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## Abstract

Tertiary alkylamines as effective directing groups for palladium-catalysed C(sp $\left.{ }^{3}\right)-H$ activation strategies


C-H activation has emerged as a powerful strategy to streamline organic synthesis by exploiting the ubiquitous nature of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in any synthetic precursor. Within the last decade, primary and secondary alkylamines have been reported to direct C-H cleavage on a series of palladium-catalysed reactions. The work reported in this dissertation describes the development of a new palladium-catalysed strategy towards the functionalisation of aliphatic tertiary amines. Methyl, methylene, and methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage have been disclosed by exploiting direct coordination of the amine substrate to the palladium metal centre. Subsequent cross-coupling with aryl boron reagents delivered a series of $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-C\left(s p^{2}\right)$ bond forming transformations. In an attempt to shape a greener, cheaper, and more atom economical reaction, studies towards the replacement of silver additives as terminal oxidants by a combination of oxygen and alkene derivates, and the reduction of palladium catalyst loadings were explored.

Pivotal to the success of these discoveries was the use of mono-protected amino acid ligands. Combined experimental and computational analysis revealed that these readily available ligands can prevent amine decomposition by avoiding the geometrical coplanarity needed for $\beta$-H elimination processes. The inherent chirality of amino acids enabled the development of asymmetric $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions, targeting both methyl and methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds to construct diastereo- and enantioselective aryl-amine motifs.
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Chapter 1
Introduction to palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation

### 1.1. C-H activation and palladium: Concept, mechanism and reactivity

Carbon and hydrogen are among the smallest atoms of the Universe. Their marginal difference in electronegativity ( 2.55 vs 2.20 on the Pauling scale, respectively) delivers a short, strong, covalent, and nonpolar bond of $1.09 \AA$ A with a bond energy of $104 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (for a methane molecule). Combined with their relatively abundance on the Earth's crust, these synergistical properties have established C-H bonds as the chemical foundations of nature and life. The different hybridisation modes of a carbon atom have unlocked rich and versatile synthetic transformations, such as the formation of enols, elimination reactions in saturated carbon backbones, or the generation of conjugated and aromatic systems with delocalised electrons in the $p$ orbitals; unarguably key reactions and functional groups for any living organism. However, the ability of nature to modify C-H bonds typically relies on the presence of proximal heteroatoms ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}$, etc). These more electronegative elements acidify vicinal C-H bonds in an aliphatic backbone making them susceptible to basemediated cleavage. This not only ensures reactivity, but most importantly, grants the ability to distinguish between neighbouring C-H bonds by their different chemical properties. Therefore, the selective breaking of C-H bonds lacking of nearby functionalities is a challenging task rarely achieved by enzymes. ${ }^{1,2}$

Synthetic chemists define $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation as a metal-mediated process which enables the selective cleavage of a typically inert carbon hydrogen bond, i.e. whose chemical environment precludes a precise and selective reactivity through metal-free polar or radical pathways, generating an organometallic complex where the hydrocarbon is coordinated to the inner-sphere of the metal forming a $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. Multiple late-transition metals exhibit this reactivity. ${ }^{3-6}$ Alternatively, C-H cleavage can occur through an outer-sphere process where functionalisation occurs in the absence of a metal-carbon intermediate. Examples of this reactivity are metal carbene chemistry and biochemical P450 mediated processes, but they are not classified as C-H activation reactions. ${ }^{7-9}$ Nevertheless, both pathways ultimately aim to replace the abstracted hydrogen atom by a nonmetallic heteroatom leading to a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalised product.

Palladium is unarguably the most widely exploited transition-metal in C-H activation reactions. ${ }^{10-14}$ Its electronegativity of 2.20 , identical to an hydrogen atom generates a covalent $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bond susceptible to both nucleophilic and electrophilic reactivity; and its compatibility with air or moisture facilitates the isolation of reaction intermediates, enabling its detailed study in elementary steps such as oxidative addition, reductive elimination, and transmetalation. This, combined with the vast existent literature precedents around palladium chemistry prior to the study of C-H activation reactions, might have biased the synthetic community towards the study of this particular metal. In particular, the development of chiral bidentate ligands during the last decade for alkyl C-H cleavage have dramatically expanded the range of synthetic transformations which palladium can perform,,$^{15-18}$ leaving other metals at the infancy of their possibilities. ${ }^{19-26}$

During the $60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$ and 80 s , the inner-sphere pathway by which palladium performs $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation generated multiple examples of isolated organometallic complexes, both activating aryl and alkyl systems (Scheme 1). Cope reported the first example of a well-defined palladacycle arising from $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation by using aromatic azo compounds (1), ${ }^{27}$ and tertiary benzyl amines (2). ${ }^{28}$ Shaw observed that this strategy was also applicable to $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, isolating complex 3 by using an oxime functional group, ${ }^{29}$ while Hiraki found pyridine substrates also to be amenable to $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage (4). ${ }^{30} \mathrm{~A}$ common feature of these examples, which is still applicable to the field nowadays, is the presence of a good $\sigma$-donating functional group. This directing functionality is a common feature in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation because not only it accelerates the kinetics of the reaction by positioning the metal centre in close proximity to a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond, but it also enhances the thermodynamic stability of the resultant generated palladacycle. Another interesting observation was the strong preference for the isolation of 5-membered ring organometallic complexes, whereas higher ring sizes are only accessible when no $\gamma-H$ with respect to the $N$-directing group were present (4). ${ }^{31}$
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Scheme 1: C-H activation via inner-sphere cyclopalladation

Despite the established reactivity and selectivity of palladium complexes, little experimental work was conducted to elucidate the mechanism by which palladium $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation occurred, and what accounts for its selectivity. In 1985, Ryabov studied the cyclopalladation reaction of amine 5 with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(\mathrm{Scheme} 2) .{ }^{32} \mathrm{An}$ equilibrium between bisamine complex 6 and monoamine complex 7 a was observed, being the later an unsaturated intermediate where an acetate ligand switches between $\eta^{1}$ - and $\kappa^{2}$ - binding modes. The generated vacant site in $\mathbf{7 b}$ allows for $\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation to happen. Based on a kinetic isotope effect (KIE) $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ of 2.2 and a large negative activation entropy of $-250 \mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, ortho-palladation was proposed to occur via a highly ordered transition state, similar to a Wheland-type intermediate, in which an internal acetate acts as a base to abstract the leaving hydrogen $\left(\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$. However, this proposal is not compatible with the activation of aliphatic hydrocarbons due to the absence of conjugation capable of allocating the partial positive charge generated.


Scheme 2: Electrophilic ortho-palladation proposed by Ryabov

In 2005, a computational study by Davies and Macgregor established the most accepted mechanism for the palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation step. ${ }^{33}$ As proposed by Ryabov, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation starts from monoamine complex 7a (Scheme 3). Displacement of the $\mathrm{k}^{2}$-binded acetate by the ortho- $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bond leads to the agostic intermediate $\mathbf{7 c}$, where a short Pd-H interaction of 1.91 Å is exhibited. The absence of a partial positive charge in any of the aryl ring carbons and the negligible decrease in positive charge from palladium disproved a putative Wheland-type intermediate. From intermediate 7c, proton-transfer from the aromatic ring to the acetate occurs through a barrierless six-membered ring transition state to form 5-membered palladacycle 8a. Although other mechanistic pathways were considered, this redox neutral acetate-assisted proton-transfer process was found to be the lowest energetic pathway and it was later termed concerted metalationdeprotonation (CMD). ${ }^{34,35}$


Scheme 3: Proposed base-mediated C-H cleavage by Davies and Macgregor

Shortly after these mechanistic investigations, Fagnou reported the first computational study of alkyl C-H activation (Scheme 4). ${ }^{36}$ Oxidative addition of $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ into aryl bromide 9 positioned the transition metal in close proximity to multiple $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds from where intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation can take place. The exclusive formation of dihydrobenzofuran products (10) was observed, forged after reductive elimination of
the desired $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-C\left(s p^{2}\right)$ bond. In agreement with previous studies, DFT investigations in a simpler system concluded that the lowest energetic barrier for C-H cleavage proceeded through a CMD three-centre twoelectron transition state where a bidentate carboxylate group acts as an internal base after generating a vacant site in the organometallic complex $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{4}\right)$. Other alternative pathways, such as oxidative addition into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of interest, were disproved as they possessed much higher energy barriers. Interestingly, this research work also studied the selectivity for different types of C-H bonds. As illustrated in Scheme 1, the formation of 5-membered ring palladacycles is always preferred as it arises from a 6-membered ring transition state. Nevertheless, there were no $\mathbf{C - H}$ bonds in substrate 9 capable of accessing these ideal arrangement, and the only available $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage occurred through a 7-membered ring transition state to generate a 6membered ring palladacycle ( $\mathrm{TS}_{4},+27.0 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, Scheme 4). In agreement with experimental findings, methylene ( $\mathrm{TS}_{5},+32.5 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) or more distal methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation ( $\mathrm{TS}_{6},+33.1 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) were computationally observed to possess higher transition states. Competition between $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation was also studied, which revealed that aryl C-H activation is more facile, even when proceeding through less favourable 8- or 9-membered ring transition states (15 and 13, respectively).
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Scheme 4: Experimental and computational comparison between aryl and alkyl C-H activation

Within the field of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, it is essential to differentiate between aromatic $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds and aliphatic $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, with the prior being more facile to cleave due to the favourable metal-substrate interaction with the ring $\pi$-system. As demonstrated by Fagnou's calculations, even sharp differences are encountered when comparing alkyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. Terminal methyl groups are more readily activated than methylene units based primarily on sterics, and methine activation being very rare to occur. ${ }^{37-39}$ Reactions capable of overcoming this intrinsic selectivity are scarce and often require biased substrates. ${ }^{40-42}$

Once it has been established how palladium imparts reactivity and selectivity in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions, it is also important to describe how palladacycles can be functionalised through the rich and versatile reactivity which palladium exhibits. After C-H cleavage from a Pd" oxidation state, one can exploit a low-valent manifold with nucleophiles (Suzuki cross-coupling) or alkenes (Heck cross-coupling) among others, to obtain the desired product after reductive elimination (Scheme 5). To close the catalytic cycle, the resultant $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ is oxidised to $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$ with other transition metals ( $\mathrm{Cu}^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{Ag}^{\prime}$ ), organic additives (1,4-benzoquinone), , ${ }^{4-47}$ or atmospheric $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the best case scenario. ${ }^{48}$ An alternative approach is to begin with a $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ precatalyst where oxidative addition into an organohalide occurs prior to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation step (see Scheme 4 as an example). These types of transformations are useful to generate intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds without the need of Lewis basic functionalities, as the palladium-aryl intermediate acts effectively as a directing group. On the other hand, high-valent $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{Pd}^{\text {IV }}$ catalysis is accessible when using electrophilic coupling partners (organohalides or hypervalent iodine(III) compounds) capable of oxidising the generated Pd" palladacycle. Control in the final reductive elimination step can deliver a diverse range of functionalised products, both in the form of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{F}) .{ }^{49,50}$ It is worth mentioning that Scheme 5 summarises the most commonly used mechanistic manifolds in C-H activation reactions, but it does not intend to summarise all possible transformations. Other mechanistic manifolds involving double C-H activation reactions, ${ }^{51,52}$ oxidation of Pd" to Pd ${ }^{\text {III }}$ dimers, ${ }^{53,54}$ or C-H activation through Pd ${ }^{\text {IV }}$ intermediates have all been proposed. ${ }^{55}$


[^0]The last crucial point worthy of discussion is the prevalent use of $\mathrm{Ag}^{\prime}$ additives when performing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions under palladium catalysis. These usually are important or essential components in alkyl C-H activation reactions, despite their relative high cost and their use in superstoichiometric amounts. It was initially proposed that $\mathrm{Ag}^{1}$ additives were simply involved in the oxidation of $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$, or that they could act as halide scavengers when aryl iodides or hypervalent iodine reagents were employed to access Pd ${ }^{\text {v }}$ intermediates. However, more recent computational and mechanistic studies suggest that the energy barriers leading to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage can be lowered when forming bimetallic $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Pd}$ complexes, if compared with monomeric or dimeric palladium complexes. ${ }^{56-58}$ Even more remarkable is the use of silver as the only metal for C-H cleavage, although this has only been achieved to date in $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. ${ }^{59-63}$ Unfortunately, not every reported $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction in the literature assessed the role of the $\mathrm{Ag}^{1}$ additive used, which remains a topic of discussion and speculation in the field.

### 1.2. Engineered directing groups in $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ palladium catalysis

It has been previously illustrated that substrates bearing aryl halides can effectively direct $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation via oxidative addition to $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$, which yields an intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reaction upon reductive elimination (Scheme 4). Nevertheless, intermolecular C-H functionalisation reactions remained more elusive. The presence of strongly coordinating directing groups facilitates $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, but the resultant palladacycles are unable to deliver functionalised products under well-known low-valent palladium chemistry due to their enhanced stability. ${ }^{64,65}$ In 2004, a seminal paper by Sanford reported the intermolecular C(sp $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$-H functionalisation of oximes (16) and pyridines (18) by exploiting high-valent palladium pathways, accessed using hypervalent iodonium salts as stoichiometric oxidants (Scheme 6). ${ }^{66}$


Scheme 6: First intermolecular palladium-catalysed C(sp $\left.{ }^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation

Coordination of the $s p^{2}$ hybridised nitrogen effectively directs the palladium atom towards $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage (21). The resulting Pd" palladacycle (22) can then be oxidised by the highly electrophilic iodonium (III) reagent to form octahedral intermediate 23, from which a more facile reductive elimination is possible to generate the resultant $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond (24). Of note is that consideration into the selectivity of the reductive elimination step
was not required because acetates are the only anions available within the reaction media, making acetoxylation the only possible functionalisation. Reactivity was observed in both methyl and cyclic methylene positions where the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is capable of forming 5 -membered ring palladacycles, including $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds next to heteroatoms.

The following year, Daugulis reported the successful arylation of 8 -aminoquinoline amides (25) and picolinamides (27) using aryl iodides as the electrophilic coupling partner. ${ }^{67}$ Once again, a high-valent mechanistic manifold is exploited to oxidise the Pd" palladacycle to a Pd ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ complex, but this time a selective reductive elimination furnished a resultant $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond with no acetoxylated products observed. Remarkably, this bidentate directing group could target any methyl or methylene unit leading to 5-membered ring palladacycles, including arylation at benzylic positions, which granted access to poly-arylated products. This highly efficient reaction reached TON values of 650, although stoichiometric silver additives and high reaction temperatures were required.


Scheme 7: First intermolecular C-C bond formation through C(sp $\left.{ }^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation

These two landmark publications exemplified the challenges of aliphatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. Ignoring the high reaction temperatures needed, any successful functionalisation requires the prior modification of common functional groups, such as ketones, amines and carboxylic acids, into oximes, picolinamides, and 8aminoquinoline amides, respectively. Not only is manipulation of the starting material required, but also the removal of these exogenous directing groups after $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. Scheme 8 illustrates the challenges associated with this simple premise. Substrate decomposition or undesired deprotections can occur under the harsh reaction conditions required to liberate the desired functionalised substrate. ${ }^{68-71}$ For the interest of the present work, this introduction is only focused on the C-H activation of amine substrates, while extensive reviews for other types of organic functional groups can be found elsewhere. ${ }^{11-13}$

## Sanford 2010
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Scheme 8: Challenges of removing exogenous directing groups after $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation

Picolinamide derivatives (27) have been extensively used as directing groups in the field of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation as their bidentate character greatly facilitates $\gamma-C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ bond cleavage. The resultant palladacycles can react with a vast range of reagents enabling $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation (arylation, alkylation, carbonylation, etc), ${ }^{71-74} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ ring closing reactions, ${ }^{75,76}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond formation (alkoxylation, borylation, etc). ${ }^{77,78}$ To facilitate the removal of this privileged directing motif, Chen developed a modified picolinamide group bearing a silyl protected alcohol on the pyridine directing moiety (37, Scheme 9). ${ }^{79}$ After successful $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arylation using aryl iodides, deprotection of the alcohol released the $\gamma$-arylated amine substrate upon intramolecular attack of the amide bond, along with the cyclised picolinate ester which could be recovered for subsequent use. Since then, multiple procedures have been developed to liberate the functionalised primary amine from this scaffold after successful $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, usually involving the presence of a Lewis acid ( $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{AICl}_{3}$ ) under heating conditions, ${ }^{80,81}$ or a reductive acidic media. ${ }^{82}$ More recently, Maes exploited the ability of $\mathrm{Ni}(\text { cod })_{2}$ to insert into amide $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds to develop neutral deprotection conditions which delivered the functionalised product as a -Boc protected amine. ${ }^{83}$

Chen 2011


Scheme 9: A picolinamide derivative to remove under milder reactions conditions the directing group after C-H activation

During the last decade, multiple research groups attempted the development of alternative aminederived directing groups to access other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds apart from $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, or to release the functionalised amine with milder and/or orthogonal deprotection reactions (Scheme 10). ${ }^{39,84-91}$ When using high-valent palladium catalysis, all these examples rely on the same reaction mechanisms outlined in the seminal reports of Sanford (Scheme 6) and Daugulis (Scheme 7). Nevertheless, two depicted examples from the Yu group achieved functionalisation via a low-valent manifold using boron reagents as viable coupling partners. The resemblance between some of the tailored directing groups depicted in Scheme 10 and the previously reported picolinamide derivatives are clear. The use of bidentate auxiliaries provides a second binding point with modular and tuneable properties susceptible of optimisation to maximise yield and reactivity. Depending on the nature of the installed auxiliary, its removal after $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation can lead to a $\alpha-$ or $\beta$-functionalised product, despite all palladacycles possessing well-defined 5 -membered ring structures.

Exogenous directing groups provided proof of concept that palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation is possible under a diverse range of catalytic conditions. However, the incorporation and subsequent removal of these auxiliaries can present incompatibilities with other functional groups on the molecule, and the process ultimately results in a multi-step synthesis which can diminish the overall efficiency of the transformation. Therefore, research in the field of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation transitioned to the development of more straightforward strategies through either the use of native organic functional groups or the generation of transient species within the reaction mixture capable of effectively undergoing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation.
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Scheme 10: Amine-derived directing groups in $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions

### 1.3. Transient directing groups for amine substrates in $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ palladium catalysis

The use of transient directing groups in palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions arose from the need to streamline amine functionalisation by developing a one-pot procedure capable of incorporating and removing the engineered directing group needed for successful $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ cleavage. Instead of using a stable amide bond, such as the picolinamide derivative, to incorporate the needed auxiliary, it was envisaged that the condensation of a primary amine substrate (53) with an aldehyde (54) could form an in situ transient bidentate imine directing group (55), capable of chelating to palladium to greatly enhance C-H cleavage (56, Scheme 11). Once the functionalised product is obtained (58), hydrolysis of the resultant imine intermediate generates the desired amine product (59) while recovering the aldehyde additive, which is typically used in substoichiometric amounts. In an analogous strategy, this concept has also been effectively applied to the functionalisation of aldehyde and ketone substrates with primary amines behaving as the transient directing motifs. ${ }^{92-96}$ These examples stand outside the topic of discussion, but the mechanism, reagents and reaction conditions employed do not differ significantly from the ones which will be discussed hereafter.


Scheme 11: Transient directing group strategy for the $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of amine substrates

It is worth highlighting two features common to all of the reactions that will be presented in this section. Firstly, the use of a strongly coordinating bidentate ligand generates palladacycle (57), whose tridentate nature has to date only made possible its functionalisation through high-valent Pd"/Pd ${ }^{1 v}$ chemistry. Secondly, these transformations typically occur in acidic media to favour amine condensation, but most importantly, to disfavour direct amine-palladium coordination by substrate protonation. Of note to the reader is that the subsequent schemes of this introduction will illustrate amines with unspecified grey substituents where the presence of alkyl groups in these positions are required to achieve reactivity or significant product formation.

Seminal papers using the aforementioned strategy were published simultaneously by Ge and Dong. ${ }^{97,98}$ The Ge group used glyoxylic acid in catalytic amounts to enhance palladium coordination with a carboxylate group acting as the second intramolecular binding point (61, Scheme 12). After C-H activation, functionalisation with aryl iodides led to the formation of the desired product in up to $74 \%$ yield. Silver(I) additives were found to be crucial to yield any reactivity, and it was later elucidated by DFT calculations that the lowest barrier for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage proceeded via a dimeric Pd-Ag complex. ${ }^{58}$ Unfortunately, primary amines with $\alpha-H$ were not tolerated, with only methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation being reported. This exemplifies the difficulty of achieving reactivity in substrates whose directing groups aren't robust and stable amide functionalities.

The Dong group chose quinoline-8-carbaldehyde (64) as the transient directing group in an attempt to mimic the excellent reactivity of Daugulis' pyridine-type directing groups (see Scheme 8). The resultant reaction used high-valent iodonium salts to achieve a silver-free arylation reaction where substrates bearing $\alpha-H$ were successfully tolerated this time, and both methyl and methylene $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds could be functionalised. Interestingly, aniline-type substrates, which are difficult to functionalise due to their lack of coordination with the metal atom, were reported to perform $\delta$-arylation at the methyl group of an ortho-tertbutyl substituent. Of note is that $10 \%$ of remaining starting material was usually observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ after $\mathrm{C}-$ $H$ activation. This can be attributed to the multiple equilibria present in the reaction, hampering reaction completion by product inhibition, as observed by others. ${ }^{99}$
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Scheme 12: First seminal palladium-mediated C-H activation reactions using aldehydes as transient directing groups

Within the same year, Yu reported another arylating methodology using aryl iodides as the coupling partner and 2-hydroxynicotinaldehyde as the transient additive for C-H cleavage (67, Scheme 13). ${ }^{100}$ This set of reaction conditions exhibited the widest substrate scope as it targeted methyl and methylene bonds, both in cyclic systems of different ring-sizes as well as linear alkyl chains (68). It could deliver good yields of arylated
amines containing two $\alpha-H s$, and it was compatible with heteroaryl coupling partners, albeit only when targeting methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. Further optimisation in a later publication revealed that the addition of pyridone additives (70), previously used for $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, ${ }^{101,102}$ facilitated arylation at methylene positions with a broad range of heteroaryl iodides and bromides. ${ }^{41}$ The same report explored the ability to selectively target methyl $\delta-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{8}\right)$ over methylene $\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{7}\right)$ by relying on a 5 -membered ring bidentate transient directing group, rather than a 6-membered one. To achieve this, the chosen transient directing group did not consist of an aldehyde, but rather a conjugated $\alpha$-keto carboxylic acid (73). Unfortunately, the work lacked true selectivity as direct comparison of $\gamma$-versus $\delta$-methyl positions was not reported, and substituents at the $\gamma$-position were needed to transform this preferred reactive site in a much more elusive methine unit.






Scheme 13: Development of new transient directing groups to incorporate heteroaryl functionalities at $\gamma$ - and $\delta$-positions

The Yu group continued exploring the reactivity of these transient directing groups, testing novel transformations in the context of $\gamma$-carbon-heteroatom bond formation. By exploring a range of oxidants,
including iodonium (III) salts and peroxides, it was found that 1-fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate (76) effectively oxidised the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$ palladacycle intermediate to the high-valent $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{Iv}}$, which showed exclusive selectivity for a C-O reductive elimination (Scheme 14). ${ }^{103}$ Both aliphatic and aromatic carboxylic acids performed well under the reaction conditions to deliver exclusive formation of the desired carboxylated product (77). Aliphatic alcohols could also be incorporated into the functionalised amine product, albeit with diminished yields, and with pyridone additives needed in certain cases. In comparison with previous work from the group, the resulting primary amines were isolated in the less tractable form of benzamides. Unfortunately, the amine substrate scope proved to be capricious, requiring substitutions in either the $\alpha$ - or $\beta$-positions to the nitrogen in order to achieve good conversions, while a very moderate yield of $30 \%$ was obtained for the simplest $n$-propylamine substrate.

## Yu 2020
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Scheme 14: Oxygenation and fluorination of primary amines

Very shortly after, Yu reported that the addition of pyridone ligand (78) to the same reaction conditions switched the selectivity for C-F reductive elimination (note that 20 mol\% of acetate is available for C-O reductive elimination), albeit in modest yields (only one example was isolated above 50\% yield). ${ }^{104}$ No hypothesis was given to rationalise this observation, although similar investigations by the same research
group in benzaldehyde substrates with the same " $\mathrm{F}^{+"}$ oxidant concluded that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation proceeded through a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ attack into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pd}$ bond, rather than a metal-mediated reductive elimination, applicable only to the construction of the C-F bond. ${ }^{50}$ Within the same publication, it was also described that the use of NFSI (81) as the stoichiometric oxidant in combination with AgTFA achieved methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ fluorination mediated by the super-stoichiometric use of a transient directing group and another electron-deficient pyridone ligand (80). This time, DFT calculations hypothesised that the key silver(I) additive aid oxidative addition of the palladacycle into the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{F}$ bond while also lowering the reductive elimination barrier leading to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ bond formation.

The Hartwig group demonstrated that the transient directing group can be tuned to access a highly challenging $\beta-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. ${ }^{105}$ By pre-condensing the desired primary amine with salicylic aldehyde, the resultant imine ( 83 , Scheme 15) effectively directed cyclopalladation to form a putative strained 4-membered ring intermediate, which after oxidation with PIDA gave access a range of $\beta$-acetoxylated amine products in modest yields. Despite the unusual site-selectivity observed, it is worth highlighting that $\gamma$-acetoxylation is preferentially obtained when methyl or methylene $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds were available; and the amine scope proved to be narrow as no $\alpha-H$ were tolerated and gem-dimethyl substituents proved key for reactivity, introducing issues of mono-selectivity. Unfortunately, this method did not report a one-pot procedure to achieve incorporation and removal of the aldehyde directing group motif, but it has been included in this section as it exemplifies how weakly bonded bidentate directing groups can effectively enhance $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation at other less-classical alkyl positions, and there is little difference if the isolation of the resultant functionalised amine occurs as an imine, carbamate or amide protecting group.

## Hartwig 2020



Scheme 15: $\beta$-Acetoxylation of $\alpha$-tertiary amines

In 2018, Young published an alternative approach to the aldehyde mediated transient directing group strategy by using $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the form of dry ice (Scheme 16). ${ }^{106}$ The authors proposed that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reacts in situ with the amine substrate to form a carbamate intermediate. This species is then capable of directing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, despite this happening through an unlikely 9-membered ring transition state. The method enabled arylation of not only primary amines, but also secondary amines, albeit in lower yields (88). Interestingly, when both $\gamma$ $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ and $\gamma-C\left(s p^{2}\right)-H$ bonds are present in the same molecule, an unprecedented exclusive selectivity for
arylation at the alkyl position was observed. The specific use of AgTFA as a silver(I) additive proved key for reactivity, generating even more questions about the reaction mechanism and its CMD transition state.
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Scheme 16: $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ as a transient directing group for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arylation of primary and secondary amines

The transient directing group strategy is a very vibrant area within $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, as has been clearly exemplified by the recent publications discussed. Both $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond forming reactions have been developed targeting C-H bonds in both methyl and methylene positions. Despite these significant advances, the idea of incorporating an engineered directing group, either transient or not, is mainly limited to primary amine substrates, which stimulated the development of new strategies capable of expanding the range of amines susceptible to $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation processes.

### 1.4. Native amine-directed palladium catalysis of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds

In previous sections it has been explained how palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions can be directed by a nitrogen motif masked in the form of imines, amides, or sulfonamides. This preparative step, either in situ or not, prior to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage greatly simplifies any transformation as it prevents amine decomposition through $\beta-H$ elimination processes (note that some transient directing group methods are unable to tolerate $\alpha-H$ to the nitrogen), but perhaps equally important is the introduction of a modular second coordination point which can be tuned and modified to maximise the potential of each individual substrate and transformation. Unfortunately, the nature of those strategies is, most of the time, restricted to primary amine substrates, thus limiting the wider synthetic possibilities of the field.

The use of a native directing group within an organic molecule to direct C-H cleavage is one of the longstanding goals in the field of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, as this straightforward approach avoids unnecessary protection and deprotections, or the use of bespoke organic additives. As early as 1968, Cope reported that amines effectively directed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation (2), ${ }^{28}$ and Hiraki demonstrated that this was even possible in alkyl $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$-H systems (94, Scheme 17). ${ }^{107}$ Interestingly, Cope described how $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation was only accessible when using tertiary amine substrates (5), while primary and secondary amines exclusively afforded bisamine complexes 92 and 93, respectively.
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Scheme 17: First isolated complexes using alkyl amines for palladium-directed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation

This simple observation summarises one of the main challenges of free amine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. Upon coordination of the amine substrate to the palladium metal centre, an equilibrium is established between its monoamine (96) and bisamine complexes (97), the latter usually being more energetically stable (Scheme 18).

The high stability of these complexes is further enhanced under catalytic conditions, where an excess of the amine substrate with respect to the transition metal is used. Bisamine complexes cannot generate the required vacant site for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, thus becoming detrimental off-cycle species. Presumably, the superior steric hindrance of tertiary amines weakens the stability of their bisamine complex, achieving enough population of the desired monoamine complex within the reaction media to enter the catalytic cycle., ${ }^{3,108}$


Scheme 18: General catalytic cycle for amine directed C-H activation

The vacant site fundamentally needed in complex 96 to access $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation comes along with the second inherent problem of palladium-mediated amine reactions: the propensity of amine substrates to undergo $\beta-H$ elimination. The palladium metal centre can either abstract an $\alpha-H$ or a $\gamma-H$, generating imine 98, or the desired cyclopalladated product 99, respectively. Any method attempting the functionalisation of free amines must circumvent these two fundamental issues to deliver any functionalised product.

### 1.4.1. Primary alkylamines in $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation

Primary amine substrates display the strongest affinity towards palladium binding and the formation of the monomeric amine complexes required for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation is highly disfavoured. Nevertheless, a few reports have successfully accomplished the functionalisation of aliphatic primary amines without the aid of transient directing groups. A common feature of these reactions is the use of an acidic solvent, key at sequestering free amine from the reaction media, and thus precluding the formation of off-cycle bisamine palladium complexes which would arise under neutral conditions. The first example was developed by Shi, reporting the straightforward acetoxylation of substrate 60 using hypervalent iodine reagents without any further additives (Scheme 19). ${ }^{109}$ Unfortunately, the reaction showed moderate yields and poor functional group compatibility, likely due to the acidic media and the strong oxidant needed to access Pd ${ }^{\mathrm{IV}}$ intermediates, and no substrates with $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ to the amine were tolerated.
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[^1]Subsequent publications from the Yao and Bannister group attempted to develop $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arylation reactions using hypervalent iodine reagents and aryl iodides, respectively. Yao reported the $\gamma$-arylation of esterified amino acids lacking $\alpha-H(103)$, which was later applied to the functionalisation of tert-leucine with no apparent racemisation in the products obtained (not shown). ${ }^{110,111}$ Bannister reported that, when reactivity at $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds is disfavoured by sterics, it is possible to functionalise the more distal $\delta-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of an alkyl chain (105). ${ }^{112}$ 2-Nitrobenzoic acid was employed as a sub-stoichiometric additive, but the reaction did perform well without it. Both reactions suffered from the same problems encountered by Shi, affording reactivity on very simple amine substrates with fully substituted $\alpha$-carbons.

Very recently, the Yu group published another high valent palladium manifold in acidic conditions to arylate primary amines with appendant cyclopropanes (107). ${ }^{113}$ Interestingly, a chiral $\beta$-aminothiol ligand was key to ensure reactivity, but also delivered excellent asymmetric induction. Amine substrates were limited to $\beta$-substituted cyclopropanes and only alkyl, aryl or ether functional groups were tolerated within the amine backbone. Noteworthy are the more modest enantioselective values observed when testing different palladium precatalysts, but also silver additives, which opens the path for putative bimetallic intermediates, and raises questions about the real nature of the C-H cleavage step. Other low-valent functionalisations, such as a carbonylation reaction with $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$, or an olefination with a perfluorinated alkene were attempted, but significantly lower yields were obtained.

These reports provide proof of concept that primary amines can also deliver $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalised in the absence of transient directing groups. Nevertheless, the acidic media and the lack of a second binding point which transient directing groups provide to enhance stability and reactivity limits the amine substrates amenable to these transformations.

### 1.4.2. Secondary alkylamines in $C\left(s^{3}\right)-H$ activation

In 2014, our research group reported the pioneering $\beta-C-H$ functionalisation of unprotected aliphatic secondary amines without the use of any directing additive apart from the sole amine-nitrogen motif. ${ }^{114}$ In the first reported transformation, the typical $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ catalytic cycle was exploited with high-valent iodonium salts as oxidants (Scheme 20). Interestingly, ring-closing C-N reductive elimination was the only observed product when $\beta$-methyl substituents are activated in morpholinone substrates (108), while TMP derivatives or acyclic amines delivered $\beta$-acetoxylated products (111). In line with these results, morpholinone substrates bearing gem-diethyl substituents (112) yielded the more typical $\gamma$-acetoxylated products (113), which was further optimised in a later publication. ${ }^{115}$ The aziridine products obtained (109) were shown to react with a range of nucleophiles, such as chloride, water, or basic heterocycles, among others, to generate complex hindered amine scaffolds.


Scheme 20: First C-H activation of aliphatic secondary amines

Further transformations were reported by exploring a more unusual low-valent manifold within $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ activation to obtain $\beta$-lactam products (115) using atmospheric carbon monoxide. In this case, all amine classes, including morpholinones, TMP derivates, and acyclic amines, delivered the same ring-strained
amide, with no $\gamma$-carbonylated products observed. With regards to the reaction conditions used, a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ is required in combination with atmospheric oxygen to oxidise the generated $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ after $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ reductive elimination, thereby closing the catalytic cycle.

The use of substrates with fully substituted $\alpha$-carbons to the nitrogen helped in overcoming both of the essential problems of amine-directed C-H activation. This not only disfavours the formation of undesired bisamine complexes by steric hindrance, but also avoids amine decomposition by $\beta$-hydride elimination pathways due to the lack of $\alpha-C-H$ bonds. Amine substrates with $\alpha-H$ failed to deliver any functionalised product using high-valent palladium manifolds, due to the likely incompatibility between the iodonium(III) oxidant and the amine substrate. However, the low-valent $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ catalytic cycle could effectively tolerate up to two $\alpha-H$, albeit delivering lower reaction yields. Stoichiometric studies exhibited the rare preference of morpholinone substrates to form 4-membered ring over 5-membered ring palladacycles, which led to the isolation of the crystalline palladacycle 116. A later publication revealed that the addition of 20 equivalents of acetic acid accelerated this transformation, suggesting that amine protonation effectively disfavoured the formation of the off-cycle bisamine complex by exploiting an acid-base equilibria. ${ }^{116}$

Detailed computational and kinetic studies have been published to understand the capricious selectivity for $C-X$ bond formation. ${ }^{115,116}$ To make the reported energies of Scheme 21 comparable, the energy barriers were normalised taking intermediate 117 as the resting state, being this obtained after oxidation of the 4membered ring palladacycle with PIDA. Octahedral complex 117 displayed little selectivity between $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{C}-$ O reductive elimination, being inconsistent with experimental results. C-O reductive elimination followed by nucleophilic attack of the unbounded secondary amine was discarded because $\beta$-chloride substituents, a functional group also amenable to nucleophilic displacement, was tolerated in the reported substrate scope. After exploring alternative pathways, DFT calculations determined that a more facile $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ reductive elimination (118) is obtained after amine deprotonation in intermediate 117. On the other hand, 5 -membered ring complexes exhibited the lowest reaction barrier for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond formation through the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ attack of one of the acetate ligands (119). Unfortunately, acetate-nucleophilic displacement at intermediate 117 was not studied, which might be the lowest energetic pathway for substrates other than morpholinones.
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Scheme 21: Computational studies for reductive elimination at $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ intermediates

These trends at reductive elimination from $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {IV }}$ complexes were exploited to form azetidine products by modifying the iodine(III) oxidant used (Scheme 22 ). ${ }^{117}$ The use of a cyclic oxidant with a tosylate group presumably delivered a tosylated amine intermediate (121) through the same $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$-type reactivity, which intramolecularly cyclises upon amine dissociation from palladium to yield the final ring-strained product (122). Note that an $\alpha-H$ to the nitrogen was tolerated, even in oxidative reaction conditions.


Scheme 22: Synthesis of azetidines via palladium-catalysed C-H tosylation

In the diverse range of reactions described, computational and kinetic experiments determined that C-H activation proceeded through a rate-limiting CMD transition state, based on kinetic isotopic effects (KIE), and C-H cleavage was favoured at the alkyl groups nearest to the carbonyl, presumably due to electronic effects. In an attempt to induce enantioselectivity, it was investigated whether chiral additives could be effectively used as the ligated base needed for proton abstraction. The recurrent BINOL derivatives were employed as phosphate ligands to deliver good to excellent enantioselectivity when used in polar non-protic solvents, and in the absence of acetic acid, which can compete as another base for proton abstraction (124, Scheme 23). ${ }^{118}$ Interestingly, replacement of PIDA with a combination of iodine and AgOAc delivered slightly better yields and enantioselectivities, in particular when developing a desymmetrising acetoxylation reaction. ${ }^{115}$


Scheme 23: Morpholine-directed enantioselective C-H activation reactions

This class of hindered amines have been further utilised in low-valent palladium catalysis to perform arylation ${ }^{119}$ and alkenylation ${ }^{120}$ reactions, mediated by the use of a mono-protected amino acid ligands (see section 1.5 for a detailed explanation on the use of ligands in palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions), which surprisingly made C-H cleavage reversible (127 and 128, Scheme 24 ). To expand the class of substrates amenable to this strategy, our research group explored the protection of amino alcohols ${ }^{121}$ and keto acids ${ }^{122}$ to construct fully $\alpha$-substituted secondary amines capable of directing a diverse range of high-valent (acetoxylation (129), arylation (132)) and low-valent (carbonylation (130, 133), alkenylation (131, 134)), palladium-catalysed reactions.


Scheme 24: Secondary amine-directed C-H activation reactions

Despite proving that aliphatic amines can direct alkyl C-H activation reactions, the need for highly substituted $\alpha$-carbons greatly limits the applicability of the previously described methodologies. In 2016, our group uncovered a novel mechanistic pathway by which non-sterically hindered secondary amines (135) were converted into $\beta$-lactams (136) through a $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ carbonylation process. ${ }^{123}$ Scheme 25 illustrates the proposed catalytic cycle, which was supported by computational and stochiometric studies. The strong $\sigma$-donating
coordination of carbon monoxide to palladium competes with amine binding, making monoamine complex 137 with a bound carbon monoxide unit just slightly higher in energy than the resting-state bisamine complex (not shown for clarity). From monoamine complex 137, the coordination of a second amine substrate triggers the rearrangement of a carboxylate ligand to form the putative anhydride intermediate $138 .{ }^{124}$ Amine dissociation favoured by a $\mathrm{k}^{2}$-carboxylate binding prompted a nucleophilic amine attack to the carbonyl closer to the metal centre, forming carbamoyl complex 139. Unproductive nucleophilic attack to the other anhydride carbonyl is disfavoured by the use of bulky carboxylate ligands. From here, an unusual $\beta-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation can occur through the typically preferred 6-membered ring CMD transition state to generate a 5-membered ring palladacycle intermediate (140). A benzoquinone additive aided the final $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ reductive elimination event to generate the desired $\beta$-lactam products (136). To close the catalytic cycle, a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ is needed to oxidise the generated $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$. An extensive substrate scope of amines possessing multiple $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ to nitrogen was reported, and only the simplest amine substrates consisting of two linear alkyl chains (143) displayed poor reactivity, likely due to the high stability of their resultant bisamine complexes.



Scheme 25: $\beta$-Carbonylation of non-hindered aliphatic amines

The reaction possessed interesting details worth of further discussion. The fact that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation occurred through carbamoyl complex 139 implies that palladium cannot abstract an $\alpha-\mathrm{H}$ to the nitrogen to undergo $\beta-H$ elimination, even when the vacant site needed for $C-H$ cleavage is available. Presumably, the strong coordination of carbon monoxide disfavours the formation of vacant sites before the formation of intermediate 139, which avoids amine decomposition. The typically more reactive $\gamma$-methyl substituents were completely inert, thus indicating that the more classical $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}$ palladacycle is inaccessible under the reaction conditions. The reaction exhibited a reversible $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, based on proton/deuterium scrambling, with a KIE value of 1.1, suggesting that other transition states rather than $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage are rate-limiting. In fact, the reported DFT calculations suggested that the rate-limiting step of this catalytic cycle occurred in the amine nucleophilic attack to anhydride 138 to generate the key carbamoyl intermediate for $\beta-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. This evidence also disproves the direct formation of a 4-membered ring palladacycle before carbon monoxide insertion, which is observed computationally to possess a very high energetic barrier.

