Orphans       GPR19       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formyloeptide receptor       D28       Human       Short-chain fatty acids		M5 receptor	Human	Acetylcholine	(Erlenbach et al., 2001a)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Adenosine receptors	A1 receptor	Human	Adenosine	(Campbell et al., 1999)
Add receptorHumanAdenosine(Brown et al., 2000)Adrenomedulin $\beta$ 2-adrenoceptorHumanAdrenomedulin(King et al., 1990)AdrenomedulinAMT receptorHumanAdrenomedulin(Miret et al., 2002)Cannabinoid receptorsCB1 receptorHumanAdrenomedulin(Miret et al., 2002)Cannabinoid receptorsCB1 receptorHumanCannabinoids(Brown et al., 2011)CGRPCGRP receptorHumanCGRP(Miret et al., 2002)Chemokine receptorsCXCR4HumanSDF1(Evans et al., 2009)Class A OrphansGPR35HumanCannabinoids(Brown et al., 2006)Complement peptide receptorsCSa1 receptorHumanCannabinoids(Brown et al., 2011)Complement peptide receptorsCSa1 receptorHumanCannabinoids(Brown et al., 2011)Complement peptide receptorsCSa1 receptorHumanDapanine(Sander et al., 1994)Fores/leptide receptorsFPR1HumanPopanine(Sander et al., 1994)Fores/leptide receptorsFFA2 ReceptorHumanShort-chain fatty acids(Brown et al., 2003)Glucagon receptor familyGRR4HumanGeravetal(Mukherjee et al., 2015)Glucagon receptor familyGHR4 receptorHumanGlucagon(Weston et al., 2016)HVdravcarboxylic acid receptorsLPA receptorHumanGlucagon(Erickson et al., 2016)HVdravcarboxylic acid receptorsLPA receptorHumanGlucagon(Lu et al., 2016)		A2A receptor	Human	Adenosine	(Campbell et al., 1999)
Adrenoceptors       B2-adrenoceptor       Human       Epinephrine       (King et al., 1990)         Adrenomedullin       AMI receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoid receptors       CB1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       CGRP       (Miret et al., 2002)         Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       SDF1       (Evans et al., 2009)         Class A Orphans       GPR119       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2006)         GPR55       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Formylpeptide receptors       FFR1       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FFR2 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         GPR40       Human       Gorphane-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2015)       GBrown et al., 2015)		A2B receptor	Human	Adenosine	(Brown et al., 2000)
Adrenomedullin       AM1 receptor       Human       Adrenomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoid receptors       CB1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       CGRP       (Miret et al., 2002)         Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       SDF1       (Evans et al., 2009)         Class A Orphans       GPR19       Human       Oleoylethanolamide       (Overton et al., 2006)         GPR35       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       C531 receptor       Human       Dopamine         Formylopetide receptors       FFR1       Human       Dopamine       (Baranski et al., 1999)         Dopamine receptor       D2S       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         Frea fatty acid receptors       FFR2 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         GreA0       Human       Gorder et al., 1997)       GCGR receptor       Human       GHRH       (Kajkowski et al., 1997)	Adrenoceptors	β2-adrenoceptor	Human	Epinephrine	(King et al., 1990)
AM2 receptor       Human       Adronomedullin       (Miret et al., 2002)         Cannabinoid receptors       CB1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       CGRP       (Miret et al., 2002)         Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       SDF1       (Evans et al., 2009)         Class A Orphans       GPR119       Human       Olecytethanolamide       (Overton et al., 2006)         GPR35       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       C531 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       FS71       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FS71       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FFR1       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         Gucagon receptor family       GPR40       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Glucagon receptor family       GCGR receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2016)	Adrenomedullin	AM1 receptor	Human	Adrenomedullin	(Miret et al., 2002)
Cannabinoid receptors       CB1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2002)         Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       SDF1       (Evans et al., 2009)         Class A Orphans       GPR19       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2006)         Complement peptide receptors       CS1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       CS1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       CS1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       CS3 receptor       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FF2       Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         FFA3 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)       Guadom et al., 2016)         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       GHRH       (Kajkowski et al., 1997)       GCGR receptor       Human		AM2 receptor	Human	Adrenomedullin	(Miret et al., 2002)
CB2 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       SDF1       (Evans et al., 2002)         Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       SDF1       (Evans et al., 2009)         Class A Orphans       GPR119       Human       Oleoylethanolamide       (Overton et al., 2006)         GPR35       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)       GPR35         Complement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Connabinoids       (Baranski et al., 1999)         Dopamine receptor       D2S       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         FFA2 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)       GBra40         Glucagon receptor family       GRR40       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2015)         GLucagon       GLP1 receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2016)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Liu et al., 2016)         H	Cannabinoid receptors	CB1 receptor	Human	Cannabinoids	(Brown et al., 2011)
CGRP       CGRP receptor       Human       CGRP       (Miret et al., 2002)         Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       SDF1       (Evans et al., 2009)         Class A Orphans       GPR19       Human       Oleoylethanolamide       (Overton et al., 2006)         GPR35       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)       GPR35       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       D2S       Human       Dopamine       (Sarder et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         Free fatty acid receptors       FPR1       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         GPR40       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)       GPR40         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       GHRH       (Kajkowski et al., 1997)         GCGR receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2015)       GLP-1       (Weston et al., 2016)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Hydroxycarbo		CB2 receptor	Human	Cannabinoids	(Brown et al., 2011)
Chemokine receptors       CXCR4       Human       SDF1       (Evans et al., 2009)         Class A Orphans       GPR119       Human       Oleoylethanolamide       (Overton et al., 2006)         GPR35       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Gomplement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Dopamine receptor       D2S       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1999)         Formylpeptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         Free fatty acid receptors       FFA2 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2015)         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       GHRH       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2016)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       IFA3 Receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2016)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       GHRH receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2016)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       IFA receptor       Human <td>CGRP</td> <td>CGRP receptor</td> <td>Human</td> <td>CGRP</td> <td>(Miret et al., 2002)</td>	CGRP	CGRP receptor	Human	CGRP	(Miret et al., 2002)
Class A Orphans       GPR119       Human       Oleoylethanolamide       (Overton et al., 2006)         GPR35       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Gomplement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Dopamine       (Baranski et al., 1999)         Dopamine receptor       D2S       Human       Dopamine       (Klein et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FFA2       Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         Free fatty acid receptor family       FFA3 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2015)         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       GHRH       (Kajkowski et al., 1997)         GCGR receptor       Human       GLP-1       (Weston et al., 2015)       GLP-1       (Weston et al., 2014)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       GLP-1       (Weston et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Hydraceptor	Chemokine receptors	CXCR4	Human	SDF1	(Evans et al., 2009)
GPR35       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         GPR55       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Dopamine       (Baranski et al., 1999)         Dopamine receptor       D2S       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Formylpeptide       (Klein et al., 1998)         Free fatty acid receptors       FFA2 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       Medium-chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2016)         GLP-1 receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2016)       (Liu et al., 2016)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Hysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melaton	Class A Orphans	GPR119	Human	Oleoylethanolamide	(Overton et al., 2006)
GPR55       Human       Cannabinoids       (Brown et al., 2011)         Complement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Dopamine       (Baranski et al., 1999)         Dopamine receptor       D2S       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Formylpeptide       (Klein et al., 1998)         Free fatty acid receptors       FFA2 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Bown et al., 2003)         GPR40       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)       Glucagon receptor family       GCGR receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2015)         Glucagon receptor family       GCR receptor       Human       GLP-1       (Weston et al., 2016)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokok et al., 1998)         MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokoka et al., 2001)       Mukherjee et al., 2001)         Neurotensin       NT31 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokoka et al., 1998) <td></td> <td>GPR35</td> <td>Human</td> <td>Cannabinoids</td> <td>(Brown et al., 2011)</td>		GPR35	Human	Cannabinoids	(Brown et al., 2011)
Complement peptide receptors       C5a1 receptor       Human       Dopamine       (Baranski et al., 1999)         Dopamine receptor       D2S       Human       Dopamine       (Sander et al., 1994)         Formylpeptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Formylpeptide       (Klein et al., 1998)         Free fatty acid receptors       FFA2 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         FFA3 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)       GPR40         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       GHRH       (Kajkowski et al., 1997)         GCCR receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2015)       Glucagon         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 2003)         MT1 receptor       Human       Neurotensin       (Kokkola et al., 1998)       MT1 receptor         MT2 receptor       Human <td< td=""><td></td><td>GPR55</td><td>Human</td><td>Cannabinoids</td><td>(Brown et al., 2011)</td></td<>		GPR55	Human	Cannabinoids	(Brown et al., 2011)
Dopamine receptorD2SHumanDopamine(Sander et al., 1994)Formylpeptide receptorsFPR1HumanFormylpeptide(Klein et al., 1998)Free fatty acid receptorsFFA2 ReceptorHumanShort-chain fatty acids(Brown et al., 2003)FFA3 ReceptorHumanShort-chain fatty acids(Brown et al., 2003)Glucagon receptor familyGHRH receptorHumanMedium-chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)Glucagon receptor familyGHRH receptorHumanGlucagon(Weston et al., 2014)Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptorsHCA2 receptorHumanGLP-1(Weston et al., 2016)HCA2 receptorHumanNiacin(Liu et al., 2016)(Liu et al., 2016)Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptorsLPA receptorHumanNiacin(Kokkola et al., 1998)MT1 receptorHumanMelatonin(Kokkola et al., 1998)MT2 receptorNeurotensinNTS1 receptorHumanMelatonin(Brown et al., 2001)Olfactory receptorOR1G1HumanMelatonin(Leplatois et al., 2001)Orphan receptorsGPR68HumanMelaun chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown	Complement peptide receptors	C5a1 receptor	Human		(Baranski et al., 1999)
Formylpeptide receptors       FPR1       Human       Formylpeptide       (Klein et al., 1998)         Free fatty acid receptors       FFA2 Receptor       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)         GPR40       Human       Short-chain fatty acids       (Brown et al., 2003)       (GPR40, 2003)         Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       GHRH       (Kajkowski et al., 1997)         GLCGR receptor       Human       GLP-1       (Weston et al., 2015)       (Weston et al., 2015)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Niacin       (Erickson et al., 2016)         MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 2016)       (Kokkola et al., 1998)         MT1 receptor       Human       Neurotensin       (Kokkola et al., 1998)       (Kokkola et al., 1998)         Nt1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 2001)       (Mokherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       OR1G1       Human       Neurotensin       (Leplatois et al., 2001)         Offactory receptor       OR1G1 <td>Dopamine receptor</td> <td>D2S</td> <td>Human</td> <td>Dopamine</td> <td>(Sander et al., 1994)</td>	Dopamine receptor	D2S	Human	Dopamine	(Sander et al., 1994)
Free fatty acid receptorsFFA2 ReceptorHumanShort-chain fatty acids(Brown et al., 2003)Glucagon receptor familyGHRH receptorHumanMedium-chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)Glucagon receptor familyGHRH receptorHumanGHRH(Kajkowski et al., 1997)GCGR receptorHumanGlucagon(Weston et al., 2015)GLP-1 receptorHumanGLP-1(Weston et al., 2016)Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptorsHCA2 receptorHumanNiacinHCA2 receptorHumanNiacin(Liu et al., 2016)Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptorsLPA receptorHumanMelatoninMelatonin receptorsMT1 receptorHumanMelatoninNeurotensinNTS1 receptorHumanMelatoninOlfactory receptorOR1G1HumanMedium chain fatty acidsOpioid receptorsGPR68HumanMedium chain fatty acidsP2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2015)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2y11 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2015)P2y11 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2y11 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2y11 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2y11 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2y11 receptor <td< td=""><td>Formylpeptide receptors</td><td>FPR1</td><td>Human</td><td>Formylpeptide</td><td>(Klein et al., 1998)</td></td<>	Formylpeptide receptors	FPR1	Human	Formylpeptide	(Klein et al., 1998)
FFA3 ReceptorHumanShort-chain fatty acids(Brown et al., 2003)GPR40HumanMedium-chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)Glucagon receptor familyGHRH receptorHumanGHRH(Kajkowski et al., 1997)GCGR receptorHumanGlucagon(Weston et al., 2015)GLP-1 receptorHumanGLP-1(Weston et al., 2016)Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptorsHCA2 receptorHumanNiacin(Liu et al., 2016)HCA3 receptorHumanNiacin(Liu et al., 2016)HCA3 receptorHumanNiacin(Kiskon et al., 1998)Melatonin receptorsLPA receptorHumanMelatoninMT1 receptorHumanMelatonin(Kokkola et al., 1998)MT2 receptorHumanMelatonin(Brown et al., 2000)NeurotensinNTS1 receptorHumanMedium chain fatty acidsOpioid receptorOR1G1HumanMedium chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)Opioid receptorsGPR68HumanMedium chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y14 receptor<	Free fatty acid receptors	FFA2 Receptor	Human	Short-chain fatty acids	(Brown et al., 2003)
GPR40HumanMedium-chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)Glucagon receptor familyGHRH receptorHumanGHRH(Kajkowski et al., 1997)GCGR receptorHumanGlucagon(Weston et al., 2015)GLP-1 receptorHumanGLP-1(Weston et al., 2014)Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptorsHCA2 receptorHumanNiacin(Liu et al., 2016)Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptorsLPA receptorHumanNiacin(Liu et al., 2016)Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptorsLPA receptor 1HumanMelatonin(Kokkola et al., 1998)Melatonin receptorsMT1 receptorHumanMelatonin(Brown et al., 2000)NeurotensinNT51 receptorHumanNeurotensin(Leplatois et al., 2015)Opioid receptorOR receptorHumanMedium chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)Opioid receptorGR68HumanMelatonin fatty acids(Gaibelet et al., 2015)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2015)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Chambers et al., 2000)P2y14 receptorHumanNucleotides(Chambers et al., 2000)Peptide pheromone receptorsRCb2C. cinerusPeptide pheromonePeptide pheromoneColesnicky et al., 2000Patti receptorHuman		FFA3 Receptor	Human	Short-chain fatty acids	(Brown et al., 2003)
Glucagon receptor family       GHRH receptor       Human       GHRH       (Kajkowski et al., 1997)         GCGR receptor       Human       Glucagon       (Weston et al., 2015)         GLP-1 receptor       Human       GLP-1       (Weston et al., 2014)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Lysophosphatidic acid       (Erickson et al., 1998)         Melatonin receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokkola et al., 2000)         Neurotensin       NTS1 receptor       Human       Neurotensin       (Brown et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 2005)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 2015)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides <td></td> <td>GPR40</td> <td>Human</td> <td>Medium-chain fatty acids</td> <td>(Mukherjee et al., 2015)</td>		GPR40	Human	Medium-chain fatty acids	(Mukherjee et al., 2015)
GCGR receptorHumanGlucagon(Weston et al., 2015)GLP-1 receptorHumanGLP-1(Weston et al., 2014)Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptorsHCA2 receptorHumanNiacin(Liu et al., 2016)Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptorsLPA receptorHumanNiacin(Liu et al., 2016)Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptorsLPA receptorHumanLysophosphatidic acid(Erickson et al., 1998)Melatonin receptorsMT1 receptorHumanMelatonin(Kokkola et al., 2000)NeurotensinNTS1 receptorHumanMelatonin(Brown et al., 2001)Olfactory receptorOR1G1HumanMedium chain fatty acids(Mukherjee et al., 2015)Opioid receptorMOR receptorHumanOpioids(Gaibelet et al., 1999)Orphan receptorsP2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y receptorsP2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Brown et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Chambers et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Chambers et al., 2000)P2Y1 receptorHumanNucleotides(Chambers et al., 2000)P2Y14 receptorHumanUDP-glucose(Chambers et al., 2000)Petide pheromone receptorsRCb2C. cinerusPeptide pheromone(Olesnicky et al., 1999)	Glucagon receptor family	GHRH receptor	Human	GHRH	(Kaikowski et al., 1997)
GLP-1 receptor       Human       GLP-1       (Weston et al., 2014)         Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Lysophosphatidic acid       (Erickson et al., 1998)         Melatonin receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokkola et al., 1998)         Melatonin receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 2000)         Neurotensin       NTS1 receptor       Human       Neurotensin       (Leplatois et al., 2001)         Olfactory receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       MOR receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)       P2Y1 receptor         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Chambers e		GCGR receptor	Human	Glucagon	(Weston et al., 2015)
Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors       HCA2 receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor 1       Human       Lysophosphatidic acid       (Erickson et al., 1998)         Melatonin receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokkola et al., 1998)         Neurotensin       NTS1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 2000)         Olfactory receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       MOR receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)       P2Y1 receptor         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Chambers et al., 2000)       P2Y14 receptor         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)		GLP-1 receptor	Human	GLP-1	(Weston et al., 2014)
HCA3 receptor       Human       Niacin       (Liu et al., 2016)         Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor 1       Human       Lysophosphatidic acid       (Erickson et al., 1998)         Melatonin receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokkola et al., 1998)         Melatonin receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokkola et al., 1998)         Neurotensin       NTS1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 2000)         Olfactory receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       MOR receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Benzodiazepine       (Huang et al., 2000)         P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Chambers et al., 2000)       P2Y14 receptor         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)	Hydroxycarboxylic acid receptors	HCA2 receptor	Human	Niacin	(Liu et al., 2016)
Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors       LPA receptor 1       Human       Lysophosphatidic acid       (Erickson et al., 1998)         Melatonin receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokkola et al., 1998)         Neurotensin       MT2 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 2000)         Neurotensin       NTS1 receptor       Human       Neurotensin       (Leplatois et al., 2001)         Olfactory receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       MOR receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Benzodiazepine       (Huang et al., 2000)         P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Chambers et al., 2000)       P2Y14 receptor         P2y14 receptor       Human       UDP-glucose       (Chambers et al., 2000)       (Desnicky et al., 1999)	5 5 5	HCA3 receptor	Human	Niacin	(Liu et al., 2016)
Melatonin receptors       MT1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Kokkola et al., 1998)         Neurotensin       NTS1 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 2000)         Olfactory receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Benzodiazepine       (Huang et al., 2015)         P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)       P2Y14 receptor         P2Y1 receptor       Human       UDP-glucose       (Chambers et al., 2000)       P2Y14 receptor         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)	Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors	LPA receptor 1	Human	Lysophosphatidic acid	(Erickson et al., 1998)
MT2 receptor       Human       Melatonin       (Brown et al., 200)         Neurotensin       NTS1 receptor       Human       Neurotensin       (Leplatois et al., 2001)         Olfactory receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       MOR receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Benzodiazepine       (Huang et al., 2015)         P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)       P2Y14 receptor         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)	Melatonin receptors	MT1 receptor	Human	Melatonin	(Kokkola et al., 1998)
Neurotensin       NTS1 receptor       Human       Neurotensin       (Leplatois et al., 2001)         Olfactory receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       MOR receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Benzodiazepine       (Huang et al., 2015)         P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)       P2Y14 receptor         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)		MT2 receptor	Human	Melatonin	(Brown et al., 2000)
Olfactory receptor       OR1G1       Human       Medium chain fatty acids       (Mukherjee et al., 2015)         Opioid receptor       MOR receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Benzodiazepine       (Huang et al., 2015)         P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y14 receptor       Human       UDP-glucose       (Chambers et al., 2000)         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnickv et al., 1999)	Neurotensin	NTS1 receptor	Human	Neurotensin	(Leplatois et al., 2001)
Opioid receptor       MOR receptor       Human       Opioids       (Gaibelet et al., 1999)         Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Benzodiazepine       (Huang et al., 2015)         P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y14 receptor       Human       UDP-glucose       (Chambers et al., 2000)         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)	Olfactory receptor	OR1G1	Human	Medium chain fatty acids	(Mukherjee et al., 2015)
Orphan receptors       GPR68       Human       Benzodiazepine       (Huang et al., 2015)         P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y14 receptor       Human       UDP-glucose       (Chambers et al., 2000)         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)	Opioid receptor	MOR receptor	Human	Opioids	(Gaibelet et al., 1999)
P2Y receptors       P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y14 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Chambers et al., 2000)         P2y14 receptor       Human       UDP-glucose       (Chambers et al., 2000)         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)	Orphan receptors	GPR68	Human	Benzodiazepine	(Huang et al., 2015)
P2Y1 receptor       Human       Nucleotides       (Brown et al., 2000)         P2Y14 receptor       Human       UDP-glucose       (Chambers et al., 2000)         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnicky et al., 1999)	P2Y receptors	P2Y1 receptor	Human	Nucleotides	(Brown et al., 2000)
P2Y14 receptor       Human       UDP-glucose       (Chambers et al., 2000)         Peptide pheromone receptors       RCb2       C. cinerus       Peptide pheromone       (Olesnickv et al., 1999)		P2Y1 receptor	Human	Nucleotides	(Brown et al., 2000)
Peptide pheromone receptors RCb2 C. cinerus Peptide pheromone (Olesnickv et al., 1999)		P2Y14 receptor	Human	UDP-glucose	(Chambers et al., 2000)
	Peptide pheromone receptors	RCb2	C. cinerus	Peptide pheromone	(Olesnicky et al., 1999)
RCb3 C. cinerus Peptide pheromone (Olesnicky et al., 1999)	- Frank F	RCb3	C. cinerus	Peptide pheromone	(Olesnicky et al., 1999)
Bbr1. 2 S. commune Peptide pheromone (Fowler et al., 1999)		Bbr1, 2	S. commune	Peptide pheromone	(Fowler et al., 1999)
FLP2 C. elegans Peptide pheromone (Larsen et al., 2013)		FLP2	C. elegans	Peptide pheromone	(Larsen et al., 2013)
Ce.Ste2 C. glabrata Peptide pheromone (Ostroy et al., 2017)		Ce.Ste2	C. glabrata	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al., 2017)
Ca Ste2 C albicans Peptide pheromone (Ostroy et al. 2017)		Ca Ste2	C albicans	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al. 2017)
Le Ste2 L. elongisporus Peptide pheromone (Ostroy et al., 2017)		Le.Ste2	L. elonaisporus	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al., 2017)
Pb.Ste2 P. brasiliensis Peptide pheromone (Ostroy et al., 2017)		Pb.Ste2	P. brasiliensis	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al., 2017)
Bc.Ste2 B. cinerea Peptide pheromone (Ostroy et al., 2017)		Bc.Ste2	B. cinerea	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al., 2017)
Fq.Ste2 F. graminearum Peptide pheromone (Ostrov et al., 2017)		Fg.Ste2	F. graminearum	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al., 2017)
Mo.Ste2 <i>M. oryzae</i> Peptide pheromone (Ostrov et al., 2017)		Mo.Ste2	M. oryzae	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al., 2017)
Zb.Ste2 Z. bailii Peptide pheromone (Ostrov et al., 2017)		Zb.Ste2	Z. bailii	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al., 2017)
Zr.Ste2 Z. rouxii Pentide nheromone (Ostrov et al. 2017)		Zr.Ste2	Z. rouxii	Peptide pheromone	(Ostrov et al 2017)
Rhodopsin RHO receptor Human Light (Scott et al., 2017)	Rhodopsin	RHO receptor	Human	Light	(Scott et al., 2018)
Somatostatin receptors SST2 receptor Human Somatostatin (Brown et al. 2000)	Somatostatin receptors	SST2 receptor	Human	Somatostatin	(Brown et al. 2000)
SST2 receptor Rat Somatostatin (Price et al. 1995)		SST2 receptor	Rat	Somatostatin	(Price et al. 1995)
SST5 receptor Human Somatostatin (Fride et al., 1000)		SST5 receptor	Human	Somatostatin	(Brown et al., 2000)
Vasopressin V2 receptor Human Vasopressin (Erlenbach et al., 2001b)	Vasopressin	V2 receptor	Human	Vasopressin	(Erlenbach et al., 2001b)

# Table S2. Oligonucleotides Used in this Study.

SST2 KO sog (colony PCP EP	CGAATTTTTGAACGTCTTTCC
SST2 KO seq./colony FCK FF	
SST2 KU colony PCR RP	
SST2 KO seq. RP	ATCAAAGAATCACCCAATTCC
FAR1 KO seq./colony PCR FP	CATTCATACGATGGTGAACAG
FAR1 KO colony PCR RP	CCGCCTCATTTCTAAGTACG
FAR1 KO seg. RP	AGTACACGCTGACCCGTT
BAR1 KO seg /colony PCR FP	TGGAAGGTCGTAGCAAGG
BAR1 KO colony PCR RP	CGIGCGACTIGCTAACC
DART RO Sey. RF	
STE12 KO seq./colony PCR FP	AACGAACGIIAAGGAACCC
STE12 KO colony PCR validation	CGGAAGTAGGAAGCGATG
STE12 KO seq. RP	GCTTTTTCCTTTGGCTTACC
STE2 KO seq./colony PCR FP	ATCTTCCCTTCCCAGAGAG
STE2 KO colony PCR validation	CCGCGTACTAACACGAAAG
STE2 KO seg RP	AATATGACGTIGCTTCIGCTT
GPA1 KO seg /colony PCR EP	
CBA1 KO colony BCB validation	
GPA1 KU seq. RP	CIIGAGGAGIGGICGIACIG
GPR1 KO seq./colony PCR FP	GACGATAGAGTCCTTGGGAG
GPR1 KO colony PCR RP	GCCAAAGTCGACGGTTAG
GPR1 KO seq. RP	AAAGGTCCTGTACGTAAAATGG
GPA2 KO seq./colony PCR FP	AGCTGCCATTCTTATGATACTG
GPA2 KO colony PCR RP	TTCCGATGCAGGATAACAG
GPA2 KO seg RP	TCAAAAGCTCCTGGTTCCT
ME(AL PHA)1 KO sog /ooloov DOB ED	
ME(AL DUA)1 KO SEU./COUDIN POR FP	
IVIF (ALPHA) I KU seq. RP	
MF(ALPHA)2 KO seq. FP	IGIIGIICTTATTTGAGCGAAC
MF(ALPHA)2 ORF KO colony PCR RP	GATATGCTGGCCTATCGG
MF(ALPHA)2 KO seq. RP	CACAAGTCGGAGGAGAGC
MFA1 KO seg./colonv PCR FP	AGATGCTGTACCGTTCACG
MFA1 colony PCR RP	AGCTGATGAGCGTTACTGC
MFA1 KO seg RP	TGCATATITCACAATAAAGACAGTC
MEA2 KO pag /polony DCB ED	
MFA2 KO SEQ./COUNY FOR FF	
MFA2 colony PCR RP	ACCGTCCTIGATCTCCAG
MFA2 KO seq. RP	AATCAATCCAGTAACGATTCG
STE3 KO seq./colony PCR FP	CTAGTAGACCGGCCAAGC
STE3 colony PCR RP	CCGCTTGGACAAGAAACA
STE3 KO seq. RP	CCCAAGATAATATCATTTGTTACG
URA3 LP seg /colony PCR FP	CGTGTAAGCAGATAAGTGAATTTG
LIRA3 LP colony PCR RP	CCCCGTACGTGTACAATAATAT
URA3 LP seq. RP	CLATATICAACTICCAATTA
LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTA
LEU2 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTTA CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC
LEU2 LP seq. RP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP LEU2 LP seq. RP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTA CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGGAGAAATCTTTATTG
URAS LP seq. RP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTIA CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTTCGTGGATC
LEU2 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP colony PCR RP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTIA CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT
URA3 LP seq. KP       LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP       LEU2 LP colony PCR RP       HO LP seq. RP       HO LP seq./colony PCR FP       HO LP colony PCR RP       HO LP seq. RP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTIA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG
URA3 LP seq. KP       LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP       LEU2 LP colony PCR RP       LEU2 LP seq. RP       HO LP seq./colony PCR FP       HO LP colony PCR RP       HO LP seq. RP       STE4 KO validation/seq FP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGAATTAGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA
URAS LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTIA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO seq RP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTIA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGCAG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO seq RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP	CCCATATCCCAACTTCCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGCAG       CACCTTTTCGTCAGAGGCAG       CACCTTTTCGTCAGAGCCGCGT
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE18 KO validation RP	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTIA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGCAG       CACTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CACTTTCATCAACCCCGT
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation/seq FP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP	CCCATATCCCAACTTCAACTTAA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAAGCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTATGCGTCTATG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAAGCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGCAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       orgotattattgoogoogo
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP	CCCATATCCCAACTTCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGCAG       CATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgataattgcagacgaac
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation/seq FP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTAA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGCAG       CACTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CACTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgaatattgcagacgaac       cccgaacgctattagtccc
URAS LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP stGFP FP for Ste2 donor	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAAGCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTATGGAACTTGAGCC       ccgaacgctattagtccc       cccgaacgctattagtccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGCTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO seq RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGGGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAAGCAG       CACTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgaagacgaaca       cccgaagctattagtccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCActtataaagctcgtccattc
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq./colony PCR FP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP FP for Gpa1 donor	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgagtaattgcagagaac       cccgacgctattagtccc       TTAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTGATCActtataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATATTAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP FP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGCAG       CACTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgaatattgcagacgaac       ccccgacgctattagtccc       TTAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCActtataaagctcgtccattc       ATCACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAAACACACACATCTTAATTCACAGTTCCTTCActtataaagctcgtccattc
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP FP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP FP for Gpa1 donor	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTAA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAAGCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGGT       CACTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTATGGCA       GAAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccggacgclattagiccc       cccgaacgclattagiccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCACtataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATATATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGTCccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAACACACATACTTTAATTATACAGTTCCTCACAAGAATGACcaaggctgaagag
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGGGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGCAG       CACTTTTCATCAACCCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       cccgaatattgcagacgaac       ccccgacgctattagtccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTGATCActtataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCACAGAGGTGTATAAATTGAATTATTAAGGTACGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATAACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctcgtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTATTTGAAAATTGATTATTAACGGTACCAAGAATGATCGacaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATATACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctcgtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTGAAATTGATTATCACAGTACCTTCACttataaaggtggaagag       TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATATACAGTTCACTACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAAATTGAATTGATTATCACTTCACCAAGGATGtcccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATATATATATTATACACTTCACCAAGGATGtcccaagggtgaagag
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO seq RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE12 donor STE12 donor STE2 ADOR STE12 donor STE2 ADOR STE12 donor STE2 ADOR STE2 ADOR STE3 ADOR STE3 ADOR STE3 ADOR STE3 ADOR STE3	CCCATATCCAACTICCAATTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGCAAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgagatattgcagaggaac       cccgaggctattagtccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTGATCACttataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAAATTGATATATAACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctggtcaattc       TTACCTATCTAAAACACTACTTTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctggtcaattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTTGATTGATATTATACAGTCCTTCActtataaagctggtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTGATTATATAATTAACAGTCCTTCACttataaagctggtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctggtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTTGATTGATTATATATATATATA
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP FP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR FP STE2 qPCR FP	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTCGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGACAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgaatattgcagacgaac       cccgacgctattagtccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAAATTGATATATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCActtataaagctcgtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTTGAATTGTCTTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTAATTCTTGTATCATAAATTGAAATTAATTATATATATA
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE2 qPCR RP STE2 qPCR RP	CCCATATCCAACTTCAATTAA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       cccgaagctattagtccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCActtataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATTATTAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACCATACTACATACTTTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATTATTGTTGTTCTCCCACGAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTAACCGAGTTATAATTGAATTATTATACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctcgtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTATCAAAATTGATTATATATATA
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR FP STE2 qPCR FP STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR FP	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTTA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGGGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGCAG       CACTTTTCATCAACCCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGCAAACTTCCAAGAAGGAGCC       ccgataattgcagacgaac       cccgacgctattagtccc       TTAACAATGCACCGGTAAAATTGATAATTCAAGCACAAAATGCCCaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCActtataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAAACACTACTTTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCActtataaagctcgtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTATTTGAATTATTAACAGTTCCTTCACtataaagctggtcaagag       TTACGTATCTAAAAATTCAAAAATTATTATCACTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCAAAACTACTTTATTATATATATATATATATCACTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACTGTTCATAAATTCAAAAATTAATTATATATATCACTTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACTGATCATAAATTCAAAAATTAATTATATATCACTTCC       GACATCTGTTCCTGGTTTG       GACATCGTTCCTGGTTTG       GACATCTGTTCCCTGGTTTG       GACATCTGTTCCCTGGTTTG       GACATCTGTTCCTGGTTTG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR RP	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTAA       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTAGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGGGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGAGAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCCGT       CACTTTCATCAACCCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGCAAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgagacgtattgtccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAAATTGATATTAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACCATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTAACAGTCCTTCActtataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctggtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctggtcaatg       TTAATTCTTGTATCATAAATTCAAAATTATATATATATAT
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR FP	CCCATATCCAACTTCGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG AGCAACTTCCAAGAACTGGCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgctattagtccc TTAAAAATGCACCGCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag CGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCCAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATATTAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTTAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATACGTTCTTCACCAAGAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACTTCTTAAACACTACTTTATTTAAGGTAGGAAGTGCCC CCGACGAAATTACTTTTTTGAAATTGTCTTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACTTGTATCATAAATTCAAAATTATATATATATATCACttataaagctcgtccattc GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTGAATTGTCTTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTATCATAAATTCAAAAATTATATATATATCACttataaagctcgtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCGATAGTTTCC GACATCGTCGTTGGTTTG GACTTGAACCAAGAAGGCG GCCTTAGCAAGAAGGCG GCCTTAGCAATGTCTTCGTG CCACCAATGTCTCGTGG CCCACCATGATCCAAGAAGGCG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE2 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTAT       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGGGAAGCATTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAACCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAAGCCAGCC       cccgaacgtattagtccagaacgaac       cccgacgctattagtccc       TTAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCACttataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCCAGAGGGTGATAAAATGATAATTAAGGTACCATAATATGTCCTCACtataaaggtgaagag       TTACCAAGAGGTGATAAATTGAATTATATAACAGTTCCTTCActtataaagctcgtccattc       GGAACCGCTTCTTTATTTGAATTGTCTTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACTTGTATCATAAATTCAAAATTATATTATATATATAT
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR FP	CCCATATCCCAACTTCCAATTAT       CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCACATCATTTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCGAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG       CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGACCCGT       CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       ccgaacgatattgcagacgaac       cccgaacgatattgccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTATTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCActtataaagctcgtccattc       ATCCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCACttataaagctcgtccattc       GGAACCGCTTTCTTAAATTCAAAAATTATATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTAACTTCTAAAACCTACTTTAATTCAAAAATTATTATATCActtataaagctcgtccattc       GCACAGCAGTTCGATAAGTTCCACAAGAATCATATTATATATCActtataaagctcgtccattc       CTCAAGCAGTTCGATAGGTTCC       GACATCTGTTCCCTGGTTTG       GACATCTGTCCCTGGTTTG       GACTTGAACCAAGAAGGCG       GCTTAGCAATGTCTTCGTG       GACATCTGTTCCTGGTGGC       GACTTGAACCAAGAAGGCG       GCTTAGCAATGTTCTCGTGG       CCACCATCATCATCAGAGGGT       CCACACATCATCATGAGGGG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTATCAATCAACAACAACAACA
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO seq RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP	CCCATATCCAACTTCCAATTATGCG       ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG       ATTCCACATCATTTCGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCAATTCGTCCAT       CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGCCG       CGTCAGACGACCCGGCCATC       CAACCTACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGCAG       CACCTTTCGTCAGATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGCAG       CACCTTTCCACAGAGGAGCAG       CACCTATCCAAGAAGGAGCAG       CACCTTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       cccgagacgaagaac       cccgagacgaagaac       cccgagacgaagaac       cccgagacgaagaac       cccgagacgaagaag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACAAATGCACCGTTAAAATGCACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACAAATGCACCGTTAAAATTGATATATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTTATTGAATTGTCTTGCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag       TTACGATTCTAAACACTACTTTAATTAAAGCTAGTTGTCACACAGAAGCTGCccattc       GGAACCGGTTTCGATAAATTCAAAAATTAATTAACAGTTCCTCActataaagctcgtccattc       CTCAAGCAGTTCGATAGTTTCC       GAACACGTTCCCTGGTAGGGT       GACATCGTTCCCTGGTTGG       GACATCGTTCCCTGGCAGGGG       CATTACTTCATGAGAAGCGATG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE2 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP	CUCATATICUAACTICGATTATIGG ATGCTAGTCACCACCTGATGC ATGCTAGTCACCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGGAGACATTCGTCGAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG CCCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG AGCAAACTTCCAAGAGCAGCC CCCGacgCtattagtcgcc TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag CCCGacgCtattagtccc TTAAAAATGCACCGTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACAGAGGTGTATAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACAGAGGTGTATAAAATTGACCGTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACAGAGGTGTATAAATTGAAGCCGTAAGTCCTTCACtataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGAATATTATAGCATGCAGGAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACTTCTTGTATCATAAATTCAAAAATTATTATCACGTGCCAGGAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAACTATCTTGTATCATAATTCAAAAATTATTATCACGTGCCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTATCATAAATTCAAAAATTATTATATCACCATtataaagctcgtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCGATAGTTTC GACATCGTAGCAATGGTTCG GACTTGAACCAAGAAGGCG GCCTTAGCAATGGTTGGTG CCACCATCATCGAGG CCACCATCATCGGGGC CCACCATCATCGGGGC CCACCATCATCGGGGC CCACCATCATCGGGGC CCACCATCATCGGGGC CCACCATCATCGGGGC CCACCATCATCGGGGAGGCC CCACCATCATCGGGAGGCC CCTGGGGAAACCAGACGATC CTGCGGGAAACCAGACGATC CTGCGGGCAGTCCAGTATATGG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP	CUCATATICICAACTITICAATTATA CTATGTGGTATTCGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGCAATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGCATTCGTCCAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG CCCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCATG AGACAACTTCCAAGACTGAGCGCC Ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgctatagtccc TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaaggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCActataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATAACGTACGAAAATGACcaaggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTATTTAAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaaggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaaggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTTATTTAACAGTTCCTTCACtataaagctcgtccattc GGAACCGCTTCTTTATTGAATTGTCTTGTTCACCAGGATGtccaaggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCACtataaagctcgtccattc CCCACGATCTTCATAAATTCAAAAATTATATATATCACACtataaagctcgtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCGATAGTTCC GACCTGATCATAAATTCGAAAATTATATTAT
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR FP	CCCATATICCAACTICGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGGCATTCGTCGCAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG CCCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCATG AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgctattagtcc TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTGATCACttataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTAATTATAAGATCCTTCACttataaagctcgtccattc GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTGATTATTAACGTTCGTCTCACCtAAGAATGACGCC CTCAAGCAGTTTCGTATCAAAATTGCTTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACTTGTTCATAAATTCAAAATTATATATATATATCACttataaagctcgtccattc CCCCACGGTTTCGTTCGTGCCC GACCCGCTTCGTTCGTTGG GACCCGGTTTCGTTGGTCGC GACCTCGGAAGTCCCTGGTTGG GCCTTAGCAAGAGGCG GCCTTAGCAAGAGGCG CCATCGTCATCAAGGCGG CCATCGTCATCAAGGCGGC CCACCATCATCGATGGTTGGTGGC CCACCATCATCGAGGGTC CTGGGAAAACCAAGAGGCG CCATCGTCAGGAGGCG CCATCGTCATCGATGGTAGGGT CTGGGGAAAACCAGACGGATGC CGGCCGATTATGGGTAGGATG CTGCGGCGAGTCAGGATGC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGAGTCAGCACC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGATGATCC CTGCGGCGATGAGCGC CATCCTCACCCAGACACC CTCACCCCCGGACGC CATCCCCCCCCCC