In subsequent publications, the carbonylation platform was further optimised to target methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in good yields and diastereoselectivities by using a key XantPhos ligand in combination with silver(I) additives (145, Scheme 26). ${ }^{125}$ Once again, only $\beta-H$, rather than $\gamma-H$, delivered the expected lactam products, strongly suggesting the formation of a carbamoyl intermediate, capable of accessing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage through the preferred 6-membered ring transition state ( $\mathbf{T S}_{9}$ ). The use of secondary amines with a fully substituted $\alpha$ carbon delivered an unusual selectivity for methylene C-H cleavage over other typically more preferred positions, such as methyl or even aryl $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. ${ }^{40}$


Scheme 26: Site selective carbonylation of aliphatic secondary amines

A third set of reaction conditions was later reported to this time target $\gamma$-methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation (146, Scheme 26). ${ }^{126}$ The optimisation process resulted in the removal of bulky carboxylic acids and benzoquinone additives essential for $\beta$-methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, while finding that electron-deficient benzoic acids favoured the formation of $\gamma$-lactam products (146) over the previously obtained ring-strained $\beta$-amides (145). Equally important is to use a non-saturated atmosphere of carbon monoxide. Stoichiometric studies elucidated a switch in the reaction mechanism, as a high concentration of carbon monoxide within the reaction media precludes direct amine binding to palladium, needed for $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation (note the difference between $\mathbf{T S}_{9}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{10}$ ).

In an attempt to develop alternative functionalisations tolerant with amines containing $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, our group explored the feasibility of 2-halobenzoic acids (148) as viable coupling partners for arylation reactions (Scheme 27). ${ }^{127}$ Extensive stoichiometric studies demonstrated that these novel reagents can react with the palladacycles obtained after $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage (150) to generate $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$-7-membered ring intermediates (152). The aryl substitution pattern on the resultant arylated products (149) determined that C-C bond formation occurred at the halogenated aryl position, while the carboxylate moiety underwent a concerted decarboxylation-reductive elimination step enabled by a once again non-innocent silver(I) additive. Upon proto-demetallation, an arylated amine product is obtained with none of the 1,2-halocarboxylic acid functionalities, making the carboxylate motif a traceless directing group to aid oxidative addition. Remarkably, stoichiometric studies proved that this assisted oxidative addition could be achieved at room temperature, while the more traditional aryl iodides were unreactive. Unfortunately, the reported amine scope was quite narrow, as reactivity was only observed in $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds with a very precise substitution pattern along the reactive carbon backbone.


Scheme 27: Carboxylate-directed $\gamma$-arylation of less hindered secondary amines

### 1.4.3. Benzylic tertiary amines in $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation

At the beginning of the present section, it was illustrated how tertiary amines inherently disfavour the formation of off-cycle bisamine complexes, thus accessing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage with more ease (see Scheme 17). Despite this, the development of a catalytic $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ functionalisation reaction using these amines as substrates has been elusive. Tertiary amine additives have been historically used in cross-coupling reactions as sacrificial oxidants to reduce a Pd" pre-catalyst to the desired Pd ${ }^{0}$ active species. ${ }^{128}$ The propensity of alkyl amines for oxidative decomposition, likely by $\beta-H$ elimination pathways, have precluded their use in alkyl C-H activation reactions. ${ }^{129}$ In fact, their decomposition has recently been exploited to synthesize aryl ketones, ${ }^{130}$ functionalised pyrazoles, ${ }^{131}$ or aryl amides. ${ }^{132}$ Nevertheless, remarkable success has been found in activating more facile $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds.

In 2007, Shi and co-workers reported the olefination of ortho-aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in benzylamine substrates through an oxidative Heck coupling with acrylates and acrylamides in good yields (Scheme 28). ${ }^{133}$ The reported substrate scope exhibited sharp contrasts, as a diverse range of functional groups, both electrondonating and electron-withdrawing were tolerated in the amine aryl ring, while the amine core, key in directing palladation, only delivered good reactivity when having two methyl substituents (compare 154 and 155). As previously reported by others, ${ }^{28}$ it was observed that no secondary or primary amine derivatives delivered any reactivity. The same group also accomplished the introduction of ester functionalities by using carbon monoxide in combination with nucleophilic alcohols (156). ${ }^{134}$ This time, the reaction suffered from low yields when carbonylation was attempted on electron-poor aryl rings. Both transformations exploited lowvalent catalytic manifolds which do not require strong oxidants typically incompatible with the amine substrate. Lastly, the recurrent silver(I) additives were not needed in these transformations as copper and oxygen could efficiently oxidise the resultant $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ to close the catalytic cycle.


Scheme 28: Seminal tertiary amine-directed palladium-catalysed $C\left(s p^{2}\right)-H$ activation reactions

Unarguably, the most exploited transformation in these amine substrates is the formation of biaryl systems through a $C\left(s p^{2}\right)-C\left(s p^{2}\right)$ bond forming arylation reaction (Scheme 29). Aryl iodides ${ }^{135}$ and aryl boron
reagents ${ }^{136,137}$ were shown to be viable coupling partners in both high-valent and low-valent catalytic manifolds, respectively. As previously observed by Shi, electron-poor aromatic rings displayed lower reaction yields in all these reactions, due the less electron-rich C-H bond. Double ortho-arylated products were commonly observed, with monofunctionalised products only being isolated in biased starting materials with 1,2- or 1,3- substitution patterns. Among all three reported reactions, Shi's delivered the highest reactions yields, used ubiquitous aryl boronic acids and provided the cheapest and most environmentally friendly reaction conditions, as no other metal additives were needed to oxidise $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$. The Shi group also noted that diminished yields were obtained at longer reaction times, indicative of the reactive nature of tertiary amines towards oxidative decomposition when using transition metals.


Scheme 29: Tertiary amine-directed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation reactions

To access a more unusual meta-C-H arylation, the Dong group exploited norbornene-mediated catalysis to form biaryl systems using aryl iodides as coupling partners (160). ${ }^{138}$ The addition of multiple additives, including high silver loadings and the catalytic amount of an arsenate ligand, is required for high reactions yields. Interestingly, a $\alpha$-methyl to the nitrogen in the benzylic methylene unit was successfully tolerated, obtaining a $64 \%$ yield of mono- and diarylated products; and arylation was successfully reported in heterocyclic pyridine and pyrrole amine substrates. As observed for ortho-functionalisation reactions, diarylated products were mainly obtained when both meta-C-H bonds were accessible, and only aryl iodides with ortho-EWG groups, such as esters, amides, or nitro functionalities delivered the desired reactivity. It was hypothesised that the presence of electron-withdrawing groups could accelerate oxidative addition of the aryl iodide through a combined electronic and weakly-directing effect.

### 1.5. Ligands in palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions

The last decade has seen the discovery and development of multiple ligands capable of dramatically enhancing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions. Most of these ligands enabled $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation in substrates displaying very electron-deficient directing functional groups, such as perfluorinated arylamides, ${ }^{15,16,139,140}$ triflyl-protected amides, ${ }^{86}$ or free carboxylic acids, ${ }^{141,142}$ among others. ${ }^{17,113}$ Nevertheless, these ligands not only enabled reactivity, but also exploited their chirality to deliver enantioenriched $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalised products. Detailed analysis of each of these ligands is out of the scope of this introduction, and this section will mainly focus on the discovery and reactivity of mono-protected amino acid (MPAA) ligands. ${ }^{143}$
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Figure 1: Ligands in palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction
The first use of MPAA ligands in palladium C-H activation was reported by Sokolov in 1978 when performing an asymmetric $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$-H activation of ferrocene $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ (Scheme 30). ${ }^{144,145}$ Based on previous findings, ${ }^{146}$ it was thought that the carboxylate ions were involved in the C-H activation transition state, leading to the hypothesis that asymmetric palladacycles could be generated in an enantioselective manner by using optically active carboxylate salts. Although little enantioselectivity was observed when using $\alpha$-hydroxy acids, $N$-acetyl-S-valine generated palladacycle $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ in a notable $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ ee. Sokolov proposed a CMD transition state where the carboxylate acts as the hydrogen abstractor while coordinated to the palladium centre ( $\mathrm{TS}_{11}$ ).


Scheme 30: Asymmetric cyclopalladation of (dimethylaminomethyl)ferrocene

Despite this early precedent, it was not until 2008 when Yu and co-workers utilised MPAA ligands to catalytically desymmetrise pyridine 163. ${ }^{147}$ The same reaction was applied to $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds but poor yields and low enantioselectivities were observed (168). Since then, other substrates, such as carboxylic acids, ${ }^{148,149}$ and protected amines, ${ }^{150,151}$ have found remarkable success at directing the desymmetrisation of $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds through both high- and low-valent palladium manifolds. Moreover, the excellent chiral relay of these ligands has even been successfully applied in the development of kinetic resolution reactions. ${ }^{152,153}$ Computational investigations have proposed that, depending on the nature of the substrate, enantioselectivity is induced by exploiting detrimental substrate-ligand interactions, or the conformational restrictions of the substrate. ${ }^{154,155}$
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Scheme 31: Enantioselective C-H activation of pyridine substrates

In 2014, Yu and co-workers reported a major breakthrough with these ligands being successfully involved in the catalytic $\gamma$-arylation of $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in triflyl-protected amines (43, Scheme 32 ). ${ }^{86}$ The N -acetyl protecting group of the ligand proved to be essential, as other protecting groups (Boc-, Fmoc-, Cbz-) delivered product 170 in significantly lower yields. No product formation was observed in the absence of ligand, evidencing that, rather than just a source of chirality, MPAA ligands can be used to enhance reactivity in alkyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions.



Scheme 32: First C(sp $\left.{ }^{3}\right)-H$ arylation on triflyl amides enabled by MPAA ligands

This finding triggered the detailed study of how MPAA ligands perform C-H bond cleavage. Although different models have been proposed over the last decade, ${ }^{145,147,154,156}$ the generally accepted hypothesis, supported by computational studies, relies on the bidentate ability of MPAA ligands to coordinate to palladium in a $\mathrm{K}^{2}$ - $(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O})$ coordination mode $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{14}\right.$, Scheme 33). ${ }^{155,157,158}$ Deprotonation of the $N$-protected amide triggers C-H activation through an inner-sphere CMD transition state, where the carbonyl of the $N$-protecting group acts as the internal base. The facile deprotonation of the amide when coordinated to palladium generates an inner-sphere strong base, accelerating $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage when compared with a weaker acetate anion. Nevertheless, the actual catalytic nature of these palladium complexes is still a subject of debate, as NMR and kinetic studies have suggested the formation in solution of dimeric palladacycles from where functionalisation favourably takes place. ${ }^{159}$

Carboxylate-type CMD


TS ${ }_{12}$
Sokolov (1979)
Richards (2009)

External acetate CMD with neutral nitrogen

Internal amidate carbonyl
CMD with anionic nitrogen


Scheme 33: Proposed mechanisms for C-H cleavage involving mono-protected amino acid ligands
These computational findings rationalised why ligands containing acetamide moieties can enhance $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions, thus becoming common features in all ligand classes (see Figure 1). On the other hand, the anionic carboxylate unit of MPAA ligands is a tuneable handle which can be transformed into oxazolines, ${ }^{16}$ amines, ${ }^{141}$ or thiols, ${ }^{142}$ among others, ${ }^{15}$ to match the coordinating properties of substrate and ligand to the palladium centre, thus maximising catalytic efficiency and asymmetric induction.

Following the success of triflyl-protected amines with MPAA ligands, the Yu group explored the enantioselective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of cyclopropane units (Scheme 34 ). Directed by the $N$-protected motif, the nature of the bicyclic palladacycle intermediate led to exclusive cis arylated products, while functionalisation was this time achieved with aryl iodides via a high-valent palladium manifold (174). ${ }^{87}$ The ligand protecting group had little influence in reactivity, and higher enantioselective values were obtained with the less common -Boc protecting group (173). Interestingly, no reactivity was observed in the absence of silver. While its role can be at first attributed as being an halide scavenger, it arises questions about its putative role during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage. The desymmetrisation of more flexible alkyl chains proved to be challenging, and poor asymmetric induction was obtained when using acetyl-ligand 172 with the prochiral protected amine $175 .{ }^{160}$ Taking
advantage of the previously discovered oxazoline ligands, ${ }^{16}$ which possess two modular chiral centres, it was possible to further optimise this transformation to afford an excellent enantioselectivity with moderate yields (178). The recently discovered desymmetrisation of unprotected primary amines has been previously discussed in section 1.4.1. Nevertheless, this reaction has been reincluded here to illustrate how MPAA ligand 172 only afforded moderate yields and enantioenrichment of arylated amine 107. ${ }^{113}$ This time, transformation of the ligand carboxylic acid handle into a thiophenol functionality delivered a better reactivity and enantioselectivity. More modest enantioselective values were observed when testing different palladium precatalysts, but also silver additives, which opens once again the path for putative bimetallic intermediates, and raises questions about the real nature of the C-H cleavage step. Other low-valent functionalisations, such as a carbonylation reaction with $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$, or an olefination with a perfluorinated alkene were attempted, but significantly lower yields were obtained.
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Scheme 34: Enantioselective $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions of primary amines

### 1.6. Alternative $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ functionalisation strategies for aliphatic tertiary amines

Previous sections have established the advances and current limitations of performing palladiumcatalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions, with particular emphasis on the amine-directed approach. Taking into account the topic of the present PhD thesis, it is also worth considering what other strategies have been developed to functionalise aliphatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in molecules containing tertiary amines. The most straightforward approach, developed in the 90s, relied on strong oxidants, either as a metal catalyst or an inorganic salt, to cleave the most accessible or most reactive $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond to access a range of oxygenated products (181, Scheme 35). ${ }^{161-166}$ To avoid oxidation of the amine functionality, acidic conditions were needed to mask the amine lone pair, which also disfavoured reactivity at the closest $\alpha-$ and $\beta-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds.
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Scheme 35: Amine-masked $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ - H functionalisation of aliphatic tertiary amines

Unfortunately, this strategy implies a few clear limitations. Firstly, the aqueous media and the nature of the oxidants has restricted functionalisation to oxygenated products only; being ketones if a methylene position is functionalised, or an alcohol, if the reactive site is a methine or methyl unit. Secondly, the reported substrate scope is significantly narrow as many functional groups are incompatible with the harsh oxidants and strong acidic conditions. Lastly, the lack of amine coordination in these systems generates little selectivity when two or more similar $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are present in the molecule, which can result in complex product mixtures and difficult isolation processes. Nevertheless, these methods can be applied to all amine classes,
not only tertiary alkylamines, providing an opportunity to rapidly functionalise alternative $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds which are further away than the typically targeted $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of palladium catalysis.

A second more elaborated strategy was developed by Hartwig using reductive borylation conditions with rhodium, ${ }^{19}$ ruthenium, ${ }^{20}$ and iridium ${ }^{23}$ (Scheme 36 ). The reaction does not involve coordination of the nitrogen Ione pair to the metal centre, and reactivity is driven by sterics. Therefore, functionalisation is not only possible in alkylamines, but also in ethers and simple alkanes. The transition metal ligated to phenanthroline is surrounded by boronate ligands except for an empty vacant site which undergoes oxidative addition into the most accessible $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of the substrate (191), hence the exclusive selectivity for methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. Reductive elimination from this intermediate readily generates the functionalised borylated amine 193. To close the catalytic cycle, $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ regenerates the active catalyst by eliminating HBPin from the iridium hydride complex 194.



Scheme 36: Iridium-catalysed borylation of tertiary amines at methyl C-H bonds

A detailed mechanistic study of the iridium-catalysed borylation reaction displayed a preferential selectivity in competition experiments for $\beta$-methyl functionalisation. Computational studies on the transition
state elucidated that a weak Lewis acid-base interaction occurs between the nitrogen substrate motif and the boron coordinated ligand which preferentially places a $\beta$-methyl $\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in close proximity for oxidative addition. Nevertheless, borylation in $\alpha-, \beta-, \gamma^{-}$, and $\delta$-methyls were all possible, and the obtained borylated products were shown either to undergo in situ cross-coupling reactivity (196), or to be isolated in the form of trifluoroborate salts (197-198). Unfortunately, the reported substrate scope is limited to the simplest alkyl amines with no appendant functional groups, as they are needed in a large excess and at high temperatures presumably to avoid polyfunctionalised products.

A third, and last approach, relies on exploiting the electron-rich nature of tertiary amines to undergo oxidation via radical pathways to furnish $\alpha$-functionalised products (200, Scheme 37). ${ }^{167-176}$ When photosensitisers are introduced in the reaction, these can absorb a photon upon light irradiation, exciting them to an energetic state from where abstraction of an electron from the amine lone pair is possible. The generated amine radical cation typically reacts through an $\alpha$-amino radical with electrophilic species, or it is further oxidised to an imine intermediate to react with nucleophiles. Nevertheless, each individual reaction may occur through alternative reaction mechanisms, in particular when exploiting photoredox catalytic cycles with iridium or ruthenium complexes. One of the main challenges of this approach is to achieve site selectivity by distinguishing between the three alkyl substituents of the amine substrate. Scheme 37 illustrates how some methods have found remarkable regioselectivity at functionalising heterocycles or methyl substituents depending on the reaction conditions used, offering complementary and orthogonal reactivity. Nevertheless, the amine substrate is typically used in excess to achieve monofunctionalised products.

The three aforementioned strategies offer complementary reactivity to forge $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}$, or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds at different positions of the amine backbone. Acid-mediated oxidation and metal-catalysed borylation processes offer reactivity at multiple C-H bonds of the amine substrate, although the functional group compatibility has been to date very limited. On the other hand, photo-mediated reactions have succeeded in offering a wide range of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reactions to forge more complex amine structures. However, the challenge of distinguishing between three different alkyl backbones limits the applicability of this strategy to simple amine coupling partners. Taken together, the functionalisation of tertiary alkylamines is an underdeveloped area of research which is in need of milder reaction conditions and lower amine loadings in order to expand its functional group compatibility and to use more elaborated amine substrates.


Scheme 37: $\alpha$-Functionalisation of aliphatic tertiary amines through radical pathways

### 1.7. Summary and outlook

Over the last 15 years, palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation has stablished itself as a young and vibrant area of research, receiving considerable attention from the synthetic chemistry community. It started with the development of biased directing groups with an extreme binding affinity for palladium, which afforded robust catalytic cycles, in particular through Pd"/Pd ${ }^{\text {IV }}$ manifolds aided by the enhanced stability of the resultant Pd ${ }^{\text {IV }}$ octahedral intermediates. ${ }^{66,67}$ It was soon noticed that these directing scaffolds afforded little synthetic applicability because of the harsh reactions conditions required in liberating the functionalised product from them. ${ }^{68-71}$ Therefore, multiple research groups attempted to find alternative directing groups with milder or orthogonal deprotection conditions, while delivering other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond forming reactions. ${ }^{39,84-91}$ More recently, much more appealing methods have disclosed the use of native functional groups to direct C-H activation reactions. Primary amines, ${ }^{109}$ secondary amines, ${ }^{114,123}$ carboxylic acids, ${ }^{177,178}$ and amides, ${ }^{179,180}$ are all now suitable directing groups in palladium-catalysed $C\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions, greatly enlarging the scope and applicability of this new reaction paradigm.

While the field steadily advanced towards the use of ubiquitous directing groups in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions, formidable efforts were also invested in the development of new ligands capable of facilitating C-H cleavage. ${ }^{86}$ The fortuitous replacement of an acetate ion by an acetamide functional group as the intramolecular base required for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage has dramatically expanded the range of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds susceptible of functionalisation. ${ }^{157}$ Most importantly, an acetamide functional group provides a new modular binding point for the development of bidentate ligands, which can induce an asymmetric $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage. ${ }^{15,16,141}$

Despite these significant advances, palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ reactions have great challenges remaining to be solved. The costs associated with using high catalyst loadings, often accompanied by stoichiometric silver additives, have limited the applicability of these reactions to milligram-scale synthesis in the context of medicinal chemistry. While there is a plethora of reactions affording $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation, particularly at incorporating aromatic rings by using aryl iodides or aryl boron reagents, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ (being X an heteroatom) bond formation is more scarce and restricted to high-valent manifolds. The use of strong oxidants and the difficulty at predicting selectivity in the reductive elimination step must be addressed to enlarge to synthetic applicability of these transformations. ${ }^{50,103,104}$ Perhaps the most fundamental problem is the inherent formation of 5-membered ring palladacycles. Reaction capable of accessing other ring sizes without using biased substrates are to date very scarce. ${ }^{40,123}$

Palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation aims to use any native functional group to direct a selective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage in any position of the molecule of interest. While very impressive breakthroughs have been reported, this premise is far from being solved. Only the future will tell if this area of research will mature to become a reliable and useful tool for synthetic chemistry and, as the ultimate consequence, for society.

Chapter 2

# Methyl $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of tertiary alkylamines via palladium catalysis 

### 2.1. Background \& Previous work

Tertiary alkylamines are prominent motifs in medicinal chemistry. ${ }^{181}$ The nucleophilicity, polarity and basic character of the nitrogen core atom provides an excellent way to modulate the lipophilicity, solubility and hydrogen-bonding properties of a drug candidate, which are essential parameters to achieve high potency and bioavailability. ${ }^{182,183}$ In particular, 6-membered heterocycles such as piperidine and piperazine provide a polar and rigid 3D structure with well-defined exit vectors amenable for further synthetic iterations in order to improve drug binding and potency. Consequently, 1 out of 4 marketed pharmaceuticals displays a tertiary alkylamine, either in the drug core structure or as an appendant hydrophilic functional group (Figure 2). ${ }^{184,185}$
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Figure 2: Selected top 100 commercial drugs by sales in 2018 containing an aliphatic tertiary amine functional group.

The prevalent use of tertiary amines in medicinal chemistry contrasts with the lack of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions applicable to this type of substrates. A few methods have successfully achieved catalytic $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation with palladium (see Section 1.4.3), while alternative strategies have targeted $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bond by masking the amine moiety with strong acidic conditions, or by using a steric-controlled approach with other transition metals (see Section 1.6.). This deficiency of palladium-mediated strategies is certainly influenced by the inability of tertiary amines to incorporate and remove exogenous directing groups which typically provide a second modular binding point to facilitate C-H cleavage (see Section 1.2.). Therefore, the potential use of tertiary amines in palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ reactions is strictly limited to the binding affinity of each particular substrate to the metal centre. This section summarises previous efforts of the author to develop a palladium-
catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation in tertiary alkylamines, these being conducted during his master's degree studies. ${ }^{186}$

Amine $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ was chosen as the model substrate targeting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation at the more facile $\gamma$-methyl unit. After C-H cleavage, a transmetalation step using aryl boron reagents (211) would lead to the formation of a C-H arylated product (212). Table 1 summarises the following key results: (a) the addition of water was crucial to enhance transmetalation (entries 1-3); (b) tamyIOH proved to be the best solvent system, working best in more diluted conditions (entries 4-7); (c) other protecting groups in the $N$-terminus apart from an acetyl unit were ineffective, as well as the use of any other amino acid residue (entries 8-10); (d) other palladium precatalysts or silver additives delivered lower reactions yields (entries 11-14); and (e) the reaction reached a plateau at 48 h with an observed $48 \%$ yield of arylated amine $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ and a remaining $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ of starting amine 210, evidencing some amine decomposition at prolonged reaction times (entry 15).


210
1.0 equiv


211
2.0 equiv
$10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ cat
20 mol\% Ligand
2.0 equiv Ag additive
0.5 equiv BQ

Solvent, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, air, 15 h


212

| Entry | Pd cat. | Ligand | Ag additive | Solvent [M] | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (equiv) | Yield 212 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.1] | 0 | 9 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.1] | 5 | 22 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.1] | 10 | 19 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 34 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | THF [0.05] | 5 | 12 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | HFIP [0.05] | 5 | 0 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF [0.05] | 5 | 18 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Boc-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 0 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Ala-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 9 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Val-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 13 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OPiv})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 25 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 25 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | AgOAc | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 19 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | AgTFA | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 0 |
| $15^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Ac-Phe-OH | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | tamylOH [0.05] | 5 | 48 |

Table 1: Initial studies towards the C-H arylation of tertiary alkylamines. ${ }^{a} 48 \mathrm{~h}$. Yield determined by GC-FID analysis.

All reaction components were essential for product formation except silver, affording 12\% yield of amine $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ in its absence. This indicated that C-H activation could be accessed without silver additives and attributes to this additive the role of oxidising $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$, thereby closing the catalytic cycle. Taken altogether, Scheme 38 illustrates the most likely catalytic cycle under this set of reactions conditions. Amine binding to palladium establishes an equilibrium between monoamine (213) and bisamine (214) complexes. Based on the seminal reports of Cope and Ryabov, ${ }^{3,28,32}$ the hindrance around the nitrogen core disfavours the formation of bisamine complexes, hence leading to palladacycle 216 with the aid of mono-protected amino acid ligands. ${ }^{86}$ After $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, transmetalation with pinacol boronic esters affords complex 217, which requires the binding of 1,4benzoquinone to promote the $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-C\left(s p^{2}\right)$ reductive elimination step leading to arylated product 212 and $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$. This is oxidised by stoichiometric silver additives to close the catalytic cycle.


Scheme 38: Proposed catalytic cycle for the palladium-catalysed C-H activation of tertiary alkylamines
The subtle amine decomposition observed under the reaction conditions prompted the study of other amine starting materials to understand if the piperidine heterocycle was a viable substrate. Product 218 displaying an acyclic amine was obtained in $41 \%$ yield, being very similar to the yields afforded by the model piperidine substrate under the same reaction conditions (Scheme 39). It was hypothesised that the putative bisamine complexes generated during the reaction were too stable, thus hampering an efficient catalytic cycle.

Amine substrates displaying appendant $\alpha$-functionalities were synthesised and subjected to the reaction conditions to observe if the presence of electron-withdrawing groups (219) or if a more sterically hindered nitrogen motif (220) could deliver a better reactivity. Unfortunately, no arylated product was obtained, indicative that the nitrogen core of these substrates was too hindered to bind effectively to palladium. Surprisingly, a one carbon contraction within the amine heterocycle did not result in product formation (221), triggering questions about the capricious reactivity of tertiary alkylamines.



Scheme 39: Exploratory work on different tertiary amine substrates

With these new results in hand, the piperidine model substrate 210 was considered a viable starting material to further explore this reaction. With no success in finding better ligands for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, efforts were focused on improving the transmetalation and reductive elimination step. Higher reaction temperatures delivered lower mass balances without a significant increase in reaction yield, and different organic and inorganic bases were screened without success. The use of less hindered boronic esters delivered similar yields and reaction rates when compared with pinacol phenyl boronic ester 211 (entries 1-3, Table 2). Aryl trifluoroborate salts proved also to be suitable coupling partners, although accurate control of the amount of water present in the reaction mixture was crucial to observe significant reactivity. On the one hand, slow hydrolysis of these reagents led to poor yields of $\gamma$-arylated amine 212 (entry 5). On the other hand, when hydrolysis occurred too fast, biphenyl formation was observed, arising from the homo cross-coupling of two aryl boron units (entry 6). Other typical arylating reagents such as aryl iodides were unreactive, suggesting the difficulty in accessing high valent mechanistic manifolds. Lastly, the simple phenyl boronic acid proved to be more reactive, achieving significant product formation in the absence of water and accompanied with significant amounts of biphenyl (entry 7). When the reaction stoichiometry was reversed (entry 12), an encouraging 47\% yield of amine 212 was observed in much shorter reaction times and using NMP as solvent, which was appealing for further studies.


Table 2: Screening of boron reagents for a C-H arylation of tertiary alkylamines. ${ }^{\text {a F Formation }}$ of biphenyl observed. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 1.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} .^{c} 2.0$ equiv of amine 210. Yield determined by GC-FID analysis.

### 2.2. Project Aims

This research aims to develop better reaction conditions to enhance the formation of $\gamma$-arylated amines through a palladium-catalysed C-H activation strategy. To do so, and taking into account the insufficient reactivity of aryl boronic esters, aryl boronic acids will be explored as viable coupling partners by trying to disfavour the formation of undesired homo cross-coupling products. Depending on the nature of the transmetalation step, the presence of electron-withdrawing or electron-donating substituents on the aryl ring should impact the rate of transmetalation, favouring either an oxopalladium or boronate pathway, respectively. ${ }^{187-189}$ As well as this, other benzoquinone or alkene derivatives should be explored due to their essential role in the reductive elimination step. Lastly, alternative amine heterocycles and acyclic substrates must be tested to assess the scope of this important component of the reaction.

### 2.3. Results \& Discussion

The focus of initial studies was to achieve good conversion to product 212 by preventing detrimental homo cross-coupling of phenyl boronic acid. Slightly lower biphenyl formation was observed when the reaction was conducted in more diluted conditions, which had a positive effect on arylated amine $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ (entries 1-3, Table 3), whereas no significant differences with the addition of water as an additive were observed (entry 4).


Table 3: Concentration screening. Reactions conducted at 0.1 mmol . Yield determined by GC-FID analysis using dodecane as internal standard.

To gain a better understanding of the reactivity of phenyl boronic acid, the reaction was monitored over time (Figure 2). Unexpectedly, the initial stages of the reaction delivered a fast and exclusive formation of biphenyl, being this rate then rapidly decreased in favour of productive $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. The initial formation of biphenyl could be attributed to the reaction set-up, as amine $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ was typically added as the last reagent to
the reaction mixture at room temperature, before placing the reaction at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a pre-heated oil bath. A second reaction was monitored by pre-stirring all reaction components except for $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ for 10 minutes at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Unfortunately, the same reaction behaviour was observed and similar yields of $\mathbf{2 1 2}$ and 222 were obtained. Even the slow addition of $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ over 3 hours using a syringe pump was attempted, but same results were obtained. If the data represented in Figure 2 is critically analysed, one can observe that the initial amount of biphenyl (15\%) is comparable to that of catalyst loading (e.g. 5 catalytic cycles leading to exclusive biphenyl formation would consume all phenyl boronic acid available). It is worth to emphasize that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ exists as a trimeric complex, both in solid state and in solution. ${ }^{190,191}$ This data suggests that the palladium precatalyst is unable to perform the desired C-H activation reaction, or its rate is outperformed by homo crosscoupling. After reductive elimination, the generated $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ can lead to the formation of palladium nanoparticles, ${ }^{192,193}$ or its oxidation to $\mathrm{Pd}^{\prime \prime}$ can deliver monomeric palladium, or bimetallic palladium-silver species, ${ }^{56-58}$ from where C-H activation is accessible and preferred. Unfortunately, the use of $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ precatalysts such as $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, or $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PtBu})_{2}$ only afforded similar or worse yields of amine 212.


Figure 2: Time study of the arylation reaction using phenyl boronic acid and reaction conditions described in entry 2, Table 3. Data determined by GC-FID using dodecane as internal standard.

If this reactivity profile is compared with that of PhBPin, the reaction is now completed in 8 h , rather than 48 h , offering wider possibilities for further reaction optimisation. Having identified the side reactivity problem of this reaction, a set of acetyl-protected amino acid ligands were revisited to understand the influence of this key component in the rate of C-H activation versus homo cross-coupling (Scheme 40). Taking acetyl phenylalanine as a reference (229), this ligand delivered 50\% of arylamine 212 and $48 \%$ of biphenyl, which slightly differs from the previous data obtained due to the use of DMF instead of NMP as solvent. Among all the ligands tested, there is a clear trend towards the formation of arylated amine when using bulkier amino acid ligands (compare from 223 to 227), perhaps indicative that the increase in sterics slows down transmetalation by preventing the approach of the boron reagent to palladium. Interestingly, acetylated tertleucine (227), a non-natural but affordable and commercial amino acid, delivered significantly better yields of
the desired amine than acetyl phenylalanine (229). Of note is that bulky ligands with $\alpha$-quaternary centres (232), or $\beta$-amino acids (233) did not deliver any desired product formation.


| ligand |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 223 | 224 | 225 | 226 | 227 |
| 0\% 212 | 18\% 212 | 26\% 212 | 40\% 212 | 44\% 212 | 58\% 212 |
| 98\% 222 | 80\% 222 | 70\% 222 | 54\% 222 | 56\% 222 | 40\% 222 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 228 | 229 | 230 | 231 | 232 | 233 |
| 23\% 212 | 50\% 212 | 32\% 212 | 37\% 212 | 0\% 212 | 0\% 212 |
| 68\% 222 | 48\% 222 | 68\% 222 | 58\% 222 | 24\% 222 | 38\% 222 |

Scheme 40: Ligand screening. Reactions conducted at 0.1 mmol. Yield determined by GC-FID analysis using dodecane as internal standard.

The use of polar aprotic solvents like DMF, NMP, or DMSO is concerning as it implies an experimental challenge when trying to extract the amine products from the reaction media. While DMF can be evaporated by using a nitrogen or air flow, solvents with higher boiling points like NMP cannot be efficiently removed Basic aqueous work-up with $10 \%$ aqueous NaOH and dichloromethane failed in extracting these solvents into the aqueous phase, and large amounts of DMF and NMP were observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the reaction crude upon evaporation of the organic chlorinated phase. After testing different organic solvents such as ethyl acetate or chloroform, treatment of the reaction mixture with $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ considerably diminished the presence of any amide solvent into the ether organic phase. Further optimisation afforded complete removal of DMF or NMP by washing an ether organic layer three times with aqueous NaOH (1\%). The resultant reaction crudes could be easily analysed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard to deliver statistically identical yields to those previously observed by GC-FID.

Having found a viable procedure for the isolation of the resultant $\gamma$-arylated amines, reaction optimisation was resumed using acetyl tert-leucine (227) as the ligand and NMP as the reaction solvent. Fine tuning of the ligand loading (entries 1-5, Table 4) in NMP greatly diminished the formation of biphenyl to
deliver a highly appealing $71 \%$ yield of amine 212, this being further enhanced to $76 \%$ yield when using 2 equivalents of the 1,4-benzoquinone additive (entries 6-8). Nevertheless, when the conditions of entry 7 were scaled to 0.3 mmol scale, a more modest $56 \%$ yield of amine 212 was obtained (entry 9). After reproducibility errors were discarded, attention was focused on the different reaction set up. Reactions on a 0.1 mmol scale were conducted in a 2-5 mL microwave round bottom vial, while 0.3 mmol scale reactions were assembled in a $10-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ microwave round bottom vial. The use of insoluble $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as oxidant generated an heterogeneous solution susceptible to irreproducibility problems and mass-transfer limitations. ${ }^{55}$ Systematic analysis of the reaction flask, size of stirring bar, and speed of the reaction stirring, led to a reproducible and enhanced yield of $84 \%$ when increasing the loading of starting amine and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (entry 11). At this point, the reaction was considered to be optimised and this set of reaction conditions was used to assess the scope of the reaction.


Table 4: Optimisation of reaction parameters using ligand 227. Reactions conducted at 0.1 mmol. aReactions conducted at 0.3 mmol. Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as internal standard.

Control experiments determined that palladium (entry 1, Table 5), ligand (entry 2) and 1,4-benzoquinone (entry 3) were essential components of the reaction. Benzoquinone is a common additive in low valent palladium C-H activation reactions as it is known to facilitate reductive elimination from palladium(II), ${ }^{194-197}$ hence the lack of product or even biphenyl formation. The absence of silver additives did afford product conversion comparable to that of catalyst loading (entry 4), suggesting that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage can be accessed
without silver, and its role is limited to the oxidation of palladium(0). Nevertheless, further experiments were conducted to better elucidate the role of silver and benzoquinone in the reaction, as this later additive is also known to oxidise palladium $(0)$ in the presence of $\mathrm{O}_{2} .{ }^{198,199}$ Reactions with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of benzoquinone afforded significant catalyst turnover, even in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, proving that benzoquinone is not consumed within the reaction and its role as the terminal oxidant is unlikely (entries $5-6$ ). Nevertheless, a superstoichiometric amount of this additive can replace $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ when using an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ atmosphere (entry 7). A remarkable $40 \%$ yield was obtained in the absence of silver(I), which evidenced that bimetallic silver-palladium species are not required for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, and it opens the path to the development of a cheaper, greener and more atomeconomical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction.

| $25 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Tle}-\mathrm{OH}$ <br> 1.0 equiv $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 210 <br> .5 equiv | 2.5 equiv $\mathrm{Ag}_{2}$ NMP, 50 | , 2.0 equiv $B Q$ air, 15 h | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ |  | 222 <br> 13\% |
| Entry | Ligand (mol\%) | $B Q$ (equiv) | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (equiv) | atmosphere | Yield 212 (\%) | Yield 222 (\%) |
| $1^{\text {a }}$ | 25 | 2.0 | 2.5 | air | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | air | 0 | 95 |
| 3 | 25 | 0 | 2.5 | air | 0 | 6 |
| 4 | 25 | 2.0 | 0 | air | 22 | 7 |
| 5 | 25 | 0.1 | 2.5 | air | 39 | 18 |
| 6 | 25 | 0.1 | 2.5 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 37 | 19 |
| 7 | 25 | 2.0 | 0 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 40 | 20 |

Table 5: Control experiments. Reactions conducted at 0.1 mmol . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Absence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as internal standard.

Having established optimal conditions for $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arylation, attention was focused towards the scope of the amine component (Scheme 41). $N$-propyl piperidine scaffolds bearing different functionalities on the heterocycle underwent efficient $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation to the desired products (234-242) in generally good yields. It was noticeable that the yields of product were slightly reduced in the presence of electron-withdrawing substituents on the heterocycle (compare from $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ to $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ ), which may reflect attenuated binding of the amine to the Pd" catalyst brought about by the inductive effect of the remote functionality. The use of native functionalities to direct $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation makes this strategy highly substrate dependant. For instance, altering the substitution pattern of an $N$-protected nitrogen in the piperidine ring can deliver good yields of the arylated amine (234), or become a completely unreactive substrate (243), likely due to bidentate binding of
substrate to palladium when the 3 -substituted functional group is in the axial conformation. $\beta$-Substituents in the propyl chain were also tolerated (244) if these are not strong electron-withdrawing groups (245), which hampers amine binding to palladium and removes electron density from the cleavable $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. Interestingly, a substrate with the targeted $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bond in a 3-methyl substituent on the heterocycle underwent arylation to the 3-benzyl-piperidine derivative 247 . This challenging cyclopalladation must have involved metal binding to the axial lone pair of the piperidine nitrogen and with the reacting methyl group also projected in the axial position, hence the better reactivity when having larger $N$-alkyl substituents (246). The reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond can also be located in a 2-ethyl substituent on the piperidine ring, producing amine $\mathbf{2 4 8}$ in more synthetically useful yields. Unfortunately, substrates bearing $\alpha$-substituents in the $N$-propyl chain did not deliver any reactivity (219).

Other heterocyclic amine scaffolds were tested to understand their reactivity profile (249-257). N-Propyl tetrahydroisoquinoline delivered poor yields due to substrate decomposition (249), as it is known that the benzylic C-H bonds of this bicyclic amine are highly susceptible to oxidation. ${ }^{200}$ Heterocycles containing other heteroatoms, such as morpholines (250-251) or protected piperazines (252-253), afforded synthetically useful yields of their corresponding $\gamma$-arylated amines. The significant decrease in yield can be attributed to the deleterious bidentate binding of substrate to palladium, in combination with the presence of electronegative atoms close to the metal-directing nitrogen. Thiomorpholine (254) was unreactive due to the superior affinity of sulphur atoms for palladium binding, leaving no empty vacant sites for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage. Products $\mathbf{2 5 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ exemplify once again the sharp difference in reactivity between a priori similar amine substrates. While an azepane ring did not deliver any product formation, Boc-protected diazepane led to its corresponding $\gamma$-arylated amine 256 in 45\% yield. Lastly, pyrrolidine scaffolds delivered poor reactivity due to competing $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination (257).

Acyclic scaffolds can contain up to eight C-H bonds adjacent to nitrogen, which means they are especially prone to $\beta$-hydride elimination on complexation with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ salts. Gratifyingly, a range of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dialkylamine derivatives smoothly reacted to form amines 258-267 in good yield, without observing any deleterious amine decomposition. Amines possessing a $N$-methyl substituent delivered excellent product formation (258-259), while diethylpropylamine, a substrate having extended alkyl substituents with a high degree of freedom, delivered significantly lower yields (260). This can be attributed to unfavourable binding to palladium due to its bulkiness and multiple conformations, hindering the amine lone pair. This time, acyclic amines containing $\alpha$-substituents performed well to deliver the expected arylated amine in excellent yields (261-262). As well as this, tertiary alkylamines with $\beta$-substituents, such as esters (263) or protected alcohols (264-265), were also converted to their corresponding functionalised products. Finally, a competition experiment was conducted to assess $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ versus $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation. As expected, amine $\mathbf{2 6 7}$, arising from aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, was exclusively obtained.
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Scheme 41: Palladium-catalysed $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of tertiary alkylamines. Scope of the amine component. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conducted by Dr. Azuma. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Conducted by Dr. Nappi

The scope outlined in Scheme 41 evidenced the capricious reactivity of some amine substrates, affording little or no reactivity mainly due to substrate decomposition via $\beta-H$ elimination, or deleterious bidentate binding of substrate to palladium. Heteroaromatic functionalities are pivotal in medicinal chemistry. ${ }^{181}$ However, the strong binding affinity of these structures to palladium can override amine coordination to the same metal. ${ }^{201}$ To study this effect more in detail, a range of tertiary alkylamines with appendant heteroaromatic functionalities were synthesised and tested in the reaction conditions (Scheme 42). Product 268, displaying a functionalised pyrimidine, was not accessible despite the presence of the moderately electron-withdrawing effect of a chlorine substituent. Nevertheless, methylation of the secondary amine disfavoured palladium coordination by steric hindrance, affording a more promising $60 \%$ yield of amine 269. Noteworthy was the $34 \%$ yield obtained for piperidine 270, displaying an appendant $N$-substituted pyrazole with a typically reactive bromine functionality. The low yields obtained were attributed to competing binding to palladium, rather than side reactivity at the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond. An analogue amine substrate to $\mathbf{2 6 8}$ was synthesised containing a 2 -substituted pyridine. Again, no reactivity was observed due to the favourable binding of the heteroaromatic motif to palladium (271). This time, the introduction of a strongly electron-withdrawing group removed enough electron-density of the heteroaromatic ring to deliver a productive $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arylation reaction directed by the tertiary amine functionality (272).
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Scheme 42: Scope of tertiary amines displaying heteroaromatic substituents or drug scaffolds. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol. aConducted by Dr. Azuma.

To finish with the amine scope, a series of $N$-propyl derivatives of pharmaceuticals were submitted to the reaction conditions. $N$-Propyl ciprofloxacin delivered moderate reactivity, in line with previous piperazine substrates, and despite the presence of Michael acceptors and cyclopropane rings (273). N-Propyl tecastemizole was unreactive (274), in line with the previously observed heteroaromatic trends. On the other hand, donepezil and fluoxetine derivates both afforded good conversion to the expected $\gamma$-arylated products (275-276).

Next, the scope of the aryl-boronic acid coupling partner was evaluated. Aryl groups displaying electronwithdrawing substituents in meta- and para-positions delivered good yields to form the corresponding $\gamma$-aryl alkylamine products (277-282, Scheme 43). Again, palladium-sensitive functionalities such as aryl bromides (280-281) were successfully tolerated with no observed reactivity at these positions. Arenes with electrondonating substituents could be also incorporated into the amine backbone, although it was noticeable the subtle loss in yield when trying to couple highly electron-rich aromatic rings due to competing homo crosscoupling to form biaryl side products (283-287). On the other hand, ortho- substituents afforded little to no reactivity (288). In pursuit of making this reaction amenable to the incorporation of heteroaromatic rings, a wide range of commercially available heteroaromatic boronic acids were tested as potential coupling partners. As predicted, electron-rich pyridine-type substrates were found to be unreactive (289 and 292). However, 2 substituted pyridines with electron-withdrawing groups afforded product formation in moderate to good yields (290-291, 293-295). Subtle differences were encountered using boronic acids with different substitution pattern. Pyridines with 4-substituted boron motifs delivered higher yields and in the presence of more moderate electron-withdrawing groups. Other heteroaromatic rings such as pyrimidines (296-297) or $N$-protected pyrazoles (298) failed to deliver any reactivity. The more electron-rich thiophene ring afforded product formation (299), accompanied with protodeboronation and homo cross-coupling. Indoles with the boron functionality at the 3 -position delivered no reactivity, but product $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ could obtained in a $66 \%$ yield starting from the 5-substituted analogue.

The tricyclic antidepressant trimipramine, which is used to treat major depressive disorders, was also an excellent substrate for the arylation process, affording $\gamma$-(hetero)aryl tertiary alkylamine derivatives in excellent yield (301-303); 90\% of the unreacted excess amine starting material can be recovered, further demonstrating the controlling in selectivity between $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation and other potentially competing pathways. The success of this transformation demonstrates the potential of its application as a tool for latestage functionalisation of pharmaceutical agents; many different aryl groups could be transferred to already biologically active molecules, producing previously unexplored candidates that would require multistep syntheses to prepare by traditional means.
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Scheme 43: Scope of the (hetero)aryl boronic acid component and late-stage functionalisation of trimipramine. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol . ${ }^{\text {a Conducted by Dr. Azuma. }}$

After an extensive scope of the amine and boronic acid components of the reaction, the following conclusions can be made: i) acyclic amines and 6-membered ring heterocycles, including piperidine, piperazine, and morpholine, can efficiently direct cyclopalladation to access their corresponding $\gamma$-arylated products; ii) the presence of strong electron-withdrawing groups in close proximity to the directing nitrogen can have a detrimental effect in reactivity; iii) pyrrolidine substrates delivered poor reactivity, while 7membered ring heterocycles can afford moderate reactivity depending on the substitution pattern; iv) electron-poor heteroaromatic structures can be tolerated both in the amine backbone and as coupling partners; v) aromatic boronic acids displaying meta- or para- substituents are viable coupling partners, with yields being more moderate when the ipso- carbon to boron is highly electron-rich. These findings were encapsulated in a scientific publication at the beginning of 2020. ${ }^{202}$

In an attempt to better understand the fundamental steps of this new reaction, acyclic amine 305 was synthesised with the reacting $\gamma$-methyl deuterated. Using the hydrogenated and deuterated analogues of this amine, the kinetic rates in the initial stages of the reaction were measured by taking aliquots over time. Reactions where followed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR using 1,4-dimethoxybenzene as an internal standard, an additive which showed no difference in reactivity. DMA was used as the reaction solvent to facilitate interpretation of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, performing with no significant difference in yield compared with NMP. The monitoring of independent reactions flasks led to an observed KIE of 2.53 , thus indicating that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage is the ratedetermining step of the reaction (Scheme 44). KIE arising from the rate measurement of independent reaction flasks can imply errors in the reaction set up, variable induction periods, catalyst decomposition, or the presence of impurities in one of the amine substrates. ${ }^{203}$ To further validate these findings, a new large KIE of 3.85 was obtained by measuring the rate constants of both amines in the same reaction flask, thus evidencing the clear inferior reactivity of the isotopic amine variant. In fact, submitting amine 305 to the standard reaction conditions could only deliver a very modest $33 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR yield of the $\gamma$-deuterated arylated amine after 15 h .

Previous control experiments indicated that silver(I) additives were not involved during C-H cleavage because significant product formation was observed in their absence. To further corroborate this hypothesis, and to gain a better insight into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleaving step, KIE experiments were conducted without $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. If silver is not involved during C-H activation, an observed KIE with very similar values of 2.53 and 3.85 would be expected. However, the reaction rate without $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ displayed a KIE of 1.14, indicating a strong switch in mechanism, and suggesting that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage is now likely not involved in the rate-limiting step. Taking into account the ability of 1,4-benzoquinone to catalyse the oxidation of palladium(0) with oxygen, ${ }^{199}$ seems reasonable to hypothesise that this stage of the catalytic cycle, not aided now by silver(I) additives, has become the rate-limiting step of the reaction.


Scheme 44: Kinetic isotopic experiments of the palladium-catalysed $C\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation of tertiary alkylamines. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol. Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane analysis using dodecane as internal standard.

To gain insight into the irreversibility of C-H cleavage, amine 304 was submitted to the reaction conditions using DMF- $d_{7}$ in combination with 5 equiv of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and in the absence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. Although a low yield was obtained, no deuterium incorporation was observed in any of the $\gamma$-positions available, thus suggesting the irreversible nature of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage even under conditions where this is not a rate-determining step. ${ }^{120}$


Scheme 45: Isotopic labelling experiments of the palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation of tertiary alkylamines. Reaction conducted at 0.05 mmol . Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as internal standard.

In parallel with these findings, and inspired by the recent discovery of bulky benzoquinones as enhanced ligands for high palladium turnovers in $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions, ${ }^{199}$ dimethylpropylamine 307 was chosen as the new model substrate to optimise a greener set of reaction conditions with lower palladium loadings and in the absence of other metal additives. Removal of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ from the previously reported standard reaction conditions delivered a $52 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ yield of the expected arylated amine 258 (entry 1, Table 6), comparable to the $40 \%$ yield observed during control experiments for arylated piperidine 212 (Table 5).

Counterintuitively, the use of half catalyst loading with its corresponding reduction in the amount of ligand delivered the same reaction yield, accounting for 11 catalyst turnovers (entry 2 ). The use of slightly higher reaction temperatures in combination with longer reaction times increased the yield to $74 \%$ (entry 3 ), a value not so different to the previously reported in Scheme 41, but achieved with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and without silver additives. It was attempted to maximise catalyst turnover by assessing the most suitable amount of ligand loading with 1 mol\% of Pd catalyst (entries 6-9). Little differences in yield were observed, with values between $13 \%$ to $17 \%$, which directly correlates to 13 to 17 catalyst turnovers. Nevertheless, a reaction conducted on a 0.3 mmol scale and in a $10-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ microwave round bottom vial afforded 27 catalyst turnovers, perhaps indicative of a better solvent oxygenation over the prolonged reaction times (entry 10). The use of catalytic amounts of 1,4-benzoquinone afforded greater product formation compared to the loading of this additive, proving that it is not consumed within the reaction conditions and oxygen acts as the terminal oxidant of the reaction (entries 11-12).


| Entry | Pd (mol\%) | ligand (mol\%) | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | BQ (equiv) | t (h) | atmosphere | Yield 258 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 2.0 | 15 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 52 |
| 2 | 5 | 10 | 50 | 2.0 | 15 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 55 |
| 3 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 2.0 | 15 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 68 |
| 4 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 2.0 | 24 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 74 |
| 5 | 2 | 5 | 60 | 2.0 | 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 32 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 2.0 | 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 13 |
| 7 | 1 | 2 | 60 | 2.0 | 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 15 |
| 8 | 1 | 5 | 60 | 2.0 | 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 17 |
| 9 | 1 | 10 | 60 | 2.0 | 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 15 |
| $10^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 5 | 60 | 2.0 | 48 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 27 |
| 11 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 0.1 | 24 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 25 |
| 12 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 0.5 | 24 | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 60 |
| 13 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 2.0 | 24 | air | 72 |
| 14 | 5 | 10 | 60 | 2.0 | 24 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 71 |
| 15 | 10 | 20 | 60 | 2.0 | 15 | $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 82 |

Table 6: Discovery of 1,4-benzoquinone as an effective terminal oxidant for tertiary amine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions. Reactions conducted at 0.2 mmol . a Reaction conducted at 0.3 mmol in a $10-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ microwave round bottom vial. Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as internal standard.

Control experiments obtained under these new reaction conditions were particularly noteworthy, delivering the same reaction performance in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, and with degassed solvents (entries 14-15). This is a rare example of a palladium-mediated $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ activation reaction using 1,4-benzoquinone as the sole and terminal oxidant. Basic electron-rich and $\sigma$-donating amine substrates may favour direct reduction of 1,4-benzoquinone by the palladium metal centre, a proposal which must be explored in the near future. ${ }^{204}$ To further corroborate this result, acyclic amine 304 was submitted to the reaction conditions of entry 15 , delivering a comparable 75\% yield of arylated amine 259.

Deprotonation of the acetamide functional group of the ligand is key to generate the intramolecular base needed for C-H cleavage. Hence, replacement of silver carbonate by other cheaper inorganic bases may favour product formation. Two equivalents of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{KHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{CsHCO}_{3}$ were added to independent reactions using conditions of entry 8, but lower yields were obtained in all cases. Future studies should explore alternative palladium precatalysts, amino acid ligands, solvents and higher reaction temperatures to further optimise this highly appealing transformation.

In an attempt to find a better oxidant, a diverse range of functionalised quinones and alkenes were tested in the presence of an oxygen atmosphere at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as it is unsure that other quinones can benefit from the same unique reactivity of 1,4 -benzoquinone under $N_{2}$. A range of 1,4-quinones with alkyl substituents differing in bulkiness and substitution pattern were tested under the silver-free reaction conditions, but inferior yields were obtained in all cases with no particular trend among the different additives (309-315, Scheme 46). Electron-rich quinones did not show any significant improvement, and their results are comparable to their alkyl quinone analogues (317-318). On the other hand, electron-deficient halogenated quinones failed to deliver any arylated product, causing the reaction to turn black within seconds (319-321). Lastly, a range of simple electron-poor alkenes were tested. While cis isomers did not deliver any reactivity (325-326), the corresponding trans derivatives afforded some success: 6 turnovers were observed when using diethyl fumarate (323). It is worth mentioning that trans alkenes presumably require oxygen as the terminal oxidant, and reactions conducted at higher reaction pressures and temperature may benefit from a higher turnover. ${ }^{199}$
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Scheme 46: Alkene screening for a silver-free palladium-catalysed $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ arylation of tertiary alkylamines. Reaction conducted at 0.2 mmol . Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as internal standard.

The ability of fumarate derivatives to impact on the palladium oxidation step opens the possibility to modulate the alkene binding properties by sequentially modifying both of the esters groups to access a range of electronically diverse conjugated alkenes displaying esters, amides, or ketones derivatives. The combined used of 1,4-benzoquinones with fumarate derivatives cannot be discarded, while no other palladium precatalysts, amino acids ligands, solvents or temperatures were screened. Overall, these preliminary results provide a solid foundation that a greener, cheaper, and more atom-economical native amine-directed $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ activation reaction is possible and appealing for further studies.

### 2.4. Computational findings

The previous section exemplified the reactivity encountered among different tertiary alkylamine substrates. Acyclic amines and piperidines delivered excellent conversions, but other 6 -membered ring heterocycles afforded more modest results; pyrrolidine scaffolds displayed little conversion, an azepane units showed no conversion to the desired arylated amine. Taking into account that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation is the ratelimiting step of the reaction under the conditions employed for the amine scope of Scheme 41, computational studies were conducted to understand what differences in binding and reactivity are encountered between all these heterocyclic amines in the transition state leading to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage.

All calculations were made using the Gaussian 16 (Revision A.03) program. ${ }^{205}$ All geometries were optimised at the B3LYP-D3BJ/[6-31G(d,p)/Lanl2dz(Pd)] level of theory at 323.15 K and accounting for solvation effects using the self-consistent reaction field polarizable continuum model (IEF-PCM) in DMF. Single-point energies of the optimised structures were calculated at the B3LYP-D3BJ/[6-311+G(2d,p)/SDD(Pd)] level of theory, accounting for solvation as above. These functionals and basis sets have been employed in Pdcatalysed C-H activation previously. ${ }^{155,157,206}$ Dispersion was accounted for using Grimme's DFT-D3 scheme ${ }^{207}$ with Becke-Johnson damping. ${ }^{208}$ To confirm that the obtained transition states reside along a relevant reaction coordinate, IRC-calculations were undertaken for selected transition states. ${ }^{209}$ Basis-set superposition error (BSSE) was assumed to be negligible for the large basis sets employed as indicated by previous studies. ${ }^{210}$

For its simplicity, acyclic amine $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ was chosen as the model substrate. Surprisingly, tertiary alkylamines still display a strong affinity for the formation of a bisamine complex (327) against their corresponding monoamine analogue (328), and this energy difference is comparable to hindered secondary amines (Scheme 47). ${ }^{116,123}$ From the unsaturated monoamine complex, an agostic complex with a resting energy of +18.8 $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (not shown) precedes the transition state leading to $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation through the widely accepted concerted-metalation deprotonation (CMD) mechanism $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{15}\right)^{33-35}$ This transition state displays a conformation similar to a chair-like structure between palladium, nitrogen, the 3-carbon backbone, and hydrogen to minimise steric interactions between the hydrogens of the $N$-propyl chain. According to the Eyring equation, an overall energy barrier of $30.8 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ should be typically possible to overcome at temperatures around $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, delivering significant product formation in 15 h . Nevertheless, the corresponding arylated amine product was never observed in the absence of ligand. Assuming that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation is also the ratelimiting step of the reaction in the absence of an amino acid ligand, it was hypothesised that another reaction proceeding through a lower transition state was hampering $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation.

Tertiary alkylamines were used by Heck in the 70s as sacrificial reductants for the in situ reduction of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ to $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$, stating that the finely divided metal obtained from this process outperformed $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ precatalysts. ${ }^{128}$ This redox process was expected to proceed through a $\beta$-H elimination pathway upon binding
of the amine additive to the palladium metal centre, resulting in the generation of an imine intermediate. In fact, this by-product has been exploited by others to achieve alternative palladium-catalysed transformations. ${ }^{130-132,211}$ It was considered that the decomposition of amine $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ through $\beta-H$ elimination could also arise from monoamine complex 328, becoming a deleterious competing pathway.


Bisamine complex
Scheme 47: Ligandless pathway for the $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of acyclic amine 307. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

It was found that an acetate-mediated CMD transition state is also operative during $\beta-H$ elimination, where a concerted redox process reduces the metal centre without the formation of a $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{H}$ intermediate ( $\mathrm{TS}_{16}$ ). Amine decomposition was assessed with both alkyl substituents, finding that formation of the a priori more stable imine product, arising from $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage at the $N$-propyl chain, proceeded through a higher transition state ( $\mathbf{T S}_{17}$ ). This is the case because $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage occurs through a flat 4-membered ring TS between palladium, nitrogen, carbon and hydrogen, causing the amine backbone to adopt an eclipsed conformation through the reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond with greater torsional strain in $\mathrm{TS}_{17}$ than in the abstraction of
the $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in a $N$-methyl substituent ( $\mathrm{TS}_{16}$ ). The dihedral angle between palladium, nitrogen, carbon and the cleavable hydrogen is an excellent descriptor of this effect (not shown in Scheme 47 to avoid confusion with the reported dihedral angle for acetate and palladium). While $\mathbf{T S}_{16}$ displays an angle of $0.8^{\circ}, \mathbf{T S}_{17}$ occurs through a less favourable $12.5^{\circ}$, indicative of a poorer orbital overlap in the arising imine $\pi$-bond, and resulting in a difference of $+1.5 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ between both transition states. The lack of reactivity in the absence of ligand can therefore be attributed to $\beta-H$ elimination possessing a lower transition state than $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, thus leading to substrate decomposition rather than to the formation of the desired palladacycle.

The same reaction pathway and transition states were calculated replacing both acetate anions by the acetyl-tert-leucine ligand, to study if the ligand can differentiate between these two base-mediated processes. As previously observed by others, the use of mono-protected amino acid (MPAA) ligands in C-H activation is believed to occur through a bidentate coordination mode (see Section 1.5.). ${ }^{155,157,158}$ Addition of this ligand to monoamine complex 328 resulted in a significantly enhanced stability where one of the acetates remains bound to palladium while displaying hydrogen-bonding to the N-H bond of the acetamide (329, Scheme 48). Interestingly, this monoamine-ligand complex can compete with the stability of bisamine complexes, displaying an energy difference of only $+0.4 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ between them. Intramolecular ligand deprotonation leads to complex 330 while releasing acetic acid and generating a vacant site. This can form an agostic complex with a $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond and with an energy resting state of $+18.3 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (not shown) leading to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation ( $\mathbf{T S}_{18}$ ), or it can be used to access the same concerted transition state of $\beta$-H elimination, thus leading to amine decomposition ( $\mathbf{T S}_{19}$ and $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 0}}$ ).

As it could be anticipated, the replacement of an acetate anion by a stronger acetamide base facilitates $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, decreasing the barrier for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation by $-4.5 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. However, the same strong base through the same CMD pathway resulted in a subtle increase of the energy barrier for transition states leading to $\beta-H$ elimination ( $\mathbf{T S}_{19}$ and $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 0}}$ ). The amine conformations in the transition states of Scheme 48 are identical to those of Scheme 47, and puts the spotlight on the geometrical constraints of the ligand. After careful analysis of the atomic distances between $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{H}$, the cleaved $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$, and the formed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ from $\mathrm{TS}_{15}$ to $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 0}}$, only absolute differences below $0.05 \AA$ were observed, which cannot be the origin of such divergent behaviour in the energy barriers. On the other hand, a difference was noted in the dihedral angle between acetate and/or acetamide with palladium. These are significantly similar for transition states leading to $\mathrm{y}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation ( $9.6^{\circ}$ for $\mathbf{T S}_{15}$ compared to $11.7^{\circ}$ for $\mathbf{T S}_{18}$ ), but differ in transition states leading to $\beta-H$ elimination ( $0.4^{\circ}$ for $\mathbf{T S}_{16}$ compared to $8.8^{\circ}$ for $\mathbf{T S}_{19}$ ). Acetate anions possess a high degree of freedom, adapting themselves to the most favourable amine conformation in order to maximise orbital overlap between hydrogen, oxygen, and palladium, but the acetamide motif is dependant of the amino acid backbone. The bulky tert-butyl substituent of the ligand is favourably positioned above the palladium complex to minimise steric interactions, which displaces the acetamide moiety below the palladium complex due to the planar
geometry of the deprotonated nitrogen. In fact, complex 330, arising after acetamide deprotonation, displays a dihedral angle of $17.4^{\circ}$. This restriction in mobility is scarcely noticeable during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, where the liberated acetate base performs $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage from an angle of $9.6^{\circ}$. However, $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage occurs through a coplanar 4-membered ring TS between palladium, nitrogen, carbon and hydrogen, which positions the acetate base in a negligible angle of $0.4^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{T S}_{16}\right)$. This optimal geometry for $\beta-H$ elimination is now inaccessible for the acetamide of the ligand, occurring through a more distorted angle of $8.8^{\circ}$, and causing the energy barrier to be increased by $+1.8 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from $\mathrm{TS}_{16}$ to $\mathrm{TS}_{19}$ despite using a stronger base for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage. Overall, the transition state leading to ligand-assisted $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation ( $\mathrm{TS}_{18}$ ) possesses the lowest energy barrier and a difference of $-5.7 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ compared with its $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination analogue ( $\mathrm{TS}_{19}$ ), hence leading to product formation.


Amine-ligand complex
Scheme 48: Ligand-enabled $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of acyclic amine 307. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Table 7 summarises all the aforementioned angle and energy differences to facilitate direct comparison between them.

|  |  |  Dihedral angle $(\varphi)$ <br> Base $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetate <br>  $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetamide |  | Dihedral angle ( $\varphi$ ) $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TS ${ }_{15}$ | OAc | $9.6{ }^{\circ}$ | - | +30.8 |
|  | TS $\mathbf{1 8}$ | -NHAc | $11.7^{\circ}$ | - | +26.3 |
| $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elim. | TS ${ }_{16}$ | OAc | $0.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $0.8^{\circ}$ | +30.2 |
|  | TS ${ }_{17}$ | OAc | $1.3{ }^{\circ}$ | $12.5{ }^{\circ}$ | +31.7 |
|  | TS ${ }_{19}$ | -NHAC | $8.8{ }^{\circ}$ | $10.4{ }^{\circ}$ | +32.0 |
|  | TS 20 | -NHAc | $8.5^{\circ}$ | $18.2^{\circ}$ | +32.6 |

Table 7: Comparison of the transition states of acyclic amine $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ using acetyl-tert-leucine as a ligand.

To corroborate the influence of the tert-butyl substituent of the ligand in $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination through the detrimental positioning of the acetamide base, the same intermediates and transition states were calculated for acetyl-alanine as a ligand, expecting that the less bulky methyl substituent of this residue would lower the energy barriers for $\beta-H$ elimination.


Scheme 49: Acetyl-alanine as a ligand for $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of acyclic amine 307. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Indeed, it was found that the less restrictive methyl substituent favours a better coplanarity of the acetamide base with the palladium complex, resulting in lower dihedral angles and lower energy barriers for the analogue transition states leading to $\beta-H$ elimination ( $\mathbf{T S}_{19 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 0 a}}$ ). The already favourable dihedral angle for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation exhibits no significant differences ( $\mathrm{TS}_{18 \mathrm{a}}$ ), thus leading to a decrease in the energy difference between $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation and $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination from $-5.7 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (for acetyl-tert-leucine ligand) to $-3.7 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (for acetyl-alanine ligand). To facilitate direct comparison between both ligands, Table 8 summarises all the aforementioned angle and energy differences for acetyl-alanine as the ligand of choice.

|  |  | Base | Dihedral angle ( $\varphi$ ) <br> $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetate <br> $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetamide | Dihedral angle ( $\varphi$ ) $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-H act. | $\mathrm{TS}_{15}$ | OAc | $9.6{ }^{\circ}$ | - | +30.8 |
|  | TS ${ }_{18 \mathrm{a}}$ | -NHAc | $10.6{ }^{\circ}$ | - | +26.9 |
| $\beta$-H elim. | TS ${ }_{16}$ | OAc | $0.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $0.8^{\circ}$ | +30.2 |
|  | TS ${ }_{17}$ | OAc | $1.3^{\circ}$ | $12.5{ }^{\circ}$ | +31.7 |
|  | TS ${ }_{19 \mathrm{a}}$ | -NHAC | $3.4{ }^{\circ}$ | $4.5^{\circ}$ | +30.6 |
|  | TS $20 a$ | -NHAc | $4.1^{\circ}$ | $12.8{ }^{\circ}$ | +32.0 |

Table 8: Comparison of the transition states of acyclic amine $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ using acetyl-alanine as a ligand.

Overall, these findings provide a solid hypothesis of how $\beta-H$ elimination can be disfavoured when using acetylated amino acid ligands. The proposed model supports that (a) $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of tertiary alkylamines occurs through a concerted-metalation deprotonation (CMD) transition state in the presence of acetates or the acetamide of the ligand, as observed by others for alternative substrates; ${ }^{33-35,155,157,158}$ (b) $\beta$-H elimination is a competing reaction pathway which also occurs through a CMD transition state when using intramolecular bases such as acetate or acetamide, without any evidence for the formation of a Pd-H intermediate; ${ }^{212}$ (c) the ligand $\alpha$-side chain restricts the mobility of the acetamide base and it positions it out of the plane of geometry of the palladium complex. This has a positive impact in $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, benefiting from the use of a stronger intramolecular base, but impedes the abstraction of $\alpha-C-H$ bonds through a $\beta-H$ elimination process; (d) from the multiple $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds susceptible to amine decomposition, $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination occurs more readily through transition states where an excellent orbital overlap between $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ and the broken $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond can be achieved without torsional strain in the amine backbone.

To further validate this model, and to assess if these conclusions are valid for other amine substrates, the same transition states were calculated for the experimental model substrate $N$-propyl piperidine (210). The use of piperidine as the coordinating nitrogen incorporates another variable to the calculations because palladium can coordinate to the nitrogen lone pair through an axial or equatorial binding. Scheme 50 displays the most relevant transition states obtained for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation through acetate and ligand mediated pathways. It is quickly noticeable that the energy barriers for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation using an acetate base are
significantly higher in energy than for acyclic amine 307. With C-H cleavage proceeding through a chair-like conformation, the nitrogen atom of the amine is briefly forming a highly restricted spirocycle with no room to minimise detrimental interactions. Comparison between ligandless $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{1 5}}, \mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 1}}$, and $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ delivers a distance of $2.44 \AA, 2.23 \AA$, and $2.20 \AA$ A respectively, between the oxygen atom of the acetate bounded to palladium and the closest $\alpha$ - or $\beta-C-H$ bond of the amine substrate. The latter distances fall below the sum of Van der Waals radius for both atoms, thus indicating a steric interaction. This is more profound when palladium is bounded through an axial conformation, with the piperidine displaying staggered angles of $51^{\circ}$ in order to minimise this repulsion, hence the difference of $2.8 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ between $\mathbf{T S}_{21}$ and $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$. The amino acid ligand has a bite angle of $79^{\circ}$ in comparison with a value of $88^{\circ}$ for the free acetates. For this reason, a ligand-enabled $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation proceeds through $\mathbf{T S}_{23}$ or $\mathbf{T S}_{24}$ with a marginal difference of $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Analogously to amine 307, piperidine 210 benefits from a decrease of $-4.7 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{TS}_{21} \mathrm{vs}^{\mathbf{T S}} \mathbf{S O}_{23}\right)$ in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation barrier when using the amino acid ligand as it occurs through a very similar base-palladium dihedral angle, but it uses a stronger intramolecular base.
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Scheme 50: Transition states leading to $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$-H activation for piperidine 210. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

When considering the different transition states leading to $\beta-H$ elimination, these can target an $\alpha-C-H$ bond of the piperidine ring $\left(\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 5}}\right)$ or the propyl chain ( $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 6}}$, Scheme 51 ). Interestingly, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage at the piperidine ring displayed the lowest energy barrier despite occurring through an unfavourable boat conformation ( $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 5}}$ ). The energy penalty of this conformation is apparently recovered by the excellent orbital overlap between the electrons of the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ bond and the broken $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond ( $\varphi=2.5^{\circ}$, Table 9 ). As previously observed for acyclic substrates, proton abstraction from the propyl chain in $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 6}}$ implies significant torsional strain and poorer orbital overlap ( $\varphi=11.3^{\circ}$, Table 9 ), making this transition state less favourable. When attempting $\beta-H$ elimination using acetyl-tert-leucine ligand ( $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{2 7}}$ and $\mathbf{T S}_{28}$ ), the restricted mobility of the
acetamide base difficulties $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage ( $\varphi=8.3-8.5^{\circ}$ ), resulting in higher energy barriers despite using a stronger intramolecular base. Direct comparison of ligand-assisted $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation in $\mathbf{T S}_{23}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination in $\mathbf{T S}_{28}$ delivers an energy gap of $-2.7 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, sufficient to largely avoid amine decomposition.
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Scheme 51: Transition states leading to $\beta-H$ elimination for piperidine 210. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

Among the 8 most representative transition states found for piperidine 210, the lowest energy barrier belongs to ligand-assisted $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, hence rationalising the high yields observed experimentally for this amine heterocycle. Most importantly, the findings and conclusions obtained for acyclic amine $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ are still valid for this class of heterocycle, thus reinforcing the robustness of this mechanistic model. Table 9 summarises all the aforementioned angle and energy differences between transition states using piperidine 210 to facilitate direct comparison between them.

|  |  | Base | Dihedral angle ( $\varphi$ ) $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetate $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetamide | Dihedral angle ( $\varphi$ ) $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-H act. | TS ${ }_{21}$ | OAc | $10.1^{\circ}$ | - | +33.5 |
|  | TS 22 | OAc | $10.4{ }^{\circ}$ | - | +36.3 |
|  | TS ${ }_{23}$ | -NHAc | $11.5^{\circ}$ | - | +28.8 |
|  | TS 24 | -NHAc | $11.8{ }^{\circ}$ | - | +29.3 |
| $\beta$-H elim. | TS ${ }_{25}$ | OAc | $0.9{ }^{\circ}$ | $2.5^{\circ}$ | +30.6 |
|  | TS ${ }_{26}$ | OAc | $1.0^{\circ}$ | $11.3^{\circ}$ | +30.9 |
|  | TS 27 | -NHAc | $8.5^{\circ}$ | $6.2^{\circ}$ | +32.0 |
|  | TS 28 | -NHAc | $8.3^{\circ}$ | $16.2^{\circ}$ | +31.5 |

Table 9: Comparison of the transition states of piperidine 210 using acetyl-tert-leucine as a ligand.

The in silico competition between $\gamma-C-H$ activation and $\beta-H$ elimination has been found to be favourable for product formation in acyclic amines and piperidine substrates, in line with experimental results. Equally important is also to assess if unreactive heterocycles can also be predicted by this model. Pyrrolidine substrates afforded very poor conversions to the desired arylated product with significant loss in the recovered amine reagent (257, Scheme 41). The transition states leading to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation in N -propyl pyrrolidine (331) display extremely similar energy barriers and dihedral angles compared to those previously obtained for piperidine $210\left(\mathrm{TS}_{29}-\mathrm{TS}_{32}\right)$, notable factor being the significant reduction in sterics between acetate and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of the heterocycle. A reduction of $-4.3 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ when using the amino acid ligand $\left(\mathbf{T S}_{29}\right.$ vs $\mathbf{T S}_{32}$ ) is in line with all previous amine substrates and doesn't explain the lack of reactivity in 5-membered ring scaffolds. Of note, the lower ligand bite angle reverses the stability between pseudo-axial and pseudo-equatorial palladium binding ( $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathbf{3 1}}$ vs $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{3 2}}$ ).
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Scheme 52: Transition states leading to $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation for pyrrolidine 331. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Interestingly, transition states leading to $\beta-H$ elimination were found to be lower in energy than $\mathbf{T S}_{32}$, explaining the decomposition and lack of reactivity of this substrate (Scheme 53). The pyrrolidine backbone does not possess well-defined chair-like conformations like piperidine, and it is actually forced to adopt eclipsed conformations in envelope or half-chair conformations. This is unfortunately the ideal conformation from where $\beta-H$ elimination takes place, as it permanently positions the reacting $\alpha-C-H$ bond in close proximity to the nitrogen lone pair. As a result, $\beta-H$ elimination occurs through the very facile and ligandless $\mathrm{TS}_{33}$ with an ideal dihedral angle of $0.2^{\circ}$ for the acetate base and $1.8^{\circ}$ between the reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond and the nitrogen lone pair. The distorted $\beta-H$ elimination induced by the ligand is unable to compete with this heterocycle conformation ( $\mathrm{TS}_{35}$ ), leaving the barrier for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation $+0.8 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ higher than for amine
decomposition ( $\mathrm{TS}_{32}$ ). Nevertheless, this difference is not enough to completely avoid the formation of the desired palladacycle, thus leading to small amounts of $\gamma$-arylated product.
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Scheme 53: Transition states leading to $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination for pyrrolidine 331. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
For consistency with the previously studied amines, Table 10 summarises all the aforementioned angle and energy differences when using $N$-propyl pyrrolidine (331) to facilitate direct comparison between them.

|  |  | Base | Dihedral angle ( $\varphi$ ) $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetate $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetamide | Dihedral angle ( $\varphi$ ) $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-H act. | TS ${ }_{29}$ | OAc | $9.6{ }^{\circ}$ | - | +33.1 |
|  | TS 30 | OAc | $9.8{ }^{\circ}$ | - | +34.0 |
|  | TS ${ }_{31}$ | -NHAc | $11.6^{\circ}$ | - | +28.8 |
|  | TS 32 | -NHAc | $11.8{ }^{\circ}$ | - | +28.6 |
| $\beta$-H elim. | TS 33 | OAc | $0.2{ }^{\circ}$ | $1.8{ }^{\circ}$ | +25.6 |
|  | TS 34 | OAc | $1.6{ }^{\circ}$ | $10.5^{\circ}$ | +31.3 |
|  | TS 35 | -NHAc | $7.9^{\circ}$ | $9.5{ }^{\circ}$ | +27.8 |
|  | TS 36 | -NHAc | $8.5^{\circ}$ | $15.6^{\circ}$ | +31.7 |

Table 10: Comparison of the transition states of pyrrolidine 331 using acetyl-tert-leucine as a ligand.

The little difference in energy between $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation and $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination in pyrrolidine substrates was exploited for further experimental studies. It was hypothesised that, if both processes occur through a CMD-type mechanism, the transition state of $\beta-H$ elimination must be affected by isotope experiments in a similar manner to C-H activation, where a large KIE of 2.53 was observed. The use of $N$-propyl pyrrolidine (331) in combination with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ delivered little product formation under standard reaction conditions, and
evidenced the decomposition over time of arylated product $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ (Scheme 54). Replacement of the pervasive $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, a precatalyst with no bidentate anions capable of performing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, led to a significant increase of product formation without observable erosion over time. It was hypothesised that the absence of acetate anions disfavoured $\mathbf{T S}_{33}$ as a viable reaction pathway, hence relying in the small difference of $0.8 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ between a ligand-assisted $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation ( $\mathrm{TS}_{32}$ ) and $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination ( $\mathrm{TS}_{35}$ ). Remarkably, a $\alpha$-deuterated pyrrolidine analogue afforded better reactivity to achieve $40 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR yield of arylated amine 332a. This substrate also exhibited product decomposition when using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, something not observed for the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ precatalyst. Overall, this new palladium source facilitated the isolation of $\gamma$-arylated pyrrolidine 332a in a 50\% yield and provided an experimental evidence for the competition between $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation and $\beta-H$ elimination in palladium-catalysed reactions using tertiary alkylamines. For consistency, deuteration of the $\alpha-C-H$ bonds of the propyl substituent had no impact in product formation (332b), thus pointing to the conclusion that $\beta-H$ elimination is occurring preferentially at the pyrrolidine ring, as predicted by the computational model.
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| $\mathbf{t}$ <br> (h) | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 10 | 15 |
| 15 | $<5$ | 14 |



332a

| t <br> (h) | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 40 | 56 |
| 15 | 29 | $55(50)$ |



332b

| t <br> (h) | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 9 | 11 |
| 15 | 5 | 11 |

Scheme 54: Use of $\alpha$-deuterated pyrrolidine heterocycles to study $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol . Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as internal standard. Isolated yield in bold.

The use of azepane as the directing heterocycle failed in delivering any arylated product for this palladium reaction ( $\mathbf{2 5 5}$, Scheme 41 ). As expected, C-H activation proceeds through a pseudo-equatorial palladium binding and the use of acetyl-tert-leucine diminishes the energy barrier for this pathway by $-4.8 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{TS}_{37} \mathrm{vs}_{\mathbf{T S}}^{38}\right.$, Scheme 55). Nevertheless, this reduction in energy is once again unable to compete with amine decomposition.








Scheme 55: Transition states leading to $C-H$ activation or $\beta-H$ elimination in azepane (333). Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

The flexible azepane possesses multiple interconvertible chair or twist-chair conformations which can adapt its shape in each transition state to disfavour steric interactions. Unfortunately, this heterocycle can find multiple stable conformations where the reacting $\alpha-C-H$ bond and the nitrogen lone pair are placed in an eclipsed conformation, resulting in a very facile $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination process ( $\mathrm{TS}_{39}$ ) and in an energy difference of $+2.8 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in favour of amine decomposition ( $\mathrm{TS}_{38} \mathrm{vs}^{\mathbf{T S}} \mathbf{S O}_{40}$ ). This gap is significantly larger than for a pyrrolidine ring (331) and avoids any product formation. Table 10 summarises all the relevant dihedral angles and energy differences for azepane (333).

|  | Base | Dihedral angle $(\varphi)$ <br> $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetate <br> $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetamide | Dihedral angle $(\varphi)$ <br> $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |

Table 10: Comparison of the transition states of azepane 333 using acetyl-tert-leucine as a ligand.

This computational model has successfully predicted the reason why different amine heterocycles can access or not product formation in this palladium-catalysed arylation reaction. To assess its limitations, it was questioned why a protected diazepane (334) delivered the expected $\gamma$-arylated product (256, Scheme 41), while its azepane analogue (333) did not ( 255 , Scheme 41 ). Scheme 56 compares the most stable transition states for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation and $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination with and without the acetyl-tert-leucine ligand.