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP colony PCR RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR FP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP	CCATATIGGATATICGATTATGGG ATGCTAGGTATTCGGATTATGGG ATGCAAGCACTTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGCATTCGTCCAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCATG AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgattatgtccc TTAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAAGCCGTAATTTGATCACtataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTAAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACCATCTTTAATTAATGATGCCCAAGAATCACAAGATGCCCCC GGACGGTTAGTAACACTACTTTAATTAATGATGATGCCCAAGGATCCAAGAGTGCCatc CCCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGAATGATTATTAAGGTAGGAAATAAT
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE2 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 gPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP	CCATATICEAACTICGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGGAGAATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGCAATTCGTCGTCAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGCG CGCACTTTCGTCCAT CCCTTTGGACTTAAAATGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA CGCACTTTCATCACCGCGCCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCGCGCCCC CGGtatatgcagcaac cccgagcdattggcac cccgagcgcattggccc TTAAAATGCACCGTTAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAGCCGTCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAACAAGCGTGCCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAACAAGCGTGCCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATAATTATACAGTAGCACAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATAATTATACAGTTCCTTCActtataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATAATTATACAGTTGCTTCACttataaagctggtgaagag TTAACTGTTCTAACACTACTTTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCActtataaagctggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTATCATAAATTGAAAAATTATATTATATCACAGTACCTTCActtataaagctggtccattc CCCCAAGCAGTTCGATAGTTGCATGAAATTATATATATAT
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP	CUCATATEGATATICGATATIGCG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC CGTGGAAGGAGAACTTITATTG ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGCAACTCGTGCAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAAGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCCAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAAGGCG CGCACTTCGTCAGATGCA AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCACCCGCGCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCACCCCGGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCATG AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTGAGCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgctattagtocc TTAAAAATGCACCGGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTGATCACttataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTTAACAGTTCGTCACttataaagctcgtccattc CTCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTTAACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctcgtccattc CCCAAGCGCTTTCTTTTTTGAATTGTCTTGTCACCAAGAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTATCATAATTGAATTGTCTTGTCACCAAGAATAATGCccaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTATCATAATTGAATTGTCTTGTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTGTGATCATAACTTCAAAATTATTATACAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctcgtccattc CCCAAGCGTTCGTTGTTCCACAAGAATTATTATCACTGCTGCACGAGGATGCCCAAGGATGCCCAAGGATGTCCAAGACTGCTGGTTG GACTTGAACACAGAGGCG CCCTTAGCAATGCTTCGTG CCACCATCATCGATGGTTGG GCCTTAGCAATGCTCGGTGGGT CTGGGGAAACCAGAGAGGCG CCACCATCATCATGGGTAGGGT CTGGGGAAACCAGACGAACCA GGGTGCCAGTATATGGGTAGGGT CTGGGGCAGTCCAGGATATGG AAGCAAACTCACCCAGACACA AGCGACCCAGTATTGGGTAGGCA CAGCATTTAAGGGTAGGATG CGGGCCAATTTAGGGTAGGCA AGCAAACTCACCCCAGACAC AGCGGCCCAATTAGGATAAGC AAGCAAACTTCAACAACGGC CCCCCCCATCACCCAGACACA AGCGACCCAGTAGACGACC CCCCCCCATTCACCCCGTCCC TCAAAGTTTAAATTCAAACGC AAGCAACTTAAAGCCAACGCC CACACTCACCCAGACACC CACACTTCACCCCAGACACC CACACTTCAACACCAGACGC CCCCCCATTAAAGCC AAGCAACTTAAACCAGACGC CCCCCCATTAAACCCCCC CCCCCCATTAAACCCCCC CCCCCCCC
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR FP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR FP	CCOATATCGAACTICGATATIGGG ATGCTAGGTATCGGATTATGGG ATGCAAGGAGGAGATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGGAGGAGATCTTTATTG CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCACAGCGGCGCC CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTGAGCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgatatgcagacgaac cccgacgattagtcoc TTAAAAATGCACCGGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAAATGCACCGGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACAAAATGCACCGGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCCGTAAATTTTGATCACtataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATATTAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACTCTAAACACTACTTTATATTATACAGTTCCTTCACtataaagctcgtccattc GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTGATAGTTGTCTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTACATAAATTGATATTAAGGTAGGAAATGACCaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTACATAAATTGATATTATACAGTTCCTTCACtataaagctcgtccattc CCCAACGAGTTCGATAGTTTCC GACATCGTTCCTGGATAGTTTCC GACATCGTTCGATAGTTTCC GACATCGTTCGTTGGTAGGGT CCCACCAAGAAGGCGG CCTACGTCAGTAGCTTCC GGGTCACGAGACCGATCC CTGGGGCGTAGATCC CTGGGGCGTAGATCC CTGGGGCGTAGATCC CGGGTCACGAAACCCAGACGATC CTGGGGCGTAGATCC CGGGCCAATTATGGTAGGAGG CATACTTCATGATCGTAGGATG GGTGGCAGTCAGATATGGTAGGATG GGTGGCAGTCAGTATATGGTAGGATG GGTGGCAGTCAGTATATGGAAGGCG AAGCAACTCACCCAGACAC AAGCAACTCACCCAGACAC AAGCAATCACCCAGACAC AAGCAATTAGGGTAGGG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR FP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR RP	CCDATATECAACTICGATTATEGG CTATETEGTATTCGATTATEGG ATEGAAGGAGAACTCTTTATTG ATTCACACTCATTTCGTEGATC GGTGAAGGAGAACTCTTTATTG GGTGAAGCACTACGCGGCATC CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGGCATC AATCACCCGCGGCATC CACTATCTTCACAAGACCCGGT CACTACCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAAACCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG AGCAACTTCCAAGAACCTGAGCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgtattagtocc TTAAAAATGCACCGCGTTAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTGATCACtataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTTAAACACTACTTTATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTTAAACACTACTTTATTATACAGTACGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTTAAACACTACTTTATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTTAAACACTACTTTGTTATTATACAGTACGCAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTTAAACACTACTTTGTATTATATACAGTACCCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTATCATAAATTGCATTATTATATCACGTACCCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag CGCCTAGCAAGTAGGTTCC GACATCTGTTCCCTGGTTTG GACTTGAACCAAGAAGGCG GCCTTTGAACAAGAAGGCG GCCTTGAACCAAGAAGGCG GCCTTGACCAAGAAGGCG GGTGGCAGTTCAATAGGATAGG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR FP CIK1 qPCR FP	CEDERTATICGAACTICAACTITAT CATGTAGTATCGAACTITATGG ATICGACCACCTITATGGGATC GGTGGAAGGAGATTTCGTCGAT CCTTTGGTCACACTITCGTCGAT CCTTTGGTCAGATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGGCA CACCTTTCGTCAGATGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCCCGGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG AGACAACTTCCAGAAGGGAGCCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgctattagtccc TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAACTTGCAGAACCTGAGCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgctattagtccc TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCACttataaaqctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATAACGATCCCAAGGAATCACAtaagggtgaagag TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATACGATTCCTCACttataaagctcgtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCCATAAAATTCGATATTATACGATCCTCACttataaagctcgtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCGATAGTTCC GGACCTCGTTCGTGGTTGG CCCTTAGTCAATGTCTGTTC GCCTTGGTCCCAGGATGTCC GCCTTAGTCGATGTTCG GCCTTAGCAAGGCG CCTTAGCCAAGAGGCG CCATCCATCGTGGTGGC CCACCCATCATCGAGG CCTTCCAGCAAGTGCTTCGGTG CCACCCATCATCGTGGC CCACCCATCATCGAGGG CCTTCCAGGAGGCG CCTTCGAGCCAGGAGGC CATCCTCATCGTGGCGAGGGT CTGGGGCGTAGATCC GGGTGGCGATATATGGGTAGGATG GGTGGCGAGTCCGC CGGCGCAATTATGGGTAGGATG GGTGGCGAGTCCCAGACAC AAGAATTTAAGCCGAC AAGAATCTCACCCAGCAC AAGAATTAAGCCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG TTTCCACACAAAGCGGG TTTCCACAAAAGCCGC CATCCACACAAAGCGGG TTTCCACACAAAGCGGG TTTCCACACAAAGCGGG TTTCCACACAAAGCGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCGGG TTTCCACACAAAAGCCGCG CATTCCACACAAAGCGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCCGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCCGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCCGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCCGC CATTCCACAAAAGCCGG TTTCCACAAAAGCCGC CATTCCACAAAAGCCGG TTTCCACAAAAGCCGCC CATTCCACAAAAGCGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCCGC CATTCCACAAAAGCCGC CATCCACAAAAGCCGC CATCCACACAAACCCCCATG
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP	CUCATATICGATATTGACATTAT CTATGTGATCCAACTGATTATGCG ATGCTAGTCCAACCTGATTGC GGTGAAGGAGATCTTTATTG ATTCACCATCATTTCGTCGAT GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCAAT CCTTTGGACTTAAAATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC AATCACCCCGGGCATC CACTTTCATCAACCCCGT CACTTTCATCACCCCGT CACTACCCAGGAGCAG CCCgatagtcgaagagac cccgacgctattgtccc TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACCGTTTTTTGAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCACAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAAATGCACCGTTAAGACCATATCCAAGAATCACAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAACGTATCTAAACACCACTTTATTAATTATACAGTTCCTTCActtataaagctogtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATCAGTTCCTTCActtataaagctogtccattc CTCAAGCCGTTTCTTATTTAATTATACAGTTCCTCACttataaagctogtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCGAAAATTCCAAAAATTATTATCACGTTCCTCACttataaagctogtccattc GGACCGCTTTCTTATTGAACACTACTTTATTATCACGTTCCTCACttataaagctogtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCGAAAATTCCAAAAATTATTATCACGTTCCTCACttataaagctogtccattc GGACCGCTTCGTTATGGTGTGTTCCCCAAGGAGTGtccaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTATCATAAATTCGAAAATTATTATCACCttataaagctogtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCGGATGGT GGACTGGACACCAGCAGCG CCCTTAGCAATGCTTCGGTTGG GCCTTAGCAATGCTTCGGTGG GGCTGGACAACCAGACGGG CCATCCATCATCGGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGATATATGGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGATATATGGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGATATATGGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGATATATGGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGATATTGGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGATATTGGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGATATTAGGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGTATTTAGAGCC AAGCAATCACCCAGACAC AGCAGCCAATTATGGTAGGATG GGTGGCCAATTATGGTAGGAACC AAGCAATCCAGACCAGCC CATCCACAATAATGGAGGAGG TTCAACAAAAGCCGG CATTCACAAAAGGCGG AAGCAATTAAGGTGGTAGGATG GGTGGCCAATTATGGTAGGATG GGTGGCCAATTATGGTAGGATG GGTGGCCAATTATGGTAGGAGC AAGCAATTAGGCTAGAACCA AAGCACTACCCAGACCAC AAGCAATTAAGGTGGGTGCC CATCCACAAAAGAGCGG TTCAACAAAAGCCGACCCATG TCAACTCACCAAGAAGCCGA CATTCCACAAAAGAGCGG TTCAACAAAAAGCCAACCCAGC CATTCCACAAAAGAGCGG TTCAACAAAAGGCCGACCCACCAGC CATTCCACAAAAGACCCAGCCCCCCG CATTCCACAAAAGAGCGG TTCAACAAAAGGCCGACCCACG CATTCCACAAAAGAGCCGACCCACG CATTCCACAAAAGAGCCACCCAGG TTCAACAAATATGGTAGGTG CACATCCACAAAAAGACCCACCAGC CATTCCACAAAAGAGCGG TTCACCAAAAAGACCCACCAGCC CATTCCACAAAAAGACCCACCAGC CATTCCACAAAAAGACCC
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR FP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP STGFP qPCR FP CIK1 qPCR RP STGFP qPCR FP CIK1 qPCR RP STGFP qPCR FP	CEDEATATICGATATTICACTATTATE CATGETATICGATATTICGEG ATGCTAGTCCACCTGATEG GETGGAAGGAGATCTTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTICGTGGATC GETGAAGGAGTTTCGTCCAT CCTTTGGTCACATGCCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGGCATC AATCATCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGGCC Ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgdattagtccc TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTAGCTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACACGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATAACGTTCCTTCACtataaagctcgtccattc ATCCAGAGGTGTATAAATTGATATTATAACGTTCCTCACtataaagctggtcaatg GGACACCGATTCTTATTTTAAGTTGCTTCATCACAAGATGLcaagggtgaagag TTAATTCTTGTTACAAAATTCAAATTTAACGTTCCTTCACtataaagctggtcaattc GGACCCGCTTTCTTTTTTGAAAATTCAAAATTATTATACAGTTCCTCACtataaagctggtcaattc CCCAAGCAGTTCCTTAGTTCC GACATCTGTTCCTGGTTTCC GACATCTGTTCCTGGTTTG GACCTGAACCAAGAAGCGG CCTACAGCAGTGTAGTAGTTCC CCCACCAACAAGAAGCGA CCTGAAGCAAGGAGTC CCGCGCGTAGAGTCC GGGTGCAGATATATGGTAGGAG CATCTTCATCAGGTGGGAGGGT CTGGGGCGAAACCCAAGAGGCG CATCTTCATCAGGTGGGAGGGT CTGCGGCGTAGATCC GGGTGCGCGTATATAGGTAGGATG GGTGGCGATATATGGGTAGGATG CACGCCCATCATCACCCAGGACC AACCGCCCATTATAGGTAGGATG CCTCAAGCCAAGAAGCCA AGCGGCCCAATTATGGGTAGCAC AAGCAGTCCACGCAAGCACC AACGAGCTCCATTAGGGTAGCTC CCACCAATTAGGGTAGCG CATCTTCACCCAGAAACCCAAGCAC AAGCAGCTCCAATTAGGGTAGCTG TCAAAAACCAACCAACCAACC AACGAGCTCCATTAGGGTAGCTG CACACTTCAACACAAGCAACCC CATCTTCACCCAATAAGACCCCATG TTCAAAAACCACCAACCAACCCCATG TTCAAAAACCACACAACCCCATG TTCAAAAACCACAACCCCCATG AAGCACTTCACAATGATAGACCCAATGC CACTTCGCCCCCCCCCC
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR FP STE2 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP PRM2 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR FP CIK1 qPCR FP CIK1 qPCR FP CIK1 qPCR FP CIK1 qPCR FP StGFP qPCR FP StGFP qPCR RP	CECENTRICEARCTICATION CATGREGATATCGACTIATGCG ATGCGTATCCACCTTATTG ATTCACATCATTTCGTGGATC GGTGAAGCACTTTCGTCGAT CCTTTGGCACTTAAATGGCG CCCTTGGACTTAAATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA AATCATCCCCAGAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG AGCAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC ccgataattgcagacgaac cccgacgctattagtcc TTAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCTATCCAAGAATCAAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAATTTTGATCACtattaaagctcgtccattc ATCCACGAGGTGTAAAATTGATATTAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACCCTACTTTAATTATATCAGTTCCTTCACttataaagctggtccattc CCCACGTTCTTAACAACCTACTTTGATTATTATATCACGTTCCTTCACttataaagctggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTGTTGATCACTGTTGACCCCAGGATGACTGCCC CCCAGAAATTACTTTTTTCAAAGCGGTAATTTTGTTCACttataaagctggtccattc CCCACGCTTCTTATTGAATTGTCTTGTTCACCAAGAATCACAGGTGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAAACACTACTTTTGTTCACAAGAATCATGCTTCACttataaagctgtccattc GGAACCGGTTTCTTTTTGAATTGTCTTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTATCTAACACCATGTTTAATTATATATTATATCACttataaagctgtccattc CTCAAGCAGTTCGATAGTTTCC GACATCGTTCCCGGGTGGG GCCTTAGCAATGTCTTCGTGG GCCTTAGCAATGTCTTCGTGG GCCTTAGCAATGTCTTCGTGG GGGTGCAGTAGATGC CCACCATCATCGAGGG CCTTGGGCGTAGATCC CTGGGGAAAACCAGACGGG GCCTTAGCAATGTCTTCGTG GGGTGCAGTAGTTGGTGGGATG GGGTGCAGTACTAGGATGATTGGTGGGATG GGGTGCAGTATATGGTAGGATG GGGTGCAGTAGTAGGAGCG AAGCAAACTCACCCAGACAC AAGCAAACTCACCCAGACAC AAGCAAACTCACCCAGACAC AAGCAAACTCACCCAGACGC CATTCCACAAAAGGCG CATTCCACAAAAGGCG CATTCCACAAAAGGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCCGG CATTCCACAAAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGCCCCATG TCAAATGTTCAGGAGGC CATTCCACAAAAGGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCGCG CATTCCACAAAAGACGCG CATTCCACAAAAGAGCGG TTTCCACCAAAAAGACGCG CATTCCACAAAAGCACCCATG TCAAAATGTACCACCCAAGCCCACA AAGCATCACCACAACGCCCACA AAGCATACTACCACAACGCCCACA AAGCATCACCACAACGCCCACA CATCCACAAAAGCGCG CATTCCACAAAAGGCG CATTCACCACAATAATGAACGCC AAACCACCACAACGCCCACACGCCCCCCCCCC
URA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP FP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Gpa1 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR FP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP STGFP qPCR RP STGFP QCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP STGFP QCR RP STGFP QCR RP STGFP QPCR RP STG12 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP SST2 5' gRNA Sense	CELEATATICECAACTICLEATITA CATGTGGTATICGACTITATIGG ATIGGTAGCACCTGATGC GGTGGAAGGAATCTTTATIG ATICACACCACTTTCGTCGAT CCTTTGGACATTAAATGGCG CGCACTTTCGTCAGATGCA GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC AATCATCCCCAGAAGGGAGCAG CACCTTTCATCAACCCCGT CATTAACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG AGACAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC cogataatlgcagagaac cccgacgctattgtccc TTAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATAGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag GGTCACGAAATACCTTTTCAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAAATAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATCCAAGAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTAAATAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCATATTCCAAGAATCAAAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTACGAGCGTTATAAATTGATATTATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTACGACGCTTATCTAATTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCACttataaagcCgtccattc GGAACCGCTTTCTTTTTTGAATGTCTTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTACAACACTACTTTAATTATATCAGTAGGATGTCCTTCACttataaagcCgtccattc GGAACCGCTTTCTTTATTGAATTGCTTGTTCACCAAGGATGtccaagggtgaagag TTACGTACGAAGTGTGTTGCTTCCTCCAGGATGCCCCCCC GACATCTGTCCCTGGTTTG GCCTTAGCAATGTTTCC GACATCTGTCCCGGGTTG CCCACCATCATCCAAGGAAGGCG CCTTAGCAATGATGTGTGC CCCACCATCATCCAGGGAGCC CATCTGAACCAAGAAGGCG CCTTGGGCGATGAACTCC GGGTCGAATATATGGTAGGATG GGGTCGCATCCACGAGGAAGCC CATCTCAACAAGAAGGCG CATACTTCATGAGCTGGTAGGGT CCTGGGGCAATTATGG AAGCAAACTCACCCAGACAAC AGCGACCCACTATATGG AAGCAAACTCACCCAGACAAC AGCGAACCCACATATTAGGTAGGAT CCACCACTCCCC CATCTCACAAGAAGCGG CTTTCCACAAAGAAGCGG CATTCCACAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAAGAGCGC CATCTCCACAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGG CTTTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCCACCATG CACTCCTCCCAATC CACTCCTCCCCC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCACCCACA CACTCCTCCAAGAACACCCCATG CACTCCTCCAAGAAGCACCCATG CACTCCTCCAAGAAGCACCCCATG CACTCCTCCAAGAACACCCCATG CACTCCTCCAAGAACACACCACGCC CATTCCACAAAAGAAGCGCG
UKA3 LP seq. KP LEU2 LP seq./colony PCR FP LEU2 LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP HO LP seq. RP STE4 KO validation/seq FP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE4 KO validation RP STE18 KO seq RP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss FP Validation of CRISPR plasmid loss RP sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste2 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor sfGFP RP for Ste12 donor STE2 qPCR RP STE2 qPCR RP GPA1 qPCR RP STE12 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG1 qPCR RP DIG2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP HTB2 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP FIG1 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP SGFP QPCR RP SGFP QPCR RP CIK1 qPCR RP SGFP QPCR PD SGFP QPCR RP SGFP QPCR PD SGFP QPCR PD SG	CUCATATICCAACTICUCAATTAT       CTATGTGCAACTICUCAATTATGG       ATTGCTAGTCCACCTGATGC       CGTGGAAGGAATCTTTTGTGGATC       GGTGAAGCATTTCGTCGAT       CCTTTGGCTATTGGTCAT       CCTTTGGCTAAAGGCGG       CGTCAAGCATTTCGTCAAT       CCTTTGGCAATGCA       GAAGCTAACCGCGGCATC       AATCATCCCAGAGGGAGCAG       CACCTTTTCATCAACCCCGT       CATTACCGTGGCTAGTCGTCTATG       AGCAACTTCCAAGAACTTGAGCC       cogaggctatagtccc       TTAAAAATGCACCGTTAAGAACCTAATCCAAGAATCAAAATGIccaagggtgaagag       GGTCACGAAATTACTTTTTCAAAGCCGTAAATTTTGATCACtataaagctggtcaatt       ATCCACGAGAATTACTTTTTTAATTAATAAGGTAGGAAATAATGIccaagggtgaagag       TTAGAAAATTGCATCCGTTAAATTGAAAATTGATTGCTTGC

(AAGATAGAGTTGTAAGATGG)	aaacCCATCTTACAACTCTATCTTaa
SST2 3' gRNA Sense (AATGAAATTAGCACTTTTCT)	gactttAATGAAATTAGCACTTTTCT
SST2 3' gRNA Antisense (AATGAAATTAGCACTTTTCT)	aaacAGAAAAGTGCTAATTTCATTaa
FAR1 5' gRNA Sense (TTTTCAAACGAAACTCTTGT)	gactttTTTTCAAACGAAACTCTTGT
FAR1 5' gRNA Antiense (TTTTCAAACGAAACTCTTGT)	aaacACAAGAGTTTCGTTTGAAAAaa
FAR1 3' gRNA Sense (CTAGAGGTTGGGAACTTCCA)	gactttCTAGAGGTTGGGAACTTCCA
FAR1 3' gRNA Antisense	aaacTGGAAGTTCCCAACCTCTAGaa
BAR1 5' gRNA Sense	gactttTCTTTGTTTGAAACTTATTT
BAR1 5' gRNA Antisense	aaacAAATAAGTTTCAAACAAAGAaa
BAR1 3' gRNA Sense	gactttGTTATGACTGTCTTATGAGT
BAR1 3' gRNA Antiense	aaacACTCATAAGACAGTCATAACaa
STE2 5' gRNA Sense	gactttTTGCAACTCATCGAAAGTGA
STE2 5' gRNA Antisense	aaacTCACTTTCGATGAGTTGCAAaa
STE2 3' gRNA Sense	gactttGCTGATGCAAGTTACAAAGA
STE2 3' gRNA Antisense	aaacTCTTTGTAACTTGCATCAGCaa
STE12 5' gRNA Sense	gactttAATAACCAATAGTAGAACAG
STE12 5' gRNA Antisense	aaacCTGTTCTACTATTGGTTATTaa
STE12 3' gRNA Sense	gactttGTTTTTATCGGACCTTCGAT
STE12 3' gRNA Antisense	aaacATCGAAGGTCCGATAAAAACaa
GPA1 5' gRNA Sense	gactttACAGTGAGTACGCAAACAAT
GPA1 5' gRNA Antisense	aaacATTGTTTGCGTACTCACTGTaa
GPA1 3' gRNA Sense	gactttGTTTTGCTGGATGATTAGAT
GTTTTGCTGCATGATGATTAGAT)	aaacATCTAATCATCCAGCAAAACaa
ME(AL PHA)1 5' aRNA Sense	
(AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA
(AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA) <i>MF(ALPHA)1</i> 5' gRNA Antisense (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa
(AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA) <i>MF(ALPHA)1</i> 5' gRNA Antisense (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA) <i>MF(ALPHA)1</i> 3' gRNA Sense (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAAACC)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC
(AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA) MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA Antisense (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA) MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC) MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACCC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa
Min (ALL HA) 15 g(RNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 15' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAAATTGAAACC)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA
Mir (ALPHA)1 5' gRNA AAATTGA) MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA Antisense (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA) MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC) MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC) MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Sense (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA) MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Antisense (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACCC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa
Min (ALL HA) 1 5 (GNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 5' gRNA ANAITGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Sense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGTTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Sense       (CGCTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC
Mir (ALL HA)1 5' gRNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa
Mir (ALP HA)1 5' gRNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (CGCTTTTCCTTTTGGAGCGG)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACCC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG
Imin (ALL HA) 1 5' gRNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 5' gRNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Sense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 gRNA Antisense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaa
Min (ALL HA) 15 grNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 5' gRNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 5' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 gRNA Sense       (GTGTTTTCTTTTCAG)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaa       gactttATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG
Implementation     MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA Anatterse       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 gRNA Sense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       MFA2 gRNA Antisense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaa       gactttATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG       aaacCTGAAAAGAAAGAACAACTATaa
MI (ALT HA)1 5 (RNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 gRNA Antisense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       MFA2 gRNA Antisense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       MFA2 gRNA Sense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAAGACCaa       gactttATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG       aaacCTGAAAAGAAAGACAACTATaa       gactttCATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA
Image: Control of the contrelation of the control of the control of the control	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGAaaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaagactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACCaaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaagactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAAaaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaagactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCCaaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACTCAAGCCaaacCGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaagactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGGaaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaagactttATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAGaaacCTGAAAAGAAAGACAACTATaagactttCATACAAGTCAGCAATAATAaaacTATTATTGCTGACTTGTATGAA
Imin (ALL HA) 15 grint Sense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 15' grint Sense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 13' grint Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 13' grint Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 13' grint Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 25' grint Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 25' grint Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 25' grint Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 23' grint Sense       (CATGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 23' grint Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 23' grint Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 23' grint Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 grint Sense       (GGTCTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 grint Sense       (GGTCTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 grint Sense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       MFA2 grint Sense       (ATAGTGTCTTCTTTTCAG)       STE35' grint Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE35' grint Antisense       (CATACAAGT	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGAaaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaagactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACCaaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaagactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAAaaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaagactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCCaaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACTCAAGCCaaacCGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaagactttGATCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT
Imin (ALL III) 3 ginn Sense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5' ginn Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' ginn Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' ginn Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' ginn Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' ginn Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' ginn Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' ginn Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' ginn Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 ginn Asense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 ginn Antisense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 ginn Antisense       (CATAGTIGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       MFA2 ginn Asense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE35' ginn Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE33' ginn Asense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE33' ginn Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE33' ginn Asense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE33' ginn Asense <t< td=""><td>gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTAA       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaa       gactttCATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG       aaacCTGAAAAGAAAGACAACTATaa       gactttCATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA       aaacTATTATTGCTGACTTGTATGaa       gactttCATAGTTCAGAAAATACTGC       aaacGCAGTATTTTCTGAACTATGaa</td></t<>	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTAA       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaa       gactttCATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG       aaacCTGAAAAGAAAGACAACTATaa       gactttCATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA       aaacTATTATTGCTGACTTGTATGaa       gactttCATAGTTCAGAAAATACTGC       aaacGCAGTATTTTCTGAACTATGaa
Imin (ALL HA)1 5 gRNA ANATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA ANTTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 gRNA Antisense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       MFA2 gRNA Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 5' gRNA Sense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 5' gRNA Sense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 3' gRNA Sense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 3' gRNA Sense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 3' gRNA Sense       (CATAGTTCAGAAAATAC	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaa       gactttCATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG       aaacCTGAAAAGAAAGACAACTATaa       gactttCATACAGTCAGCAGTGAA       aaacTATTATTGCTGACATCATGC       aaacGCAGTATTTTCTGAACTATGaa       gactttCATACGGGTTTAAATACTGC       aaacGCAGTATTTTCTGAACTATGG
Imit (ALL HA) 15 grNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 5' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA) 2 3' gRNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 gRNA Antisense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 gRNA Antisense       (CATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       STE3 5' gRNA Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATAGTTCAGAAATACTGC)	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTCTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaa       gactttATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG       aaacCTGAAAAGAAAGACCAACTATaa       gacttCATACAAGTCAGGCAATAATA       aaacCATTATATTGCGACTTGTATGAA       gacttCATACAAGTCAGAAAAAAACGCC       gacttCATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA       aaacCAGCAGTATTTTCTGAACTATGC       aaacGCAGTATTTTCTTGAACTATGAA       gactttTCAACGCGTTTAAATTCGG       aaacCCGAATTTAAACGCGTTGAAaa
Imin (ALL HA)1 5 'g RNA AAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5 'g RNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' g RNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' g RNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' g RNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' g RNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' g RNA Antisense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' g RNA Antisense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 g RNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 g RNA Sense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       MFA2 g RNA Sense       (ATAGTGTCAGCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 5' g RNA Sense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAACATAATA)       STE3 3' g RNA Sense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAAATACTGC)       STE3 3' g RNA Sense       (CATAGTTCAGAAATAACTGC)       STE3 3' g RNA Antisense       (CATAGTTCAGAAATACTGC) <td>gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAGACCaa       gactttCATACTGCAGCCAACAAGAAAGACCaa       gactttCATACGTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttCATACAAGAAAGACAACTATaa       gactttCATACAAGTCAGGCAATAATA       aaacCAGTATATTGCTGACTTGTATGAA       gactttCATACAAGTCAGGCAATAATA       aaacCGCAGTATTTTCTGAACTATGAa       gactttTCAACGCGTTTAAATTCGG       aaacCCGAATTTAAACGCGTTGAAaa       gactttATGATAGTAGTAGTAGTAGAGA</td>	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttGGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG       aaacCCGCTCCAAAAGAAAGACCaa       gactttCATACTGCAGCCAACAAGAAAGACCaa       gactttCATACGTGCAACCAGTGaa       gactttCATACAAGAAAGACAACTATaa       gactttCATACAAGTCAGGCAATAATA       aaacCAGTATATTGCTGACTTGTATGAA       gactttCATACAAGTCAGGCAATAATA       aaacCGCAGTATTTTCTGAACTATGAa       gactttTCAACGCGTTTAAATTCGG       aaacCCGAATTTAAACGCGTTGAAaa       gactttATGATAGTAGTAGTAGTAGAGA
Imin (LL) IN 3 grint Series       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 5' gRNA Antisense       (AAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC)       MF(ALPHA)1 3' gRNA Sense       (CGTTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 5' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MF(ALPHA)2 3' gRNA Sense       (CACTGGTTGCAACTCAAGCC)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA1 gRNA Sense       (GGTCTTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 gRNA Sense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTGGAGCGG)       MFA2 gRNA Antisense       (ATAGTTGTCTTTCTTTTCAG)       MFA2 gRNA Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 5' gRNA Sense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 5' gRNA Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAATAATA)       STE3 5' gRNA Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAAATACTGC)       STE3 3' gRNA Antisense       (CATACAAGTCAGCAAA	gactttAAAACTGCAGTAAAAATTGA       aaacTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTaa       gactttCATTGGTTGCAGTTAAAACC       aaacGGTTTTAACTGCAACCAATGaa       gactttCGCTAAAATAAAAGTGAGAA       aaacTTCTCACTTTTATTTTAGCGaa       gactttCACTGGTTGCAACCAAGCC       aaacGGCTTGAGTTGCAACCAAGCGG       aaacCCCCCCCAAAAGAAAAGACCaa       gactttCATTGTTTTCTTTTCAG       aaacCTGAAAAGAAAGACCAATTAa       gactttCATAGTAGCAGCCAATAATA       aaacCTGAAAAGAAAGACAACTATaa       gactttCATAGTCAGCAGCAATAATA       aaacCGGATTATATGCGACTATGAATAATA       aaacCGAGTATTTTCGAACTATGaa       gactttCATAGTTCAGAAATACTGC       aaacCCGAATTTAAATTCGG       aaacCCGAATTTAAACGCGTTGAAaa       gactttAAGTAGTAGTAGTAGTAGTAG       aaacCCGAATTTAAACGCGTTGAAaa       gactttAATGATAGTAGTAGTAGTAGTAG