Scheme 56: Transition states leading to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation or $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination in diazepane 334. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

In agreement with experimental findings, a marginal difference of $-0.3 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ is observed in favour of C-H activation ( $\mathrm{TS}_{42}$ vs $\mathrm{TS}_{44}$ ) when using amino acid ligands, which can lead to significant amounts of product formation when considering the excess of 2.5 equivalents of amine starting material used. However, no significant differences are observed when comparing the dihedral angles in the transition state leading to $\beta-$ H elimination in azepane ( $\mathrm{TS}_{40}$, Table 10) and diazepane ( $\mathrm{TS}_{44}$, Table 11) substrates. The increase in the energy barrier for $\beta-H$ elimination in diazepane substrates is explained with the more subtle restricted conformation of the amine heterocycle. The -Boc protected nitrogen forces an inherent coplanarity between both of its $s p^{3}$ carbon substituents and the oxygens of this protecting group. Due to the cyclic nature of these carbon substituents, a steric interaction arises between some of its hydrogens and the vicinal oxygens. During C-H activation, the diazepane heterocycle can adopt multiple conformations in both pseudoaxial or pseudoequatorial binding modes to minimise this interaction ( $\mathrm{TS}_{42}$ ). However, the more restricted amine conformation in $\beta-H$ elimination reactions is less capable of accommodating its shape ( $\mathrm{TS}_{44}$ ), resulting in an increase in its energy barrier when compared with $\mathbf{T S}_{40}$ of azepane. The steric interactions arising from the more restricted conformation of diazepane are noticeable in the propyl substituent of this amine, which displays unusual staggered conformations of $51^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{T S}_{43}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{TS}_{44}\right)$.

|  |  | Dihedral angle $(\varphi)$ <br> $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetate <br> $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ for acetamide | Dihedral angle $(\varphi)$ <br> $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | $\left.\Delta \mathrm{G} \mathrm{(kcal} \mathrm{\cdot mol}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 11: Comparison of the transition states of diazepane $\mathbf{3 3 4}$ using acetyl-tert-leucine as a ligand.

Overall, this section has developed and successfully validated a model where a competition between $\gamma$ -$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation and $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination is constantly stablished for each tertiary alkylamine substrate. Acetylated amino acids have been found as suitable ligands, not only to enhance $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation by using a stronger acetamide base, but also to disfavour $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination by distorting its highly planar transition state. Each particular amine heterocycle can accommodate its conformation with more or less success to favour one pathway or the other. This study has focused on 4 tertiary alkylamine classes, acyclic amines (307), piperidines (210), pyrrolidines (331), and azepanes (333). Nevertheless, the introduction of other heteroatoms or substituents in the heterocycle can have a big impact in the amine conformations and, as a result, in the whole selectivity of the reaction, as clearly exemplified by diazepane $\mathbf{3 3 4}$. This model can be therefore used as a preliminary step to assess if a tertiary alkylamine substrate can override amine decomposition to access fruitful C-H activation reactions. To conclude, Schemes 57 and 58 display and summarise the lowest transition states found for the aforementioned tertiary alkylamines to encapsulate the key content of this section.


Scheme 58: Computational pathway for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation or $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination in $N$-alkyl pyrrolidines and azepanes using acetyl-tert-leucine as ligand. Energy values in kcal-mol ${ }^{-1}$.

### 2.5. Summary

This chapter has demonstrated the viability of tertiary alkylamines to act as native directing substrates for palladium-catalysed C-H activation reactions. The use of aryl boronic acids as coupling partners exploits a low-valent catalytic cycle to deliver a wide range of $\gamma$-arylated products in piperidines, piperazines, morpholines, diazepanes and acyclic amines. Electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups can be tolerated in the reacting aromatic ring, as well as electron-deficient heteroaromatic coupling partners, thus expanding the scope and synthetic applicability of this transformation. A detailed study of the reaction components determined that $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is not needed at higher reaction temperatures to access efficient product formation, with the multifaceted 1,4-benzoquinone in control of both the $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ reductive elimination step and the subsequent oxidation of $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ to close the catalytic cycle. Noteworthy is that this oxidation step can be performed in the absence of oxygen and becomes a rare example of 1,4-benzoquinone acting as the terminal oxidant. Overall, these findings open new possibilities for the optimisation of a greener $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction with lower catalyst loadings and in the absence of expensive superstoichiometric silver additives.

The systematic study through computational studies of a variety of tertiary alkylamines established a constant competition between the desired $\gamma-C-H$ activation reaction and a detrimental $\beta-H$ elimination pathway which leads to substrate decomposition. Acetylated amino acids have been found as suitable ligands, not only to enhance $C-H$ activation by using a stronger acetamide base, but also to disfavour $\beta-H$ elimination. Interestingly, this deleterious pathway was found to proceed through the same concerted metalationdeprotonation (CMD) mechanism of $\gamma-C-H$ activation, rather than through the formation of a more classical metal-hydride intermediate. The bulkiness of the ligand $\alpha$-side chain proved to be crucial in positioning the key acetamide moiety out of the plane of geometry of the complex, thus distorting the highly planar 4 -membered ring transition state of $\beta-H$ elimination. The ability of each amine heterocycle to successfully adapt its conformation during this now more restrictive transition state will favour one pathway or the other. In an excellent agreement with experimental findings, the developed computational model accurately predicted the viability of five different classes of tertiary amine substrates to access $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. Among those, cyclic amines displaying stable eclipsed conformations (pyrrolidine and azepane derivatives) tend to favour a $\beta-H$ elimination process, hence delivering little product formation.

Overall, these findings become the cornerstone of tertiary alkylamines as native substrates for palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions, and it is hoped that this knowledge will inspire future breakthroughs in the context of substrate compatibility, alternative functionalisations, or more environmentally friendly reaction conditions.

Chapter 3
Enantioselective methylene $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of tertiary alkylamines via palladium catalysis

### 3.1. Background \& Previous work

The previous chapter disclosed the ability of tertiary alkylamines to direct a palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction targeting $\gamma$-methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds and functionalising them with aryl boronic acids as coupling partners. Key for the success of this transformation was the use of mono-protected amino acid (MPAA) ligands, which not only enhances $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ activation by using a stronger acetamide base, ${ }^{155,157,158}$ but also disfavoured deleterious $\beta-H$ elimination pathways. The crucial role of this ligand during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage and its inherent chirality prompted the study of an asymmetric variant of the previously reported $\gamma$-methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction. The ability of this class of ligand to induce enantioselective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions is well-known in $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, ${ }^{147-151}$ and their $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ analogues. ${ }^{87,213,214}$ However, the relatively small size of the ligand and the large distance between its chiral centre and the cleavable C-H bond usually delivers poor asymmetry (see Scheme 34, Section 1.5.), or requires the synthesis of bespoke amino acids to achieve good enantioselectivity.

In our group, Dr. Nappi attempted to desymmetrise amines bearing geminal dimethyl substituents (Scheme 59). Taking the standard reactions conditions of the previous chapter as a starting point, and after a short optimisation, the use of DMF as the reaction solvent at lower reaction temperatures delivered an excellent $91 \%$ yield and $90 \%$ ee of arylated amine 344 using the ubiquitous $N$-acetyl-tert-leucine as the chiral ligand. Unexpectedly, enantiomeric excesses were slightly dependant of the boronic acid used (345-347), and other amine heterocycles (348-349) or the desymmetrisation of alternative alkyl chains (350) afforded more modest asymmetric inductions, thus limiting the applicability of this transformation.



344, $91 \%$ yield, $90 \%$ ee


345, $74 \%$ yield, $86 \%$ ee


346, $76 \%$ yield, $86 \%$ ee


347, $54 \%$ yield, $82 \%$ ee


Fenpropidin
348, $49 \%$ yield, ${ }^{\text {a }} 64 \%$ ee


Fenpropimorph
349, $38 \%$ yield, ${ }^{\text {a }} 41 \%$ ee


350, $56 \%$ yield, $64 \%$ ee

Scheme 59: Desymmetrisation of $\gamma$-methyl groups in tertiary alkylamines through a palladium-catalysed C-H activation strategy. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol by Dr. Nappi. ${ }^{\text {a }} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in NMP.

Interestingly, comparable enantioselectivity was observed when using the less bulky acetyl-alanine as ligand ( $86 \%$ ee), suggesting that steric parameters alone are not responsible for the observed asymmetric induction. Computational studies conducted by Dr. Flodén determined that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation is occurring through a chair-like transition state, being crucial the positioning of the non-reacting methyl group during C-H cleavage (Scheme 60). The $\beta$-methyl substituent of the amine backbone can be placed in a pseudoequatorial $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{45}\right)$ or pseudo-axial $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{46}\right)$ position, the latter being more destabilised by a pseudo-1,3-diaxial interaction between one of the $N$-methyl substituents and the non-reacting $\beta$-methyl group. This carries an energetic penalty of $2.1 \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{kcol}}{ }^{-1}$, which is in agreement with the observed experimental asymmetry based on the Boltzmann populations at the given temperature (calculated $88 \%$ ee for acetyl-tert-leucine and $83 \%$ ee for acetyl-alanine). ${ }^{206}$ As a control experiment, the computational model did not deliver any asymmetric induction when using acetyl-glycine.

The study concluded that enantioselectivity is not achieved by a direct ligand-substrate interaction. Instead, chirality transfer relies on the projection of the acetamide moiety below the square-planar palladium complex, which limits and controls the amine conformation during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage. This grants the simple $\alpha-$ methyl substituent of acetyl-alanine the rare strength to induce high levels of enantioselectivity during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, potentially converting this cheap and commercial chiral ligand into one of the most atom economical transformations within enantioselective catalysis. Nevertheless, this indirect mode of enantioinduction is highly dependent on the steric interactions arising in each amine substrate during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. It explains, for example, the moderate asymmetry observed for other tertiary alkylamines such as piperidine or morpholine heterocycles, or the minor enantioselectivity obtained in the gem-diethyl substituents of amine 350.


Scheme 60: Computational insight into the desymmetrisation of $\gamma$-methyl groups in tertiary alkylamines through $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Conducted by Dr. Flodén.

In an attempt to expand this desymmetrisation process to other acyclic amines, Dr. Azuma discovered that cyclic methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds were also amenable to palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, isolating arylated amine 351 in $34 \%$ yield (Scheme 61). Out of the four potential stereoisomers, acetyl-tert-leucine delivered notable selectivity to obtain a 10:1 diastereomeric ratio in favour of the trans cyclic product and a 64\%
enantiomeric excess. Unfortunately, other 6-membered ring substrates afforded poor diastereoselectivity (352-353) and evidenced the limitations of this transformation.



351, 44\% ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR yield 10:1 dr trans:cis, 64\% ee


352, 61\% ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ yield 2:1 dr trans:cis, ee not determined


353, $31 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR yield (mixture)

Scheme 61: Enantioselective methylene C-H activation of tertiary alkylamines. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol by Dr. Azuma.

Enantioselective methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation is a challenging area of research due to the increased sterics surrounding the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of this carbon unit. A recurrent way to circumvent this problem is to target the activated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of ring-strained alkanes. The distorted angles of cyclopropane and cyclobutane and the partial hybridised character of their C-H bonds makes it easier to achieve reactivity in these substrates. One of the first enantioselective palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions involving cyclopropanes was reported by Cramer, who used triflyl protected bromoaryl amides to achieve an intramolecular C-H cleavage after oxidative addition of $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ into aryl bromide bonds (354, Scheme 62). ${ }^{215}$ This approach, later applied in similar substrates, ${ }^{216-218}$ provided excellent diastereoselectivity due to the bicyclic nature of the resultant product, while enantioselectivity was induced using a Taddol-derived phosphoramidite ligand. To deliver intermolecular C-H activation, a range of engineered directing groups, such as fluorinated arylamides (356, $\mathbf{3 6 0})^{140,219-221}$ or triflyl amides (358), ${ }^{87}$ and, more recently, simpler carboxylic acids, ${ }^{141,214}$ ketones, ${ }^{222}$ and primary amines, ${ }^{113}$ have been all found as effective directing groups at promoting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage by exploiting their coordination to the palladium metal centre. The restricted geometry of the resultant bicyclic palladacycles grants exclusive access to cis functionalised products, while asymmetry is typically governed by bulky bidentate ligands with an appendant key acetamide unit in the concerted metalation deprotonation (CMD) transition state. In comparison, the second ligand binding point is a recurrent tuneable handle which can be converted into a carboxylic acid, a sulfoxide, a thiophenol, an oxazoline, or an amine functional group to match the binding properties of the ligand with those of the substrate. Interestingly, an iridium-catalysed borylation has also been reported using $\alpha$-substituted cyclopropylamides as directing motifs, expanding the selection of metals and functional groups amenable to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation processes. ${ }^{223}$
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Scheme 62: Literature precedents for enantioselective palladium C-H arylation reactions using alternative directing groups.

### 3.2. Project aims

This research aims to develop a palladium-catalysed C-H arylation reaction targeting ring-strained systems in tertiary alkylamines substrates. Preliminary results provide proof of concept that the chiral amino acid ligand is capable of inducing an asymmetric C-H cleavage in amine substrates displaying gem-dimethyl, gem-diethyl, and in tetrahydropyran substituents. To date, only one literature example is capable of using unprotected amines to perform C-H activation in ring-strained alkanes, achieving this on cyclopropane rings displaying $\beta$-substituents, and using primary amines as substrates in combination with thiophenol amine derivatives as ligands. ${ }^{113}$ Taking into account the relevance of tertiary amines in medicinal chemistry, a reaction building diastereo- and enantiopure ring-strained motifs with this appendant functional group and with the aid of readily available chiral ligands can expedite the synthesis and study of this class of compounds as viable drug candidates.

### 3.3. Results \& Discussion

Taking the standard reaction conditions of the previous chapter as a starting point, amine 362, containing a cyclopropylmethyl substituent, afforded an excellent reactivity to deliver the corresponding cis arylated product as the only diastereoisomer in $94 \%$ yield and with an enantiomeric excess of $98 \%$ (entry 1, Table 12). Upon variation of the reaction conditions, two significant problems were detected. Firstly, the reaction was highly dependent on temperature, obtaining a more moderate $52 \%$ yield at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 2 ). Secondly, the absence of chiral ligand delivered a modest $12 \%$ yield of racemic 363 , hence evidencing an operative background C-H activation reaction mediated by acetate anions (entry 3). This had little impact in the excellent enantiomeric excess observed in entry 1 , providing direct evidence for the ability of monoprotected amino acid ligands to outperform acetate ions during C-H cleavage, as observed computationally in section 2.4. Nevertheless, this background and racemic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction could deliver diminished levels of enantioselectivity depending on the directing amine substrates employed.

Among the different palladium sources tested, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, a palladium precatalyst with no bidentate anions capable of performing $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, exhibited the same reactivity as $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ (entry 4) with no observable product formation in the absence of the amino acid ligand (entry 5). Interestingly, the lack of acetate ions further improved the enantiomeric excess of arylated amine $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ above $99 \%$ ee. Excellent yield above $90 \%$ were achieved at lower temperatures, exhibiting the superior reactivity of this new palladium precatalyst (entry 6). Overall, this enabled reduction in the amounts of amine reagent, 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ), and silver carbonate used with minimal erosion in yield, obtaining $82 \%$ isolated yield of amine 363 and finishing with the optimisation process.

|  <br> 362 |  |  <br> 1.0 equiv |  | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}$ cat. $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Tle}-\mathrm{OH}$ <br> equiv $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, x$ equiv BQ DMF, air, 15 h |  |  <br> 363 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry | Pd cat. | 362 (equiv) | $B Q$ (equiv) | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (equiv) | $\mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield 363 (\%) | ee (\%) |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2}$ | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 50 | 94 | 98 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 40 | 52 | 98 |
| $3^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2}$ | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 50 | 12 | 0 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 50 | 93 | >99 |
| $5{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN}) 2_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 50 | 0 | - |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 40 | 94 | >99 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 40 | 88 (82) | >99 |
| 8 | - | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 40 | 0 | - |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1.5 | 0 | 1.5 | 40 | 0 | - |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | - |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2}$ | 1.5 | 2.0 | 0 | 60 | 89 | 98 |

Table 12: Optimisation of the enantioselective $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arylation of cyclopropylmethylamine $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ through palladium-catalysis. Reactions conducted at 0.1 mmol . Yield determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as internal standard. Isolated yield in bold. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Absence of acetyl-tert-leucine

Control experiments determined that palladium (entry 8) and benzoquinone (entry 9) were essential to achieve product formation, but the presence of silver carbonate was also essential (entry 10 ). The absence of this additive delivered significant amounts of product formation when targeting the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of a $\gamma$-methyl substituent (see Section 2.3.), and it was initially hypothesised that silver could now have an intimate role during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage of the more challenging methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. However, the study of 1,4-benzoquinone as an effective terminal oxidant in Section 2.3. disclosed the authentic role of silver as a halide scavenger. Return to the ubiquitous $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ as the precatalyst of choice delivered an excellent conversion to arylated amine 363 at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in the absence of silver additives (entry 11). The same value of $98 \%$ ee obtained in the absence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ discarded once again any putative role of this additive during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage. Unfortunately, this finding occurred towards the end of the present dissertation, and the scope of this asymmetric transformation was conducted using the reaction conditions reported in entry 7.

It is important to discuss the analytical methods available to assess the enantioselectivity of this transformation. The enantioenriched tertiary amine products obtained were found to be difficult to separate by simple HPLC analysis in a chiral stationary phase. The use of a hexane mobile phase with $0.1 \%$ of diethylamine dramatically increased the separation of both enantiomers by preventing peak tailing in a

CHIRALPAK ${ }^{\circledR}$ AD-H column. Nevertheless, this solution was not effective for all isolated products and it could not become a general analysis method. GC-FID analysis was found to be a viable method in some specific volatile compounds, but the physical foundations of this instrument made it unsuitable for larger organic molecules. A third alternative analysis was a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis procedure developed by Lacour, ${ }^{224}$ which consists in the methylation of the arylated tertiary amine to generate a quaternary ammonium salt, followed by anion exchange with a chiral hexacoordinated phosphate. The resulting diastereoisomers displayed distinguishable ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR signals suitable for quantitative analysis except for highly enantioenriched products, where integration of the minor diastereoisomer proved to be difficult. In those cases, enantiomeric excesses have been reported as greater than $95 \%$. These three analytical techniques have made possible the analysis of all products obtained. On the one hand, simple amine products with no polar functional groups presented a scarce separation by HPLC analysis, but were amenable for GC-FID or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis. On the other hand, complex amine scaffolds, unsuitable for GC-FID or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis due to their high boiling point or the numerous overlapped NMR signals, presented other functional groups which aided in the interaction with the stationary phase and separation by HPLC analysis.

To assess the scope of the amine component, a range of acyclic amines displaying various substituents in place of one of the $N$-methyl substituents were synthesised and subjected to the optimised reaction conditions (Scheme 63). The presence of coordinating substituents such as an ester group (364) or a pyrimidine (365) delivered more moderate enantiomeric excesses along with diminished yields. A benzylamine derivative with no available ortho- $C\left(s p^{2}\right)-H$ bonds delivered surprisingly low yields of the corresponding $\gamma$-arylated product (366); and $\alpha$-substituted amine 367 afforded a more moderate reactivity with an $84 \%$ ee. Overall, it was concluded that the flexibility of acyclic amines offered undesired substrate chelation modes, and that the substitution pattern of the amine backbone had a direct impact in the amine conformation during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage.

Taking into account the previous results obtained in the group when trying to desymmetrise gemdimethyl substituents (see Section 3.1.), it was imperative to know if other amine heterocycles could maintain the same levels of enantioselectivity observed for amine 363. Gratifyingly, piperidines with a diverse range of functional groups, including free alcohols (370), $N$-protecting groups (371), oximes (372) and chlorinated aromatic rings (370), all exhibited excellent enantioselectivities, reaching values of $>99 \%$ ee. Isolated yields were good except for substrates displaying strong coordinating groups (372). Other ubiquitous heterocyclic amines, such as morpholine (373), N-tosyl piperazine (374), pyrrolidine (376), and azetidine derivatives (377378), were all found to access $C-H$ activation to deliver their corresponding $\gamma$-arylated product with moderate to good yields, but all with excellent enantioselectivity. Piperazine 374 was successfully crystalised in a mixture of hexane and isopropanol to reveal the absolute configuration of the generated chiral cyclopropane. Product 378 exemplifies the strength of this transformation, opening new synthetic routes to the expeditious synthesis
of a diastereo- and enantiopure amines with ring-strained heterocycles and a spirocycle. Unfortunately, bicyclic amine 375 was found to be unreactive likely due to the steric interaction between the axially-bound palladium metal centre and the bridged azepane ring.


## Amine scope



Scheme 63: Scope of the amine component in the palladium-catalysed C-H activation of cyclopropanes in tertiary alkylamines. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conducted by Dr. Miró. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{PhBPin}$ instead of $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, no ligand.

In an attempt to further expand the amine scope, it was questioned whether quaternary centres at the $\beta$-position of the amine backbone could be tolerated. Such a profound change in the cyclopropane ring, and in so close proximity to the targeted C-H bond can drastically alter the enantiodetermining transition state. Nevertheless, reactivity could be accessed in these substrates to deliver excellent asymmetric induction when displaying $C\left(s p^{2}\right)$ centres (379), and more moderate values when possessing $C\left(s p^{3}\right)$ substituents (380-381). Interestingly, these amines enabled the direct comparison in reactivity between C-H bonds of different nature. When comparing methyl vs. methylene C-H activation of cyclopropane rings, a ratio of nearly 4:1 was observed in favour of arylation in the strained 3 -membered ring (380a-380b). In palladium catalysis, multiple reports exemplify the ease by which $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds react preferentially over alkyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. Therefore, it is noteworthy that arylation could still be achieved on the targeted methylene C-H bond in the presence of an aromatic substituent, albeit $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation giving the minor regioisomer (379a-379b). Unfortunately, the presence of strong electron-withdrawing groups delivered poor reactivity due to diminished binding of the amine substrate to palladium and the less electron-rich C-H bond of the cyclopropane (382).

The significant reduction of enantioselectivity in products $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 8 1}$ prompted further studies to investigate this loss of asymmetry. Unexpectedly, racemic product $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ could be obtained in $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ yield in the absence of ligand when replacing the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ precatalyst by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. One plausible explanation for this outcome is that the $\beta$-substituent of amine backbone induces a Thorpe-Ingold effect, accelerating $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation in the absence of ligand, and this being operative when an acetate base is available in the reaction media. When using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, it is likely that an erosion in enantiomeric excess is observed due to the release of carbonate ions from the silver additive.

Shifting the attention towards the other component of the reaction, a range of both electron withdrawing and electron donating aryl boronic acids were tested in the reaction conditions with amine 362. Aryl boron reagents with electron withdrawing groups initially delivered lower reactions yields, due to the significant formation of biaryl species due to its homo cross-coupling. Luke Reeve conducted a short optimisation finding a better conversion to the desired product when carrying the reaction at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for longer reaction times and in DMA as solvent. With this subtle improvement of the reaction conditions, any substituent on the meta- or para- positions delivered good yields, including typically incompatible halogenated aromatic rings (386). Esters (383), fluorinated substituents (384, 387), nitro groups (385), protected anilines (389), and alkoxy substituents $(\mathbf{3 9 0}, \mathbf{3 9 1})$ all performed well under the reaction conditions. Unfortunately, boronic acids displaying ortho-substituents failed to deliver any product. All arylated amines displayed excellent levels of enantioselectivity, indicative that the boronic acid component is not involved in the enantiodetermining step. With more moderate isolated yields, heterocyclic pyridines can be also incorporated into the amine structure while retaining the excellent asymmetric induction observed throughout the scope
(392-394).





Scheme 64: Scope of the boronic acid component in the palladium-catalysed C-H activation of cyclopropanes in tertiary alkylamines. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conducted by Luke Reeve.

This extensive scope has demonstrated that the desymmetrisation of tertiary alkylamines containing cyclopropanes can be achieved using mono-protected amino acid ligands. Gratifyingly, multiple amine heterocycles can direct and impart high levels of asymmetric induction delivering an enantiomeric excess greater than $99 \%$ in some cases. It was envisaged that this exquisite selectivity for the formation of one single enantiomer could be exploited in a kinetic resolution event. Kinetic resolution processes are of extreme difficulty because the ligand must clearly differentiate between both enantiomers of a racemic mixture. If a large difference in rate can be achieved for the formation of one of the enantiomers, up to half of the starting material can be recovered in an enantioenriched form along with the expected chiral product. Due to the relevance of trans cyclopropane derivatives in the field of medicinal chemistry, amine 395 was chosen as the initial substrate (Table 13). Taking into account that only cis C-H bonds with regards to the amine substituent are amenable to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, this kinetic resolution reaction had an extra degree of difficulty in trying to differentiate between the $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of the cyclopropane methylene unit (highlighted in blue), and the $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-$ H bond at the methine benzylic position (highlighted in orange).

Taking the same conditions used in Scheme 63, the first kinetic resolution attempt delivered a remarkable $61 \%$ yield of trans diaryl amine 396, with an excellent enantiomeric excess of $96 \%$ (entry 1).

Surprisingly, small amounts of product 397, arising from methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, were also isolated with excellent asymmetry (>99\% ee), offering the possibility to synthesise distinct ring-strained 3D structures in a diastereo- and enantiopure form. The two equivalents of amine starting material used delivered a more modest asymmetric induction for the recovered amine 395 ( $51 \%$ ee). When the same reaction was conducted with 1.0 equivalent of amine, more modest yields were obtained, but an excellent $93 \%$ ee was observed for the recovered amine substrate (entry 2 ). Depending on the synthetic needs, it is possible to access all 3 arylated amines with excellent enantioselectivities, proving that this amine-directed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation strategy is amenable to kinetic resolution reactions. Unfortunately, the conversion of amine 395 to two different arylated products made highly challenging to stablish a selectivity value (s) for this transformation.


Table 13: Kinetic resolution of trans-cyclopropane 395. Reaction conducted at 0.3 mmol .

There are very few literature examples reporting palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions at methine C-H bonds. ${ }^{38,122,215,225-231}$ The hindered nature of this bond makes $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage and its subsequent functionalisation a great challenge only achieved so far in ring-strained systems or bridgehead positions to typically deliver intramolecular cyclisations. The isolation of compound 397 offered a unique opportunity to explore the ability of tertiary amines to access the rare methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. Gratifyingly, the benzylic nature of the methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in 397 was not a requirement for reactivity. Arylated amine $\mathbf{3 9 8}$ exclusively forged the expected quaternary centre in an excellent $80 \%$ yield and with no other detectable side-products arising at the more distal methylene $\delta-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation (Scheme 65 ). This research was then further explored by two undergraduate students of the group. Samuel McKee observed that, in line with previous reports, ${ }^{232}$
the methine C-H bond encountered in a cyclobutane (399), cyclohexane (400), or a non-cyclic substituent (401) proved to be unreactive, likely due to the congested environment of this bond during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, but also due to the difficult transmetalation step in the resulting bulky palladacycle. Amine heterocycles were also amenable to direct this transformation, and Edward Shellard optimised the formation of arylated piperidine 402 to find that acetyl-phenylalanine performed better than the widely used acetyl-tert-leucine. This new set of reaction conditions was applied to morpholines (404) and piperazines (405), albeit more modest yields were obtained. As preliminary results, arylated products 407 and 408 provide proof of concept that both electronrich and electron-poor arylboron reagents can access the desired transmetalation step to forge the expected ring-strained quaternary centre.




406, $18 \%^{\text {a }}$


407, $71 \%^{b}$


408, $63 \%^{b}$

Scheme 65: Methine C-H activation in tertiary alkylamines. Reaction conducted at 0.3 mmol . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conducted by Samuel McKee. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Conducted by Edward Shellard.

Based on the computational studies about $\beta-H$ elimination of section 2.4., and in line with previous findings of the group, ${ }^{122}$ the isolation of arylated pyrrolidine 406 in $18 \%$ yield is a strong indicator that methine C-H activation is slightly more facile than methyl C-H activation, where a $14 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ yield was obtained using the same $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ precatalyst. To further corroborate this, Samuel McKee prepared amine 409 to study the direct intramolecular competition between $\gamma$-methyl and $\gamma$-methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation (Scheme 66). As expected, a subtle preference for methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation was obtained in a 1.5:1 product ratio.


409
 NMP, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, air, 15 h

$410,47 \%^{a}$


411, $33 \%^{a}$

Scheme 66: Competition experiment between methyl and methine $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation. Reaction conducted at 0.3 mmol . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conducted by Samuel McKee.

After exploring all the possibilities which cyclopropanes could offer, the a priori more demanding C-H arylation of cyclobutanes was targeted as another appealing transformation. The scarce $s p^{2}$ character of these methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds makes their cleavage more challenging than in cyclopropane rings. As a result, very few literature examples can perform this in an enantioselective manner, ${ }^{140,214,220,233}$ with no precedents in amine substrates. The ubiquitous acetyl tert-leucine ligand performed well in DMA as solvent to deliver arylated dimethylamine 412 in $73 \%$ isolated yield and with a greater than $95 \%$ ee, along with $4 \%$ yield of diarylated product 412b displaying an all-cis configuration (Scheme 67). This by-product only arose when using the simplest dimethylamine substrate, and was detected in less than $2 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR yield when analysing the crude reaction mixtures of all other depicted substrates. It is worth mentioning that a later analysis of this transformation revealed that it could be performed in the absence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and oxygen to deliver the same yield and enantioselectivity by using 1,4-benzoquinone as the terminal oxidant of the reaction at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (see Section 2.3.). Common functional groups like esters (413), heteroaromatic rings (414), protected alcohols (415) and amines (417), all delivered good yields and enantioselectivity of their corresponding arylated cyclobutane amines. Amine 416 afforded a very poor reactivity, thus evidencing the arising steric interactions among the amine backbone during C-H cleavage. As previously observed for similar substrates, the bidentate mode of amine 418 impedes the generation of the required vacant site for C-H activation. Analogously to cyclopropanes, the presence of $\beta$-alkyl substituents could still deliver the expected reactivity, although a slightly lower asymmetric induction was observed (419).

A range of heterocyclic tertiary amines were tested to determine if it was also possible to direct C-H activation with these more delicate substrates. Piperidine (420, 421), morpholines (422), and piperazines (423), all accessed their corresponding arylated product, albeit in more moderate yields. Noteworthy is the ability of these substrates to maintain good levels of enantioselectivity, the lowest being an $83 \%$ ee of morpholine derivative 422. Pyrrolidine substrates (424) did not deliver any product formation, hence evidencing the facile $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination of these heterocycles over the now more challenging $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of methylene units. This competition between $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, previously explained in section 2.4., can also be responsible for the diminished yields of heterocycles 420-423, substrates which performed well in the analogous $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of cyclopropanes (Scheme 63). The use of an azetidine
directing group afforded a very poor reactivity (425), and targeting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation in the azetidine heterocycle did not deliver any product formation either (426).



Scheme 67: Scope of the amine component in the palladium-catalysed C-H activation of cyclobutanes in tertiary alkylamines. Reactions conducted at 0.3 mmol . ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Ac}$-L-Tle-OH, 2.0 equiv BQ , no $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, under $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{NMP}$.

With regards to the boronic acid coupling partner, Luke Reeve found a better reactivity using acetylphenylalanine as the ligand of choice for this palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arylation reaction. Both electrondonating and electron-withdrawing groups were compatible with this transformation to deliver moderate isolated yields ranged between $45 \%$ and $56 \%$ (427-433, Scheme 68). Despite the use of two equivalents of amine starting material, significant amounts of diarylated product were usually observed, accounting for the loss in yield for the reported monoarylated product. Nevertheless, this more challenging C-H activation reaction could also tolerate promiscuous halide functionalities (430). Unfortunately, heteroaromatic boron reagents were incompatible with this transformation and did not afford the expected $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation. Of note is the crystallisation conducted by the present author of product 427 in its hydrochloride salt, which confirmed the absolute configuration of the arylated cyclobutane products.

Lastly, two commercial drugs containing tertiary amines with appendant cyclobutane rings were tested under the reaction conditions to test a potential late-stage functionalisation strategy. Sibutramine, a formerly prescribed appetite suppressant, did not deliver any arylated product (434). Based on the similarities between this drug and arylated cyclopropane 379, some product formation was expected, even if occurring at the $\delta-C-$ $H$ bonds of the aromatic ring. However, the $\alpha$-isobutyl substituent appears to disfavour the required amine conformation during C-H cleavage. On the other hand, Ivabradine, a medication used for the symptomatic management of stable heart chest pain and heart failure, did afford reactivity at its benzocyclobutene ring (435a). Despite the isolation of diarylated by-products (435b), this provides proof-of-concept that fused cyclobutenes are also compatible with this palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction. It is worth highlighting that no reactivity was observed with the other chiral ligand, opening the possibility to perform kinetic resolution reactions in this drug of interest.

(Hetero)aryl boronic acid scope


## Late-stage functionalisation


from Sibutramine
434, 0\% yield

from Ivabradine
435a, 20\% yield (monoarylation)
435b, 8\% yield (diarylation)

Scheme 68: Scope of the boronic acid component in the palladium-catalysed $\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of cyclobutanes in tertiary alkylamines. Application of this reaction as a late-stage functionalisation tool in commercial drugs displaying 4-membered ring alkanes. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conducted by Luke Reeve.

After exploring the reactivity and synthetic applicability of cyclopropane and cyclobutane rings, arylation at the methylene C-H bonds of larger rings was attempted (Scheme 69). Unfortunately, no arylation was achieved in cyclopentane rings (436-437). Imitating the methylene arylation discovered by Dr. Azuma (see Section 3.1.), arylated product 438 could be isolated in $24 \%$ yield and $75 \%$ ee through an unusual axial C-H cleavage. However, this C-H activation mode was incompatible with the presence of heteroatoms (439-440). Lastly, arylation at the $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of the piperidine ring could not be achieved due to the restricted boat conformation required during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, making a $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination process more favourable.



Scheme 69: Non-ring-strained tertiary alkylamines in C-H activation reactions. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conducted by Samuel McKee.

### 3.4. Computational findings

The previous section explored asymmetric C-H activation reactions in tertiary alkylamine substrates with appendant ring-strained cycloalkanes while using mono-protected amino acids as chiral ligands. The crystal structures of arylated cyclopropane $\mathbf{3 7 4}$ (Scheme 63) and arylated cyclobutane $\mathbf{4 2 7}$ (Scheme 68) elucidated the absolute configuration of the functionalised amine products. Unexpectedly, the $\beta$-carbon of both amine backbones displayed a (R) stereodescriptor ( $\mathbf{3 6 3}$ \& 412, Scheme 70), while the previously discovered arylations of gem-dimethyl amines (344) and tetrahydropyran derivatives (351) forged a $(S)$ stereodescriptor at the same $\beta$-carbon and with the same $L$-amino acid ligand.

$344,91 \%$ yield, $90 \%$ ee $^{\text {a }}$


351, 34\% yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 10:1 dr trans:cis, 64\% ee


363, $85 \%$ yield, $>99 \%$ ee


412, 73\% yield, >95\% ee

Scheme 70: Asymmetric C-H activation reactions of tertiary alkylamines. a Conducted by Dr. Nappi. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Conducted by Dr. Azuma.

Throughout this dissertation it has been proposed that a single ligand in a bidentate coordination mode is responsible of imparting reactivity and selectivity in order to deliver the functionalised tertiary amine products. To corroborate this hypothesis experimentally, non-linear experiments were conducted in the asymmetric reactions leading to arylated amines 344 and 363 using the optimised set of reaction conditions for each one of them, which included the use of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and the same acetyl-tert-leucine ligand, but differed in solvent and reaction temperature (Figure 3). Little deviation from linearity was observed for both sets of reactions, thus supporting the premise that a single amino acid ligand per metal centre is involved throughout the catalytic cycle.

Linear effect in the asymmetric C-H arylation reactions of tertiary alkylamines


Figure 3: Non-linear experiments for the C-H arylation of amines 344 and $\mathbf{3 6 3}$. Data determined by HPLC analysis using a CHIRALPAK ${ }^{\circledR}$ AD-H column. Each data point represents an average value for two independent reactions.

Taking into account the existing computational knowledge within the research group around tertiary alkylamines in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, the in silico rationalisation of this sharp contrast in asymmetric induction between C-H bonds in strained or non-strained alkyl backbones was attempted. All calculations were made using the Gaussian 16 (Revision A.03) program. ${ }^{205}$ All geometries were optimised at the B3LYP-D3BJ/[6$31 G(d, p) / L a n l 2 d z(P d)]$ level of theory at 323.15 K and accounting for solvation effects using the self-consistent reaction field polarizable continuum model (IEF-PCM) in DMF. Single-point energies of the optimised structures were calculated at the B3LYP-D3BJ/[6-311+G(2d,p)/SDD(Pd)] level of theory, accounting for solvation as above. These functionals and basis sets have been employed in Pd-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation previously. ${ }^{155,157,206}$ Dispersion was accounted for using Grimme's DFT-D3 scheme ${ }^{207}$ with Becke-Johnson damping. ${ }^{208}$ To confirm that the obtained transition states reside along a relevant reaction coordinate, IRCcalculations were undertaken for selected transition states. ${ }^{209}$ Basis-set superposition error (BSSE) was assumed to be negligible for the large basis sets employed as indicated by previous studies. ${ }^{210}$

It is important to restate the conclusions obtained from the computational analysis in Section 2.4. The flexible tertiary amine backbone grants access to a set of different transition states by which C-H activation can be achieved through a concerted-metalation deprotonation (CMD) transition state in the presence of acetates or the acetamide of the ligand. When activating a methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of a saturated alkane chain, the lowest energetic pathway adopted a chair-like conformation consisting of palladium, the nitrogen of the bound tertiary amine, its 3 -carbon amine backbone, and the abstracted $H$-atom. This 6 -membered ring transition state finds an optimal orbital overlap with the intramolecular base at a dihedral angle of $10^{\circ}$ with palladium. When using amino acid ligands, its $\alpha$-side chain restricts the mobility of the acetamide base and it positions it out of the plane of geometry of the palladium complex. This has a positive impact in $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation, which already occurs through a dihedral angle of $10^{\circ}$ and it benefits from the use of a stronger intramolecular base, while disfavoring $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination processes.

The starting hypothesis was that the inherent geometrical restrictions imposed by the cyclopropyl unit, where all atoms display an eclipsed conformation, impedes the access to the most favorable chair-like transition state. Indeed, amine 362 generated two diastereomeric pairs of transition states displaying the more typically unstable boat or half-chair conformations (Scheme 71). TS $\mathbf{S t}_{47}$ possesses the lowest energetic barrier and leads to the enantiomer observed experimentally. This transition state displays the archetypal desired conformation for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, with an amidate-palladium dihedral angle of $11.9^{\circ}$, capable of minimizing both the steric repulsion of the tert-butyl substituent of the ligand and the steric interaction along the amine backbone. All other transition states $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{48}-\mathrm{TS}_{50}\right)$ do not fulfil one of the above requirements, which results in a higher energetic barrier.



Scheme 71: Transition states leading to an asymmetric C(sp $\left.{ }^{3}\right)$-H activation of cyclopropane rings in amine $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ and using acetyl-tert-leucine as ligand. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

With very similar amine conformations, direct comparison of $\mathbf{T S}_{47}$ and $\mathbf{T S}_{50}$ provides a rapid comprehension of the observed enantioselectivity. Both transition states position the amide base in an optimal dihedral angle of $11^{\circ}$ or more, meaning that the amine backbone plays again a crucial role in the resultant energy minima obtained. $\mathbf{T S}_{50}$ exhibits a strong steric interaction above the palladium complex between a methylene unit of the cyclopropyl ring and a N -methyl amine substituent, where a $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ distance of $2.03 \AA$ As observed, significantly less than the sum of their Van der Waals radius. To minimise this detrimental clash, the amine backbone suffers from torsional strain with observed gauche angles of as little as $26^{\circ}$, which results in a profound increase of the energy barrier. It is worth highlighting that no direct interaction is observed between the ligand tert-butyl substituent and the amine backbone, where the closest $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ distance is 2.74 Å. Rather than exploiting more classical ligand-substrate steric interactions, this analysis indicates that these type of ligands induce enantioselectivity by restricting the mobility of the amidate internal base, and it is the amine backbone which is forced to adopt the most stable conformation to bring the reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in close proximity to palladium and its internal base.

The large gap in energy between $\mathrm{TS}_{47}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{49}$ yields a predicted enantiomeric excess greater than 99.9\% based on Boltzmann populations at the given temperature. Most importantly, the difference in energy between these transition states doesn't rely on steric interactions along the amine backbone, which made this mode of asymmetry amenable for many different types of aliphatic amine heterocycles. Subtle erosion in enantioselectivity for certain substrates may be attributed to an operative background reaction where the carbonate ions, released by silver carbonate upon its reduction with $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$, behave as the intramolecular base needed for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage. Lastly, the geometrical constrains of a trans $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage made $\mathbf{T S}_{51}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{52}$ energetically inaccessible.