GPA2 5' gRNA Antisense (TGCGCATCTTCAGAAAAGAA)	aaacTTCTTTTCTGAAGATGCGCAaa
GPA2 3' gRNA Sense (GAAAATACATTGAAAGACTC)	gactttGAAAATACATTGAAAGACTC
GPA2 3' gRNA Antisense (GAAAATACATTGAAAGACTC)	aaacGAGTCTTTCAATGTATTTTCaa
URA3 gRNA Sense (TCAGGGTCCATAAAGCTCCC)	gactttTCAGGGTCCATAAAGCTCCC
URA3 gRNA Antisense	aaacGGGAGCTTTATGGACCCTGAaa
LEU2 1/2 gRNA Sense (TTACTACTATATATTATTGT)	gactttTTACTACTATATATTGT
LEU2 1/2 gRNA Antisense	aaacACAATAATATATAGTAGTAAaa
LEU2 2/2 gRNA Sense	gactttCCTCTAATCATGAATGTTCT
LEU2 2/2 gRNA Antisense	aaacAGAACATTCATGATTAGAGGaa
HO gRNA Sense	gactttGCTCCAGCATTATAGCATGC
HO gRNA Antisense	aaacGCATGCTATAATGCTGGAGCaa
STE4 5' gRNA Sense	gactttTTGTGGTTGTATATACTGTT
STE4 5' gRNA Antisense	aaacAACAGTATATACAACCACAAaa
STE4 3' gRNA Sense	gactttACCATGAAAATATGGTCTCC
STE4 3' gRNA Antisense	aaacGGAGACCATATTTTCATGGTaa
STE18 5' gRNA Sense	gactttTCCTGAGGTTGTTGTAAGCG
STE18 5' gRNA Antisense	aaacCGCTTACAACAACCTCAGGAaa
STE18 3' gRNA Sense	gactttCACTATTTGAGTTTGACATT
STE18 3' gRNA Antisense	aaacAATGTCAAACTCAAATAGTGaa
URA3 LP gRNA Sense	gactttATATTATTGTACACCTACCG
URA3 LP gRNA Antisense	aaacCGGTAGGTGTACAATAATATaa
LEU2 LP gRNA Sense	gactttGCATCAGGTGGACTAGCATG
LEU2 LP gRNA Antisense	aaacCATGCTAGTCCACCTGATGCaa
HO LP gRNA Sense	gactttATGGACGAAATGCTTCACCA
HO LP gRNA Antisense	aaacTGGTGAAGCATTTCGTCCATaa
STE2 KO LP gRNA Sense	gactttCTAGCTTTCGTGTTAGTACG
STE2 KO LP gRNA Antisense	aaacCGTACTAACACGAAAGCTAGaa
STE12 KO LP gRNA Sense	gactttCATCGCTTCCTACTTCCGCT
STE12 KO LP gRNA Antisense	aaacAGCGGAAGTAGGAAGCGATGaa
GPA1 KO LP gRNA Sense	gactttTAGCATGGTGACACAAGCAG
GPA1 KO LP gRNA Antisense	aaacCTGCTTGTGTCACCATGCTAaa
Gpa1 Sense (KIGII)	GAAAaaaattggtattattTAACTCGAG
Gpa1 Antisense (KIGII)	GCCACTCGAGTTAaataataccaatttt
Gas/olf Antiense (QYELL)	GCCACTCGAGTTAaagtagctcatattg
Ga12 Sense (DIMLQ)	GAAAgatattatgttgcaaTAACTCGAG
Gα12 Antisense (DIMLQ)	
Gα13 Antisense (QLMLQ)	GCCACTCGAGTTAttgcaacatcaattg
Gαi1/2 (Gnat) Sense (DCGLF)	GAAAgattgtggtttgtttTAACTCGAG
Gai1/2 (Gnat) Antisense (DCGLF)	
Gαi3 Antisense (ECGLY)	GCCACTCGAGTTAatacaaaccacattc
Gaz Sense (YIGLC)	GAAAtatattggtttgtgtTAACTCGAG
Gaz Antisense (YIGLC)	GCCACTCGAGTTAacacaaaccaatata
Ga15/16 Sense (EINLL)	
Gaq/11 Sense (EYNLV)	GAAAgagtataacttggtcTAACTCGAG
Gaq/11 Antisense (EYNLV)	GCCACTCGAGTTAgaccaagttatactc
Ga14 Sense (EFNLV)	GAAAgaatttaatttggttTAACTCGAG
Gαo Sense (GCGLY)	GAAAggttggtttgtatTAACTCGAG
Gαo Antisense (GCGLY)	GCCACTCGAGTTAatacaaaccacaacc
Sp gpa1 Sense (QSLMF)	GAAAcaatctttgatgtttTAACTCGAG
op ypa i Annisense (WOLIVIE)	

# Table S3. Part Sequences.

Part sequences in YTK format (Lee et al., 2015). Grey highlight – Bsal recognition site. Pink highlight – BsmBI recognition site. **Bold** – Bsal/BsmBI generated overhang. Blue highlight – GFP dropout. Green highlight – start codon. Hed highlight – stop codon. <u>Underlined</u> – open reading frame. Gpa1-Gα chimeras were generated by assembling annealed phosphorylated oligonucleotides into the Gpa1 C-terminal Truncation Vector (pWS936) using BsmBI Golden Gate assembly. See **Table S2** for a list of oligonucleotides used to generate the Gα library.

pWS060 -	GGTCTCAAACGCCATATTTACCATGTGGACCCTTTCAAAACAGAGTTGTATCTCTGCAGGATGCCCTTTTTGACGTATTGAATGG
pFUS1 [2]	CATAATTGCACTGTCACTTTTCGCGCTGTCTCATTTTGGTGCGATGATGAAACAAAC
pWS053 – pFIG1 [2]	GGTCTCAAACGGAACTGGTTGATATTATTACTGGTGCTTCCTCTTTGGGATGATAAAAAAATCACCCCTGCATTGCCTCTTTATTTG ACGTTGTTTTTGTAGAACATGAAACGAATTTTGACTTGATGACACGAAGTATATATCCAAAGAATACCTTAAATAGAAAAGGAAAG ATAATAAATACTAAACACTACTATATATTCAGGTAAAATACAAAAATTATAACATTTTTAAAACTTTTTTTT
pWS2247 - pTetA [2]	GGTCTCAAACGGGTAGTCCATCGTTGTAGGATACTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGAAATCTATGCGGCATCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGAA TCGGTAACGGAGTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGAAGTGGTGTTCAGTTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGAAGTTTGACACTATCCCTATCAG TGATAGAGAGAACAGCAATGACTCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATCAAATGGCGTATCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGATAATTAACTTGTAA TATTCTAATCAATTGACAATATTATTTAAGGACCTATTGTTTTTCCAATAGGTGGTTAGCAATCGTCTTACTTTCTAACTTTTCTA CCTTTTACATTTCAGCAATATTATATATATATATATATTTCAAGGATATACCATTCTAAGATCCTATCAGAGACC
pWS1078 - pZ3	GGTCTCAAACGCCCCATTATCTTAGCCTAAAAAAACCTTCTCTTTGGAACTTTCAGTAATACGCTTAACTGCTCATTGCTATATTG
[2]	AAGTACGGCCGCGTGGGCGTGCGTGGGCGGGCGGGGCG
pWS480 – LexO (8x) [2a]	GGTCTCAAACGGGTAGTCCATCGTTGTAGGATACTGTATATACATAC
pWS481 – LexO	GGTCTCAAACGGGTAGTCCATCGTTGTAGGATACTGTATATACACCCCAGTAGAGTAGGTGACTACTGTATGAGCATACAGTAGTG
(6x) [2a]	ACAACCACTTACTGTATATAAATACAGTAGTGGTCATCGATACTGTATATAAAACCAGTAGGCAATCGTTTGTACTGTATGTA
pWS482 – LexO (4x) [2a]	GGTCTCAAACGGGTAGTCCATCGTTGTAGGATACTGTATATAAATACAGTAGTGGTCATCGATACTGTATATAAAACCAGTAGGC AATCGTTTGTACTGTATGTACATACAGTATACCTCGCAACTACTGTATATAAACACAGTAATTAACTTGTAATATTCTAATCAA <b>TTG</b> ATGAGACC
pWS483 – LexO (3x) [2a]	GGTCTCA <b>AACG</b> GGTAGTCCATCGTTGTAGGATACTGTATATAAAACCAGTAGGCAATCGTTTGTACTGTATGTA
pWS484 – LexO (2x) [2a]	GGTCTCAAACGGGTAGTCCATCGTTGTAGGATACTGTATGTA
pWS485 – LexO (1x) [2a]	GGTCTCAAACGGGTAGTCCATCGTTGTAGGATACTGTATATAAACACAGTAATTAACTTGTAATATTCTAATCAATTGATGAGACC
pWS494 –	GGTCTCA <b>TTGA</b> TATATAAAGGAGCTAATATTTCATTGTTGGAAAATTACTCTACCATAATTGAAGCATATCTCATCCTTTTCATCCT
pRNR1m [2b]	TTTCAACGCAAGAGAGACACCCAACGAACAACACTTTATTTGTTGATATATAACATCAGATCT <mark>ATG</mark> TGAGACC
pWS491 – pTEF2m [2b]	GGTCTCA <b>TTGA</b> TATATAAATTCTCTTTGCATTTTCTATTTTTCTCTCTATCTA
pWS487 –	GGTCTCA <b>TTGA</b> TATATAAAGACGGTAGGTATTGATTGTAATTCTGTAAATCTATTTCTTAAACTTCTTAAATTCTACTTTTATAGTTA
pTDH3m [2b]	GTCTTTTTTTAGTTTTAAAACACCCAAGAACTTAGTTTCGAATAAACACACATAAACAAAAGATCT <mark>ATG</mark> TGAGACC
pWS493 –	GGTCTCA <b>TTGA</b> TATATAAATGTAATAAGAAGTTTGGTAATATTCAATTCGAAGTGTTCAGTCTTTACTTCTCTTGTTTTATAGAAGA
pALD6m [2b]	AAAAACATCAAGAAACATCTTTAACATACACAAACACATACTATCAGAATACAAGATCT <mark>ATG</mark> TGAGACC
pRC246 –	GGTCTCA <b>TTGA</b> CAATATTATTTAAGGACCTATTGTTTTTCCAATAGGTGGTTAGCAATCGTCTTACTTTCTAACTTTTCTACCTTT
pLEU2m [2b]	TACATTTCAGCAATATATATATATATATATTTCAAGGATATACCATTCTAAGATCT
pWS486 –	GGTCTCATTGATATATAAATGGAAAAGCTGCATAACCACTTTAACTAATACTTTCAACATTTTCAGTTTGTATTACTTCTTATTCAAA
pGAL1m [2b]	TGTCATAAAAGTATCAACAAAAAATTGTTAATATACCTCTATACTTTAACGTCAAGGAGAAAAAACTATAAGATCT
pWS498 –	GGTCTCATTGATATATAAGCGCTGATGTTTTGCTAAGTCGAGGTTAGTATGGCTTCATCTCTCATGAGAATAAGAACAACAACAAA
pPHO5m [2b]	TAGAGCAAGCAAATTCGAGATTACCAAGATCT <mark>ATG</mark> TGAGACC
pWS496 –	GGTCTCATTGATATATAAAACTCTTGTTTTCTTCTTTCTCTAAATATTCTTTCCTTATACATTAGGACCTTTGCAGCATAAATTACT
pCYC1m [2b]	ATACTTCTATAGACACACAAAACACAAAATACACACACTAAATTAATAAGATCTATGTGAGACC
pWS497 –	GGTCTCATTGATATAAAAGAGAAGCAAATAACTCCTTGTCTTGTATCAATTGCATTATAATATCTTCTTGTTAGTGCAATATCATAT
pCUP1m [2b]	AGAAGTCATCGAAATAGATATTAAGAAAAACAAACTGTACAATCAAT
pWS495 – pRNR2m [2b]	GGTCTCATTGATATATATAGCGGTAGTGTTTGCGCGTTACCATCATCTTCTGGATCTATTGTTCTTTTCCTCATCACTTTCCC CTTTTTCGCTCTTCTTGTCTTTTATTTCTTTCTTTTTTTAATTGTTCCCTCGATTGGCTATCTACCAAAGAATCCAAACTTAATA CACGTATTTATTTGTCCAATTACCAGATCTATGTGAGACC

pWS067 – Ste2 [3]	GGTCTCATATGCTCTGATGCGGCTCCTTCATTGAGCAATCTATTTTATGATCCAACGTATAATCCTGGTCAAAGCACCATTAACTAC ACTTCCATATATGGGAATGGATCTACCATCACTTTCGATGAGTTGCAAGGTTTAGTTAACAGTACTGTTACTCAAGGCCATTATGTT TGGTGTCAGATGTGGTGCAGCTGCTTTGACTTTGATTGTCATGTGGATGACATCGAGAAGCAGAAAAACGCCGATTTTCATTATC AACCAAGTTTCATTGTTTTTAATCATTTTGCATTGTCATGTGGATGACATCGAGAAGCAGAAAAACGCCGATTTTCATTATC AACCAAGTTTCCTCAGTTCATCAGTAGAGGTGACGTTCATGTTTATGGTGGTCTACAAATTAATT
pWS626 – Mam2 [3]	GGTCTCATATCAGGCAACCTIGGIGGAAAGACTITACTATTCCCGAIGCAAGCGCAATTATTCACCAAAATATTACCATTGTGTCT ATTGTCGGAGAGATTGAAGTGCCTGTTTCAACAATTGAIGCATATGAAGGGATAGGTTATTAACTGGAATGACTTTATCTGCCCA ATTAGCTITAGGAGTGTTAACCATTITAATGGTTTGTCTGTTATCATCAGCGAAAAACGTAAACACCCTGTTTTTGTTTTTATTC GGCAAGTATTGTGCAATGGTTCATATGTATGTGCGCCATTTTAAATATCGTGACCATCTGCTCGAATCGTAACACCCTGTTTTTATCTGCCGA GGTTTATCTTAAACATGGTTCATATGTATGTGCATGTGTGTTTAATATTTTATTTTTATTATTATTGGCACCGGTGATCATTTTAATTGGCGCGTGATCATTTTAATTGTGCCAGAGGAAAACCCAACGTATCATGACGGTGATCATTTTAATTGTGCCGA GGTTTATCTCAAGTTGGCATGATGGATGATCGTGTGTTTAATATTTTAATTTTTATTATTATGGCACCGGGGGTGATCATTTTACTGCTGA GATGTCGATGATGCATGATGGATGATCATTTGGTCAACAGGATCAGCAACGAACG
pWS625 – A2BR [3]	GGTCTCATATG ITGTTGGAAACACAAGATGCGTTGTATGTTGCGTTGGAATTGGTAATTGCTGCGCTATCGGTTGCGGGAAATGT TITGGTTTGTGCTGCGCGGTTGGAACGGCGAATACCTTGCAAACGCCTACTAATTATTTTTTGGTTTCATTGGCAGCGGCGCTGATGTT GCTGTTGGACTATTTGCTATTCCTTTCGCTATTACTTTTCTTGGGATTTGGCCGTAGGTATTGGCTATTTGCTGTGTCTACCGCTAAGGT TIGTTTTGGTTCTAACGCAATCTTCAATTGTTCTCTATTGGCCGTGGCGTAGGTAG
pWS1806 – MTNR1A [3]	GGTCTCATATCCAAGGTAAIGGTICIGCTIIGCCAAATGCTICICAACCAGTITIGAGAGGIGAIGGIGCTAGACCTICIGGTI GGCTCTCGCTTAGCTIGTGTTITGATTTCACCATCGTIGTCGATATCTIGGGTAACTIGTIGGTTATCTIGTCCGTCACCGTAA CAAGAAATTGAGAAACGCTGGTAACATCTICGTIGTTICTTIGGCTGCTGATTGGGTTGCTATCTATCCATATCCACTGG TCTTGATGTCCATTTTTAACAACGGTIGGAACTTGGGATACTGGGTTACTGCAGTTGCCACTGAAGTACGGCTGATCATCCACTGGGTTTCTGGTTGCTATCTAT
pWS2119 – PbSte2 [3]	GGTCTCAT ATG GCACCCTCATTCGACCCCTTCAACCAAAGCGTGGTGTTCCACAAGGCCGACGGAACTCCATTCAACGTGTCAA TCCATGAACTAGACGACTTCGTGCAGTACAACACCAAAGTCTGCATCAACTACTCTTCCCAGGTCGGAGCATCTGTCATTGCAGG ACTCATGCTTGCCATGCTGACACACTCAGAAAAGCGTCGTCTGCCAGTTTTCTTCCTAACACATTCGCACTGGCCATGAACTTT GCCCGCCTGCTTGCATGACCATCTACTTCACCACGGGCTTCAACAAGTCCTATGCCTACTTTGGTCAGGATTACTCCCAGGTG CCTGGGAGCGCCTACGCAGCCTCTGTCTTGGCGTTGTGTTCACCACGTCTCTGGTAATCAGCATGGAAATGTCCCTCGTGAT CCAACAAGGGTTGTCTGCACGACCCTTCCGGATATCCAACGTTATCTACTCATGGCAGCTTCCCCGCGATTCCCTGATGGCCA TCGGGTTCCGCCTGGCTTAATGGTTGAGAACTGCATTGCCATGTGCCAGCGTCGAATTCGCCCCTTTTATCTGGCTTCAAAG CGCCTCGAACATCACCATTACGATCAGCACGTTTTCTTCAGGCGGTCGAATTGGCCATTGGCCACCTCGT ATACGACTAGGCTTGACGAGGTTTGGTGCTATGCAGGTTATGTCTACTGTCCTGCCGAGCTATGGCACTCGTCACCACTCTT ATACGACTAGGCTTGACGAGGTTTGGTGCTATGCAGGTTATGTCCAGCCTCTTTTACCTGCCGCCATTTCCCCCCCTTTTCCTCGCCTA TGGGCTTCAGTGCCAAGGTTCGGCAATGAACTCCAACCTCTTTCCGCCGCCATTGGCCATTTCCCCCCTTTTCCTCGCCTA TGGGCTTCAGTGCGAGATCCAGGTTCGGAACTCCAACCTCTTTCCCGCCGCCATCGGCCACTTGGCCAACTTCCCCCCTTTTCCTCGCCTA TGGGCTTCAGTGCCAAGGACCACGTTCGGAACTCCTTCTCCGGCCGCCATCAGTTCCTTGCCCCCTTTTCCTCGCCTA ACCGICACCAATTCGGAAATTGAAGCTCCAACCTCTTCTCCGGCCGCCATCAGTATCTTTGGCCAAGCGAACAGAGCAAT AACGICACCAATTCGGAAATTAAGTATCAGGTCAGCTTCTTCCTCGGCCACCACCACCTCGTGGGCCCCTGGGCCCCTGGGGCCCTGGGGCCCTGGGCCACG ACACTCTCCCCGGACCGGCTCGACCCGGTTTATTGTGAAGTTGAAGCTGGCACCACAAGGCCGGA <b>CCG</b>
pWS1037 - Gpa1 [3-4a]	GGTCTCATATACGGGGTGTACAGTGAGTACGCAAACAATAGGTGACGAAAGTGATCCTTTTCTACAGAACAAAAGAGCCAATGATGT CATCGAGCAATCGTTGCAGCTGGAGAAACAACGTGACAAGAATGAAATAAAACTGTTACTATTAGGTGCCGGTGAGTCAGGTAAA TCAACGGTTTTAAAACAATTAAAATTATTACATCAAGGCGGTTTCTCCCATCAAGAAAGGTTACAGTATGAGCCAGGGATACAACAATTAAAAATTATTACATCAAGGCGGGTTTCTCCCATCAAGAAAGGTTACAGTAGTGACGCAGGACAACAAGAGGCGATACAACTAAGAAAATTAGAAAATTAGAGCAAGGCGAGAAAACTAGGTATTCAACTGCAGGATGATCGACGACAACAAAAAAATTGGTATTCAACGGCAAGAGGCGTGAGCCAGGAAAATTAGGCC AGATGCCATACAATCAATGAAAATTTTGATTATTCAGGCCAGAAAAACTAGGTATTCAAACTTGACGTGAGTGA

pWS936 – Gpa1 C-terminal Truncation Vector [3-4a]	GGTCTCATATGGGGGTGTACAGTGAGTACGCAAACAATAGGTGACGAAAGTGATCCTTTTCTACAGAACAAAAGAGCCAATGATGAT CATCGAGCAATCGTTGCAGCTGGAGAAACAACGTGACAAGAATGAAATAAAACTGTTACTATTAGGTGCCGGGTGAGTCAGGTAAA TCAACGGTTTTAAAACAATTAAATTA
pWS939 – Ste12 [3]	GGTCTCATATGICTGATGCGGCTCCTTCATTGAGCAATCTATTTTATGATCCAACGTATAATCCTGGTCAAAGCACCATTAACTAC ACTTCCATATATGGGAATGGATCTACCATCACTTTGGATGAGTGCAAGGTTTAGTTAACAGTACTGTTACTCAAGGCCCATTAACTAC ACCAAGTTTCATGGTGCGCGCTGCTTTGACTTTGATTGTCATGTGGATGACATCGAAGAACAACGCCGATTTTCATTATC AACCAAGTTTCATTGTTTTTAATCATTTTGCATTGTGCATGTGGATGACATCGAAGAACAACGCCGAAAAAACGCCCGATTTTCATTATC TCACCGGATTTCCTCAGTTCATCAGTAGAGGTGACGTTCATGTTTAAATATTTACTGTCTAATTACTCTTCAGTGACTTCAGTGGCGCTCT ATTGAGACTTCACTGGTGTTCTCAGTAGAGGTGACGTTCATGTTTATGGTGCTACAAATATAATTCAAGGCCCCTTGTTGGGCTTCT ATTGAGACTTCACTGGTGTTCCAGATAAAAGTTATTTTCACAGCCGCACAACTTCAAAAGGATAGGTTTGATGCTGACGTCGATATC TTTCACTTTAAGGATTGCTACAGTAAAAGTTATTTTCACAGCCGCACAACTTCAAAAGGATAGGTTTGATGCTGACGTCGATATC CCAAGATAAATACTTCAATGCATCCACAGTTTGTAAGCGCGCTGTAAAAGCTTATAGGATTGTGCATGTGACGTCAAATTGATGTGGCCAC CCAAGATAAATACTTCAATGCATCCCACAATTTTACTGCATCCTCCAATAAAGTTTAGGCATTGTGCATGTCAATCTTTGTGGTT CCATCGATAATATTCCATCGCATCACGATTGAAACCAAACCAAGGAACGAAC
pWS926 – LexA-NLS [3a]	GGTCTCATATCAAGGCTITAACTGCAAGACAACAGGAAGTITTTGATTTGA
pWS931 – TetR-NLS [3a]	GGTCTCATATG AACCCGTAAACTCGCCCAGAAGCTAGAGTGAAGTGA
pWS930 – Z3E [3a]	GGTCTCATATC AGGCCCTACGCCTACGCTTGCCCTGTAGAAAGTTGTGATAGGAGATTCAGCAGATCTGACGAGCTGACTAGGCATATTC GTATTCACACCGGCCAAAAGCCTTTCCAATGTAGAATCTGTATGAGAAACTTCAGCAGAAGTGACCATTTGACTACGCACATTAG AACCCACACCGGCGAGAAGCCGTTCGCGTGTGACATTTGTGGAAGAACTTCAGCAGAAGTGACCATTTGACTACGCACATTAG AACCCACACGGCGGGGGCACTCCGGCGGCGCAGCAGCCAGC
pWS932 – PRD [3b]	GGTCTCATTCTAGACCATCTAGTACAACAAAATCAGATAATTCGCCTCCAAAATTAGAAAGCGAGAATTTTAAGGATAATGAGTTG GTAACAGTAACTAATCAGCCGCTTTTAGGCGTTGGCCTCATGGATGACGATGCGCCAGAATCCCCCCTCTCAAATTAATGATTTTA TTCCTCAGAAATTGATTATAGAACCCAATACTCTCGAATTGAATGGTCTAACAGAAGAAACGCCTCATGACTTACCCAAGAATACC GCTAAGGGCAGAGATGAAGAAGATTTTCCTCTCGACTATTTTCCTGTATCTGTTGAATACCCTACGGAGGAAAATGCGTTTGATC CGTTCCCTCCACAGGCTTTTACGCCAGCTGCCCCTTCCATGGCCACCTTTTCCTATGATAACGTGAATGAA

	IGGGGGGGGATCCTCTACTCGATCAAGCCTTTTATGGCGCGGACGATTTTTTCTTTC
pWS033 – sfGFP [3]	GGTCTCATATGCCAAGGGTGAAGAGCTATTTACTGGGGTTGTACCCATTTTGGTAGAACTGGACGGAGATGTAAACGGACATA AATTCTCTGTTAGAGGTGAGGGCGAAGGCGATGCCACCAATGGTAAATTGACTCTGAAGTTTATATGCACTACGGGTAAATTACC IGTICCTTGGCCAACCCTAGTAACAACTITGACATATGGTGTICAATGTTICCAAGATACCCAGACCATATGAAAAGGCATGATI TCTTTAAAAGTGCTATGCCAGAAGGCTACGTGCAAGAGAGAACATATCTCCTTTAAGGATGACGGTACGTATAAAACACGAGCAGA AGTGAAATTCGAAGGGGATACACTAGTTAATCGCATCGC
pRC063 – mTagBFP2 [3]	GGTCTCATATCAGTGAGTIGATTAAGGAAAACATGCACATGAAGTIGTACATGGAAGGAACAGTAGATAATCATCATTCAAATGT ACGTCAGAAGGTGAGGGCAAACCTTATGAAGGAACTCAAACTATGAGAATTAAAGTCGTTGAGGGTGGCCCACTACCATTTGCA TICGATATCCTCGCAACATCTTTICTGTATGGTTCTAAAACCTTTATCAACCATACACAAGGCATACCAGGATTTCTTTAAGCAATCA TTTCCTGAAGGTTTCACATGGGAAAGAGTAACTACCTATGAAGATGGTGGTGCCTTACAGGCATACCAGGATTTCTTTAAGCAATCA ATGGTTGTTTAATCTACATGGTGAAAGAGTAACTACCTATGAAGATGGTGGTGCCTTACAGGCATCCAAGACACGCCTTTGCAGG ATGGTTGTTTAATCTACAATGTTAAGATAAGA
pWS1837 – α- factor [3-4a]	GGTCTCATATGAGATTTCCTTCAATTTTTACTGCAGTTTTATTCGCAGCATCCTCCGCATTAGCTGCTCCAGTCAACACTACAACA GAAGATGAAACGGCACAAATTCCGGCTGAAGCTGTCATCGGTTACTTAGATTTAGAAGGGGGATTTCGATGTTGCTGTTTTTGCCAT TTTCCAACAGCACAAATAACGGGTTATTGTTTATAAATACTACTATTTGCCAGCATTGCTGCTAAAGAAGAAGAAGAGGCTATCTTTGGAT AAAAGAGGCTGAAGCTTGGCATTGGTTGCAACTAAAACCTGGCCAACCAA
pWS788 – Bar1 [3]	GGTCTCATATG CICGAAGTGCAATTAATCATCTTTGTTTGAAACTTATTTTGGCGAGTTTCGCGATTATTAACACCCATTACTGCTTTAACAA ACGATGGCACTGGTCACTTAGAATTCCTTTTACAACACGAAGAGGAGGAGTGTATTACGCAACAACCTTAGATATAGGTACACCGTC CCAAAGTCTGACAGTGTTGTTTGATACCGGATCGCCGATTTTGGCTATTGGATTCTAGCAACACCTTCGCTTACCAAATTCAA ATACGTCATCCTATTCAAACGCAACTTATAATGGCGAAGAAGTTTGGCTTCAATTGGATTCTAGCGAACACTTTGCTGACGGAACATTCAA ATACGTCATCCTATTCAAACGCAACTTATAATGGCGAAGAAGTTTGGCATCCAATTGGAGGGTCTATGAGTACTATAATGAG CATAGATCTTCCACCTACCAATATCTGGAAAATGGTAGGTTTTACATCACATATGCGGAACATTTGCTGACGGAACATTTGCTGACGGAACATTGGCG GGACGGAAACTGTATCAACGAATTGGAATTGGCACCCCAATATCCCAGTTCGAGGGTCCCAAGTATGCTACGACACCCGTTAGGAGG TGTTCTTGGAATTGGGGTTTCCTAGAAGAGAGGTCCGTTAAGGGCTATGGAGGGTGCCCAAGTATGCTACGACACCCGTTAGGAGG GGACGGAAACTGTATCAAGGATTGGGTGCGCGTATTCGCTGTTCTAAAGCGCCCCACGGATATTATCCTAATTTTCCTCAGA TTTTAAAAAGTGAAAAAATAATCGATGTGGGTCGCGTATTCGCTGTTCTAAAACCCACCGGTATCCACGGATCGGTCCGAATTGTGTGAAATGGCTCCTGAT GTGCCATTGATGAAGAATTAATCGATGGTGCCCCAAAACAAAGTAGGTTGTGGAAATGAATATCCCACAATAGTCGACGGCCCAACGATTGGTGGCCCAAAAACAAAAGTAGCCTGCTTTTGCAACATGAAACGTTTACGACGGCCCAACGATTCGATGGGTCCCAAGAACGTTTGCGGTCCAGCCAACAAACGATTCGAG TTTTGTGGACTCAGGAACCTCGCTATTGGAAGGCCCCAAAGGTCGTGGGAATACAATTTGCGACCACCAACGACTCCAG TTTTGTGGGTGATGTATTATATTA
pWS081 – Sst2 [3]	GGTCTCATATCGTTGACAAGAACAGGACATTGCATGAATTGTCATCTAAGAATTTCAGTAGGACGCCAAATGGTCTTATCTTTACA AATGATCTAAAAACCGTATATTCAATATTTTTGATTTGCCTTGACCTAAAGGAAAAGAAACAAGTTCAGATACTAAATCCTTTTATCAT CTAACAGCTTTCACCAAACATTTCCATTTTTGATTTGCCTTGACCTAAAGGCAATCAAGGCCATGGGTCAACTGGAACTAAAAGTGG ATATGAATACTACGTGCATCAAAGAGGTTATAATATTAAGCCGAGCTATGCAGGGCAATCAAGGCCATGGGTCAACTGGAACTAAAAGTGG ATATGAATACTACGTGCATCAAGAGGTGTAAATATTAAGCCGAGCTAGCAAGGCATCAAGGCCATGACCAAGGCCTAACAAGGTGTCACAAG TTATTAACATACGCTCAAGAGTCGTACAAGAGGTGAGCCCAAGGACAAGGTATTATTCCAACCTAACCCTATTCCATTGAAGTGCGCGCGTT CTGCAGAAATACGTCAGGAGACATTGGTCTAAAGACCATGCCGGGCATATTGCTGTTGCTAGTTTCAACTCATGAGGCGTGTTACATT CGGAGGAATACGTCAGCAGCACCTTGCTCGCCATGCCGGGACATATTGCTGTTGCATTAAAATGGAGGGCGCTAAGCCCA ACGTTGGAACGACCACCAATGCGCGACGACCTCTGCCATGCCGGGACATATTGCGATGAGATAACGAGCAGCAACCAAGGCCCCT TGCCCAACGATGCCGACCGACCGCTGCCTGCCATGCCGGAGAACATAGACATGAGAATAACGGGGCGCAAGCCACAA CCTTCGGAAGACCCTGATAGTGGATCCCACACCCAATTATGGAACATAGACATGAGAACATGGGATCAGGAGCACCAGCACCA CCTCGCACAGGTTTTTTCAGGACCCGGAGGATGGCAAGCTCAACGCACCACACAAAGGCACTTAGGCATGGACCGACGACCACGA AGACGTTCGGCACGTCAAAGAAGATAGTGGTTAAATACACGTTTACGAACAAGGCATTGGCACGGACTCATGGACCGACAGA AAGACGTCCGGCACGTCAAAGAAGATAGTGGATTAAATACACGTTTCCTAGCAAACGGGATGGGATCATGGACCGACGC CGTACGGATAAGAAAAAATTTCCAGGAGGATGGCCTAAGCTGGACCTTAGCACCAGGACTGGACGTAATGGACCAAGG CGTACGGATAAGAAAAAATTTCCAGGAGGCTTAAGCTCCACAGAATAGGAACCTGGACGTAAGGAACTATGGCACGACGCG GGGCAAGCTCTAACAATATTCCTGCACCAAAACGGCCTCACGAATAGCACCTGGACATTACCAGCAATGGCCAATACCAAGCG CTAACGGATAACAACCTTCGAAGGCTTTAAGCCAAGAATTGTTGAACCAGGACGGAC
pWS509 – Msg5 [3]	GGTCTCCTATG ACAAAATAGGAATATCACTCAGATAAGCAGCATTTGGACAGTAAAACCGACATCGATTTCAAGCCAAACTCACCGCGTTCCTT ACAAAATAGGAATACCAAAAATTTATCTTTAGATATAGCAGCACTCCATCCA