Next, attention was focused on cyclobutane substrates. When compared with the C-H cleavage of cyclopropanes, the non-planar structure of these 4-membered rings grants them access to a few more diastereomeric transition states. For clarity, only the two most relevant pairs will be discussed here, and other transition states with larger energy barriers can be found in Appendix III. Analogously to cyclopropane C-H activation, the lowest transition state was expected to display the minimal torsion strain and/or steric hindrance among the amine backbone, while still accessing the optimum dihedral angle for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation between the acetamide base and palladium. $\mathrm{TS}_{53}$ fulfilled all these requirements by adopting a twist-boat conformation (observed between palladium, nitrogen, the 3-carbon backbone, and the cleaved hydrogen) which minimises the eclipsed hydrogen-interactions among the amine backbone thanks to the inherent puckered conformation of a cyclobutane ring (Scheme 72). The lack of steric interactions contrasts with its diastereomeric complex ( $\mathrm{TS}_{55}$ ), where a scarce $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ distance of $2.03 \AA ̊$ is observed between the strained ring and the N -methyl substituent, resulting in an energy difference of $3.9 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Interestingly, two other transition states ( $\mathrm{TS}_{54}$ and $\mathrm{TS}_{56}$ ) were found to proceed through a chair-like conformation, resembling the ones predicted when $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation is attempted on strained-free systems When the system loses its strained character, the chair-like transition states recover their predominant stability among other conformations. $\mathbf{T S}_{56}$ exhibits a steric interaction between the strained ring and the N methyl substituent through the bottom of the palladium complex, which makes it significantly higher in energy. Nevertheless, $\mathbf{T S}_{54}$ presents no detrimental steric interactions and an acceptable acetamide-palladium dihedral angle of $9.1^{\circ}$. Its $2.1 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ energy difference with $\mathrm{TS}_{53}$ lies in the torsional strain of the amine backbone where a gauche angle of $40.6^{\circ}$ is observed between the hydrogens of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ carbons of the amine substrate. In comparison, the most stable transition state $\mathbf{T S}_{53}$ displays enviable values of $11.5^{\circ}$ (for acetamide-palladium dihedral angle) and $52.9^{\circ}$ (for gauche conformation in the amine backbone). Taking into account the energy barriers of all transition states found, the predicted enantiomeric excess is $90 \%$ ee, which is in line with the range between $83 \%$ ee and $95 \%$ ee obtained throughout the amine scope of this class of ring-strained systems.

Unexpectedly, a low energy barrier was found for a trans C-H cleavage ( $\mathbf{T S}_{57}$ ), which should have led to the formation of a minor diastereoisomer not observed experimentally. One potential explanation for this is that transmetalation or reductive elimination from activated trans $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds is more challenging, which leads to product decomposition and catalyst deactivation, hence the lower yields observed throughout the amine scope of this ring-strained alkane. Furthermore, this reasoning can also explain the lack of reactivity in cyclopentane rings (Scheme 69, Section 3.3.), and the moderate reactivity of 6 -membered ring alkanes discovered by Dr. Azuma (Scheme 61, Section 3.1.).
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Scheme 72: Transition states leading to an asymmetric $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ activation of cyclobutane rings in amine 442 and using acetyl-tert-leucine as ligand. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

To finish with this section, it is critical to emphasise that the most stable transition state for each diastereomeric complex ( $\mathrm{TS}_{47} \& \mathrm{TS}_{49}$ for cyclopropanes and $\mathrm{TS}_{53} \& \mathrm{TS}_{54}$ for cyclobutanes) proceeds without evident steric interactions among the amine backbone or with the ligand. Alternatively, asymmetry relies on the restricted geometry of the amidate base, which is clearly exemplified in $\mathrm{TS}_{47} \mathrm{Vs}_{\mathrm{TS}}^{49}$. The desymmetrisation of cyclobutanes takes this concept to the limit, where a subtle torsional strain of the amine backbone imparts great levels of enantioselectivity ( $\mathrm{TS}_{53} \mathrm{VS} \mathrm{TS}_{54}$ ).

### 3.5. Summary

Mono-protected amino acid ligands and their derivatives are increasingly used as an effective tool for asymmetric palladium-catalysed C-H activation reactions. However, these typically display bespoke bulky functionalities and are used in combination with substrates bearing exogenous directing groups. Focusing solely on amine substrates, only one recently reported method can use unprotected primary amines to achieve functionalisation at the $\mathrm{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of a cyclopropane, and with the aid of a chiral thioether-amine ligand. ${ }^{113}$

In comparison, this chapter has demonstrated that simple and commercial amino acid ligands can also perform asymmetric $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions with excellent selectivity and in a wide range of ubiquitous amine substrates. By using aryl boronic acids as coupling partners, tertiary alkylamines displaying both appendant cyclopropane and cyclobutane rings delivered the expected cis $\gamma$-arylated products with excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivity. $N$-Substituted piperidine, pyrrolidine, morpholine, piperazine and azetidine heterocycles, as well as acyclic amines, were all capable of directing the palladium metal centre to forge the desired $C-C$ bond. Interestingly, the presence of $\beta$-substituents within the amine backbone created a ThorpeIngold effect which resulted in an unusual product formation in the absence of ligand.

Taking advantage of the exceptional enantioselectivity observed throughout the amine scope, this $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation method was applied to trans substituted cyclopropyl fragments in a kinetic resolution process. Depending on the reaction conditions, the starting material could be recovered in $93 \%$ ee, or it yielded the expected 1,2,3-functionalised cyclopropane ring in $96 \%$ ee. Unexpectedly, a minor stereoisomer resulting of the arylation at the benzylic methine $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond was also isolated. The hindered nature of this bond makes C-H cleavage and its subsequent functionalisation a great challenge only achieved so far in ring-strained systems or bridgehead positions to typically deliver intramolecular cyclisations. Gratifyingly, this rare intermolecular reactivity could also be accessed in non-biased substrates to forge cyclopropane rings with quaternary centres, and it remains as a research area worth of further investigations.

The isolation of crystal structures of a functionalised cyclopropane and cyclobutane ring elucidated an absolute configuration with opposite chirality to the one obtained when desymmetrising non-ring-strained systems. The eclipsed conformation of ring-strained alkanes made inaccessible the favourable chair-like transition states during C-H cleavage. The restricted amidate geometry of the ligand, previously responsible of preventing substrate decomposition through $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination, played a crucial role in delivering asymmetry. Rather than exploiting more classical ligand-substrate steric interactions, computational calculations indicate that these type of ligand induce enantioselectivity by restricting the mobility of the amidate internal base, and it is the amine backbone which is forced to adopt the most stable conformation to bring the reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in close proximity to palladium and its internal base. The most stable transition state for each diastereomeric
complex proceeded without apparent steric interactions along the amine backbone, which made this mode of asymmetry amenable for many different types of aliphatic amine heterocycles. Non-linear experiments corroborate that a single amino acid ligand is involved throughout the catalytic cycle.

Overall, the research presented in this chapter disclosed the asymmetric reactivity of $\gamma-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds at ring-strained methylene and methine units in tertiary alkylamine substrates, classified the reactivity between them, and provided a computational insight about how asymmetry is induced when using non-bulky amino acid ligands.

Chapter 4
Conclusion and outlook

C-H activation has emerged as a new reaction paradigm capable of expediating organic synthesis. The ubiquity of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in any organic framework avoids the use of prefunctionalised reagents and their functionalisation generates in optimal conditions a proton as the only chemical waste. Unfortunately, this overarching goal is far from being fulfilled. The first palladium-catalysed reactions utilised bespoke directing groups instead of common organic functional groups in order to direct the catalytic palladium metal centre towards productive product formation. However, this played against the problems $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation was trying to solve. The incorporation and subsequent removal of these engineered directing functionalities made $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation strategies lengthier, more expensive, and non-atom economical. The recent use of transient directing groups has masked this problem by the in situ incorporation and removal of the enhanced directing functionality needed to access efficient $C\left(s p^{3}\right)-H$ cleavage, while very few literature examples can directly take a native molecule functionality to direct $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation.

Over the last decade, the Gaunt group made a distinctive progress towards the use of free amines as substrates in palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions. While others targeted the functionalisation of primary amines through transient directing groups or through their protection as amides or sulfonates, the Gaunt group aimed to exploit direct binding of secondary amines to palladium to direct C-H activation. The seminal use of hindered secondary amines with no $\alpha-H$ was soon followed by a unique carbonylation platform capable of targeting $\beta-H$ bonds in a wide range of non-hindered secondary amines through the formation of a carbamoyl complex. Nevertheless, none of the aforementioned strategies were applicable to tertiary amines. There is no simple way to attach and remove a directing auxiliary within a tertiary alkylamine motif and its direct coordination to palladium leads to amine decomposition, which have precluded the use of this prevalent functionality of medicinal chemistry in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions.

The research disclosed in this dissertation explored the reactivity of tertiary alkylamines in palladiumcatalysed C-H activation reactions. With the aid of mono-protected amino acid ligands, a wide range of tertiary alkylamines, including piperidine, piperazine, pyrrolidine, morpholine, diazepanes and azetidine heterocycles, as well as acyclic amines, were all found to be viable directing groups at promoting $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage by exploiting its coordination to catalytic amounts of palladium. The resultant palladacycles were subsequently functionalised by using arylboron reagents as coupling partners with the aid of 1,4-benzoquinone as a key additive. This transformation could be performed in the absence of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ by using the multifaceted 1,4-benzoquinone as the terminal oxidant at slightly higher reaction temperatures in acyclic amine substrates If compatible with the aforementioned heterocycles, this greener and more atom-economical reaction can facilitate the scalability and applicability of this transformation in industrial settings.

Computational calculations revealed the constant competition between $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation and $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination among the multiple amine heterocycles employed, and how the restricted amidate base of the ligand enhances $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation over a deleterious $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination pathway. Acyclic amines proved to be
robust directing functionalities which afforded an excellent reactivity. Piperidine substrates benefited from the unfavourable boat conformation needed during the $\beta-H$ elimination process to also access product formation. However, the less rigid conformations of pyrrolidine and azepane rings made $\beta-H$ elimination a favourable pathway, resulting in little to no product formation. This was proved experimentally by exploiting KIE of a $\alpha$-deuterated pyrrolidine substrate. Nevertheless, subtle changes in the heterocycle fragment can reverse this selectivity, as demonstrated for a diazepane fragment.

The intimate role of amino acid ligands in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage prompted the study of an asymmetric $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reaction. Taking the preliminary results of other group members as a starting point, methylene C-H activation could be accessed in cyclopropane and cyclobutane rings with excellent reactivity, diastereoand enantioselectivity. Most importantly, enantioselectivity could be maintained throughout the different amine heterocycles tested. The exquisite asymmetry observed enabled the use of this transformation in a kinetic resolution event by using racemic trans cyclopropanes as starting materials. Interestingly, the presence of $\beta$-substituents within the amine backbone invoked a Thorpe-Ingold effect which resulted in an unusual product formation in the absence of ligand, a phenomenon not previously observed throughout this research.

Computational analysis of the different diastereomeric transition states disclosed the unique mode of asymmetric induction employed by the ubiquitous acetyl-tert-leucine ligand. Rather than exploiting direct ligand-substrate steric interactions, the restricted geometry of the amidate base acts as a chiral relay, forcing the amine backbone to adopt the most stable conformations in order to bring the reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in close proximity to palladium and its internal base. Therefore, the excellent enantioselectivity observed arose from less favourable acetamide dihedral angles and/or the increased torsional strain along the amine backbone during C-H cleavage. Crucially, the absence of direct steric interactions among the amine backbone justified that this asymmetric transformation was compatible with a wide range of substrates bearing different directing nitrogen heterocycles.

Lastly, more distal cyclopropane rings enabled a rare methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arylation reaction which forged a quaternary centre within the 3 -membered ring alkane upon reductive elimination, thus becoming a rare example of an intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ methine functionalisation through palladium catalysis.

Overall, the research reported in this dissertation become the cornerstone of tertiary alkylamines as native substrates for palladium-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions and discloses a new chemical space full of exciting opportunities. The decomposition of pyrrolidine and azepane heterocycles through a ligandassisted CMD pathway provides a compelling groundwork for the rational and systematic optimisation of these substrates. The synthesis of non-natural amino acid ligands with bulkier $\alpha$-side chains, or the use of ligands with highly restricted amide angles, for instance pyroglutamic acid, will certainly influence the subtle energy difference between both C-H cleaving processes. Another key point worth of further investigation are the less well-explored steps of transmetalation and reductive elimination. The sharp contrast in reactivity between 3-
and 4 -membered ring alkanes with their 5 - and 6 - analogues may not be related to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ cleavage, being the functionalisation of these more hindered methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds rate limiting in the aforementioned elemental steps. Lastly, benzoquinone was proved to be an ubiquitous additive which can be systematically modified to further improve reaction turnovers and efficiency.

This dissertation was largely focused on the reactivity of different tertiary amine heterocycles, achieving the catalytic functionalisation of methyl, methylene and methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds with arylboron reagents. Nevertheless, multiple alternative transformations can be attempted. The successful replacement of $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ by $B_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ will lead to the formation of a highly versatile $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}$ bond intermediate, which can be transformed into a vast range of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds, through oxidation or cross-coupling, among others. Alternatively, functionalisation with alkyl boron reagents, in particular $\mathrm{MeBF}_{3} \mathrm{~K}$ or its fluorinated or deuterated analogues, may find applications as a late-stage functionalisation tool in medicinal chemistry. On the other hand, highvalent palladium manifolds could not be accessed. An inherent limitation of this strategy is the incompatibility of the strong oxidant needed to access $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ with the delicate tertiary amine substrates. However, polar amino acid residues, for instance aspartic acid or cysteic acid, may offer a third coordination point to stabilise the putative octahedral $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ intermediates, being this strategy applicable to the functionalisation through $\mathrm{SN}_{2}$ mechanisms rather than control of the reductive elimination step.

It is deeply hoped that the knowledge described in these pages will inspire future breakthroughs in the context of substrate compatibility, novel functionalisations, or more environmentally friendly reaction conditions; all imperative features which will unarguably streamline the synthesis of future drug candidates in medicinal chemistry.

Chapter 5

## Experimental procedures

### 5.1. General information

Solvents and reagents: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and THF were obtained as anhydrous solvents from distillation over $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$. MeCN, DCM, hexane and toluene were obtained as anhydrous solvents from distillation over from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. NMP (99.5\%), DMA (99.5\%) and DMF (99.8\%) were purchased from Acros Organics (extra dry, AcroSeal ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) and used without further purification. Other solvents used were purchased anhydrous and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{Pd} 45.9-48.4 \%$ needles), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (99\%) and phenyl boronic acid (98+\%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar and used without further purification. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (95\%) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification. 1,4-Benzoquinone was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and purified by recrystallisation from petroleum ether (40-60) before use.

Chromatography: Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using pre-coated Merck glass-backed silica gel plates (Kieselgel 60 F254 0.2 mm ). Visualisation was performed using ultraviolet light ( $\lambda_{\max }=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and/or chemical staining with basic potassium permanganate solution as appropriate. Alternatively, reactions were also monitored by gas chromatography (GC) using a Shimadzu QP2010-SE GC fitted with a BPX5 column ( $10 \mathrm{~m}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ film) for FID analysis, or a SHIM-5MS column ( $30 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mm}, 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ film) for MS analysis. Chiral analysis was performed on a Shimadzu XR high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) instrument fitted with a CHIRALPAK ${ }^{\circledR}$ AD-H column, or a Shimadzu QP2010-SE gas chromatography (GC) fitted with a Astec Chiraldex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ B-DM ( $20 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ film) for FID analysis. Flash column chromatography was performed on Merck Geduran Si 60 [40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ] under a positive pressure of air or by using a Teledyne CombiFlash NextGen 300+.

Characterisation: Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded at room temperature on a 400 MHz Bruker Avance III HD spectrometer, a 500 MHz Bruker Avance III HD Smart Probe spectrometer, or a 700 MHz Bruker Avance II+ spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) were reported in ppm and quoted to the nearest 0.01 ppm relative to the residual protons in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 77.16 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ) or DMSO$\mathrm{d}_{6}$ (2.05 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}, 39.52 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). Coupling constants ( $J$ ) were quoted to the nearest 0.1 Hz and multiplicity reported according to the following convention: $s=\operatorname{singlet}, \mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{quartet}$, $p=$ quintet, hex $=$ sextet, hept $=$ septet, oct $=$ octet,$m=$ multiplet, $b r=$ broad and associated combinations, e.g. dd = doublet of doublets. Where coincident coupling constants have been observed, the apparent (app) multiplicity of the proton resonance has been reported. Data were reported as follows: chemical shift (multiplicity, coupling constants, number of protons and molecular assignment). DEPT135 and 2-dimensional experiments (COSY, HMBC, HSQC and NOESY) were used to support assignments when appropriate, but were not included herein.

Infrared spectra (FT-IR) were recorded on a PerkinElmer FT-IR spectrometer fitted with an ATR sampling accessory or a Thermo Fisher Nicolet Summit PRO FT-IR spectrometer and samples were analysed as neat oils or solids. Absorptions were reported in wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ and only the most relevant peaks were reported High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on a Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL at the EPSRC Mass Spectrometry Service from University of Swansea, or on a Micromass Q-TOF spectrometer or Waters XEVO GII-S Q-TOF spectrometer at the Department of Chemistry from University of Cambridge.

Melting points (mp) were recorded using a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer Model 343 polarimeter using a Na lamp ( $\lambda 589 \mathrm{~nm}$, D-line)

X-Ray crystallography was performed on a Nonius Kappa CCD or a Bruker D8-Quest Photon-100 at the University of Cambridge Chemistry X-ray laboratory by Dr. Andrew Bond.

### 5.2. Methyl $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of tertiary alkylamines

## General procedure A: Methyl C-H arylation



The desired tertiary amine ( 0.750 mmol ) was added to a $10-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ microwave vial containing Pd(OAc) ${ }_{2}$ (6.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Ac-L-Tle-OH ( $13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ) ( $65.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous NMP ( 6.50 mL ). The reaction was sealed and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. The desired (hetero)aryl boronic acid ( 0.300 mmol ) in NMP ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at 1000 rpm , at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h .

The reaction was cooled to rt and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ added, forcing the formation of a precipitate. The dark mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting organic layer was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the crude oil by column chromatography afforded the pure arylated product (Note: The first aqueous wash is usually dark and can be accompanied with further precipitation, making phase separation difficult to distinguish).

## 1-(3-Phenylpropyl)piperidine
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General procedure A was applied to 1-propylpiperidine ( $95.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid $(36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 2\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { (Меон; 2м) })}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil (49.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.244 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3027,2932,2763,1452,1155,1115,1042,745,697 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.33 - $7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 7.26-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,10}\right), 2.67\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.87\left(\mathrm{appqnt}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{app} q \mathrm{qnt}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.51-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3 ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 58.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 54.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 34.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 28.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $26.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$. HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$204.1750, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 204.1747.

## tert-Butyl (1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidin-4-yl)carbamate
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General procedure A was applied to tert-butyl (1-propylpiperidin4 -yl)carbamate ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $67.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3362, 2973, 2938, 2767, 1678, 1514, 1307, 1236, 1163, 1050, 859, 781, 750, 699; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.32-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.24-7.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12,14}\right), 4.45\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.47(\mathrm{br}$ $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.90-2.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.64\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 2.42-2.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.05(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.98-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.82\left(\mathrm{appqnt}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.50-1.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 155.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 79.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 58.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$, $52.4\left(C_{7}\right), 47.8\left(C_{5}\right), 33.8\left(C_{10}\right), 32.7\left(C_{6}\right), 28.9\left(C_{9}\right), 28.4\left(C_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[M+H]^{+} 319.2380$, $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 319.2380.

## 4-(2-Methoxyethyl)-1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidine
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General procedure A was applied to 4-(2-methoxyethyl)-1-propylpiperidine ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid $(36.6 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.300 mmol ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $60.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.233 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3026,2923,2860,2806,2764,1602,1453,1116,746,698 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.33-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 7.24-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11,13}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.00-$ $2.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.64\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.43-2.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 1.94\left(\mathrm{appt} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.85(\mathrm{app}$ qnt, $\left.J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 1.75-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.54\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.49-1.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.35-$ $1.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 70.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $58.6\left(C_{1}\right), 58.5\left(C_{7}\right), 53.9\left(C_{6}\right), 36.2\left(C_{3}\right), 33.9\left(C_{9}\right), 32.7\left(C_{4}\right), 32.3\left(C_{5}\right), 28.7\left(C_{8}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ $262.2163, \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 262.2165 .

## Ethyl 1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidine-4-carboxylate
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General procedure A was applied to ethyl 1-propylpiperidine-4carboxylate ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid $(36.6 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.300 mmol ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $52.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.189 \mathrm{mmol}$, 63\% yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3027, 2945, 2805, 2767, 1730, 1450, 1377, 1259, 1177, 1047, 1027, 745, 699; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.33-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 7.24-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}, 13\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.95$ - $2.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.65\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.41-2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.29\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=11.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.00$ (app t, J = $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $1.95-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,8}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) $175.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 142.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 60.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 58.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 53.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 41.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 33.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $28.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $14.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 276.1956, \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 276.1958.

## 1-(3-Phenylpropyl)piperidin-4-one
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General procedure A was applied to 1-propylpiperidin-4-one (106 mg, 0.750 mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $31.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.144 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3026,2945,2806,1717,1595,1453,1352,1224,1130,747,699 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 7.25-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,10}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.54-2.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.48\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.88\left(\mathrm{app} q n t, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 209.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 142.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 125.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 56.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 53.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 41.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 33.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, $29.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 218.1550, \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 218.1545.

## 1-(3-Phenylpropyl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)piperidine



General procedure A was applied to 1-propyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)piperidine ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $43.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.159 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3027, 2950, 2809, 2773, 1454, 1338, 1252, 1123, 1080, 905, 745, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34-7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 7.25-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}, 11\right), 3.06-2.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.09-1.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.95-1.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{~b}, 6}\right), 1.66(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{qd}, J=$ $\left.12.5,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 127.5(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=278.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$, $57.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 52.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 40.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=27.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 28.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (376 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 73.8(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 272.1617, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 272.1621.

## 4,4-Difluoro-1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidine



General procedure A was applied to 4,4-difluoro-1-propylpiperidine ( 122 mg , 0.750 mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $33.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.138 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3027,2939,2817,1601,1454,1362,1094,950,747,699 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $7.34-7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 7.25-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,10}\right), 2.67\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.56\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.49-$ $2.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.09-1.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.84\left(\mathrm{appqnt}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $142.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} / \mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} / \mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 122.2\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=241.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 57.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 50.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $34.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=22.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 33.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 28.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$-98.1 ( s$) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$240.1559, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NF}_{2}$ requires 240.1558.

## 4-Phenyl-1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidine



General procedure A was applied to 4-phenyl-1-propylpiperidine (153 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $58.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.209 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3026,2937,2802,2764,1603,1495,1452,1376,1127,745,695 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.35-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,13}\right), 7.28-7.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,3,12,14}\right), 3.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}_{10}$ ), $2.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.44\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.07\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.95-1.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,9}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 146.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 142.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \& \mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 126.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 126.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 58.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 54.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 33.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 33.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 28.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 280.2057, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 280.2060.

## 3-Methoxy-1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidine



General procedure A was applied to 3-methoxy-1-propylpiperidine (118 mg, 0.750 mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $42.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3027,2939,2773,1603,1496,1454,1100,868,747,697 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $7.34-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 7.25-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}, 13\right), 3.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.37-3.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.98-2.87$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.69-2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 9}\right), 2.45-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.10-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.86(\mathrm{app} q \mathrm{qnt}, J=$ $\left.7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 1.81-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.59-1.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.34-1.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 76.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 58.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 57.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 56.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 53.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, $33.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $29.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 23.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 234.1858, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 234.1858.

## 1-(2-Methoxy-3-phenylpropyl)piperidine



General procedure A was applied to 1-(2-methoxypropyl)piperidine (118 mg, $0.750 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil (42.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield) .

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3027,2930,1602,1495,1453,1157,1101,742,697 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $7.33-7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 7.27-7.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,10}\right), 3.58\left(\mathrm{app} q \mathrm{nt}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=13.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$ ) , 2.82 (dd, J=13.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 2.41 (dd, J=13.1, 6.6 Hz, 1H, H $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), $2.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ), 2.31 (dd, $J=13.1,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $1.59\left(\mathrm{appqnt}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.47-1.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 139.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 125.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 80.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 62.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 57.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 55.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 38.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 26.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $24.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 234.1862, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 234.1858.

## 3-Benzyl-1-butylpiperidine



General procedure A was applied to 1-butyl-3-methylpiperidine (116 mg, 0.750 mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $21.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3026,2929,2759,1603,1495,1453,1096,745,697 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.33 - $7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.23-7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12,14}\right), 2.87\left(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $2.49\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.39-2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.97-1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}, 8}\right), 1.77-1.42$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3,6,7 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.31 (app hex, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $1.01-0.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,7 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $140.4\left(C_{11}\right), 129.1\left(C_{12}\right), 128.2\left(C_{13}\right), 125.8\left(C_{14}\right), 60.4\left(C_{9}\right), 59.1\left(C_{4}\right), 54.2\left(C_{5}\right), 41.2\left(C_{10}\right), 37.9\left(C_{8}\right), 30.8\left(C_{7}\right), 29.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 14.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 232.2054, \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 232.2060.

## 4-(3-Phenylpropyl)morpholine



General procedure A was applied to 4-propylmorpholine ( $96.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil (21.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.105 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3026, 2941, 2853, 2805, 1602, 1495, 1453, 1274, 1115, 1008, 870, 746, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34-7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.27-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9}\right), 3.81-3.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.71-2.63$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{brs}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.42-2.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.85\left(\mathrm{app} p, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 67.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 58.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 53.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 33.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$206.1539, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 206.1539.

## 1-Phenyl-4-(3-phenylpropyl)piperazine



252 General procedure A was applied to 1-phenyl-4-propylpiperazine (153 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $20 \%$ EtOAc) the title compound as a brown oil ( $42.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3025,2942,2815,1600,1495,1452,1230,1136,924,750,690 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,12}\right), 7.26-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11,13}\right), 6.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.28-3.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.73-2.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.66-2.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.52-2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$,
1.90 (app qnt, $\left.J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 151.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 128.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 119.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 116.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 58.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 53.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 49.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$281.2015, $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 281.2018.

## tert-Butyl 4-(3-phenylpropyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate



General procedure A was applied to tert-butyl 4-propylpiperazine-1carboxylate ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( 36.6 mg , 0.300 mmol ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 50\% EtOAc) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $41.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3026, 2931, 2855, 1693, 1418, 1244, 1168, 1004, 747, 698; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.26-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10,12}\right), 3.53-3.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.72-2.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$, 2.48 - $2.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,6}\right), 1.85\left(\mathrm{appqnt}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 1.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $154.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 142.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 79.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 57.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 53.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 44.1\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 43.1(\mathrm{br}$ $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$, $33.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 28.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$, $28.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 305.2226, \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 305.2229.

## Ethyl 1-(3-phenylpropyl)pyrrolidine-3-carboxylate



General procedure A was applied to ethyl 1-propylpyrrolidine-3carboxylate ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300$ mmol ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 80:18:2 DCM:EtOAc: $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ the title compound as a brown oil (17.1 mg, 0.065 mmol, 22\% yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2933, 2791, 1730, 1453, 1371, 1174, 1030, 746,$698 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.33 - $7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.23$ - $7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12,14}\right), 4.17\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.04\left(\mathrm{app} p, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $2.94\left(\mathrm{app} t, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.76-2.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}, 7 \mathrm{a}, 10}\right), 2.57-2.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}, 8}\right), 2.15-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.91-1.81\left(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $175.0\left(C_{3}\right), 142.1\left(C_{11}\right), 128.4\left(C_{12}\right), 128.3\left(C_{13}\right), 125.7\left(C_{14}\right), 60.6\left(C_{2}\right), 56.8\left(C_{5}\right), 55.5\left(C_{8}\right), 53.9\left(C_{7}\right), 42.1\left(C_{4}\right), 33.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 14.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 262.1803, \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 262.1802.

## $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Dimethyl-3-phenylpropan-1-amine



General procedure A was applied to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylpropan-1-amine ( $65.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750$ mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil (42.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.260 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3026,2942,1603,1498,1262,1030,745,698 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.35$ - $7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 7.26-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,8}\right), 2.71-2.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.36-2.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, 1.82 (app qnt, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$, $59.3\left(C_{2}\right)$, $45.5\left(C_{1}\right)$, $33.7\left(C_{4}\right)$, $29.5\left(C_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(m / z)$ : found $[M+H]^{+} 164.1431, C_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} N$ requires 164.1434.

## $N$-Methyl-N-(3-phenylpropyl)butan-1-amine
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General procedure A was applied to $N$-methyl- $N$-propylbutan-1-amine ( $97.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750$ mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { (Меон; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( 55.9 mg , 0.272 mmol, $91 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3027, 2954, 2931, 2785, 1603, 1495, 1454, 1377, 1076, 1031, 744, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.33-7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.25-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10,12}\right), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.42-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.36-2.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.82\left(\operatorname{app} q n t, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 1.51$ $-1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.39-1.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.4$ $\left(C_{9}\right), 128.4\left(C_{10}\right), 128.3\left(C_{11}\right), 125.7\left(C_{12}\right), 57.6\left(C_{2}\right), 57.4\left(C_{6}\right), 42.3\left(C_{1}\right), 33.8\left(C_{8}\right), 29.5\left(C_{3}\right), 29.1\left(C_{7}\right), 20.8\left(C_{4}\right)$, $14.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$206.1913, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 206.1909.

## N,N-Diethyl-3-phenylpropan-1-amine



General procedure A was applied to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethylpropan-1-amine $(86.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750$ mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil (29.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.155 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3028, 2928, 2853, 2807, 1600, 1489, 1237, 745, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.34 - $7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.26-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9}\right), 2.64\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.55\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.52-$ $2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.81\left(\mathrm{app} q n t, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.03\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $142.4\left(C_{6}\right), 128.4\left(C_{7}\right), 128.3\left(C_{8}\right), 125.7\left(C_{9}\right), 52.5\left(C_{3}\right), 46.9\left(C_{2}\right), 33.9\left(C_{5}\right), 28.8\left(C_{4}\right), 11.7\left(C_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$192.1745, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 192.1747.

## N,N-Dimethyl-4-phenylbutan-2-amine
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General procedure A was applied to $N, N$-dimethylbutan-2-amine ( $75.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750$ mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( 48.8 mg , $0.275 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ yield)

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3025,2942,2810,2770,1599,1495,1078,746,699 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $7.34-7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.26-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9}\right), 2.74-2.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.57\left(\operatorname{app}\right.$ hex, J=6.5 Hz, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.26$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.85\left(\mathrm{ddt}, J=12.7,9.8,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.64-1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 58.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 40.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 35.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 33.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $13.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 178.1597, \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 178.1596.

## 5-Chloro-N-methyl-N-(1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidin-4-yl)pyrimidin-2-amine


$\mathrm{mg}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3025,2942,2802,2767,1583,1496,1367,1030,745,697 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.33-7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.24-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12,14}\right), 4.57\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=12.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 3.08 - $2.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}, 7 \mathrm{a}\right), 2.67\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 2.48-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.12(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{td}, J=11.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.93 - $1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 9}\right), 1.73-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 159.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 155.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 117.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 58.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 53.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 52.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 33.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 29.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $29.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 28.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 345.1844, \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ requires 345.1846.

## 4-(4-Bromo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-1-(3-phenylpropyl)piperidine



General procedure A was applied to 4-(4-Bromo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-1propylpiperidine ( $163.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( 36.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 80:19:1 DCM:EtOAc: $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}\right)$ the title compound as a brown oil
( $35.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}, 34 \%$ yield).
IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2945, 2807, 2769, 1452, 1372, 1304, 1110, $976,952,838,731,698 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 7.34-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 7.24-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11,13}\right), 4.12(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=$ 11.5, 4.1 Hz, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), 3.09-3.00 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.67\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.46-2.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.18-2.07$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{b}\right), 2.06-1.94\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.86\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$, $139.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 126.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 92.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 60.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 57.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 52.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, $33.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $32.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $28.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 348.1070, \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ requires 348.1074.

## N-(1-(3-Phenylpropyl)piperidin-4-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-amine


$\mathrm{mg}, 0.185 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ yield).

General procedure A was applied to N-(1-Propylpiperidin-4-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-amine ( $216 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (EtOAc) the title compound as a brown oil (67.2

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2942, 2804, 1512, 1470, 1367, 1294, 1182, 978, 736, 698; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $7.52\left(\operatorname{app} t, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.34-7.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{16}\right), 7.25-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15}, 17\right), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 3.70-3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $2.66\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 2.47-2.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.12$ - $2.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 1.86 (app qnt, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}$ ), $1.62-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 157.7$ $\left(C_{6}\right), 146.7\left(q, J=33.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 138.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}\right), 121.6(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=274.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.C_{1}\right), 109.8\left(C_{5}\right), 108.7\left(q, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{3}\right), 58.0\left(C_{11}\right), 52.2\left(C_{10}\right), 48.5\left(C_{8}\right), 33.8\left(C_{13}\right), 32.1\left(C_{9}\right), 28.8\left(C_{12}\right) ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 68.9(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 364.2002, \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ requires 364.2001.

## Ethyl 4-(3-(dimethylamino)butyl)benzoate
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General procedure A was applied to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylbutan-2-amine (75.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (4-(ethoxycarbonyl)phenyl)boronic acid ( 58.2 mg , 0.300 mmol ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} \text {; }}$ $2 \mathrm{~m})$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $65.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.264 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2963, 2932, 2775, 1714, 1610, 1453, 1270, 1176, 1100, 1021, $763 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 4.38\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.79-2.65$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.61$ - $2.50\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hex, $\left.J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.89-1.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.64-1.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 166.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$, $148.2\left(C_{6}\right), 129.6\left(C_{8}\right), 128.4\left(C_{7}\right), 128.0\left(C_{9}\right), 60.7\left(C_{11}\right), 58.2\left(C_{2}\right), 40.4\left(C_{1}\right), 35.3\left(C_{4}\right), 33.0\left(C_{5}\right), 14.4\left(C_{12}\right), 13.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$250.1802, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 250.1802.

## $N, N$-Dimethyl-4-(p-tolyl)butan-2-amine
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General procedure A was applied to $N, N$-dimethylbutan-2-amine ( $75.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750$ mmol ) and $p$-tolylboronic acid ( $40.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $42.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.222 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2962, 2927, 2817, 2773, 1514, 1451, 1375, 1267, 1158, 1128, 1045, 806; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.12\left(\mathrm{apps}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,8}\right), 2.70-2.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,5}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.90-$
$1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.62-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 139.6$ $\left(C_{6}\right), 135.1\left(C_{9}\right), 129.0\left(C_{8}\right), 128.2\left(C_{7}\right), 58.5\left(C_{2}\right), 40.5\left(C_{1}\right), 35.6\left(C_{4}\right), 32.5\left(C_{5}\right), 21.0\left(C_{10}\right), 13.3\left(C_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$192.1747, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 192.1746.

## tert-Butyl (4-(3-(dimethylamino)butyl)phenyl)carbamate
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General procedure A was applied to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylbutan-2-amine ( $75.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)boronic acid ( $71.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $56.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.192 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2971, 2773, 1722, 1520, 1411, 1365, 1049, 830; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.32$ $-7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 2.68-2.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,5}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.81$ (ddt, J=12.2, 9.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H, H ${ }_{4 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $1.58-1.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}, 13}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 152.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 137.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 136.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 118.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 80.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 58.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 40.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 35.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $32.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $28.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 13.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$293.2224, $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 293.2224.

## 4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-N,N-dimethylbutan-2-amine



General procedure A was applied to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylbutan-2-amine $(75.9 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.750 mmol ) and (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid ( $45.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $30.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.149 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2961, 2932, 2818, 2774, 1612, 1510, 1454, 1242, 1175, 1037, 820; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 6.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 2.67-2.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,5}\right)$, $2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.88-1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.55\left(\mathrm{dddd}, J=13.6,9.8,7.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 157.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 134.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 113.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 58.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 40.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $35.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $32.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 13.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$208.1696, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 208.1696.

3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepin-5-yl)-2-(4-fluorobenzyl)-N,N-dimethylpropan-1-amine


General procedure A was applied to 3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepin-5-$\mathrm{yl})-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylpropan-1-amine ( $221 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (4-fluorophenyl)boronic acid ( $42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 3\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a pale yellow oil ( $74.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.191$ mmol, 64\% yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2940, 2857, 2820, 2768, 1598, 1508, 1486, 1220, 906, 728; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.20-7.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9}\right), 7.02-6.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,8,14,15}\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.9,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 12.9, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.73\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ ), 2.65 (dd, J=13.8,5.6 Hz, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 2.31 - $2.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.17-2.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2 \mathrm{~b}, 3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 161.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=243.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.C_{16}\right), 148.3\left(C_{5}\right), 135.9\left(d, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{13}\right), 134.1\left(C_{10}\right), 130.7\left(d, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{14}\right), 129.9\left(C_{9}\right), 126.4\left(C_{7}\right), 122.5\left(C_{8}\right)$, $120.1\left(C_{6}\right), 114.8\left(d, J=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{15}\right), 62.5\left(C_{2}\right), 52.7\left(C_{4}\right), 46.1\left(C_{1}\right), 36.5\left(C_{12}\right), 36.2\left(C_{3}\right), 32.4\left(C_{11}\right) ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-117.7(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 389.2382, \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ requires 389.2388.

3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepin-5-yl)-N,N-dimethyl-2-((6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-3-yl)methyl)-propan-1-amine


General procedure A was applied to 3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepin-$5-\mathrm{yl})-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylpropan-1-amine ( $221 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (6-(trifluoro-methyl)pyridin-3-yl)boronic acid ( $57.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a pale yellow oil ( $107.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.246 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2943, 2822, 2770, 1487, 1335, 1130, 1086, 907, 727;
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 7.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{16}\right), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15}\right), 7.19-7.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9}\right), 7.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 6.98\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.0,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 3.37 ( $\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $3.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right.$ ), $2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 2.69 ( $\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $2.29-2.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}, 3}\right), 2.16-2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 150.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 148.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 145.9\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=34.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{17}\right), 139.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 137.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 134.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 130.0$ $\left(C_{9}\right), 126.5\left(C_{7}\right), 122.8\left(C_{8}\right), 121.8\left(q, J=273.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{18}\right), 120.0-119.8\left(m, C_{6,16}\right), 61.9\left(C_{2}\right), 52.6\left(C_{4}\right), 46.0\left(C_{1}\right)$, $36.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $34.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 32.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})-67.6(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 440.2299, $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ requires 440.2308 .

## N-Methyl-N-(3-phenylpropyl-3,3-d ${ }_{2}$ )butan-1-amine



General procedure A was applied to $N$-methyl- $N$-(propyl-3,3,3-d ${ }_{3}$ )butan-1-amine (99.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( 16.3 mg , 0.079 mmol, $26 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2930, 2786, 1447, 1073, 734, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) 7.32-7.28(m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.23-7.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10,12}\right), 2.42-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.36-2.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.24$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.84-1.78\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 1.50-1.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.34\left(\right.$ hex, $\left.J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 57.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$,
$57.3\left(C_{6}\right), 42.3\left(C_{1}\right), 33.1\left(p, J=19.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{8}\right), 29.5\left(C_{3}\right), 29.0\left(C_{7}\right), 20.8\left(C_{4}\right), 14.1\left(C_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$208.2065, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ND}_{2}$ requires 208.2029.

## 1-(3-Phenylpropyl)pyrrolidine-2,2,5,5-d4
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General procedure A was applied at 0.1 mmol scale to 1-propylpyrrolidine-2,2,5,5$d 4(29.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.250 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenyl boronic acid ( $12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $9.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield). IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2941, 2785, 1496, 1453, 1219, 1124, 743, 696; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34$ $-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.26-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9}\right), 2.73-2.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.53-2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.88(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) ~ \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 142.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $56.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 53.5\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=20.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 34.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 23.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$194.1833, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 194.1841.

## Kinetic isotope effect (KIE) experiments



| $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Ac-L-Tle-OH |  |
| 1.0 equiv $\mathrm{PhB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |  |
| 2.5 equiv $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 2.0 equiv BQ DMA, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, air, 15 h |  |
| Independent reaction flasks | $\mathrm{KIE}=2.53$ |
| Intermolecular competition | $\mathrm{KIE}=3.85$ |
| Without $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{KIE}=1.14$ |



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Independent reaction flasks } & \mathrm{KIE}=2.53 \\
\text { Intermolecular competition } & \mathrm{KIE}=3.85 \\
\text { Without } \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} & \mathrm{KIE}=1.14
\end{array}
$$

The desired tertiary amine ( 0.750 mmol ) was added to a $10-20 \mathrm{~mL}$ microwave vial containing $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ac}-L$-Tle-OH ( $13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ) ( $65.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,4-dimethoxybenzene ( $20.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as internal standard in anhydrous DMA ( 6.50 mL ). The reaction was sealed and pre-stirred at 1000 rpm and at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. Phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added as a solution in 1 mL of DMA, initiating the reaction (Note: for an intermolecular experiment, 1.25 equiv of each amine were added to the same reaction flask).

Aliquots of 0.5 mL were taken over time, diluted in 10 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and filtered through a Pasteur pipette with pad of Celite. The resulting organic layer was washed with 10 mL of aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.25 \mathrm{M})$, dried by filtration over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ with the aid of a second Pasteur pipette, and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant crude was analysed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ integrating the benzylic protons of product 259 and the overlapped ortho- and paraaromatic protons of both products obtained. The represented values are an average of two reaction replicates. Graphs illustrate data in its linear region.
i) Independent KIE experiments

| Time (min) | Yield $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ (\%) | Yield $\mathbf{3 0 6}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 5.3 | 2.1 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 8.2 | 3.1 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 11.2 | 4.4 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 15.0 | 6.2 |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 19.0 | 7.5 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 22.7 | 8.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | 27.5 | 11.1 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ | 88.0 | 34.9 |

$$
K I E=\frac{0.2280}{0.0927}=2.53
$$


ii) Independent KIE experiments without $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$

| Time (min) | Yield 259 (\%) | Yield $\mathbf{3 0 6}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 3.8 | 0.7 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 4.5 | 1.7 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 5.7 | 2.6 |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 6.6 | 3.2 |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 7.5 | 3.5 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 8.3 | 3.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | 10.0 | 4.4 |

$$
K I E=\frac{0.0640}{0.0560}=1.14
$$


iii) Intermolecular KIE experiments


### 5.3. Enantioselective methylene $\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of tertiary alkylamines

General procedure B: Methylene cyclopropane C-H arylation


The desired tertiary amine ( 0.600 mmol ) was added to a $2-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ microwave vial containing $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(11.5$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Ac-L-Tle-OH ( $10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.450 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ) ( $32.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 0.75 mL ). The reaction was sealed and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. Phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(0.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at 1000 rpm , at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h .

The reaction was cooled to rt and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ added, forcing the formation of a precipitate. The dark mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting organic layer was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the crude oil by column chromatography afforded the pure arylated product. Asymmetry was determined by HPLC analysis using a CHIRALPAK ${ }^{\circledR}$ AD-H column, GC-FID analysis using a Astec Chiraldex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ B-DM column, or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the methylated tertiary amine product following a literature procedure reported by Lacour. ${ }^{224}$

## N,N,2-Trimethyl-3-phenylpropan-1-amine



General procedure A was applied at 3.0 mmol scale to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, 2$-trimethylpropan-1amine ( $911 \mathrm{mg}, 9.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 15.0 mL ) at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil (483 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$, $91 \%$ yield, $90 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by GC-FID analysis.

IR $\nu_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3027,2937,2816,2763,1494,1380,1263,1036,739,699 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.25-7.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9}\right), 2.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ ), 2.32 (dd, J=13.4, $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 b}$ ), $2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ ), $2.10\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 b}\right), 1.99-$ $1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 141.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.1$ $\left(C_{8}\right), 125.6\left(C_{9}\right), 66.6\left(C_{2}\right), 45.9\left(C_{1}\right), 41.4\left(C_{5}\right), 33.2\left(C_{3}\right), 17.9\left(C_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[M+H]^{+}$178.1596, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 178.1596 ; ee analysis: GC-FID ChiralDex $\beta-\mathrm{DM}\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$; linear velocity 40 $\mathrm{cm} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}$; split ratio 10.0) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=12.6 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=12.8 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{major}) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-3.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## N,N-Dimethyl-1-((1R,2S)-2-phenyIcyclopropyl)methanamine
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General procedure B was applied to 1-cyclopropyl-N,N-dimethylmethanamine (59.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН } ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $44.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.254 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ yield, $>99 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysing $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2941, 2814, 1497, 1457, 1262, 1029, 844; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.31-$ $7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.24-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.23-2.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,5}\right), 1.67(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J=12.7,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.31-1.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.17-1.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.82\left(\mathrm{q}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 59.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 17.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $9.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$176.1428, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 176.1434; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol $98: 2,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.1 \mathrm{~min}($ major $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.5 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-77^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Ethyl 3-(methyl(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)amino)propanoate
$1 \quad{ }^{4}{ }_{1}^{6} \mathrm{Me} \bigwedge_{10}^{9} \quad$ General procedure B was applied to ethyl 3-((cyclopropylmethyl)- (methyl)amino)propanoate ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $50.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.194 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ yield, $92 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\right.$ thin film) 2980, 2772, 1731, 1459, 1179, 1028; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ), 7.23 - $7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12,14}\right), 4.12\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.64\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.41-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{H}_{4,7 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 2.24-2.16(m,4H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6,10}\right), 1.83\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.31-1.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,8}\right), 1.12(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.4$, $\left.5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.82\left(\mathrm{q}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl$\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 172.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 129.0$ $\left(C_{12}\right), 128.0\left(C_{13}\right), 125.8\left(C_{14}\right), 60.4\left(C_{2}\right), 56.7\left(C_{7}\right), 52.5\left(C_{5}\right), 42.1\left(C_{6}\right), 32.4\left(C_{4}\right), 20.4\left(C_{10}\right), 16.9\left(C_{8}\right), 14.2\left(C_{1}\right)$, $9.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$262.1794, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 262.1807; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 99.5:0.5, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=13.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=17.2 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{minor}) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=$ $-36^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 2-((5-Fluoropyrimidin-2-yl)oxy)-N-methyl-N-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)ethan-1-amine



General procedure B was applied to $N$-(cyclopropylmethyl)-2-((5-fluoropyrimidin-2-yl)oxy)-N-methylethan-1-amine (135.2 mg, 0.600 mmol ) in NMP at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $6 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОн; } 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $43.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.144 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield, $78 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3077,2774,1570,1426,1325,1242,1029 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.36(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.28-7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.23-7.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12,14}\right), 4.34\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $2.44\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{td}, J=8.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 1.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,7.6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.36-1.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 1.12\left(\mathrm{td}, J=8.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.84\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 b}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 161.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 154.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=253.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 146.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=22.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$, $129.0\left(C_{12}\right), 127.9\left(C_{13}\right), 125.8\left(C_{14}\right), 66.3\left(C_{4}\right), 57.3\left(C_{7}\right), 55.5\left(C_{5}\right), 42.8\left(C_{6}\right), 20.4\left(C_{10}\right), 16.9\left(C_{8}\right), 9.6\left(C_{9}\right) ;{ }^{19} F$ NMR ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})-150.5(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 302.1660, \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OF}$ requires 302.1669; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=13.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=14.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-29^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## Benzyl 3-(methyl(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)amino)azetidine-1-carboxylate



General procedure B was applied to benzyl 3-((cyclopropyl-methyl)(methyl)amino)azetidine-1-carboxylate (164.6 mg, 0.600 mmol ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 5\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil (48.1
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.138 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ yield, $84 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.
IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3029, 2955, 1704, 1415, 1351, 1127; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.40-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2,3}$ ), $7.29-7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{16}\right), 7.18$ (app br d, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H, H 15,17 ), $5.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 3.76-3.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{c}}\right), 3.67\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~d}}\right), 3.08\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.26-2.15(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}, 13}$ ), $2.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.29-1.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 1.11(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,5.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.80\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 156.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 138.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 136.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 126.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}\right), 66.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 54.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 53.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 38.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $20.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right)$, $16.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$, $9.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 351.2060, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 351.2073; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=20.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=23.1$ $\min$ (minor); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-39^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)piperidine
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General procedure B was applied to 1-(cyclopropylmethyl)piperidine ( 83.5 mg , 0.600 mmol ) at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $49.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.229 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ yield, $>95 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysing $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative.

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2931, 1497, 1453, 1299, 1115, 1028, 763, 696; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.30$ $-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 7.22-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}, 11\right), 2.36\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.9,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.21-2.15$
$\left(m, 1 H, H_{7}\right), 1.79\left(d d, J=12.9,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{app} p, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.36-1.28$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.12\left(\mathrm{td}, J=8.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.82\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 58.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 54.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 24.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 20.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 16.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 10.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 216.1739, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 216.1747; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative; $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-51^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1'-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)spiro[chromane-2,4'-piperidin]-4-one
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General procedure B was applied to 1'-(cyclopropylmethyl)-spiro[chromane-2,4'-piperidin]-4-one ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil $(74.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.215 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ yield, $>99 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3076,2919,2786,1687,1605,1461,1298,1228,1114 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $7.85\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.47\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 7.32-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{18}\right), 7.25-7.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{17,19}\right), 6.99\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.81-2.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.57(\mathrm{brd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $2.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.31\left(\mathrm{brq}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{c}}\right), 2.20(\mathrm{appq}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15}$ ), 2.06-1.97(m,2H, $\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $1.79\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.76-1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.32-1.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ), $1.13\left(\mathrm{td}, J=8.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.83\left(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $192.1\left(C_{7}\right), 159.2\left(C_{1}\right), 138.8\left(C_{16}\right), 136.1\left(C_{3}\right), 129.0\left(C_{17}\right), 127.9\left(C_{18}\right), 126.5\left(C_{5}\right), 125.9\left(C_{19}\right), 120.9\left(C_{4}\right), 120.8$ $\left(C_{6}\right), 118.4\left(C_{2}\right), 77.9\left(C_{9}\right), 58.1\left(C_{12}\right), 48.9\left(C_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 48.5\left(C_{11 b}\right), 48.0\left(C_{8}\right), 34.3\left(C_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 34.3\left(C_{10 b}\right), 20.1\left(C_{15}\right), 16.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right)$, $10.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 348.1963, \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 348.1964 ; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=14.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=16.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-37^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)piperidin-4-ol
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General procedure B was applied to 4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-(cyclopropylmethyl)piperidin-4-ol ( $159.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $7 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a colourless oil $(52.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ yield, 98\% ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3063, 2924, 2833, 1495, 1082, 1047; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.45-7.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $7.33-7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3,15}\right), 7.23-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14,16}\right), 2.94\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.79(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $2.48\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ ), $2.39\left(\mathrm{brt}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 c}\right), 2.31(\mathrm{brt}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~d}}$ ), $2.23\left(\mathrm{app} q, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 2.15\left(\mathrm{brt}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.93\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$,
$1.90-1.75\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.74-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.39-1.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $0.89\left(q, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 146.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 138.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 132.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right)$, $128.4\left(C_{3}\right), 128.0\left(C_{15}\right), 126.1\left(C_{2}\right), 125.9\left(C_{16}\right), 70.9\left(C_{5}\right), 58.0\left(C_{9}\right), 49.1\left(C_{8}\right), 38.1\left(C_{7}\right), 20.3\left(C_{12}\right), 16.1\left(C_{10}\right), 10.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 342.1617, \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NOCl}$ requires 342.1625 ; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak ADH (hexane:2-propanol 95:5, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=24.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=26.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (major); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-32^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## tert-Butyl (1-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)piperidin-4-yl)carbamate
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General procedure B was applied to tert-butyl (1-(cyclopropyl-methyl)piperidin-4-yl)carbamate ( $153 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $6 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil $(75.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.227 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ yield, >99\% ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3321, 2930, 2781, 1686, 1497, 1168, 765; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.29-$ $7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 7.21-7.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13,15}\right), 4.43\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.39\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.89\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.77$
 $\mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{c}}$ ), 1.88 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{~d}}$ ), 1.83 (dd, $J=12.9,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $1.50-1.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.31-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{9}$ ), 1.11 (td, $J=8.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $0.81\left(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 155.2$ $\left(C_{3}\right), 138.6\left(C_{12}\right), 128.9\left(C_{13}\right), 127.9\left(C_{14}\right), 125.9\left(C_{15}\right), 79.2\left(C_{2}\right), 57.9\left(C_{8}\right), 52.3\left(C_{7}\right), 47.6\left(C_{5}\right), 32.5\left(C_{6}\right), 28.4\left(C_{1}\right)$, $20.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$, $16.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $9.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 331.2380, \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 331.2386; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane( $0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}$ ):2-propanol $98: 2,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=17.9 \mathrm{~min}(m i n o r)$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=18.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (major); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{D}^{25.0}=-39^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 6-Fluoro-3-(1-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)piperidin-4-yl)benzo[d]isoxazole
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General procedure B was applied to 3-(1-(cyclopropylmethyl)-piperidin-4-yl)-6-fluorobenzo[d]isoxazole ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 3\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil $(39.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol}, 38 \%$ yield, 99\% ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2943, 1614, 1495, 1122, 955, 698; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.71$ (dd, $J=8.6$, $\left.5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.30\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{17}\right), 7.26-7.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, 16,18\right), 7.06\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.20-$ $3.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.06-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.44\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.23\left(\mathrm{appq}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$, 2.11 - 1.96 ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}, 10 \mathrm{~b}$ ), 1.92 (dd, J = 12.8, $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.38-1.32 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{12}$ ), 1.18-1.13 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $0.86\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{q}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 164.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=250.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 163.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$\left.13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 161.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}\right), 125.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{18}\right), 122.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 117.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, $112.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=25.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 97.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=26.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 58.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 53.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 16.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$, $9.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$-109.8 ( s ); HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 351.1877, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OF}$ requires 351.1873 ; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 90:10, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.2 \mathrm{~min}($ major $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.2 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-30^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$

## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)-4-tosylpiperazine
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General procedure B was applied to 1-(cyclopropylmethyl)-4tosylpiperazine ( $176.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a white solid ( $55.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.149 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield, $97 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.
mp $72-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\right.$ thin film) 2856, 2801, 1595, 1452, 1347, 1301, 1163; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $7.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 7.28-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 7.22-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15}\right)$, 7.15 (d, J = $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ), $2.98\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.44\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,7}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.18$ (td, $\left.J=8.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 1.87\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.19\left(\mathrm{qt}, J=8.4,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.08(\mathrm{td}, J=8.4$, $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $0.77\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 143.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 138.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 132.2$ $\left(C_{2}\right), 129.6\left(C_{3}\right), 128.9\left(C_{13}\right), 128.0\left(C_{14}\right), 127.9\left(C_{4}\right), 126.0\left(C_{15}\right), 57.4\left(C_{8}\right), 52.0\left(C_{7}\right), 46.0\left(C_{6}\right), 21.5\left(C_{1}\right), 20.3\left(C_{11}\right)$, $16.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $9.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 371.1785, \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ S requires 371.1793; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 90:10, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=16.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=19.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-23^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)pyrrolidine



General procedure B was applied to 1-(cyclopropylmethyl) pyrrolidine ( $94.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750$ mmol ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography ( DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( 19.2 mg, $0.095 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ yield, $>95 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysing $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2969, 2901, 2777, 1394, 1230, 1056; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ), $7.24-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,10}\right), 2.50\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.48-2.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.20(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.7$, $\left.6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.81\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.5,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.78-1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.37-1.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.10(\mathrm{tdd}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=8.3,5.2,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.85\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 139.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 129.1$ $\left(C_{8}\right), 127.9\left(C_{9}\right), 125.7\left(C_{10}\right)$, $55.9\left(C_{3}\right)$, $54.3\left(C_{2}\right), 23.4\left(C_{1}\right), 20.3\left(C_{6}\right), 17.9\left(C_{4}\right)$, $9.7\left(C_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$202.1588, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 202.1596; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-42^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

Methyl 1-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)azetidine-3-carboxylate


General procedure B was applied to methyl 1-(cyclopropylmethyl)-azetidine-3carboxylate ( $101.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $21.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ yield, $98 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3060, 2834, 1732, 1436, 1197, 1172; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.23-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10,12}\right), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.49-3.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.28\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.19(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 3.10\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{c}}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.16(\mathrm{td}, J=8.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), 1.79 (dd, $J=12.3,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 1.21-1.12 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ), 1.04 (tdd, $\left.J=8.3,5.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.81(\mathrm{q}, J$ $\left.=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl 3 ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 125.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$, $58.7\left(C_{5}\right), 57.0\left(C_{4 a}\right), 56.9\left(C_{4 b}\right), 51.8\left(C_{1}\right), 34.2\left(C_{3}\right), 19.5\left(C_{8}\right), 16.6\left(C_{6}\right), 8.9\left(C_{7}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ 246.1482, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 246.1494; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 97:3, 1.0 $\left.\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.8 \mathrm{~min}($ major $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.8 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{D}^{25.0}=-47^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

6-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)-2-oxa-6-azaspiro[3.3]heptane
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General procedure $B$ was applied to 6-(cyclopropylmethyl)-2-oxa-6azaspiro[3.3]heptane ( $91.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid pinacol ester ( $61.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $8 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $21.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095$ mmol, $32 \%$ yield, $>99 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2927, 2860, 2814, 1458, 1247, 970, 728, 698; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.30-$ $7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 7.24-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}, 11\right), 4.72-4.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 2.37 (dd, $J=12.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $2.16\left(\mathrm{appq}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right.$ ), $1.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.14 ( $\mathrm{qt}, J=8.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $1.05\left(\mathrm{td}, J=8.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.80\left(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 125.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 81.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 63.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 58.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 39.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $19.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 16.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 8.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 230.1543, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 230.1545 ; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=11.0$ $\min$ (minor); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-54^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-((1R,2R)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



General procedure B was applied to 1-(1-(4-chlorophenyl)cyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine ( $126.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $18.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \%$ yield, $92 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2968, 2815, 2764, 1493, 1456, 1093; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $7.39-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.36-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 7.33-7.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 7.31-7.28$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 7.27-7.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.78\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), $2.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.68\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.8,5.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 6.7, $\left.5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 144.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 137.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 131.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 129.0$ $\left(C_{6}\right), 128.5\left(C_{12}\right), 128.2\left(C_{7}\right), 126.3\left(C_{8}\right), 64.0\left(C_{2}\right), 45.8\left(C_{1}\right), 30.3\left(C_{4}\right), 30.0\left(C_{3}\right), 16.5\left(C_{9}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$286.1354, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NCI}$ requires 286.1363; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 99:1, 1.0 $\left.\mathrm{mL} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.5 \mathrm{~min}($ major $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=12.4 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $) ;[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=+84^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-(1-(5-Chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)cyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



General procedure B was applied to 1-(1-(4-chlorophenyl)cyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine ( $126.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a pale oil ( $42.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.150 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ). IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2941, 2811, 2763, 1461, 1267, 1113, 1034; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.45-7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 7.41-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12,14}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ), $7.26\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 0.87(\mathrm{app}$ $\left.\mathrm{q}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.55\left(\mathrm{appq} q, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 145.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 141.6$ $\left(C_{11}\right), 139.9\left(C_{5}\right), 131.8\left(C_{6}\right), 131.7\left(C_{8}\right), 130.6\left(C_{9}\right), 129.3\left(C_{12}\right), 127.8\left(C_{13}\right), 127.1\left(C_{14}\right), 127.1\left(C_{7}\right), 67.6\left(C_{2}\right), 46.0$ $\left(C_{1}\right), 23.1\left(C_{3}\right), 13.3\left(C_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 286.1353, \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NCI}$ requires 286.1363.

## 1-((1R,2R)-1-(5-Chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



General procedure B was applied to 1-(1-(4-chlorophenyl)cyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound ( $13.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3061, 2941, 2813, 2761, 1587, 1461, 1038; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.46-7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{18,19}\right), 7.41-7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{17}\right), 7.32\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 7.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 7.16-7.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,8}\right), 6.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,7.1$
$\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.31-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\left.\mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 145.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 141.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 141.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right), 137.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 132.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 131.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right)$, $130.8\left(C_{14}\right), 129.4\left(C_{17}\right), 129.1\left(C_{6}\right), 128.2\left(C_{18}\right), 127.6\left(C_{7}\right), 127.3\left(C_{19}\right), 127.2\left(C_{12}\right), 125.8\left(C_{8}\right), 63.8\left(C_{2}\right), 46.0\left(C_{1}\right)$, $30.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 29.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 18.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 362.1669, \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NCl}$ requires 362.1676.

## $N, N$-Dimethyl-1-((1R,2R)-1-methyl-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanamine



380a

General procedure B was applied to $N, N$-dimethyl-1-(1-methylcyclopropyl)methanamine ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.900 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $\left.2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{M})}\right)$ the title compound as a yellow oil $(22.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ yield, 78\% ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by GC-FID analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2942, 2812, 2761, 1455, 1265, 1026, 857, 696; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.30$ $-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 7.22-7.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,10}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.96-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.H_{6}\right), 1.52\left(d, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.02\left(\mathrm{appt}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 139.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 63.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 28.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 24.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 17.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$190.1591, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 190.1596; ee analysis: GC-FID ChiralDex $\beta-\mathrm{DM}\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$; linear velocity $40 \mathrm{~cm} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; split ratio 10.0$) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.0$ $\min$ (minor), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5 . 0}}=+7.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-(1-Benzylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



380b

General procedure B was applied to $N, N$-dimethyl-1-(1-methylcyclopropyl)methanamine (102 mg, 0.900 mmol ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} \text {; }}$ 2м)) the title compound as an inseparable mixture with 1-(1-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-ylmethyl)-cyclopropyl)- $N, N$-dimethylmethan-amine ( $9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 4: 1$ ratio (major), $0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.32$ - $7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,8,9}\right), 4.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 4.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$, $2.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.83-2.79\left(\mathrm{appt}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.44-2.39\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{c}}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 146.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 142.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7 / 8}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7 / 8}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 112.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 65.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 35.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 34.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 176.1435, \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 176.1434.

## 1-(1-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-ylmethyl)cyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



General procedure B was applied to N,N-dimethyl-1-(1-methylcyclopropyl)methanamine ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.900 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as an inseparable mixture with 1-(1-benzylcyclo-propyl)- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl-methanamine ( $9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 4: 1$ ratio (minor), $0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.32-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 / 5}\right), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 / 5}\right), 0.49-0.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.31-0.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 252.1738$, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 252.1747.

1-((1S,2R)-1-(2-Methoxyethyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine


General procedure B was applied to 1-(1-(2-methoxyethyl)cyclopropyl)-N,Ndimethylmethanamine ( $94.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 3\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil (30.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.132 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$ yield, $75 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR analysing $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2936, 2813, 1456, 1263, 1115, 1030, 728, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.28$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.23-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10,12}\right), 3.67-3.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.22-2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 b}\right)$, $1.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.01\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.96-0.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta$ (ppm) $139.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$, $71.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 61.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 58.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 45.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 36.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 26.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$, $22.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 17.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 234.1853, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ NO requires 234.1858; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative; $[\alpha]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=+13^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
trans-N,N-Dimethyl-1-(2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanamine


395

Subjecting the title compound ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to general procedure B with (4methoxyphenyl)boronic acid ( $45.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the unreacted starting material as a yellow oil ( $43.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.245 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield, $51 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2940, 2761, 1604, 1497, 1455, 1033, 753, 695; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.27$ (app t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, H8), $7.16\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 7.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.34-2.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.74-1.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.28-1.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $0.86\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 143.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 125.4$ $\left(C_{9}\right), 64.1\left(C_{2}\right), 45.5\left(C_{1}\right), 22.6\left(C_{5}\right), 21.6\left(C_{3}\right), 15.0\left(C_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 176.1438, \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 176.1439; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.4$ $\min ($ major $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.8 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $) ; ~[\alpha]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-49^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

1-((1R,2S,3S)-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine


General procedure B was applied to trans- $N, N$-dimethyl-1-(2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanamine ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid ( 45.6 mg , 0.300 mmol ) in DMA at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; 2м) }}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $51.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.183 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield, 96\% ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2937, 2764, 1609, 1512, 1454, 1243, 1031, 830, 755, 695; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) $7.32\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.26-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,9,11}\right), 6.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 2.54$ (dd, $\left.J=12.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.49\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.88$ (dd, J=12.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.66-1.60(m,1H, $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 158.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 142.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, $130.1\left(C_{10}\right), 129.9\left(C_{11}\right), 128.4\left(C_{8}\right), 126.1\left(C_{7}\right), 125.7\left(C_{9}\right), 113.6\left(C_{12}\right), 58.9\left(C_{2}\right), 55.3\left(C_{14}\right), 45.5\left(C_{1}\right), 30.6\left(C_{4}\right)$, $28.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 282.1852, \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 282.1858; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol $98: 2,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=11.6 \mathrm{~min}(\operatorname{minor}), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=14.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (major); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-130^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-((1S,2R)-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



397 General procedure B was applied to trans-N,N-dimethyl-1-(2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanamine ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid ( $45.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6\% yield, $99 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.30-7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 7.14\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 6.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 2.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.82-1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.55\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, 1.33 - $1.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 158.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 147.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 133.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 131.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$, $128.2\left(C_{7 / 8}\right), 127.5\left(C_{7 / 8}\right), 125.6\left(C_{9}\right), 113.6\left(C_{12}\right), 61.3\left(C_{2}\right), 55.2\left(C_{14}\right), 45.7\left(C_{1}\right), 33.4\left(C_{5}\right), 24.3\left(C_{3}\right), 21.3\left(C_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 282.1854, \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 282.1858 ; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=12.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major).

## N,N-Dimethyl-2-(1-phenylcyclopropyl)ethan-1-amine

 General procedure B was applied to 2-cyclopropyl- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethan-1-amine $(84.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.750 \mathrm{mmol})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $2 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { (Меон; }}$ ${ }_{2 \mathrm{M}}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $45.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.240 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3660,2972,2762,1460,1260,1066 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.32-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,89}\right), 2.30-2.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.81-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.9,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, 0.73 (dd, J = 5.9, 4.3 Hz, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{5 b}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{2}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 144.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{7 / 8}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{7 / 8}\right), 125.9$ $\left(C_{9}\right), 57.6\left(C_{2}\right), 45.6\left(C_{1}\right), 38.3\left(C_{3}\right), 24.0\left(C_{4}\right), 13.2\left(C_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(m / z)$ : found $[M+H]^{+} 190.1583, C_{13} H_{20} N$ requires 190.1596.

## General procedure C: Methylene cyclobutane C-H arylation



The desired tertiary amine ( 0.600 mmol ) was added to a $2-5 \mathrm{~mL}$ microwave vial containing $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(11.5$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Ac-L-Tle-OH ( $10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.450 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ) ( $32.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMA ( 0.75 mL ). The reaction was sealed and stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. Phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA $(0.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at 1000 rpm , at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h .

The reaction was cooled to rt and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ added, forcing the formation of a precipitate. The dark mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting organic layer was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the crude oil by column chromatography afforded the pure arylated product. Asymmetry was determined by HPLC analysis using a CHIRALPAK ${ }^{\circledR}$ AD-H column, GC-FID analysis using a Astec Chiraldex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ B-DM column, or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the methylated tertiary amine product following a literature procedure reported by Lacour. ${ }^{224}$

## N,N-Dimethyl-1-((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methanamine



General procedure C was applied to 1-cyclobutyl-N,N-dimethylmethanamine (67.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОн; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.218 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ yield, $>95 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysing $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2941, 2763, 1494, 1455, 1031, 764, 737, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34-$ $7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 7.23-7.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,10}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.90-2.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.41-2.29(\mathrm{~m}$ $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.13-2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.92-1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 141.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 61.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 41.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 37.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 24.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $23.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$190.1584, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 190.1590; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative; $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-69^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

1-((1s,2R,4S)-2,4-Diphenylcyclobutyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine


General procedure C was applied to 1-cyclobutyl- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylmethanamine (67.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3 \text { (МеОН; }}$ 2m) ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.34\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 7.30-7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$, $7.22\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.16\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.75(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{dtd}, J=11.1,7.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.01\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.75$
( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 140.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 125.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 55.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $43.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 39.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 27.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [M+H] ${ }^{+}$266.1893, $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 266.1903.

Ethyl 3-(methyl(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)amino)propanoate


General procedure C was applied to ethyl 3-((cyclobutylmethyl) (methyl)amino)propanoate ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography ( DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $58.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysing $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}($ thin film $) 2973,1731,1458,1177,1030,698 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 7.24$ - $7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13,15}\right), 4.12\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.91$ - $2.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.63$ - $2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.55$ - $2.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 b}\right), 2.40-2.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,10}\right), 2.24-2.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $2.14\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.99\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.86(\mathrm{tt}, J=11.3,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{gb}}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 172.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 141.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 127.8$ $\left(C_{14}\right), 125.8\left(C_{15}\right), 60.3\left(C_{2}\right), 58.7\left(C_{7}\right), 53.1\left(C_{5}\right), 42.3\left(C_{6}\right), 41.8\left(C_{11}\right), 37.2\left(C_{8}\right), 32.5\left(C_{4}\right), 24.0\left(C_{9}\right), 23.5\left(C_{10}\right), 14.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$276.1964, $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 276.1964; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-71^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$N$-Methyl-3-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)-N-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)propan-1-amine


General procedure C was applied to N -(cyclobutylmethyl)- N -methyl-3-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)propan-1-amine (133 mg, 0.600 mmol ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $55.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.185 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ yield, $94 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2942, 2788, 1453, 1218, 1018, 776, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{17}\right), 7.24-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{16,18}\right), 5.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 2.92-$ $2.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.58-2.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.40-2.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.26-2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8,12 \mathrm{a}}\right)$,
2.13 (app t, J = $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.92-1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$, $1.69-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 154.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 150.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 141.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right), 127.8$ $\left(C_{17}\right), 125.7\left(C_{18}\right), 105.7\left(C_{3}\right), 105.2\left(C_{4}\right), 59.1\left(C_{10}\right), 57.4\left(C_{8}\right), 42.6\left(C_{9}\right), 41.9\left(C_{14}\right), 37.4\left(C_{11}\right), 25.9\left(C_{6}\right), 25.8\left(C_{7}\right)$, $24.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$, $23.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right)$, $13.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$298.2168, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 298.2171; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 99.6:0.4, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.1 \mathrm{~min}($ minor $) ; ~[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-64^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-N-methyl-N-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)propan-1-amine



General procedure C was applied to 3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-$N$-(cyclobutylmethyl)- N -methylpropan-1-amine (163 mg, 0.600 mmol ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; 2м) }}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $53.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.152$ mmol, $51 \%$ yield, $94 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysing $\mathrm{H}_{12}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2950, 2855, 1461, 1253, 1094, 832, 772,$697 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.32$ (app t, J=7.6 Hz, 2H, H $\mathrm{H}_{15}$ ), $7.24-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14,16}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{q}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 3.62-3.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.92$ $-2.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.40-2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}, 11}\right), 2.26-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}, 10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.13\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 1.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.89\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=11.2,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.57(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{p}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 0.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 141.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right)$, $125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right), 61.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 59.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 54.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 41.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 37.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 24.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 23.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$, $18.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right),-5.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 348.2718, \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{38}$ NOSi requires 348.2723 ; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $\mathrm{H}_{12}$ ) from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative; $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{D}^{25.0}=-62^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
tert-Butyl methyl(2-(methyl(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)amino)ethyl)carbamate


General procedure C was applied to tert-butyl (2-((cyclobutylmethyl)(methyl)amino)ethyl)(methyl)carbamate (155 mg, 0.600 mmol ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 3\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $63.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.190 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ yield, $>95 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $2971,1691,1390,1153,1044,765,698 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15}\right), 7.24-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14,16}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 3.28-3.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.89-2.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,9}\right), 2.40-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,11}\right), 2.23-2.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.17-2.09\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,8 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.07-2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$, $1.87\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 155.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 141.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right)$, $127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right), 79.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 59.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 47.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 41.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 37.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 34.6$
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $28.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $24.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$, $23.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 333.2535, \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 333.2542; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative; $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-63^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-((1S,2R)-1-(Methoxymethyl)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine

 General procedure C was applied to 1-(1-(methoxymethyl)cyclobutyl)- $N, N$-dimethylmethanamine ( $94.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 2\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { (Меон; } 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil $(33.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.151 \mathrm{mmol}$, 51\% yield, 77\% ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by GC-FID analysis.

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2973, 2939, 2815, 2763, 1452, 1103, 1032, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.31$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.55-$ $3.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,5}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{app} p, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.21-2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.10-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 2.03 - $1.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=10.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 140.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 77.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 61.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 59.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 47.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 47.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 44.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 24.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 20.2$ $\left(C_{7}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 234.1857, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 234.1858 ; ee analysis: GC-FID ChiralDex $\beta-$ $\mathrm{DM}\left(50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 0.15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$; linear velocity $40 \mathrm{~cm} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; split ratio 10.0 ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=131.3 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{minor}), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=134.3$ $\min$ (major); $[\alpha]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-20^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 8-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane

 General procedure $C$ was applied to 8-(cyclobutylmethyl)-1,4-dioxa-8azaspiro[4.5]decane ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $42.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.148 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield, $90 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis.

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2948, 2808, 1308, 1142, 1090, 1038, 913, 765,$698 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $7.33-7.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 7.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.20\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 3.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.77(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ), $2.90\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hex, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.44-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,8}\right), 2.23\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{p}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.92-1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.66\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 141.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$, $128.0\left(C_{11}\right), 127.9\left(C_{12}\right), 125.7\left(C_{13}\right), 107.3\left(C_{2}\right), 64.1\left(C_{1}\right), 59.4\left(C_{5}\right), 51.5\left(C_{4}\right), 42.2\left(C_{9}\right), 37.4\left(C_{6}\right), 34.8\left(C_{3}\right), 24.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$, $23.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.1962, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 288.1964; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (major), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-52^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)piperidine



General procedure C was applied to 1-(cyclobutylmethyl)piperidine ( 67.9 mg , 0.600 mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in NMP at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( $33.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.144 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield, $89 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysing $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2932, 2850, 2765, 1602, 1494, 1441, 1302, 1153, 1121, 1038, 995, 736, 696; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.31\left(\mathrm{appt}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.24-7.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10,12}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$, $2.95-2.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.37-2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.28-2.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3,6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.07-2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.94-1.88$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.54-1.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.42-1.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 141.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $128.0\left(C_{10}\right), 127.9\left(C_{11}\right), 125.7\left(C_{12}\right), 60.8\left(C_{4}\right), 54.8\left(C_{3}\right), 42.3\left(C_{8}\right), 37.4\left(C_{5}\right), 26.0\left(C_{2}\right), 25.3\left(C_{6}\right), 24.4\left(C_{1}\right), 23.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$230.1896, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 230.1903; ee analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$ from the corresponding methylated ammonium derivative following Lacour's procedure; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-55^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (2S,6R)-2,6-Dimethyl-4-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)morpholine



General procedure $C$ was applied to (2S,6R)-4-(cyclobutylmethyl)-2,6dimethylmorpholine ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( 36.6 mg 0.300 mmol ) in DMA at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $23.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol}$, 30\% yield, $83 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric excess was determined by GC-FID analysis.

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2969, 2933, 2864, 1454, 1322, 1142, 1080, 766, 697; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $7.31\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 7.25-7.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10,12}\right), 3.78\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.65-3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.58$ - $3.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.95-2.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.41-$ $2.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{app} p, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.08\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.92 - $1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{c}}\right), 1.51\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~d}}\right), 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl$\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 141.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$, $125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$, $71.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $71.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 60.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 59.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 59.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 42.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 36.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 24.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 19.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $19.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 260.2012, \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 260.2014; ee analysis: GC-FID ChiralDex $\beta$-DM ( $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; isocratic; linear velocity $40 \mathrm{~cm} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; split ratio 10.0 ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=25.6 \mathrm{~min}(\mathrm{major}), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=26.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}=-60^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 1-(4-Nitrophenyl)-4-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)piperazine
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General procedure $C$ was applied to 1-(cyclobutylmethyl)-4-(4nitrophenyl)piperazine ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 0.600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl boronic acid ( $36.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMA at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $34.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ yield, $88 \%$ ee). Enantiomeric
excess was determined by HPLC analysis.
IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2970, 1956, 1492, 1320, 1239, 1112, 1001, 732,$699 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $8.17-8.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.36-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 7.27-7.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13,15}\right), 6.81-6.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.81(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 3.39-3.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.99-2.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.45-2.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}, 10\right), 2.30-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.19-2.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 1.94-1.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 154.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 141.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$, $138.2\left(C_{1}\right), 128.0\left(C_{13,14}\right), 126.0\left(C_{15}\right), 125.9\left(C_{2}\right), 112.5\left(C_{3}\right), 59.6\left(C_{7}\right), 52.7\left(C_{6}\right), 47.0\left(C_{5}\right), 42.0\left(C_{11}\right), 36.8\left(C_{8}\right)$, $24.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $23.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 352.2031, \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 352.2025 ; ee analysis: HPLC Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=23.3 \mathrm{~min}($ major $), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=26.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=-5.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-(3-((( $7 S, 8 S)-3,4-$ Dimethoxy-8-phenylbicyclo[4.2.0]octa-1(6),2,4-trien-7-yl)methyl)(methyl)amino)-

 propyl)-7,8-dimethoxy-1,3,4,5-tetrahydro-2H-benzo[d]azepin-2-one

General procedure C was applied to Ivabradine ( 70.3 mg , $0.150 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $D$-Ac-Tle-OH ( $3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( 10.8 mg , 0.020 mmol, 20\% yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.30\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{30}\right), 7.24\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{31}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{29}\right), 6.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{20}\right), 6.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{25}\right), 6.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 4.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{27}\right), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{22}\right), 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{23}\right), 3.88-3.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{18}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.83-3.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.70$ - $3.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 3.50-3.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.37-3.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 3.06-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15}\right), 2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{16}\right), 2.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{17}\right), 1.64-1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl$\left.)_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $172.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 150.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{21 / 24}\right), 150.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{21 / 24}\right), 147.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 147.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 140.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{28}\right), 138.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}\right), 136.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{26}\right), 128.8$ $\left(C_{29}\right), 128.0\left(C_{30}\right), 127.4\left(C_{10}\right), 126.6\left(C_{31}\right), 123.5\left(C_{3}\right), 114.0\left(C_{4}\right), 113.1\left(C_{9}\right), 107.5\left(C_{20}\right), 107.1\left(C_{25}\right), 58.8\left(C_{17}\right)$, $56.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{22}\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{23}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6 / 7}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6 / 7}\right), 55.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 50.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{27}\right), 46.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 45.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{18}\right), 44.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right), 42.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 32.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 545.3014, \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 545.3015; $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{25.0}=$ $-93^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}=0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-(3-((( $7 S, 8 R)-8-([1,1 '-b i p h e n y l]-2-y l)-3,4-d i m e t h o x y b i c y c l o[4.2 .0] o c t a-1(6), 2,4-t r i e n-7-y l) m e t h y l)-~$

 (methyl)amino)propyl)-7,8-dimethoxy-1,3,4,5-tetrahydro-2H-benzo[d]azepin-2-one
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General procedure C was applied to Ivabradine ( 70.3 mg , 0.150 mmol ) with $D-\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{Tle}-\mathrm{OH}(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to 3\% $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a brown oil ( 5.1 mg , 0.008 mmol, $8 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.54\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{35}\right), 7.46\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{36}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{37}$ ), 7.33 - $7.25\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{29 / 30 / 31 / 32}\right.$ ), $7.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{29 / 32}\right), 6.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{20}\right), 6.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{25}\right), 6.59$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 4.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{27}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 / 7 / 22 / 23}\right.$ ), $3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 / 7 / 22 / 23}\right.$ ), 3.84 (s, 3 H , $\mathrm{H}_{6 / 7 / 22 / 23}$ ), 3.81 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 / 7 / 22 / 23}$ ), $3.80-3.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.74$ (app q, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{18}$ ), $3.63-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 3.44-3.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.22-3.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.95-2.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{17 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $2.31\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{17 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.27-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15 \mathrm{a}, 16}\right), 2.20-2.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.55-1.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 621.3328, \mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 621.3328.
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## Appendix

## Appendix I - Synthesis and characterisation of starting materials

## General procedure D: Reductive amination



To a solution of amine (1 equiv) in DCM [0.2-0.4 M] at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, was added the desired aldehyde (1-2 equiv) and AcOH (1 equiv). $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(1.2-2$ equiv) was added portionwise over 15 min while stirring. The resultant mixture was purged briefly with $N_{2}$, warmed to rt and stirred for $36-72 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M})$ and the solution was left stirring until no further effervescence was observed. The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with DCM. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by distillation or column chromatography.