	AAACGATCTCAGGGTTAGAGACATCCACGCCAATTTCTAGTACTCGTGAAGGTACTTTAGATAGTACGGATGTCAACAGATTTCT AACCAAAAGAATATGCAAACAACATTGATTTCCCGGAGGAGGAGCTCGGATCTGAATATTGATATGGTGCATGCA
pWS510 – Dig1 [3] (Internal Bpil sites)	GGTCTCCTATC GGTCTCCTATC GGTCTCCTATCAGCCGTTTGAGAACGACGCCGCGAGGGATACATCCATTGCTAAATCAACACAAGATCCAATTGGTG ATACAGAATCAGTGTAGCAATGCTAAGGGCAGCAGCGCAGCGATAGCAACATTAAGAATTCGCCAGGCGGAAACAGCGTTGGTCAGG AGTCGGAGCTAGAGCATGTCCCTGAGGAGGATGACTCTGGTGACAAGGAAGCAGATCATGAGGATTCTGAGACAGCCATGCG AAGAAGAGGAAAGCCCAACCATTGAAGAATCCGAAGAAATCATTGAAGAGGGGCAGAGTCCCGGCGCCTTTGAATTTATCGGAT AGCAACACTAATACACACGGTGGTAATATTAAAGGACGGCACACTTGGCTTCGTCTAACTCTGCCACATTTTCCTCCTGTTGCTAATCA AAACGTGAAAAGCGCCCCGCCACAAGTTACTCAGCATTCCAAGGTCCCAGCGCTGTCCAATACTTGGGAAAGGCCAGGCCACTTAC AAACGTGAAAAGCGGCCCCGCACAAGTTACTCAGCATTCCAAGTTCCAAGCCCCGTGTCCAATACTTGGGAAAGGCCCATGAACAC ACAATCTATACAAGTGAATAATAGCAGCAATAGTTATGGGAAACCACACAAGGCCCGCGGCGCATCATGGGCAACGCCCATGAACCC TTACATGCCCATGAATCGCTACATAATGTCACCATTATTAATCCGTACCGTACGGCAGCGCGCGC
pWS511 – Dig2 [3] (Internal Bpil sites)	GGTCTCCTATGAATAAAGAAGAGCAAGAAGACCCACAGCAAGAGCAAATATCAACTGTTCAGGAAAATGATCCCAGGAATTTGCA GCAACTGGGAATGCTGTTAGTATCTCCAGGGCTTGATGAAGAACAGATGAGGAGAAAATGATTTCGAAGATCAAAAAATCCAGG GATATCGAAAAAAATCAAAAATGCTCATATCTAGGCTGCGCCAAAAAGGAGAAGATCATAGTGGTAAGCCTCCTACCATTACGA CTTCTCCAGCAGAGAAAACCGTACCCTTTAAGTCGCTGAATCATTTTAAAGAGGAAAAGGGAAGATCATAGTGGTAAGCCTCCAACCATTACCA CGATATACAAGCATCTTCTCATTIGCATGGGCTCTAAAGAGGCTCCAACAAGGGAAAACGAGAAAATGACTTCCCAGCGCTAACTTTTC GGATCAGATATATGGGTAGGATGGCTCCTACGAATCAAGGGCTCCCACCAAAAAAGGGCCAATTCCTTAAGAGGGAACAGCTACAAAAAATAGCCTTA GGGTCAGATATATGGGTAGGATGGCTCCTACGAATCAAGATTATCATCCTTCAGTGGCCAATTCATATGACAGCAAAAATAGCCTTA GGGTCAGATATATGGGTAGGATGGCTCCTACGAATCAAGATTATCATCCTTCAGTGGCCAATTCATATGACAGCAAACAACACCAACACGAACCTACCC TTATCCATATACTGGACTGCCACCAGTACCATGCTACCATGCTAACCACACACA
pWS627 – Ste4- 2A-Ste18 [3-4a] (Internal Bpil sites)	GGTCTCATATCGCAGCACATCAGATGGACTCGATAACGTATTCTAATAATGTCACCCAACAGTATATACAACCACAAAGTCTACA GGATATCTCTGCAGTGGAGGATGAAATTCAAAATAAAAT
pWS1859 – Ste50 [3] (Internal Bpil sites)	GGTCTCATATCGAGGACGGTAAACAGGCCATCAATGAGGGATCAAACGATGCTTCGCCGGATCTGGACGTGAATGGCACAATAT TGATGAATAATGAAGACTTTTCCCAGTGGTCGGTGATGATGATGTGATAACTTGGTGTATATCCACGCTGGAGGTGGAAGAAACCGA TCCATTATGTCAGAGACTGCGAGAAAATGATATTGTAGGAGATCTTTTGCCGGAATTGTGCTTGCAAGAGTGGCAGGACAACCGA TCCATTATGTCAGAGACTGCGAGAAAATGATATTGTAGGAGATCTTTTGCCGGAATTGTGCTTGCAAGAGTGGCAGGACAACCGA GACGGTGATTTGAATAAGGCCATAAAATTCAAGATACTGATCAATAAGATGAGAGACAGCAAGTTGCAAGATTGCCAGGACTGCTGT GACGGTGATGATAAGGCCATAAAATTCAAGATACTGATCAATAAGATGAGAGACAGCAAGTTGCAAGAGTGCCAGGAACGACAAG ACTCAAGGAGCATGATAACGGTACTGAAAAACTTGTACACTACTACATCTCCGCAATTGCAAGAATTTCAATCGCAGTACCACAA GGCTGAGGATGGTTGTGGACGTAATGAAGACCAGCTCAAGCTCTTTCCCGATTAACACACATGGAGTGTCCACTACGGTAC CTTCTTCAAACAACAACAACAATTATACCCAGTAGTGACGGTGTTGTCTCTTTCCAAACAGAGCTATTTCGACACAGGATAGCAAAA TCACCGTCAAGGAGGAGAATCCCCGGGTAATGAACGGTGTTGCCCTTTCCCACTCAAAACCGGGGCCATCACCTAACGGACAAA ACAAAGTACCCCAAATTATCACAAACCAATCTCACCCATCTGCCGTTTCAACAGCGAACACACCGGGGCCATCACCTAACGAGG CGTTAAAACAGTTGCGTGCATCTAAAGAAGACTCCTGCGAACGGATCTTGAAAAACGCAATGAAAAGACATAACTTAGCAGATCA GGATTGGAGACAATATGTCTTGGTCATTTGGTCATGGGAACCAAGGGCTGTTAGAAAAGCCAAAGACATAACTTAGCAGATCA ACGAACTTAAAGCAACAGGGTTTGCACCCGCCCATTATGTTAAGAAGAGAGGGTGTTAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAA

# Table S4. Plasmids Used in this Study.

Plasmids listed here are organized by figure number and then by their name within the figure. Each row within the description corresponds to a particular cassette or multigene cassette, indicating the selection marker. All plasmids are integration cassettes integrating at either the URA3 (URA3 marker), LEU2 (LEU2 marker) or HO (HIS3 marker) locus.

Figure	Name	Description	Strain
2D+2E, Ste2	pCCW12	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Ste2	pHHF2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pHHF2-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Ste2	pHTB2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pHTB2-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Ste2	pPOP6	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pPOP6-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Ste2	pPSP2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pPSP2-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Ste2	pREV1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pREV1-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pPSP2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pPSP2-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pREV1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pREV1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pPOP6	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pPOP6-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pRNR2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pRNR2-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pRET2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pRET2-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pALD6	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pALD6-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pHTB2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pHTB2-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pPAB1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pPAB1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pRNR1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pRNR1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pHHF1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pHHF1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pHHF2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pHHF2-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pPGK1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pRPL18B	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pRPL18B-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pTEF2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pTEF2-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pTEF1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pTEF1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pTDH3	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pTDH3-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Gpa1	pCCW12	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pCCW12-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pREV1	pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pREV1-STE4-2A-STE18-tADH1-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pPOP6	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pPOP6-STE4-2A-STE18-tADH1-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pPSP2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pPSP2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pRET2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pRET2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pSAC6	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pSAC6-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pRNR2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pRNR2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pPAB1	pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2- pPAB1-STE4-2A-STE18-tADH1-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pRNR1	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pRNR1-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pRPL18B	pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2- pRPL18B-STE4-2A-STE18-tADH1-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pHTB2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pHTB2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pALD6	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pALD6-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pHHF1	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pHHF1-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pHHF2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pHHF2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pTEF2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pTEF2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pTEF1	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pTEF1-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
2D+2E, Ste4-2A-Ste18	pTDH3	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pTDH3-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
3D	LexO (1x)	Lex0 (1x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
3D	LexO (2x)	LexO (2x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3D	LexO (3x)	LexO (3x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3D	LexO (4x)	LexO (4x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3D	LexO (6x)	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD2-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3D	LexO (8x)	LexO (8x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3D	pCCW12	pCCW12-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pRNR2m	Lex0 (6x)-pRNR2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pCUP1m	LexO (6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pCYC1m	LexO (6x)-pCYC1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pPHO5m	LexO (6x)-pPHO5m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pGAL1m	LexO (6x)-pGAL1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pCCW12m	LexO (6x)-pCCW12m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677

ЗE	pLEU2m	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pPGK1m	LexO (6x)-pPGK1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pALD6m	LexO (6x)-pALD6m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pTDH3m	LexO (6x)-pTDH3m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pTEF2m	LexO (6x)-pTEF2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3E	pRNR1m	LexO (6x)-pRNR1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3G+3H	TetR-PRD	TetO (7x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-TetR-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
3J+3K	Z3E-PRD	pZ3-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-Z3EV-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
4A+4B	Quasi-WT	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
4A+4B	Design 1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pPOP6-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
4A+4B	Design 2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
4A+4B	Design 3	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
4A+4B	Design 4	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
4D	mam2 – yWS677	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-Mam2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-Sp gpa1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5A (top)	High sensitivity	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5A (top)	Mid sensitivity	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5A (top)	Low sensitivity	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5A (bottom)	High sensitivity	LexO (2x)-pPHO5m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5A (bottom)	Mid sensitivity	LexO (3x)-pPHO5m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5A (bottom)	Low sensitivity	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5B	Single pop.	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5C	Mixed pop. 1/3	LexO (2x)-pPHO5m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-a/2R#rTDH1-FU2	yWS677
5C	Mixed pop. 2/3	LexO (3x)-pPHO5m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5C	Mixed pop. 2/3	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
5F	Single pop.	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-MF(ALPHA)1-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 	yWS677
56	Mixed pop. 1/2	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-MF(ALPHA)1-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHH2-MT1R1A+TDH1-FII2	vWS677
		Spacer-HIS3 LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	,
	Mixed pop. 2/2	Spacer-LEU2 pRPL18B-BAR1-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
6A	Single pop.	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-MF(ALPHA)1-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-MTNR1A-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
6B+6D	Mixed pop. 1/2	LexO(2x)-pRNR2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-MTNR1A-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
6B+6D	Mixed pop. 2/2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pALD6-MTNR1A-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
6F-6H	Single pop.	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-MF(ALPHA)1-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pALD6-PbSte2-tTDH1-LEU2 Spacer-HIS3	yWS677
6F-6H	Mixed pop. 1/2	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-MF(ALPHA)1-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-PbSte2-tTDH1-LEU2 Spacer-HIS3	yWS677
6F-6H	Mixed pop. 2/2	LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-stGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPCK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 Spacer-LEU2 pDI 10P pAD4 tTDL4 UIS2	yWS677
S1G	sfGFP	pRPL18B-sfGFP-tPGK1-URA3	yWS677
S1G	mRuby2	pHHF1-mRuby2-tENO2-LEU2	yWS677
S1G	mTagBFP2	pTDH3-mTagBFP2-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S2A	BY4741	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2A	Quasi-WT	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2A	Quasi-WT + Sst2	pFUS1-stGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2B+S2C	pREV1	pREV1-siGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pPSP2	pPSP2-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pPOP6	pPOP6-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pRAD27	pRAD27-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pRNR2	pRNR2-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pSAC6	pSAC6-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pRET2	pRET2-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pPAB1	pPAB1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pALD6	pALD6-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pRNR1	pRNR1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pRPL18B	pRPL18B-sfGFP-t7DH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pHTB2	pHTB2-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pPGK1	pPGK1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pHHF1	pHHF1-stGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pTEF1	pTEF1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pHHF2	pHHF2-stGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pTEF2	pTEF2-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2B+S2C	pTDH3	pTDH3-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT

S2B+S2C	pCCW12	pCCW12-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S2E+S2F	STE2		STE2-GFP
S2E+S2F	GPA1		GPA1-GFP
S2E+S2F	STE12		STE12-GFP
S2E+S2F	pREV1	pREV1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2E+S2F	pPSP2	pPSP2-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2E+S2F	pPOP6	pPOP6-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2E+S2F	pRAD27	pRAD27-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2E+S2F	pRNR2	DRNR2-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2E+S2F	pSAC6	pSAC6-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2E+S2F	pRET2	pRET2-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS677
S2E+S2F	pPAB1	pPAB1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	vWS677
S2E+S2F	, pALD6	pALD6-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	vWS677
S2E+S2E	pRNR1	pRNR1-stGFP-tTDH1-URA3	vWS677
S2E+S2F	pRPL18B	nRPI 188⇒tGEP-tTDH1-I/RA3	vWS677
S2E+S2F	pHTB2	nHTB2-stGFP-tTDH1-URA3	vWS677
S2E+S2E	pPGK1	nPGK1-sfGEP-tTDH1-IRA3	vWS677
S2E+S2E	pHHE1	nHHE1_stGEP_tTDH1_IIR43	vWS677
S2E+S2F	pTEF1	nTFF1_sfGEP_tTDH1_IIR43	yWS677
S2E+S2E	pTETT		yW3077
S2E+S2E	p77552		JM6677
S2E+S2F	pTEF2		ywso77
S2E+S2E	p12110		JM6677
52E 7521	peewiz		Quesi WT
52H	pF031 reporter		Quasi-WT
S24+S2B	pind reponer		JM/9677
S3A+S3B	pr3r2		yw3077
S3A+S3B	pREVI	prust-stgrP-tiuht-psaco-ste2-tssat-previ-gPat-tenu2-prau2-ste12-tenut-uras	yvv5677
S3A+S3B	pPOP6	prust-stgrp-tidht-psace-siez-tssat-prupe-gpat-tenuz-pkadzt-sietz-tenut-ukas	yvv5677
S3A+S3B	PRNR2		yvv5677
S3A+S3B	pRE12		yw3077
\$34+\$3B	pHTB2	pFUSI-SIGEF-TDH1-05406-STE2-ISSA1-0HTR2-GPA1-IENO2-0FAD2-STE12-ENO1-URA3	yWS677
\$34+\$3B	pP4B1	nFUS1-ofGED_tTDH1_nS4C6_STE2.tS31-nP4R1_GP41.tENO2_nR4D27_STE12.tENO1_UR43	VWS677
S3A+S3B	pRNR1	pEUS1-stGFP-1TDH1-pSAC6-STE2-ISSA1-pRNR1-GPA1-IENO2-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-URA3	vWS677
S3A+S3B	pHHF1	pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-pSAC6-STE2-ISSA1-pHHF1-GPA1-IENO2-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-URA3	vWS677
\$3A+\$3B	pHHF2	pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-pSAC6-STE2-ISSA1-pHHF2-GPA1-IENO2-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-URA3	vWS677
S3A+S3B	pPGK1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
S3A+S3B	nRPL18B	pEUS1-stGEP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pRPL18B-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
\$3A+\$3B	pTEF2	pFUS1-siGFP-ITDH1-pSAC6-STE2-ISSA1-pTEF2-GPA1-IENO2-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-URA3	vWS677
\$3A+\$3B	pTEF1	pEUS1-siGEP-ITDH1-pSAC6-STE2-ISSA1-pTEE1-GPA1-IENO2-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-URA3	vWS677
S3A+S3B	pTDH3	pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pTDH3-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
\$3A+\$3B	pCCW12	pEUS1-sfGEP-ITDH1-pSAC6-STE2-ISSA1-pCCW12-GPA1-IEN02-pRAD27-STE12-IEN01-URA3	vWS677
S3C+S3D	pREV1	pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pREV1-STE4-2A-STE18-tADH1-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	vWS1922
S3C+S3D	pPOP6	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2-pPOP6-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	vWS1922
S3C+S3D	pPSP2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pPSP2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	vWS1922
S3C+S3D	pRET2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pRET2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pSAC6	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pSAC6-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pRNR2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pRNR2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pPAB1	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pPAB1-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pRNR1	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pRNR1-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pRPL18B	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pRPL18B-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pHTB2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pHTB2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pALD6	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pALD6-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pHHF1	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pHHF1-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pHHF2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pHHF2-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pTEF2	pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2- pTEF2-STE4-2A-STE18-tADH1-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pTEF1	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pTEF1-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S3C+S3D	pTDH3	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-IENO2- pTDH3-STE4-2A-STE18-IADH1-pRAD27-STE12-IENO1-pFUS1-sfGFP-ITDH1-URA3	yWS1922
S4A	pTDH3	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pTDH3-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-Spacer2-LEU2	yWS677

S4A	pTEF1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pTEF1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-Spacer2-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pTEF2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pTEF2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-Spacer2-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pHHF2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pHHF2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Saacer1-Saacer2-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pHTB2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pHTB2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer/1-Spacer/2-IFU/2	yWS677
S4A	pHHF1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pHHF1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spager2-1 EU2	yWS677
S4A	pRNR1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRNR1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Snarer2-1 Snarer2-1 Fl/2	yWS677
S4A	pRPL18B	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRPL18B-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spager2-1_Spager2-1_E1/2	yWS677
S4A	pPAB1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pPAB1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Snaper1-152	yWS677
S4A	pALD6	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pALD6-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pRET2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pRET2-STE12-tEN01-URA3 Snaper1-Snaper2-tE12	yWS677
S4A	pSAC6	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pSAC6-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pPOP6	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pPOP6-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pRNR2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRNR2-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pRAD27	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pPSP2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pPSP2-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pREV1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pREV1-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	<i>рТDH3</i> + Dig1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-tEV2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pTDH3-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pTEF1 + Dig1	pCGW122bIS1-MDH1-pPGK1-GPAL-1ENO2-pTEF1-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pTEF2 + Dig1	pCUV122bIG1-RDH1-pDC41-ED02 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCV12-STE21-SSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pTEF2-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pHHF2 + Dig1	pCCW12zblG1-RbD1-ppRd9zzEe02 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pHHF2-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pHTB2 + Dig1	pCGW122blG1-RbD1-5p2db12-EE02 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE21SSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pHTB2-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	<i>pHHF1</i> + Dig1	pCCW12zblG1-RDH1-spacerz-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2:4SSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pHHF1-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S4A	<i>pRNR1</i> + Dig1	pCCW12-DIG1-ADH1-spacer2-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRNR1-STE12-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
S4A	<i>pRPL18B</i> + Dig1	pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-spacer2-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pRPL18B-STE12-tEN01-URA3	vWS677
S4A	pPAB1 + Dig1	pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-Spacer2-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pPAB1-STE12-tEN01-URA3	vWS677
S4A	pALD6 + Dig1	pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-Spacer2-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pALD6-STE12-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
S4A	pRET2 + Dig1	pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-Spacer2-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRET2-STE12-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
S4A	pSAC6 + Dig1	pCCW12-DIG1-ADH1-Spacer2-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pSAC6-STE12-tEN01-URA3	vWS677
S4A	pPOP6 + Dig1	pCCW12-DIG1-ADH1-Spacer2-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pPOP6-STE12-tEN01-URA3	vWS677
S4A	pRNR2 + Dig1	pCCW12-DIG1-ADH1-Spacer2-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRNR2-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pRAD27 + Dig1	pCUV12-DIG1-RDH1-space-2E02 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCV12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pPSP2 + Dig1	pCGW122b1G14bD11-bp2k6122ED2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pPSP2-STE12-tEN01-URA3 pCCW122D1G14bH1-Space/21EU2	yWS677
S4A	pREV1 + Dig1	pCGW12-DIG1HDM1-pCGW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pREV1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCGW12-DIG1HDH1-space/2/EU2	yWS677
S4A	pTDH3 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pTDH3-STE12-tEN01-URA3 Spacerl -nTDH3-NIC3-tTDH3-L	yWS677
S4A	pTEF1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pTEF1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DiG2-tTDH1-I_E1/2	yWS677
S4A	pTEF2 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pTEF2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Snacer1-nTDH3-D/G2-tTDH1-LFL/2	yWS677
S4A	pHHF2 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pHHF2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DiG2-tTDH1-tEI/2	yWS677
S4A	pHTB2 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pHTB2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacerl -nTDH3-D/G2-tTDH1-LFL/2	yWS677
S4A	pHHF1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pHHF1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacerl -nTDH3-D/G2-tTDH1-LFL/2	yWS677
S4A	pRNR1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRNR1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DiG2-tTDH1-1EU2	yWS677
S4A	pRPL18B + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRPL18B-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Snacer1-nTDH3-DiG2-tTDH1-LFL/2	yWS677
S4A	pPAB1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pPAB1-STE12-tEN01-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pALD6 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pALD6-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pRET2 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-SSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pRET2-STE12-tEN01-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pSAC6 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-SSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pSAC6-STE12-tEN01-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pPOP6 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pPOP6-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pRNR2 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRNR2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pRAD27 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pPSP2 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pPSP2-STE12-tEN01-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pREV1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pREV1-STE12-tEN01-URA3 Spacer1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	рТDH3 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pTDH3-STE12-tEN01-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pTEF1 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-SSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pTEF1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pTEF2 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-SSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pTEF2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pHHF2 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pHHF2-STE12-tEN01-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pHTB2 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pHTB2-STE12-tEN01-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pHHF1 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pHHF1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pRNR1 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRNR1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pRPL18B + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRPL18B-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pPAB + Dig1 + Dig21	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pPAB1-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677

S4A	pALD6 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pALD6-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pRET2 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRET2-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pSAC6 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pSAC6-STE12-tEN01-URA3 pCCW12-DiG1+ADH1-pTDH3-DiG2-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S4A	pPOP6 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pPOP6-STE12-tEN01-URA3 pCCW12-DiG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DiG2-tTDH1-LFU2	yWS677
S4A	pRNR2 + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pRNR2-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	<i>pRAD27</i> + Dig1 + Dig2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pR02Z-STE12-tEN01-URA3	yWS677
S4A	pPSP2 + Dig1 + Dig2	pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-p1DH3-DIG2-t1DH1-tEO2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pPSP2-STE12-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
\$44	pREV(1 + Dig1 + Dig2	pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2 pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pREV1-STE12-tENO1-URA3	W/S677
040		pCCW12-DIG1-tADH1-pTDH3-DIG2-tTDH1-LEU2	Quesi M/T
540	Quasi-W1		Quasi-wi
540	Design 4	Lex0(6x)-pLE02m-sigFP-t1DH1-pCCW12-S1E2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tEN02-pKAD21-LexA-PRD-tEN01-UKA3	yvv5677
S4E	pSTE2-sfGFP-tSTE2	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	STE2-GFP
S4E	pGPA1-sfGFP-tGPA1	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	GPA1-GFP
S4E	pSTE12-sfGFP-tSTE12	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	STE12-GFP
S4G	Quasi-WT	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S4G	Design 1 – w/o feedback	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pPOP6-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S4G	Design 2 – w/ feedback	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-pSAC6-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-STE12-tENO1-URA3 pFUS1-STE2-tTDH1-pFUS1-GPA1-tADH1-pFUS1-STE12-tENO1-LEU2	yWS677
S4H	Design 4: 1x Ste2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 Spacer-LEU2	yWS677
	<u> </u>	Spacer-HIS3 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	
S4H	Design 4: 2x Ste2	pCCW12-STE2+SSA1-LEU2 Spacer-HIS3	yWS677
S4H	Design 4: 3x Ste2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
	Design 4. 0X Ole2	pCCW12-STE2-ISSA1-HIS3	3110011
S4I	BY4741		BY4741
S4I	yWS677		yWS677
S4I	yWS1922		yWS1922
S4J	Quasi-WT	pFUS1-sfGFP-tTDH1-URA3	Quasi-WT
S4J	Design 4: 1x Ste2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPCK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 Spacer-LEU2 Spacer-HIS3	yWS677
S4J	Design 4: 2x Ste2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2+ISSA1-pPCK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-LEU2 Spacer-HIS3	yWS677
S4J	Design 4: 3x Ste2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2+SSA1-pP6K1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-STE2+ISSA1-LEU2 pCCW12-STE2+ISSA1-HIS3	yWS677
S6A	GPA1	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-A2BR-tSSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6A	GPA1-Gas	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gas-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-A2BR-tSSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6A	GPA1-Ga12	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GpA1-Gp12-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-A28B-tSSA1-J_FU2	yWS677
S6A	GPA1-Gai1/2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Space/2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gai1/2-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S6A	GPA1-Gai3	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Space2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gai3-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S6A	GPA1-Gaz	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gaz-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S6A	GPA1-Ga15/16	p1DH:3-A2BR-ISSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Ga15/16-IENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
564	GPA1-Gag/11	pTDH3-A2BR-tSSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gaq/11-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	W/S677
50A	00010-11	p TDH3-A2BR-tSSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GpA1-Gp14-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yw3077
56A	GPAT-G014	pTDH3-A2BR-ISSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-ITDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gao-IENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-IENO1-URA3	yvv5677
56A	GPA1-Gdo	pTDH3-A2BR-tSSA1-LEU2	yvv5677
S6A	tGPA1	pTDH3-A2BR-ISSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1	pTDH3-MTNR1A-ISSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Gas	pTDH3-MTNR1A-ISSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Ga12	Lexu(ox)-pLEu2m-srG+r-+1UH1-Spacer2-pPGk1-GPA1-Go12-tENU2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-MTNR1A-tSSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Gai1/2	Lexu(6x)-pLEU2m-stGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gai1/2-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-MTINR1A-tSSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Gai3	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gai3-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-MTNR1A-tSSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Gaz	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gaz-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-MTNR1A-tSSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Ga15/16	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Ga15/16-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-MTNR1A-tSSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Gaq/11	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gag/11-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-MTNR1A-tSSA1-LEU2	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Ga14	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GpA1-Ga14-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 nTDH3-MTINR14-tSSA1-I_FI12	yWS677
S6B	GPA1-Gao	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-Gao-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S6B	tGPA1	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-tGPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S6C	A2BR	p ו אודיאאו ואדע אדיט אדע אדע אדע אדע ע LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S6D	MTNR14	pHH+2-A2BK-ISSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	vWS677
300	WITNER A	pHHF2-MTNR1A-tSSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	,110011
S6F-S6H	No feedback	pHHF2-A2BR-TDH1-LEU2 Spacer-HIS3 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3	yWS677
S6F-S6H	Gpa1 feedback	pHHF2-A2BR-ITDH1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-GPA1-ITDH1-HIS3 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-stGFP+ITDH1-Snager2-peR041-GPA1-HIN02-nRAD27-1 evA-PRD-IFN01-JIRA3	yWS677
S6F-S6H	Sst2 feedback	pHHF2A28R-TDH1-LEU2 pHHF2A28R-TDH1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-SST2+TDH1-HIS3 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-SST2+TDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S6F-S6H	Msg5 feedback	Lexo(a), pLEOLINSIGH, TOP HODDBUETPI OKI-SHATHELOZANODZI-LEXAFERD-LENOT-DAS pHHF2-A2BR-TDH1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-MSG5-t7DH1-HIS3	yWS677

S6F-S6H	Dig1 feedback	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-A2D8-rTDH1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-DIG1-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S6J-S6L	No feedback	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHH22-MTINR1A+SSA1-LEU2 Spacer-HIS3	yWS677
S6J-S6L	MTNR1A feedback	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1+ENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-MTNR1A+ISSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-MTNR1A+TDH1+HIS3	yWS677
S6J-S6L	Ste4-2A-Ste18 feedback	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1+ENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-MTNR1A-tSSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-STE4-2A-STE18+tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S6J-S6L	Ste50 feedback	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1+ENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-MTNNR1A-tSSA1-LEU2 LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-STE50+tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S7A+S7B	High sensitivity	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-A2BR-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S7A+S7B	Mid sensitivity	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-A2BR-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S7A+S7B	Low sensitivity	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pRPL18B-A2BR-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S7D+S7E	Cell 1	LexO (6x)-pLEU2m-MF(ALPHA)1-tTDH1-Spacer 2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-MTNR1A-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S7D+S7E	Cell 2: No Bar1	LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 Spacer-HIS3	yWS677
S7D+S7E	Cell 2: pRPL18B	LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pRPL18B-BAR1-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S7D+S7E	Cell 2: pPGK1	LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pPGK1-BAR1-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S7D+S7E	Cell 2: pTDH3	LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pTDH3-BAR1-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S7D+S7E	Cell 2: pCCW12	LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pCCW12-BAR1-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S7F	Single pop.	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-sfGFP-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-MTNR1A-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S7G	Mixed pop. 1/2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-MF(ALPHA)1-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pHHF2-MTNR1A-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S7G	Mixed pop. 2/2	LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2-tSSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pRPL18B-BAR1-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677
S7H	Mixed pop. 1/2	LexO(6x)-pLEU2m-MF(ALPHA)1-tTDH1-Spacer2-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pALD6-MTNR1A-tTDH1-LEU2	yWS677
S7H	Mixed pop. 2/2	LexO(6x)-pCUP1m-sfGFP-tTDH1-pCCW12-STE2+SSA1-pPGK1-GPA1-tENO2-pRAD27-LexA-PRD-tENO1-URA3 pRPL18B-BAR1-tTDH1-HIS3	yWS677