## General procedure E: Eschweiler-Clarke methylation



To a solution of formaldehyde ( $37 \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 6$ equiv) and amine (1 equiv), formic acid was added dropwise while stirring to reach a 1 M solution. The flask was fitted with a condenser and the reaction mixture was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-15 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was basified with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M})$. The resultant tertiary amine was separated from the aqueous layer as a supernatant, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The crude product was purified by distillation or column chromatography.

## General procedure F: Amine acylation



To a solution of amine (1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.2 equiv) in $\mathrm{DCM}[0.5 \mathrm{M}]$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added the desired acyl chloride ( 1.2 equiv). The resultant mixture was warmed to $r t$ and stirred for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}($ sat $)$. The organic layer was washed twice with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{M})$, twice with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.5 \mathrm{M})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was typically used without further purification.

## General procedure G: Amide coupling



To a solution of amine (1-1.2 equiv), carboxylic acid (1-1.2 equiv) and DIPEA (1.5 equiv) in DCM [0.3-0.5 M ] was added $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot$ hydrate ( 1.5 equiv) and $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.2 equiv). The resultant mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 15 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M})$ and the resultant layers were separated. The organic layer was washed once with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.5 \mathrm{M})$, twice with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{M})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was typically used without further purification.

## General procedure H: Amide reduction



To a solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ (2 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}[1 \mathrm{M}]$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added dropwise the desired amide (1 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ [1 M]. The resultant mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 2 h . After this time, the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added. The reaction was quenched with a dropwise addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 equiv), NaOH (10\%) (2 equiv), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (6 equiv). The resultant solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by distillation or column chromatography.

## General procedure I: Amine alkylation



To a solution of amine ( $1-2.5$ equiv) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.5 equiv) or DIPEA (1.5 equiv) in MeCN [ 0.5 M ] was added the desired alkyl bromide (1.0-1.5 equiv). The resultant mixture was heated to reflux for 15 h . After this time, volatiles were evaporated in vacuo. DCM was added to the reaction crude and the organic layer was washed twice with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was typically purified by column chromatography.

## 1-Propylpiperidine



210

General procedure D was applied to piperidine ( $9.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with propionaldehyde $(18.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 250 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{AcOH}(5.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(25.4 \mathrm{~g}, 120 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 200 mL ) to provide by distillation at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 100 mbar the title compound as a colourless oil ( $9.38 \mathrm{~g}, 73.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $2927,2765,1443,1376,1302,1158,1133,1098 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $2.38\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.29-2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.60\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, $0.90\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 61.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 54.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 24.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 20.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $12.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 128.1437, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 128.1439.

## tert-Butyl (1-propylpiperidin-4-yl)carbamate



General procedure D was applied to tert-butyl piperidin-4-ylcarbamate $(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ with propionaldehyde ( $7.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AcOH (2.90 $\mathrm{mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to EtOAc) the title compound as a colourless oil (10.2 g, 42.1 mmol , 84\% yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3361,2973,2938,2768,1680,1307,1236,1161,1052,863 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.43\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.47\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.91-2.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.32-2.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.05(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.00-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.56-1.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}, 9}\right), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 155.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 79.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 60.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 52.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 47.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 32.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 28.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 20.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$243.2070, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 243.2067.

## 1-Butyl-3-methylpiperidine

 General procedure D was applied to 3-methylpiperidine ( $11.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with butyraldehyde ( $13.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AcOH}(5.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ $(31.8 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by distillation at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 30 mbar the title compound as a colourless oil ( $10.7 \mathrm{~g}, 69.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2952, 2928, 2871, 2759, 1457, 1375, 1136; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.86(\mathrm{app}$ brt, J = $\left.14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.35-2.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.79\left(\mathrm{td}, J=11.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.75-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,7 \mathrm{a}, 8}\right)$, $1.54-1.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3,9 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{app} h e x, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.90-0.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}, 10}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 62.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 59.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 54.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 33.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 29.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 25.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 21.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $19.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$, $14.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$156.1748, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 156.1747.

## Ethyl 2-(piperidin-1-yl)butanoate
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General procedure I was applied to piperidine ( $4.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), with ethyl 2bromobutyrate ( $7.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 75.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by distillation at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 15 mbar the title compound as a pale yellow oil (6.20 g, $31.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2968, 2854, 1728, 1443, 1376, 1222, 1176, 1132, 1026, 860; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.18\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 3.03\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.58$ (ddd, $J=10.8,7.0$, $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $2.50\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.8,7.0,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.84-1.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.61-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.47$ - $1.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $172.5\left(C_{7}\right), 70.1\left(C_{4}\right), 59.8\left(C_{8}\right), 50.8\left(C_{3}\right), 26.5\left(C_{2}\right), 24.7\left(C_{1}\right), 22.8\left(C_{5}\right), 14.5\left(C_{9}\right), 10.8\left(C_{6}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$200.1643, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 200.1645.

## 4-Propylmorpholine
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General procedure F was applied to morpholine ( $4.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and propionyl chloride ( $1.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ without triethylamine addition. The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 42.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by distillation at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 100 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2960, 2808, 1457, 1278, 1116, 1071, 861; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 3.82$ $3.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.45\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.36-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{app} h e x, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 67.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 61.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 53.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 11.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$130.1223, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 130.1226.

## 1-Phenyl-4-propylpiperazine
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General procedure F was applied to 1-phenylpiperazine ( $2.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and propionyl chloride ( $1.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(45.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resultant crude amide was used without further purification. General procedure H was applied to the crude amide ( $3.27 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide without further purification the title compound as a colourless oil ( $2.93 \mathrm{~g}, 14.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2959, 2816, 1600, 1501, 1229, 1154, 754, 689; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.29$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.88\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.30-3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.68-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ ), 2.42 - $2.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hex, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 0.96\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 151.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 119.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 116.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 60.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 53.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 49.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 20.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$205.1698, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 205.1699.
tert-Butyl 4-propylpiperazine-1-carboxylate

$\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 7.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a solution of 1-propylpiperazine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 15 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (DCM to EtOAc) provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 4.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2967, 2810, 1694, 1418, 1241, 1166, 1133, 1003, 866, 770; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 3.55-3.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.46-2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.34-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.53(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{hex}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ ), $1.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 154.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 79.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 60.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 53.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 44.0\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 43.2\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 28.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 19.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 11.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ 229.1912, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 229.1911.

## 1-Propylazepane
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General procedure D was applied to azepane ( $11.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with propionaldehyde $(14.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{AcOH}(5.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(31.8 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 250 mL ) to provide by distillation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 70 mbar the title compound as a colourless oil ( $7.50 \mathrm{~g}, 53.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2925, 2805, 1456, 1358, 1184, 1137, 1081; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.69-$ $2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.47-2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.69-1.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2}\right), 1.49\left(\operatorname{app}\right.$ hex, $\left.\mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 60.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 55.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 27.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 20.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$142.1591, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 142.1590.

## Ethyl 1-propylpyrrolidine-3-carboxylate



Ethyl pyrrolidine-3-carboxylate hydrochloride ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(762 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 10 min in $\mathrm{DCM}(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then, General procedure D was applied by adding propionaldehyde ( $1.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AcOH ( $315 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.50$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(2.33 \mathrm{~g}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ to provide by column chromatography (EtOAc to $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ), followed by a short path distillation, the title compound as a colourless oil (651 mg, $3.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2960, 2933, 2791, 1732, 1449, 1372, 1161, 1095, 1033; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $4.16\left(q, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.04\left(\operatorname{app} p, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.94\left(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.78-2.68$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.53-2.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}, 8}\right), 2.10\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.54$ (app hex, $\left.J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $)^{\text {) }}$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 175.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 60.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 58.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 56.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 54.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 42.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 27.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 22.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 14.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$186.1488, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 186.1489.

## N,N-Dimethylpropan-1-amine



General procedure E was applied to propylamine ( $8.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with formaldehyde $(37 \%, 45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 600 \mathrm{mmol})$ in formic acid $(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by distillation at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 1 bar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $4.60 \mathrm{~g}, 52.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2961, 2779, 1460, 1259, 1040, 835; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.27-2.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2}$ ), $1.50\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hex, $\left.J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 61.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 11.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 88.1120, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 88.1121.

## $N$-Methyl-N-propylbutan-1-amine



General procedure D was applied to $N$-butylmethylamine ( $7.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with propionaldehyde ( $6.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 90.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AcOH}(3.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ $(15.2 \mathrm{~g}, 72.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by distillation at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 110 mbar the title compound as a colourless oil ( $2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ yield).
IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2959, 2934, 2788, 1459, 1379, 1067; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.36-2.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,6}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.56-1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}, 7\right), 1.38-1.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 59.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 57.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 29.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 20.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$, $14.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 130.1592, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 130.1590 .

## N,N-Diethylpropan-1-amine

General procedure H was applied to $N, N$-diethylpropionamide ( $5.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and
 $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(3.10 \mathrm{~g}, 80.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by distillation at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 1 bar the title compound as a colourless oil ( $2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 23.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2952, 2931, 2862, 1452, 1378, 1075; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.54(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.42-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.54-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.04\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 55.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 46.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 20.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 12.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 11.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 116.1435, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 116.1434.

## $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Dimethylbutan-2-amine



General procedure E was applied to butan-2-amine ( $12.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with formaldehyde $(37 \%, 45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 600 \mathrm{mmol})$ in formic acid $(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by distillation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 1 bar the title compound as a colourless oil ( $8.50 \mathrm{~g}, 84.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ yield).
IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2967, 2930, 1455, 1379, 1268, 1081, 1057; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.44$ $2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.62-1.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.35-1.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 0.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, $0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 60.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 40.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 26.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 13.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 11.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [M] 101.1202, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 101.1205.

## 1-Propylpiperidin-4-amine
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TFA ( 40.0 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of tert-butyl (1-propylpiperidin-4$\mathrm{yl})$ carbamate $(12.1 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(40.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 2 h . The organic layer was extracted with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and basified with $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{s})$ before extraction with $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resultant organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by distillation at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 30 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $3.42 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield).
IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2931, 2766, 1466, 1373, 1142, 1089, 815; $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R ( 4 0 0 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l}{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.87(\mathrm{br}$ $\left.\mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.70-2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.33-2.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.98\left(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.88-$ $1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.51\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hex, $\left.J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.2,12.9,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.90\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 60.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 52.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 48.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 36.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 20.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 143.1539, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires 143.1543 .

## 5-Chloro-N-(1-propylpiperidin-4-yl)pyrimidin-2-amine



453 1-propylpiperidin-4-amine ( $356 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 2,5-dichloropyrimidine ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 2.50 mL ). The mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After cooling to $r t, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) provided the title compound as a pale brown solid ( $495 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 95-97$; $\mathbf{I R} v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3265, 2955, 2927, 2764, 1600, 1514, 1439, 1367, 1113, 1094, 787, 715; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{td}, J=14.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $2.88\left(\mathrm{brd}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.37-2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.15\left(\mathrm{appt}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.10-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.61-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}, 9}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 160.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 156.2$
$\left(C_{2}\right)$, $118.7\left(C_{1}\right), 60.8\left(C_{8}\right), 52.3\left(C_{7}\right), 48.4\left(C_{5}\right)$, $32.2\left(C_{6}\right), 20.3\left(C_{9}\right), 12.0\left(C_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 255.1373, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ requires 255.1371.

## 5-Chloro-N-methyl-N-(1-propylpiperidin-4-yl)pyrimidin-2-amine



454
$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(8.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of 1-propylpiperidin-4one ( $4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylamine hydrochloride ( $4.05 \mathrm{~g}, 60.0$ mmol ) in DCM ( 150 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The resultant solution was stirred at rt for 30 min . AcOH ( $3.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was subsequently added and stirred for 2 h . The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 72 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 1 h. Phases were separated and the organic layer was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to provide the crude secondary amine. 2.50 mmol of this was added to a solution of 2,5-dichloropyrimidine ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 2.50 mL ). The mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After cooling to rt , $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.25 \mathrm{M}, 3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (EtOAc) provided the title compound as a pale brown solid ( $406 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 52-54 ; \mathbf{I R} \mathrm{v}_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2935, 2764, 1586, 1505, 1469, 1112, 1030, 1004, 783; ${ }^{1 \mathbf{H}}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.56\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=12.1,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.08-2.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}, 7 \mathrm{a}\right), 2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.11\left(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=11.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.87\left(\mathrm{app} q d, J=12.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.73-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.54$ (app hex, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ), $0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 159.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 155.7$ $\left(C_{2}\right), 117.4\left(C_{1}\right), 60.8\left(C_{8}\right), 53.3\left(C_{7}\right), 53.0\left(C_{5}\right)$, $29.1\left(C_{4}\right), 29.0\left(C_{6}\right), 20.4\left(C_{9}\right)$, $12.1\left(C_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$269.1533, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ requires 269.1533.

## 4-(4-Bromo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-1-propylpiperidine
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General procedure D was applied to 4-(4-bromo-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)piperidine $(1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 7.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ with propionaldehyde ( $1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{AcOH}(420 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $7.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 8.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a pale yellow oil ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 5.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ yield).
IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3099, 2953, 2808, 1452, 1378, 1130, 1091, 949, 870; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $7.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.12\left(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.09-3.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.38-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ ), 2.18-2.06 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.99 (ddd, J=16.3, 12.0, $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.48 (app hex, J=7.4 Hz, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), 0.93 $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 139.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 126.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 92.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 60.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 60.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $52.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 32.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 272.0751, \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ requires 272.0757.

## N-(1-Propylpiperidin-4-yl)pyridin-2-amine



A solution of 1-propylpiperidin-4-amine ( $356 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-bromopyridine ( $240 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(90.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$, BINAP (124 mg, 0.200 mmol ) and $\mathrm{NaOtBu}(360 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{PhMe}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After this time, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resultant mixture filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. Volatiles were gently removed in vacuo and the title compound was obtained by column chromatography ( DCM to $4 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОн; } 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) as a pale orange solid ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield). $\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 101-103$; $\mathbf{I R} v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3270, 2935, 2770, 1607, 1519, 1485, 1417, 1287, 1091, 977, 859, 769; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.09\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 7.46-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.60-6.51$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 4.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.71-3.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.89(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=11.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 2.38 - $2.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 2.16\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.12-2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.60-1.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}, 11}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 158.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 148.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 137.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $112.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 107.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 60.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$, $52.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $48.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$, $32.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$220.1809, $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ requires 220.1808.

## N-(1-Propylpiperidin-4-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-amine



457

A solution of 1-propylpiperidin-4-amine ( $940 \mathrm{mg}, 6.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-chloro-6(trifluoromethyl)pyridine ( $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 6.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(40.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.180$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, DavePhos ( $70.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and NaOtBu ( $692 \mathrm{mg}, 7.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in PhMe ( 18.0 mL ) was fitted with a condenser and heated to reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 15 h . After this time, DCM $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and phases separated. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The title compound was obtained by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) as a pale orange solid ( $1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ 62-63; IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3269, 2955, 2926, 1606, 1514, 1360, 1134, 1093, 976, 788; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.52\left(\mathrm{appt}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.52\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $4.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 3.71-3.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.89\left(\mathrm{br} d, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.38-2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)$, 2.15 (app t, J = $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 2.12 - $2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.62-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}, 12}\right), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{13}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 157.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 146.7\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=33.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 138.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 121.6(\mathrm{q}, J=274.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 109.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 108.7\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 60.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 52.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 48.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 32.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 68.9(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$288.1682, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ requires 288.1682.

## 1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-N-(1-propylpiperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine



458

A solution of 1-propylpiperidin-4-amine ( $427 \mathrm{mg}, 3.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-chloro-1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole ( $652 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(286 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0313 \mathrm{mmol})$, BINAP $(58.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0938 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOtBu}(721 \mathrm{mg}, 7.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{PhMe}(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, $\mathrm{DCM}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 50$ mL ) were added and phases separated. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The title compound was obtained by column chromatography (EtOAc to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) to afford the title compound as a pale yellow solid ( $841 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) 158-160 (decomp); IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3236, 3034, 2942, 2806, 2771, 1600, 1566, 1523, 1508, 1462, 1354, 1224, 1090, 818, 735; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.54\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 7.19-7.11$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3,9}\right), 7.11-7.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,7,8}\right), 5.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.04-3.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$, 2.74 (br d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{16 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 2.34-2.22 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{17}$ ), 2.21-2.06 (m, 4H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{15 \mathrm{a}, 16 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.55-1.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15 \mathrm{~b}, 18}\right)$, $0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{19}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 162.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=247.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 153.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 142.4$ $\left(C_{11}\right), 134.5\left(C_{6}\right), 131.1\left(d, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{4}\right), 128.3\left(d, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{3}\right), 121.5\left(C_{9}\right), 119.7\left(C_{8}\right), 116.6\left(C_{10}\right), 116.2(d, J$ $=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ), $107.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$, $60.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{17}\right)$, $52.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right)$, $49.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right)$, $45.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 32.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{18}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{19}\right)$; ); ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (376 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})-113.5(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 367.2293, \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ requires 367.2284.

## N-Methyl- N -(propyl-3,3,3-d $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ butan-1-amine



305

General procedure F was applied to N -methylbutan-1-amine ( $4.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(6.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl malonyl chloride ( $5.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM (100 mL ). The crude amide was used without further purification and was added to a solution of KOtBu ( $4.94 \mathrm{~g}, 44.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 minutes, iodomethane- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ $(2.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred for 15 h at rt . After this time, volatiles were evaporated and the mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant methylated amide was stirred in aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ with $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt for 15 h . After this time, the solution was acidified with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(3.0 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to reach $\mathrm{pH}=1$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was dissolved in DCM ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo, and then subjected to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . General procedure H was applied to the resultant decarboxylated amide with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.66 \mathrm{~g}, 70.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ stirring at rt for 2 h . The title compound was obtained by distillation at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 110 mbar as a colourless oil ( $2.14 \mathrm{~g}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2932, 2872, 2785, 2217, 1458, 1376, 1054, 733; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.33$ - $2.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.30-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.50-1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}, 7\right), 1.35-1.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 59.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 57.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 29.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 20.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$,
$20.2\left(C_{7}\right), 14.1\left(C_{5}\right), 11.1$ (hept, $\left.J=19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 133.1776, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ND}_{3}$ requires 133.1779.

## 1-Propylpyrrolidine



331

General procedure I was applied to propylamine ( 16.5 mL , 200 mmol ), with 1,4dibromobutane ( $12.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(13.8 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by distillation at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 100 mbar the title compound as a colourless oil (6.41 g, $57.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}($ thin film $) 2962,2776,1460,1349,1178,932 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.54-2.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.44-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.85-1.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.61-1.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 58.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $54.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $23.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $22.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 12.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ 114.1275, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 114.1277.

## 1-Propylpyrrolidine-2,2,5,5-d4



331a

To a solution of pyrrolidine-2,5-dione ( $3.96 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(6.08 \mathrm{~g}, 44.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 100 mL ) was added iodopropane ( $5.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resultant mixture was heated to reflux for 15 h . After this time, the reaction was diluted with DCM ( 50 mL ), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant crude was dissolved in DCM ( 50 mL ), washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was used without further purification in General procedure $\mathrm{H}(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\mathrm{LiAlD}_{4}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}$, $24.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ heating to reflux for 4 h . Purification by distillation at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 90 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2958, 2932, 2876, 2784, 2037, 1467, 1382, 1220, 1127, $954 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.45-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.55\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hex, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 58.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 53.5\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=20.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 23.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 22.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 12.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$118.1526, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 118.1528 .

## 1-(Propyl-1,1-d2)pyrrolidine



331b

General procedure F was applied to pyrrolidine ( $4.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with propionyl chloride ( $2.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the absence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlD}_{4}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ heating to reflux for 4 h . The resultant crude showed large amounts of unreacted amide. The title compound was purified by distillation at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 100 mbar and isolated with the starting amide as a minor impurity ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2958, 2775, 2024, 1652, 1459, 1181, 910; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.53-$ $2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.80-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.52\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 57.8\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $54.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 22.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 12.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 116.1403, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 116.1408.

## N,N,2-Trimethylpropan-1-amine



459 General procedure E was applied to 2-methylpropan-1-amine ( $10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with formaldehyde $(37 \%, 45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 600 \mathrm{mmol})$ in formic acid $(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by distillation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 1 bar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $5.40 \mathrm{~g}, 53.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2951, 2764, 1459, 1264, 1110, 1039, 842; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.21(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.75\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ non, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 68.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 26.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$102.1277, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 102.1277.

## 1-Cyclopropyl-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



General procedure E was applied to cyclopropylmethanamine ( $8.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with formaldehyde $(37 \%, 45.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 600 \mathrm{mmol})$ in formic acid $(50.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by distillation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 1 bar the title compound as a colourless oil ( $6.54 \mathrm{~g}, 66.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3079, 2944, 2814, 2763, 1464, 1263, 1183, 1029; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) $2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.16\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 0.94-0.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.58-0.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.11(\mathrm{appq} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 64.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $45.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $9.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $3.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 100.1124, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 100.1121.

## Ethyl 3-((cyclopropylmethyl)(methyl)amino)propanoate



To a solution of cyclopropylmethanamine ( $5.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 40 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added ethyl acrylate ( $3.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 10 mL ). The resultant mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 15 h . After this time, the reaction was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product was used without further purification in General procedure E with formaldehyde ( $37 \%, 6.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 90.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in formic acid ( 7.0 mL ). The title compound was obtained without further purification ( $4.35 \mathrm{~g}, 23.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2981, 2769, 1733, 1464, 1369, 1180, 1031; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.15$ (q, $\left.J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.78\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.49\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.95-0.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 0.57-0.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.12\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 172.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 62.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 60.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 52.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 42.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 14.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 8.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$, 3.9 ( $\mathrm{C}_{9}$ ); HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$186.1482, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 186.1494.

## $\mathbf{N}$-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-2-((5-fluoropyrimidin-2-yl)oxy)-N-methylethan-1-amine



To a solution of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added 2-(methylamino)ethan-1-ol ( $1.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise in THF ( 10 mL ). The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min before adding 2-chloro-5-fluoropyrimidine ( $1.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 h . After this time, the reaction was concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was dissolved in DCM ( 50 mL ), washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}\left(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude amine was used without further purification in General procedure D with cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde ( $2.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AcOH}(1.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(6.36 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0$ mmol ) in DCM ( 60 mL ). Purification by column chromatography ( DCM to $10 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 4.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3077,2948,2775,1570,1426,1325,1242,1032 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $8.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.46\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.91\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 0.94-0.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 0.57-0.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.15-0.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $161.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 154.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=253.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 146.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=22.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 66.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 63.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $42.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, $8.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$, $3.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})-150.5(\mathrm{~s})$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 226.1355, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OF}$ requires 226.1356.

## Benzyl 3-((cyclopropylmethyl)(methyl)amino)azetidine-1-carboxylate



General procedure D was applied to benzyl 3-oxoazetidine-1-carboxylate $(2.57 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ with cyclopropylmethanamine ( $1.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AcOH}(1.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(60.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was used without further purification in General procedure B with formaldehyde ( $37 \%, 6.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 90.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in formic acid ( 7.0 mL ). Purification by column chromatography ( DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) provided the title compound as a yellow oil (1.76 g, 6.43 mmol, 43\% yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2952, 2882, 2799, 1703, 1414, 1350, 1127; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.40-$ $7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,3}\right), 7.35-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 5.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.02\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 3.28\left(\mathrm{p}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 0.90-0.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 0.55$ (app q, J=5.1 Hz, 2H, H12a), $0.11\left(a p p q, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 156.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$,
$136.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 66.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 59.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 54.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 54.0\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 53.5\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 38.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $8.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$, $4.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 275.1750, \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 275.1760.

## 1-(Cyclopropylmethyl)piperidine



463 General procedure G was applied to piperidine ( $1.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with cyclopropanecarboxylic acid ( $1.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $5.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt hydrate ( 4.00 g , $30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EDC. $\mathrm{HCl}(4.22 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 40 mL ). The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{Et}}^{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by distillation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 60 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil (1.73 g, $12.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2931, 2769, 1442, 1377, 1332, 1299, 1149, 1018; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $2.46\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.61\left(\mathrm{app} p, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.93-0.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 0.53-0.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.12-0.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 64.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 54.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $26.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $24.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $8.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $4.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$140.1432, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 140.1434.

## 1'-(Cyclopropylmethyl)spiro[chromane-2,4'-piperidin]-4-one



General procedure I was applied to spiro[chromane-2,4'-piperidin]-4-one (1.01 g, 4.00 mmol ) with (bromomethyl)cyclopropane ( $430 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(880$ $\mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { Меон; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 2.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%$ yield). IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3076, 2919, 2817, 2780, 1688, 1606, 1461, 1298, 1228, 1114; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.49\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 7.13$ - $6.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,4}\right), 2.85-2.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{brt}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)$, 2.08 (br d, J = $12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $1.85-1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 0.94-0.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}\right), 0.53\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $0.13\left(\mathrm{q}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{14 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 192.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 159.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 136.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 126.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $120.9\left(C_{4}\right), 120.8\left(C_{6}\right), 118.4\left(C_{2}\right), 77.9\left(C_{9}\right), 63.7\left(C_{12}\right), 48.8\left(C_{11}\right), 48.0\left(C_{8}\right), 34.3\left(C_{10}\right), 8.6\left(C_{13}\right), 4.0\left(C_{14}\right)$; HMRSESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 272.1640, \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 272.1651.

## 4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-(cyclopropylmethyl)piperidin-4-ol



General procedure D was applied to 4-(4-chlorophenyl)piperidin-4-ol (2.12 g, 10.0 mmol ) with cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde ( $1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AcOH ( 572 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by trituration in a mixture of PE:DCM (9:1) the title compound as a white solid ( $2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 7.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ yield).
mp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 94-96 ;$ IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3072,2918,2835,1482,1378,1097,996 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $7.51-7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.36-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.98\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.46$ (td, J=12.2, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 2.34 (d, J=6.5 Hz, 2H, H9), 2.16 (td + br s, J = 13.3, 4.5 Hz, 3H, H ${ }_{7 \mathrm{a}+6}$ ), 1.74 (dd, J=14.1, 2.3 Hz, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 0.96-0.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 0.59-0.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.14\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 147.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 132.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 126.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 71.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 63.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 49.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 38.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 8.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 4.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 266.1301, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NOCl}$ requires 266.1312.

## tert-Butyl (1-(cyclopropylmethyl)piperidin-4-yl)carbamate



General procedure D was applied to tert-butyl piperidin-4-ylcarbamate (3.00 $\mathrm{g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde ( $1.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AcOH}(860 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM (50 mL ) to provide by column chromatography ( DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a colourless oil ( $1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 7.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 88-90 ; \mathbf{I R} v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3170, 2943, 2783, 1702, 1535, 1274, 1242, 1170; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.44\left(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.47\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{br} s, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.98\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), 2.08 (app brt, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 1.95 (app br d, $J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 1.51 - $1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,6 \mathrm{~b}}\right.$ ), $0.90-0.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 0.54-0.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.10\left(\mathrm{appq}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl 3$)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 155.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $79.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 63.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 52.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 47.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 32.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 28.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 8.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 3.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 255.2062, \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 255.2073.

## 3-(1-(Cyclopropylmethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-6-fluorobenzo[d]isoxazole

 General procedure I was applied to 6-fluoro-3-(piperidin-4-yl)benzo[d]isoxazole hydrochloride ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with (bromomethyl)cyclopropane ( $534 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $5.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $2.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeCN ( 10 mL ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a white solid ( $846 \mathrm{mg}, 3.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 82-84$; IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2962, $1609,1414,1270,1232,1120,954,829 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H ~ N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.74\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.25\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.06\left(\mathrm{td}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 3.23$ (app br d, J = $11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $3.09\left(\mathrm{tt}, J=11.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.23-2.18(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 2.14 (ddd, $J=14.7,12.1,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 2.09 (app br d, J=10.7 Hz, 2H, H $\mathrm{H}_{9 b}$ ), $0.97-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 0.59-0.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.17-0.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 164.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=250.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 163.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 161.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 122.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 117.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 112.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=25.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $97.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=26.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 64.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 53.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 34.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 8.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), 4.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (377 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-109.8(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 275.1563, \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OF}$ requires 275.1560.

## 1-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-4-tosylpiperazine



General procedure D was applied to 4-tosylpiperazine ( $3.61 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde ( $1.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AcOH ( $860 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 15.0$ mmol) and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(4.24 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by trituration in a mixture of $\mathrm{PE}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ the title compound as a white solid
( 3.26 g, 11.1 mmol, 74\% yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 106-108$; IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\right.$ thin film) $3074,2776,1456,1344,1325,1161,952 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.64\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.04\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.61\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}$ ), $2.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 0.82-0.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 0.54-0.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.09(\mathrm{q}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 143.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 131.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 129.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 63.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 52.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 46.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$, $21.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 8.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $3.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$295.1466, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires 295.1480.

## (1R,5S)-3-(cyclopropylmethyl)-8-oxa-3-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane
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To a solution of ( $1 R, 5 S$ )-8-oxa-3-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane hydrochloride ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde ( $1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 40 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ portionwise. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h . After this time, the reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated in vacuo. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the organic layer was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.25 M ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by distillation at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 15 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $775 \mathrm{mg}, 4.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ yield).
IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2949, 2804, 1457, 1280, 1163, 1142, 1005; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.34$ $4.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.01-$ $1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.90-1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 0.85-0.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 0.47\left(\mathrm{q}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.07(\mathrm{q}, J=5.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 74.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 63.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 58.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 8.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 3.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$; HMRSESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 168.1380, \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 168.1388 .

## 1-(Cyclopropylmethyl)pyrrolidine
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General procedure F was applied to pyrrolidine ( $4.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 48.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with cyclopropanecarbonyl chloride ( $3.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(6.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 48.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 100 mL ). The crude product was used without further purification in General procedure E with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ (3.03 $\mathrm{g}, 80.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by distillation at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 80 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 22.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3076, 2964, 2773, 1346, 1147, 1018; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.61-2.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.88-1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.01-0.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.57-0.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right)$,
$0.14\left(\mathrm{appq} q, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 61.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 54.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 10.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 3.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 126.1273, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 126.1283.

## 6-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-2-oxa-6-azaspiro[3.3]heptane
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To a solution of 2-oxa-6-azaspiro[3.3]heptane oxalate (2:1) ( $2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 50 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added cyclopropanecarboxaldehyde ( $1.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(4.25 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise over 15 min while stirring. The resultant mixture was purged briefly with $N_{2}$, warmed to rt and stirred for 36 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was left stirring until no further effervescence was observed. The phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with further DCM ( 50 mL ). The combined organic layers were extracted twice with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined aqueous solution were basified with NaOH (s) until a basic $\mathrm{pH}>12$ was reached, and it was extracted twice with DCM ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resultant new organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to provide the title compound without further purification ( $1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 8.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2924, 2861, 2806, 1248, 971, 830 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, $3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.78-0.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 0.47-0.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.08(\mathrm{appq} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 81.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 64.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 64.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 39.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 9.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 2.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right) ;$ HMRSESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$154.1226, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 154.1232.

## 1-(1-(4-Chlorophenyl)cyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethyImethanamine



472 General procedure $G$ was applied to dimethylamine ( 2.0 M in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 12.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 1-(4-chlorophenyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid ( $3.93 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( 5.24 $\mathrm{mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, HOBt hydrate ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDC. $\mathrm{HCl}(4.80 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ heating to reflux for 4 h . The title compound was obtained as a colourless oil without further purification ( $2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ yield).
IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3078,2941,2813,2764,1494,1456,1102,1035,1013 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 7.32 - $7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,7}\right), 2.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 6.2, 4.3 Hz, 2H, H4b); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 143.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 131.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 68.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $46.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 23.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 12.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 210.1043, \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NCI}$ requires 210.1050.

## N,N-Dimethyl-1-(1-methylcyclopropyl)methanamine
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General procedure $G$ was applied to dimethylamine ( 2.0 M in THF, $30.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 1-methylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $13.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 75.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt hydrate ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 75.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(11.5 \mathrm{~g}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 100 mL ). The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(3.80 \mathrm{~g}, 100.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ heating to reflux for 16 h . Purification by distillation at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 200 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2947, 2812, 2761, 1455, 1252, 1035; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, $2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 0.33-0.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.28-0.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, CDCl 3$) ~ \delta$ (ppm) $68.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 21.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 13.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 12.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$114.1270, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 114.1283.

## 1-(2-Methoxyethyl)cyclopropane-1-carbonitrile
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To a solution of cyclopropanecarbonitrile ( $3.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 75 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added LDA ( $30.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) dropwise while stirring. The solution was stirred for 30 min at this temperature before the dropwise addition of 1-bromo-2-methoxyethane ( $5.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 55.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resultant mixture was left to warm up overnight while stirring. The reaction was quenched with aq NaOH and volatiles were evaporated in vacuo. The resultant crude was dissolved in DCM $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous solution was extracted with further DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (PE to DCM) provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 21.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) $3071,3025,2890,2246,1422,1148 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 3.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.75\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 1.27-1.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.90-0.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 123.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $70.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 58.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 35.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 13.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $7.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$148.0729, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 148.0733.

## 1-(1-(2-Methoxyethyl)cyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine

 $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $524 \mathrm{mg}, 3.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\right.$ thin film) 2938, 2812, 2762, 1455, 1188, 1117, 1035; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 3.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 3.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 0.38\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $0.26\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 71.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 66.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 58.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 45.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 15.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 10.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$158.1543, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 158.1545.

## N,N-Dimethyl-1-(1-(trifluoromethyl)cyclopropyl)methanamine



476 General procedure $G$ was applied to dimethylamine ( 2.0 M in THF, $16.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 1-(trifluoromethyl)cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid ( $4.62 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $7.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 45.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, HOBt hydrate $(6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 45.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EDC•HCl $(6.90 \mathrm{~g}, 36.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 100 mL ). The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.28 \mathrm{~g}$, 60.0 mmol ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ heating to reflux for 4 h . The title compound was obtained as a colourless oil without further purification ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 6.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2947, 2821, 2770, 1458, 1394, 1263, 1126, $1037 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $2.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.03\left(\mathrm{apps}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.65\left(\mathrm{apps}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $127.0\left(q, J=273.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{5}\right), 61.4\left(C_{2}\right), 46.1\left(C_{1}\right), 21.1\left(q, J=31.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{3}\right), 8.4\left(q, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{4}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$167.0920, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NF}_{3}$ requires 167.0922.

## trans-N,N-Dimethyl-1-(2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanamine



General procedure G was applied to dimethylamine ( 2.0 M in THF, $15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with trans 2-phenylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid ( $3.24 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $5.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt hydrate $(3.33 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EDC•HCl ( 4.78 g , 25.0 mmol ) in DCM ( 100 mL ). The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in THF (20.0 $\mathrm{mL})$ in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The title compound was obtained without further purification as a colourless oil ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 17.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2940, 2761, 1604, 1497, 1455, 1033, 753, 695; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.27$ (app t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, H8), 7.16 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, H9) , 7.09 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, H7), 2.43 (dd, J = 12.5, 6.3 Hz, 1H, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.34-2.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,2 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.74-1.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 1.28-1.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $0.86\left(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 143.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 125.4$ $\left(C_{9}\right), 64.1\left(C_{2}\right), 45.5\left(C_{1}\right), 22.6\left(C_{5}\right), 21.6\left(C_{3}\right), 15.0\left(C_{4}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(m / z)$ : found $[M+H]^{+} 176.1438, C_{12} H_{18} N$ requires 176.1439.

## 2-Cyclopropyl-N,N-dimethylethan-1-amine
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General procedure G was applied to dimethylamine ( 2.0 M in THF, $12.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 2-cyclopropylacetic acid ( $2.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $7.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt hydrate $(5.33 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(5.75 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resultant crude was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{Et}}^{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by distillation at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 150 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}$, $5.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2971, 2938, 2762, 1461, 1065, 1042; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.40-2.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.42-1.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 0.75-0.61\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 0.51-0.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 0.11-0.00$ (m, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 59.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 33.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 9.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 4.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$114.1274, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 114.1277.

## 1-Cyclobutyl-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



442 After this time, aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{M})$ and sat $\mathrm{NaCl}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and an organic layer was collected as a supernatant. The crude product was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic solution was extracted with $\mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and basified with NaOH while stirring at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant aqueous solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to provide the title compound as a colourless oil ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 23 \%$ yield $)$. IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2969, 2940, 2763, 1456, 1265, 1041, 1023, 842; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.51$ (app hept, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H3), 2.31 (d, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.14-2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.96-1.86$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.85-1.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.72-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 66.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $45.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 114.1276, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 114.1277.

Ethyl 3-((cyclobutylmethyl)(methyl)amino)propanoate
 To a solution of methylamine ( $33 \%$ in EtOH, $6.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 40 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added ethyl acrylate ( $2.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 10 mL ). The resultant mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 15 h . After this time, the reaction was concentrated in vacuo and the crude product was dissolved in DCM ( 50 mL ) and washed with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was used without further purification in General procedure I with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( $2.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $5.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Purification by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $690 \mathrm{mg}, 3.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 14 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2972, 2772, 1733, 1178, 1027; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.15(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $2.69\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.52\left(\mathrm{hept}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.47\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.12-2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.95-1.87\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hex, $\left.\mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.87-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.67\left(\mathrm{appp}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 172.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $63.9\left(C_{7}\right), 60.4\left(C_{2}\right), 53.0\left(C_{5}\right), 42.3\left(C_{6}\right), 34.0\left(C_{8}\right), 32.5\left(C_{4}\right), 27.5\left(C_{9}\right), 18.8\left(C_{10}\right), 14.2\left(C_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 200.1646, \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 200.1651.

## $N$-(Cyclobutylmethyl)-N-methyl-3-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)propan-1-amine

 To a solution of methylamine hydrochloride ( $10.1 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH (100 $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{KOH}(8.40 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise while stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( $5.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the resultant mixture and the reaction was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and volatiles were evaporated in vacuo. The resultant aqueous layer was basified with aq NaOH until a basic $\mathrm{pH}>12$ was reached, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. 7.5 mmol of the resultant crude amine were used without further purification in General procedure D with 3-(5-methylfuran-2-y) propanal ( $1.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), AcOH ( $430 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography (DCM to $\left.4 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { меон; 2м) }}\right)$ provided the title compound as a yellow oil ( $862 \mathrm{mg}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2945, 2783, 1569, 1453, 1219, 1018, 775 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 5.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3,4}$ ), 2.59 (t, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.56-2.48$ (app hept, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), 2.36$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.11-2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.95-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.85$ - $1.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7,13 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.68\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 154.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 150.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $105.7\left(C_{3}\right), 105.3\left(C_{4}\right), 64.2\left(C_{10}\right), 57.3\left(C_{8}\right), 42.7\left(C_{9}\right), 34.2\left(C_{11}\right), 27.6\left(C_{12}\right), 26.0\left(C_{6}\right), 25.8\left(C_{7}\right), 18.8\left(C_{13}\right), 13.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 222.1858, \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 222.1858.

## 3-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-N-(cyclobutylmethyl)- N -methylpropan-1-amine



To a solution of methylamine hydrochloride (10.1 g, 150 mmol ) in MeOH ( 100 mL ), $\mathrm{KOH}(8.40 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portionwise while stirring at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( $5.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the resultant mixture and the reaction was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{M}$, 30 mL ) and volatiles were evaporated in vacuo. The resultant aqueous layer was basified with aq NaOH until a basic $\mathrm{pH}>12$ was reached, and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. 12.0 mmol of the resultant crude amine were used without further purification in General procedure I with (3-bromopropoxy)(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane ( $2.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0$
$\mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $2.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeCN ( 20 mL ). Purification by column chromatography (DCM to 4\% $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{M})}\right)$ provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 5.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2952, 2856, 1462, 1251, 1096, 832, 772; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 3.66(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.56-2.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.42-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6} 8\right), 2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.11-2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.95$ $-1.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.85-1.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.72-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 0.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 0.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 64.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 61.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 54.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $18.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $-5.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 272.2409, \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{34}$ NOSi requires 272.2410 .
tert-Butyl 4-(((cyclobutylmethyl)(methyl)amino)methyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate
 General procedure I was applied to tert-butyl 4-((methylamino)methyl)-piperidine-1-carboxylate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( $740 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(912 \mathrm{mg}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(10$ mL ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { (МеОн; } 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a colourless oil ( $904 \mathrm{mg}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2927, 2846, 2781, 1690, 1419, 1238, 1158; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 4.08(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 2.71 (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), 2.49 (hept, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9}\right), 2.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.12$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.09-2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.94-1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.86-1.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.73(\mathrm{brd} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.70-1.63\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.63-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.10-1.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 154.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 79.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 64.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 64.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right), 30.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 28.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right), \mathrm{C}_{4}$ not observed; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 297.2538, \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 297.2542.
tert-Butyl (2-((cyclobutylmethyl)(methyl)amino)ethyl)(methyl)carbamate

$N^{1}, N^{2}$-dimethylethane-1,2-diamine ( $8.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 75.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5.46 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for 15 h. After this time, the organic layer was washed with aq NaOH ( $2.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture was used without further purification in General procedure I with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( $2.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( 5.24 mL , 30.0 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography (DCM to $\left.3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}\right)$ provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 9 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2971, 2931, 2781, 1692, 1389, 1159; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.56-2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6} 9\right), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.12-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.95-1.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.86-1.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.71-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
(126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 155.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 79.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 64.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 55.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 47.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 42.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 28.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $27.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$, $18.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$257.2220, $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 257.2229.

3-((Cyclobutylmethyl)(methyl)amino)-N-(2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propenamide


483

General procedure I was applied to methylamine ( $12.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) with 3 -chloro- $N$-(2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propenamide ( 2.51 g , 10.0 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resultant crude amine was used without further purification in General procedure I with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane $(1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA $(2.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeCN $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { (МеОН; } 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 47 \%$ yield). IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2958, 2855, 2800, 1689, 1587, 1532, 1454, 1318, 1278, 1165, 1108, 759; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (700 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 11.17\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 8.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 7.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $7.22\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.73-2.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{11}\right), 2.62-2.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10,14}\right), 2.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ), $2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{12}\right), 2.05-1.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.88$ (app hex, J = $\left.9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{16 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.81-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{16 b}\right)$, 1.70 - $1.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{15 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 171.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 135.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 132.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 126.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 125.9$ $\left(q, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 124.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 123.9\left(\mathrm{q}, J=273.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 121.6\left(\mathrm{q}, J=29.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 63.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{13}\right), 52.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}\right), 41.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$, $33.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$, $33.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{14}\right), 27.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{15}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{16}\right)$; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (376 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})-61.4(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 315.1682, \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires 315.1684.

## 1-(1-(Methoxymethyl)cyclobutyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



484 To a solution of (1-(methoxymethyl)cyclobutyl)methanamine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aq formaldehyde ( $37 \%, 2.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 15 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{M}, 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated in vacuo. DCM was added ( 50 mL ) and the organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{~m})}$ ) provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( $577 \mathrm{mg}, 3.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2973, 2938, 2871, 2814, 2763, 1456, 1108, 1032; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $3.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 3.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.97-1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 76.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 66.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $59.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 47.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 42.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 28.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 16.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$158.1546, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ requires 158.1545 .

## 8-(Cyclobutylmethyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane
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General procedure I was applied to 1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane ( $1.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( $1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( 2.62 mL , 15.0 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3(\text { МеОН; 2м) }}\right)$ the title compound as a yellow oil ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2953, 2873, 2807, 1307, 1089, 1039, 915; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 3.96(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 2.60-2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4,6}\right), 2.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.12-2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.94-1.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $1.85-1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.76-1.72\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.72-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $107.3\left(C_{2}\right), 65.0\left(C_{5}\right), 64.2\left(C_{1}\right), 51.4\left(C_{4}\right), 34.8\left(C_{3}\right), 34.3\left(C_{6}\right), 28.1\left(C_{7}\right), 18.8\left(C_{8}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[M+H]^{+}$ 212.1652, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 212.1651.

## 1-(Cyclobutylmethyl)piperidine



486 General procedure G was applied to piperidine ( $1.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with cyclobutanecarboxylic acid ( $2.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $5.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt hydrate ( 4.00 g , $30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EDC• $\mathrm{HCl}(4.22 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 40 mL ). The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification by distillation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 30 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( 2.33 g , $15.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2931, 2854, 2756, 1442, 1154, 1125, 1038, $995 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.56$ (app hept, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $2.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.34\left(\mathrm{brs}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.11-2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right.$ ), 1.89 (app hept, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}$ ) , 1.82-1.75 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $1.68\left(\mathrm{pd}, J=8.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.57(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{p}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 1.47 - $1.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 66.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 54.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 25.9$ $\left(C_{2}\right), 24.3\left(C_{1}\right), 18.8\left(C_{7}\right) ;$ HMRS-ESI $(m / z)$ : found $[M+H]^{+} 154.1588, \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 154.1590.

## (2S,6R)-4-(Cyclobutylmethyl)-2,6-dimethylmorpholine
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General procedure I was applied to ( $2 R, 6 S$ )-2,6-dimethylmorpholine ( $1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0$ mmol ) with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( $1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $2.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow oil ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 5.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2969, 2931, 2865, 1455, 1373, 1322, 1143, 1083; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 3.71 - $3.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{a}}\right), 2.55\left(\mathrm{hept}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $2.12-2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.95-1.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.86-1.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.74-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~b}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $\left.=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(176 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 71.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 65.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 59.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 19.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $18.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$184.1698, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ NO requires 184.1701.

## 1-(Cyclobutylmethyl)-4-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazine



General procedure I was applied to 1-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazine ( $2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( $1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA $(2.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $3 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2 \mathrm{M})}$ ) the title compound as a yellow solid ( $1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 88-90$; IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2922, 1588, 1492, 1324, 1239, 1114, 1002, 923, 823; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.42\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 2.62-2.52$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6,8}\right), 2.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.15-2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.98-1.89\left(\mathrm{apphex}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.88$ - $1.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.73\left(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{gb}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl 3$) ~ \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 154.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 138.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $125.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 112.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 65.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 52.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 47.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 27.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right), 18.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [M+H] ${ }^{+}$ 276.1711, $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 276.1712.

## 1-(Cyclobutylmethyl)pyrrolidine



489 General procedure G was applied to pyrrolidine ( $3.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 42.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with cyclobutanecarboxylic acid ( $3.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $10.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt hydrate ( 8.00 g , $60.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(8.43 \mathrm{~g}, 44.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 100 mL ). The resultant crude amide
 Purification by distillation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 50 mbar provided the title compound as a colourless oil ( 4.32 g , $31.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2964, 2777, 1459, 1315, 1150; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.59-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.49-2.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2,3}\right), 2.12-2.03\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.94-1.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.84-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1,5 \mathrm{~b}, 6 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 63.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $54.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, $35.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $27.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, $23.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $18.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$140.1428, $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 140.1439.

## tert-Butyl (1-(cyclobutylmethyl)azetidin-3-yl)(methyl)carbamate



General procedure I was applied to tert-butyl azetidin-3-yl(methyl)carbamate hydrochloride ( $2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane ( 1.18 mL , $10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIPEA ( $4.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeCN ( 30 mL ) to provide by column chromatography (DCM to $4 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3(\mathrm{MeOH} ; 2)}$ ) the title compound as a colourless oil ( $792 \mathrm{mg}, 3.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, $31 \%$ yield).

IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2970, 2931, 1693, 1364, 1331, 1148; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 3.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{a}}$ ), $2.92\left(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 2.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hept, J = $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ), $2.07-2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.94-1.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5,10 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.85-1.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{10 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.68\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{9 b}\right), 1.45$
$\left(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (176 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 155.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 79.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 66.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 60.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 28.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 27.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{9}\right)$, $18.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{10}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}\right]^{+} 277.1878, \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 277.1886.
tert-Butyl 3-((dimethylamino)methyl)azetidine-1-carboxylate


To a solution of tert-butyl 3-(aminomethyl)azetidine-1-carboxylate ( $1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0$ mmol ) and aq formaldehyde ( $37 \%, 3.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h . The reaction was quenched with aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated in vacuo. DCM was added ( 50 mL ) and the organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{x}$ 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was crystallised as white needles in a 9:1 mixture of EtOAc:PE ( $0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) 114-116$; $\mathbf{I R} v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2652, 2338, 2182, $1695,1382,1125 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $4.20\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.14-3.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{7}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 155.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 79.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6}\right), 59.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, 53.4 (br s, $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{a}}$ ), 52.4 (br s, $\mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ ), $42.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 28.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 24.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$; HMRS-ESI (m/z): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$237.1574, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ requires 237.1573.

## 1-Cyclopentyl-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



General procedure G was applied to dimethylamine ( 2.0 M in THF, $10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with cyclopentanecarboxylic acid ( $2.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $5.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt hydrate ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EDC• $\mathrm{HCl}(4.22 \mathrm{~g}, 22.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resultant crude amide was used without further purification in General procedure H with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The title compound was obtained without further purification as a colourless oil (1.67 g, 13.1 mmol, 66\% yield).

IR $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 2980, 2942, 1459, 1263, 1100, 1035; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, $2.18\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.03\left(\mathrm{app}\right.$ hept, $\left.J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.81-1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{a}}\right), 1.65-1.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{a}}\right)$, $1.57-1.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5 \mathrm{~b}}\right), 1.22-1.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 66.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 45.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 37.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$; HMRS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 128.1436, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}$ requires 128.1439.

## (S)-2-Acetamido-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid



227
$\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(11.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 120 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $(S)$-2-amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid ( $13.2 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{AcOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 h . After this time, volatiles were removed in vacuo and the resultant white solid was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was dissolved in a hot mixture of $\mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)$ and allowed to cool down to rt, and
then to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crystalline product was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried in vacuo to provide the title compound as a white solid ( $13.3 \mathrm{~g}, 76.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ 232-233; IR $\mathrm{V}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3352, 2969, 2901, 1700, 1613, 1542, 1254, 1221, 1060, 723; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d 6) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 12.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 7.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 169.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 60.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 27.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 22.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$; HMRS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : found $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-1} 172.0982, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires 172.0979; $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-3.2$ (c=1.0, EtOH).

## (R)-2-Acetamido-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid


$\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $(R)$-2-amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid ( $3.80 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{AcOH}(30.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 h . After this time, the volatiles were removed in vacuo and the resultant white solid was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 50 mL ). The solid was dissolved in hot $\mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)$ and cooled down to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crystalline product was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried in vacuo to provide the title compound as a white solid ( $4.02 \mathrm{~g}, 23.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{m p}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ 231-232; IR $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (thin film) 3352, 2974, 2902, 1700, 1614, 1544, 1404, 1254, 1060, 723; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 12.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 7.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{8}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 169.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}\right), 60.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 33.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 27.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right), 22.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5}}+3.0(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{EtOH})$.

## Appendix II - Supplementary Data

## II.I. X-Ray Crystallography

## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)-4-tosylpiperazine

Crystals were grown by slow evaporation from a saturated solution
 of the title compound in a mixture of hexane:2-propanol (20:1).


Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices [ $1>2$ sigma( I ]
$R$ indices (all data)
Absolute structure parameter
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
mg_k1_0010
C21 H26 N2 O2 S
370.50

180(2) K
0.71073 Å

Monoclinic
P 21
$a=9.2198(2) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$.
$b=6.02920(10) \AA \quad b=98.1862(9)^{\circ}$.
$\mathrm{c}=17.5266(4) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$.
964.34(3) ${ }^{3}$

2
$1.276 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.185 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
396
$0.500 \times 0.250 \times 0.150 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
3.523 to $27.490^{\circ}$.
$-11<=h<=11,-7<=k<=7,-22<=\mid<=22$
14403
4365 [ R (int) $=0.0502]$
99.5 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.959 and 0.765

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
4365 / 1 / 236
0.980
$R 1=0.0324, w R 2=0.0768$
R1 $=0.0414, w R 2=0.0790$
-0.02(2)
n/a
0.145 and -0.270 e. $\AA^{-3}$

## Ethyl 4-((1S,2R)-2-((dimethylamino)methyl)cyclobutyl)benzoate hydrochloride

Crystals were grown by slow evaporation from a saturated solution of the title compound in a mixture of $\mathrm{MeCN}^{\mathrm{Et}} \mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 2)$.



Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final $R$ indices [l>2sigma( I ]
$R$ indices (all data)
Absolute structure parameter
Extinction coefficient
Largest diff. peak and hole
mg_k3_0060
C16 H24 Cl N O2
297.81

180(2) K
0.71073 Å

Triclinic
P 1
$a=11.2519(5) \AA \quad a=85.667(2)^{\circ}$.
$b=11.9268(6) \AA \quad b=77.085(2)^{\circ}$.
$c=12.4492(7) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=89.656(3)^{\circ}$.
$1623.65(14) \AA^{3}$
4
$1.218 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$0.237 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
640
$0.520 \times 0.220 \times 0.020 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
3.661 to $25.324^{\circ}$.
$-13<=h<=12,-13<=k<=13,-14<=\mid<=14$
15080
$9384[\mathrm{R}$ (int) $=0.0773]$
96.7 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
0.994 and 0.665

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
9384 / 273 / 733
1.079
$R 1=0.0786, w R 2=0.1797$
$R 1=0.1114, w R 2=0.2031$
0.06(10)
n/a
0.787 and -0.280 e. $\AA^{-3}$

## II.II. Asymmetric Analysis

## N,N-Dimethyl-1-((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanamine
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## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis:


with (S)-BINPHAT

with (R)-BINPHAT


HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $99.8 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor, 0.2\%)



Ethyl 3-(methyl(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)amino)propanoate


HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 99.5:0.5, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=13.1$ min (major, 96.2\%), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=17.2 \mathrm{~min}$ ( minor, $3.8 \%$ )



2-((5-Fluoropyrimidin-2-yl)oxy)-N-methyl-N-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)ethan-1-amine


HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=13.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $89.1 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=14.4 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, 10.9\%)



## Benzyl 3-(methyl(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)amino)azetidine-1-carboxylate



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=20.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $91.8 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=23.1 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor, 8.2\%)



## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)piperidine



## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis:




## 1'-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)spiro[chromane-2,4'-piperidin]-4-one



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=14.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $99.8 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=16.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor, 0.2\%)



## 4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)piperidin-4-ol



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 95:5, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=24.2 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $0.9 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 26.1 min (major, 99.1\%)



## tert-Butyl (1-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)piperidin-4-yl)carbamate



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=17.9 \mathrm{~min}(m i n o r$, $<0.1 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=18.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $>99.9 \%$ )



## 6-Fluoro-3-(1-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)piperidin-4-yl)benzo[d]isoxazole



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane( $0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}$ ):2-propanol $90: 10,1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $99.3 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.2 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $0.7 \%)$


## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)-4-tosylpiperazine
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HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 90:10, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=16.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $98.6 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=19.2 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $1.4 \%)$



## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)pyrrolidine


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis:



## Methyl 1-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)azetidine-3-carboxylate



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $\left.99.0 \%\right), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 8.8 min (minor, 1.0\%)



## 6-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methyl)-2-oxa-6-azaspiro[3.3]heptane



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $>99.9 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=11.0 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $<0.1 \%)$



## 1-((1R,2R)-1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine
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HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane:2-propanol 99:1, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $95.7 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 12.4 min (minor, 4.3\%)



## N,N-Dimethyl-1-((1R,2R)-1-methyl-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanamine



GC-FID analysis: ChiralDex $\beta-\mathrm{DM}\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$; linear velocity $40 \mathrm{~cm} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; split ratio 10.0$) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.0$ $\min ($ minor, $11.1 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.2 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, 88.9\%)



## 1-((1S,2R)-1-(2-Methoxyethyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



381

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis:




## N,N-Dimethyl-1-((1S,2S)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)methanamine



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.4 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $96.4 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.8 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $3.6 \%)$



## 1-((1R,2S,3S)-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=11.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor, $2.1 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=14.0 \mathrm{~min}($ major, $97.9 \%)$



## 1-((1S,2R)-2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenylcyclopropyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor, $0.5 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=12.2 \mathrm{~min}($ major, $99.5 \%)$



N,N-Dimethyl-1-((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methanamine

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis:



Ethyl 3-(methyl(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)amino)propanoate


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis:




N-Methyl-3-(5-methylfuran-2-yl)-N-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)propan-1-amine


HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 99.6:0.4, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.8 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, 97.0\%), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.1 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $3.0 \%)$



## 3-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-N-methyl-N-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)propan-1-amine


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis:


tert-Butyl methyl(2-(methyl(( 1 R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)amino)ethyl)carbamate


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis:




## 1-((1S,2R)-1-(Methoxymethyl)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)-N,N-dimethylmethanamine



GC-FID analysis: ChiralDex $\beta$-DM ( $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $0.15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$; linear velocity $40 \mathrm{~cm} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; split ratio 10.0 ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ $131.3 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $11.7 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=134.3 \mathrm{~min}($ major, $88.3 \%)$



## 8-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)-1,4-dioxa-8-azaspiro[4.5]decane



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 97:3, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.7 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $95.0 \%$ ), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=6.0 \mathrm{~min}$ (minor, $5.0 \%$ )



## 1-(((1R,2S)-2-Phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)piperidine



## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis:



(2S,6R)-2,6-Dimethyl-4-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)morpholine


GC-FID analysis: ChiralDex $\beta-\mathrm{DM}\left(110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; isocratic; linear velocity $40 \mathrm{~cm} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; split ratio 10.0$) \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=25.6 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, 91.3\%), $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=26.5 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $8.7 \%)$



## 1-(4-Nitrophenyl)-4-(((1R,2S)-2-phenylcyclobutyl)methyl)piperazine



HPLC analysis: Chiralpak AD-H (hexane(0.1\% DEA):2-propanol 98:2, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=23.3 \mathrm{~min}$ (major, $94.1 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=26.5 \mathrm{~min}($ minor, $5.9 \%)$



## II.III. NMR Spectra
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## Appendix III - Computational complexes

## Pd(OAc) ${ }_{2}$

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -583.771757264
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.058944$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-585.112621003


| O | -1.78198 | 1.08817 | 0.01863 | O | 1.78197 | 1.08817 | -0.01855 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | -1.78198 | -1.08816 | 0.01866 | O | 1.78199 | -1.08816 | -0.01858 |
| C | -2.45819 | 0.00000 | 0.01787 | C | 2.45819 | 0.00001 | -0.01794 |
| C | -3.94916 | -0.00000 | -0.01478 | C | 3.94917 | 0.00001 | 0.01428 |
| H | -4.27949 | -0.00016 | -1.05899 | H | 4.27984 | -0.00078 | 1.05838 |
| H | -4.33544 | 0.89783 | 0.47017 | H | 4.33527 | 0.89813 | -0.47027 |
| H | -4.33541 | -0.89769 | 0.47046 | H | 4.33530 | -0.89740 | -0.47160 |
| Pd | 0.00000 | -0.00000 | 0.00009 |  |  |  |  |

## Acetic acid

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -229.104946426
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.031838$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=\mathbf{- 2 2 9 . 1 8 4 2 8 0 2 7 3}$

| C | 1.39486 | -0.11172 | -0.00000 | H | 1.91733 | 0.84351 | -0.00006 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -0.09155 | 0.12155 | -0.00000 | H | 1.67914 | -0.69301 | -0.88158 |
| O | -0.64226 | 1.20444 | -0.00000 | H | 1.67915 | -0.69290 | 0.88165 |
| O | -0.77906 | -1.04221 | -0.00000 | H | -1.72493 | -0.81435 | 0.00001 |

## Acetyl-tert-leucine (Ac-Tle-OH; 227)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-594.431973806$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.184621$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-594.606787127$


| C | 0.22365 | -0.19449 | -0.31215 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| C | 0.99689 | -1.48096 | -0.06465 |
| H | 0.01510 | -0.10258 | -1.37997 |
| C | 1.02720 | 1.07711 | 0.13913 |
| N | -1.05246 | -0.32320 | 0.36666 |
| O | 1.80695 | -1.80313 | -1.08558 |
| O | 0.90637 | -2.14464 | 0.95044 |
| H | 2.29276 | -2.60869 | -0.83314 |
| C | -2.23856 | -0.06402 | -0.24806 |
| H | -1.04446 | -0.80467 | 1.25459 |
| O | -2.31092 | 0.39331 | -1.39008 |
| C | -3.47526 | -0.36050 | 0.57710 |
| H | -4.08742 | -1.09231 | 0.04321 |
| H | -3.25115 | -0.74186 | 1.57531 |


| H | -4.06360 | 0.55615 | 0.66923 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.33679 | 1.18062 | -0.65945 |
| C | 1.33455 | 1.01749 | 1.64357 |
| C | 0.16029 | 2.31165 | -0.15857 |
| H | 1.96309 | 0.15912 | 1.89720 |
| H | 0.41484 | 0.95319 | 2.23296 |
| H | 1.86350 | 1.92501 | 1.95005 |
| H | 3.02180 | 0.35893 | -0.43670 |
| H | 2.84427 | 2.11754 | -0.41032 |
| H | 2.14485 | 1.17701 | -1.73743 |
| H | -0.09350 | 2.37055 | -1.22078 |
| H | 0.70562 | 3.21971 | 0.11702 |
| H | -0.77102 | 2.28961 | 0.41241 |

## Acetyl-alanine (Ac-Ala-OH; 224)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-476.467823025$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.107374$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-476.618223940$

| C | 0.70789 | 0.85410 | -0.30528 | H | -1.00694 | 1.07519 | -1.53043 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C | 1.61469 | -0.39052 | -0.26250 | O | -1.25749 | -0.82661 | 0.97665 |
| H | 1.12838 | 1.48177 | -1.09014 | C | -3.00418 | -0.11511 | -0.50440 |
| C | 0.76760 | 1.60733 | 1.03297 | H | -3.13222 | 0.42695 | -1.44242 |
| N | -0.67602 | 0.57169 | -0.72035 | H | -3.36255 | -1.14011 | -0.62207 |
| O | 1.18371 | -1.42884 | 0.44890 | H | -3.61421 | 0.36287 | 0.26753 |
| O | 2.70454 | -0.39211 | -0.80273 | H | 1.80721 | 1.83662 | 1.28058 |
| H | 0.25250 | -1.24971 | 0.79183 | H | 0.33665 | 1.01065 | 1.83823 |
| C | -1.57513 | -0.15079 | -0.02491 | H | 0.21190 | 2.54432 | 0.95158 |

## Bisligand complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1314.43793443$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.376572$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1315.98202403$


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | -0.00001 | 0.37033 | -0.00000 |
| O | 1.11976 | 0.38678 | -1.67223 |
| N | -1.89189 | 0.38233 | -0.92721 |
| O | -1.11978 | 0.38679 | 1.67223 |
| N | 1.89188 | 0.38232 | 0.92721 |
| C | 2.89609 | -0.09334 | -0.08059 |
| C | 2.06504 | 1.75454 | 1.37379 |
| C | 2.41578 | 0.26307 | -1.49836 |
| C | 3.20762 | -1.61306 | 0.09829 |
| H | 3.81957 | 0.46329 | 0.09136 |
| C | -2.89609 | -0.09335 | 0.08058 |
| C | -2.41580 | 0.26306 | 1.49836 |
| H | -3.81958 | 0.46326 | -0.09137 |
| C | -3.20759 | -1.61308 | -0.09831 |
| O | -3.21926 | 0.38502 | 2.41385 |
| O | 3.21924 | 0.38505 | -2.41386 |
| C | 1.99130 | -2.49567 | -0.22943 |
| C | 3.66184 | -1.87258 | 1.54890 |
| H | 1.15008 | -2.30304 | 0.44260 |
| H | 2.26297 | -3.54982 | -0.12098 |
| H | 1.64603 | -2.33862 | -1.25530 |
| H | 2.85108 | -1.77523 | 2.28029 |
| H | 4.47128 | -1.19605 | 1.84079 |
| H | 4.02937 | -2.89885 | 1.63585 |
| C | 4.37262 | -1.97805 | -0.84026 |
| H | 4.11002 | -1.82230 | -1.88729 |
| H | 4.63437 | -3.03059 | -0.69769 |


| H | 5.25922 | -1.37467 | -0.62032 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| C | -1.99127 | -2.49567 | 0.22944 |
| H | -1.15004 | -2.30302 | -0.44258 |
| H | -2.26292 | -3.54982 | 0.12097 |
| H | -1.64603 | -2.33863 | 1.25532 |
| C | -4.37261 | -1.97808 | 0.84022 |
| H | -4.11004 | -1.82232 | 1.88725 |
| H | -4.63434 | -3.03064 | 0.69764 |
| H | -5.25922 | -1.37473 | 0.62025 |
| C | -3.66179 | -1.87260 | -1.54893 |
| H | -2.85101 | -1.77525 | -2.28030 |
| H | -4.47122 | -1.19607 | -1.84084 |
| H | -4.02931 | -2.89888 | -1.63589 |
| C | 1.47748 | 2.04231 | 2.72592 |
| H | 0.45804 | 1.65216 | 2.79417 |
| H | 1.48953 | 3.11744 | 2.90014 |
| H | 2.08285 | 1.54606 | 3.49310 |
| O | 2.64732 | 2.54314 | 0.66338 |
| C | -2.06505 | 1.75456 | -1.37375 |
| C | -1.47749 | 2.04237 | -2.72587 |
| H | -2.08286 | 1.54615 | -3.49307 |
| H | -0.45805 | 1.65222 | -2.79413 |
| H | -1.48952 | 3.11750 | -2.90006 |
| O | -2.64734 | 2.54314 | -0.66333 |
| H | 1.81589 | -0.24668 | 1.72486 |
| H | -1.81590 | -0.24665 | -1.72487 |

III.I. Nuclear coordinates for complexes containing $\mathbf{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylpropan-1-amine




Scheme 73: Full computational pathway for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation or $\beta-\mathrm{H}$ elimination in acyclic amines using acetyl-tert-leucine as ligand. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

## 307 - N,N-dimethylpropan-1-amine

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-253.145309959
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.142261$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-253.208069296

| N | 1.03364 | -0.01353 | -0.33842 | C | -1.44593 | 0.37497 | -0.31934 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -0.26176 | -0.44901 | 0.18860 | H | -1.39743 | 0.41682 | -1.41410 |
| C | 2.06862 | -0.99246 | -0.02493 | H | -1.37234 | 1.40804 | 0.03845 |
| C | 1.41802 | 1.30227 | 0.16185 | C | -2.78459 | -0.21630 | 0.12909 |
| H | 2.22608 | -1.12320 | 1.06298 | H | -2.91468 | -1.23538 | -0.25182 |
| H | 3.02036 | -0.67963 | -0.46549 | H | -3.62451 | 0.38532 | -0.23101 |
| H | 1.79965 | -1.96624 | -0.44559 | H | -2.85055 | -0.26030 | 1.22194 |
| H | 1.49719 | 1.33392 | 1.26583 | H | -0.26376 | -0.45045 | 1.29819 |
| H | 0.69370 | 2.05925 | -0.14679 | H | -0.40635 | -1.49118 | -0.12155 |

## 327 - Bisamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1090.15399653$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.396856$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1091.61657466$


| Pd | 0.00000 | -0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| O | 1.31088 | -1.20380 | -1.02448 |
| N | 1.12794 | 1.66509 | -0.77026 |
| O | -1.31088 | 1.20380 | 1.02448 |
| N | -1.12795 | -1.66509 | 0.77026 |
| C | 0.93207 | 1.61936 | -2.24181 |
| H | -0.13140 | 1.73606 | -2.45083 |
| H | 1.27990 | 0.65472 | -2.61028 |
| H | 1.49710 | 2.42769 | -2.72386 |
| C | 2.57924 | 1.50035 | -0.46237 |
| C | 0.65520 | 2.97685 | -0.26354 |
| C | -0.65521 | -2.97686 | 0.26354 |
| H | 0.34691 | -3.15829 | 0.64316 |
| H | -0.63212 | -2.97241 | -0.82363 |
| H | -1.32986 | -3.76640 | 0.61731 |
| C | -2.57924 | -1.50035 | 0.46236 |
| C | -0.93207 | -1.61936 | 2.24181 |
| C | -2.22673 | 1.83461 | 0.34743 |
| O | -2.33927 | 1.83094 | -0.88497 |
| C | -3.17812 | 2.63066 | 1.22870 |
| H | -3.98014 | 3.06430 | 0.63042 |
| H | -2.62575 | 3.43172 | 1.73036 |
| H | -3.59995 | 1.98964 | 2.00796 |
| C | 2.22673 | -1.83461 | -0.34742 |
| C | 3.17815 | -2.63063 | -1.22870 |
| H | 2.62577 | -3.43156 | -1.73054 |
| H | 3.98007 | -3.06440 | -0.63039 |


| H | 3.60012 | -1.98952 | -2.00781 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | 2.33926 | -1.83094 | 0.88498 |
| C | -2.90104 | -1.41824 | -1.02664 |
| H | -2.90581 | -0.59079 | 0.96521 |
| H | -3.11493 | -2.34451 | 0.92066 |
| C | -4.36937 | -1.04420 | -1.24323 |
| H | -2.68588 | -2.37377 | -1.51642 |
| H | -2.26257 | -0.65524 | -1.48258 |
| H | -5.04292 | -1.76152 | -0.76104 |
| H | -4.61300 | -1.02192 | -2.30948 |
| H | -4.57539 | -0.05154 | -0.83088 |
| H | 0.13139 | -1.73607 | 2.45084 |
| H | -1.49710 | -2.42770 | 2.72386 |
| H | -1.27991 | -0.65472 | 2.61028 |
| C | 2.90104 | 1.41823 | 1.02664 |
| H | -0.34691 | 3.15829 | -0.64316 |
| H | 1.32986 | 3.76640 | -0.61731 |
| H | 0.63212 | 2.97241 | 0.82363 |
| C | 4.36936 | 1.04419 | 1.24322 |
| H | 2.26257 | 0.65524 | 1.48258 |
| H | 2.68589 | 2.37377 | 1.51641 |
| H | 4.57538 | 0.05153 | 0.83088 |
| H | 4.61300 | 1.02191 | 2.30947 |
| H | 5.04292 | 1.76151 | 0.76103 |
| H | 2.90581 | 0.59079 | -0.96521 |
| H | 3.11492 | 2.34451 | -0.92067 |

## 328 - Monoamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -836.962267957
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.225513$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-838.366051363$


| Pd | 0.45475 | 0.00385 | 0.02417 | H | -1.10342 | 4.74953 | -0.19530 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | 1.99975 | -1.40531 | 0.33140 | H | -2.40201 | 3.54792 | -0.45218 |
| O | 2.35801 | 0.38997 | -0.87264 | H | -2.58245 | -0.01025 | -0.25571 |
| C | 2.80689 | -0.69100 | -0.36245 | H | -3.17595 | -1.37562 | 0.71247 |
| C | 4.23743 | -1.09747 | -0.53639 | C | -2.03983 | -1.94261 | -1.04375 |
| H | 4.33994 | -2.17898 | -0.43605 | H | -1.91842 | 1.07757 | 1.66889 |
| H | 4.83871 | -0.61704 | 0.24294 | H | -0.73835 | 0.34220 | 2.78148 |
| H | 4.60848 | -0.76521 | -1.50753 | H | -2.40854 | -0.28586 | 2.71332 |
| N | -1.18007 | -0.79996 | 1.05925 | H | -0.33893 | -2.73972 | 0.91115 |
| C | -2.32796 | -1.00195 | 0.12220 | H | -1.58947 | -2.55750 | 2.17441 |
| C | -1.58912 | 0.14776 | 2.12825 | H | 0.04141 | -1.86585 | 2.40382 |
| O | -0.77340 | 1.50860 | -0.56071 | C | -3.20858 | -1.94994 | -2.03329 |
| C | -0.44914 | 2.69647 | -0.11438 | H | -1.86193 | -2.96036 | -0.68214 |
| C | -1.35538 | 3.79263 | -0.65359 | H | -1.12360 | -1.61759 | -1.55112 |
| O | 0.48042 | 2.93267 | 0.65943 | H | -4.13614 | -2.27381 | -1.54905 |
| C | -0.74056 | -2.07680 | 1.67460 | H | -3.00911 | -2.63200 | -2.86438 |
| H | -1.24054 | 3.86641 | -1.73930 | H | -3.37842 | -0.95127 | -2.44935 |

## $A_{1}$ - Agostic complex without ligand

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-836.945290296$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.226179$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-838.350094446$


| 0 | 2.07145 | 0.16992 | -0.38965 | H | -2.60450 | 1.12720 | -0.87973 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | 0.09383 | -0.13627 | -0.02131 | H | -2.46000 | 1.51391 | 0.84701 |
| O | -0.03433 | 1.77836 | 0.64882 | H | -3.83219 | 0.52244 | 0.27617 |
| N | -1.95762 | -0.46744 | 0.34204 | H | 4.60303 | 0.53946 | 0.52281 |
| C | -2.43832 | -1.52973 | -0.60088 | H | 4.54796 | -0.30164 | -1.02630 |
| C | -1.59045 | -2.79627 | -0.60520 | H | 4.91597 | -1.21386 | 0.46795 |
| C | -0.13454 | -2.54033 | -0.99118 | H | -0.04699 | -1.98414 | -1.92911 |
| C | -2.08275 | -0.89662 | 1.75846 | H | 0.43133 | -3.46902 | -1.11362 |
| C | -2.77180 | 0.75682 | 0.13082 | H | 0.45838 | -2.06850 | -0.16086 |
| C | 2.84447 | -0.66256 | 0.25083 | H | -2.04197 | -3.47655 | -1.33466 |
| C | 4.32630 | -0.40281 | 0.03928 | H | -1.64294 | -3.30678 | 0.36106 |
| 0 | 2.43726 | -1.58005 | 0.97585 | C | -0.16821 | 2.65986 | -0.30976 |
| H | -2.43317 | -1.07983 | -1.59734 | 0 | -0.28170 | 2.39827 | -1.50848 |
| H | -3.47862 | -1.76431 | -0.34232 | C | -0.22764 | 4.08746 | 0.21315 |
| H | -1.73367 | -0.08646 | 2.39893 | H | -1.22301 | 4.27126 | 0.63137 |
| H | -1.47430 | -1.78163 | 1.94095 | H | -0.05365 | 4.78965 | -0.60302 |
| H | -3.13038 | -1.12213 | 1.99116 | H | 0.50201 | 4.24469 | 1.01003 |

## TS ${ }_{15}$ - Acetate-assisted C-H activation

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-836.924082284$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.223602$
Imaginary frequency $=-1344.9802$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-838.328365284$


| O | -1.17349 | 2.21266 | -1.47075 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -1.30107 | 2.38721 | -0.25330 |
| O | 1.84044 | 1.07599 | -0.22025 |
| Pd | 0.11002 | -0.08729 | -0.05982 |
| O | -0.89301 | 1.56521 | 0.67120 |
| N | -1.60727 | -1.25695 | 0.27742 |
| C | -1.45687 | -2.55393 | -0.45305 |
| C | -0.02449 | -3.05317 | -0.38821 |
| C | 0.92744 | -1.98256 | -0.92114 |
| C | -1.66576 | -1.47445 | 1.74586 |
| C | -2.85083 | -0.57744 | -0.16228 |
| C | 2.87755 | 0.46094 | 0.17887 |
| C | 4.16237 | 1.23327 | 0.32955 |
| O | 2.87936 | -0.78245 | 0.45637 |
| H | 1.74642 | -1.24419 | -0.03959 |
| C | -2.01446 | 3.61369 | 0.30111 |
| H | -1.72541 | -2.35914 | -1.49489 |


| H | -2.17569 | -3.27496 | -0.04510 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.04510 | -3.96989 | -0.98695 |
| H | 0.24354 | -3.33422 | 0.63596 |
| H | 1.92312 | -2.41242 | -1.08757 |
| H | 0.62444 | -1.62401 | -1.91200 |
| H | -1.72272 | -0.50370 | 2.23803 |
| H | -0.77036 | -1.99752 | 2.08033 |
| H | -2.55101 | -2.07036 | 1.99954 |
| H | -2.98472 | 0.32526 | 0.42942 |
| H | -3.70667 | -1.24706 | -0.01721 |
| H | -2.76229 | -0.31117 | -1.21494 |
| H | 4.94457 | 0.75373 | -0.26455 |
| H | 4.47723 | 1.20022 | 1.37652 |
| H | 4.03530 | 2.26877 | 0.01672 |
| H | -2.99009 | 3.31515 | 0.69956 |
| H | -2.16462 | 4.35440 | -0.48550 |
| H | -1.44579 | 4.05233 | 1.12487 |

## TS ${ }_{16}$ - Acetate-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the methyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-836.918528993$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.220532$
Imaginary frequency $=-1107.9989$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-838.326257635$


| O | -2.27206 | 0.91218 | -0.44842 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | -0.41087 | 0.17925 | 0.20386 |
| O | 0.41556 | 2.00610 | -0.22707 |
| N | 0.88340 | -1.21275 | 0.99121 |
| C | 1.39065 | -0.87325 | 2.34022 |
| C | -0.39654 | -1.88029 | 0.94457 |
| C | 1.96780 | -1.73225 | 0.11074 |
| C | -3.16272 | 0.00182 | -0.33847 |
| C | -4.56135 | 0.34741 | -0.79678 |
| O | -2.94294 | -1.16640 | 0.10039 |
| H | -1.53263 | -1.27575 | 0.45587 |
| H | -5.29859 | -0.14859 | -0.16346 |
| H | -4.69304 | -0.02046 | -1.81981 |
| H | -4.71687 | 1.42634 | -0.79234 |
| H | 0.56247 | -0.53195 | 2.96099 |
| H | 2.11851 | -0.07251 | 2.22172 |
| H | 1.85428 | -1.75568 | 2.79368 |


| H | 2.80124 | -1.04471 | 0.24912 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 1.60920 | -1.79520 | -1.36876 |
| H | 2.25149 | -2.72243 | 0.49015 |
| H | -0.78417 | -2.11411 | 1.93501 |
| C | 1.69525 | 2.18692 | -0.07794 |
| O | 2.51052 | 1.33973 | 0.30548 |
| C | 2.13710 | 3.60464 | -0.42464 |
| H | 1.65437 | 4.31744 | 0.25109 |
| H | 1.82421 | 3.85830 | -1.44154 |
| H | 3.22024 | 3.69855 | -0.33795 |
| C | 2.79104 | -2.32215 | -2.18826 |
| H | 1.33889 | -0.78844 | -1.70552 |
| H | 0.73577 | -2.43508 | -1.53506 |
| H | 3.67047 | -1.68110 | -2.06692 |
| H | 2.54035 | -2.35152 | -3.25208 |
| H | 3.06811 | -3.33572 | -1.87981 |
| H | -0.41008 | -2.73745 | 0.27385 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{17}$ - Acetate-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the propyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-836.918609707$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.221642$
Imaginary frequency $=-1156.1505$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-838.325066532$


| O | -0.87532 | 2.10171 | -0.16631 | H | 0.74064 | -3.20974 | 1.80137 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pd | 0.13930 | 0.25911 | 0.02390 | H | -1.67896 | -3.19193 | -0.90553 |
| O | 1.91295 | 1.26087 | -0.30791 | H | -1.61838 | -1.67123 | -1.79194 |
| N | 0.32058 | -1.76154 | 0.31838 | C | -3.42962 | -1.96041 | -0.64663 |
| C | 0.89796 | -2.13951 | 1.62877 | H | -3.75435 | -2.42237 | 0.29169 |
| C | -1.11141 | -1.52885 | 0.30521 | H | -3.96581 | -2.44879 | -1.46463 |
| C | 0.94763 | -2.51330 | -0.78953 | H | -3.71539 | -0.90723 | -0.62222 |
| C | -2.09416 | 1.95247 | 0.17831 | H | 2.01455 | -2.30320 | -0.74289 |
| C | -2.99524 | 3.16419 | 0.12433 | H | 0.55365 | -2.17359 | -1.74470 |
| O | -2.59940 | 0.84793 | 0.54789 | H | 0.75688 | -3.58497 | -0.66699 |
| C | -1.91999 | -2.12360 | -0.84298 | H | -1.53350 | -1.76426 | 1.28524 |
| H | -1.58949 | -0.19277 | 0.38716 | C | 3.05492 | 0.66094 | -0.15777 |
| H | -3.55976 | 3.24488 | 1.05612 | O | 3.22313 | -0.51295 | 0.19871 |
| H | -3.71692 | 3.02964 | -0.68737 | C | 4.24660 | 1.56086 | -0.46968 |
| H | -2.42223 | 4.07446 | -0.04895 | H | 4.23271 | 2.43770 | 0.18469 |
| H | 0.41166 | -1.56289 | 2.41570 | H | 4.18031 | 1.92391 | -1.49974 |
| H | 1.95917 | -1.90052 | 1.59845 | H | 5.18238 | 1.01752 | -0.33281 |

## $A_{2}$ - Monodentate ligand-amine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1202.29143457
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.381018$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1203.79543617


| Pd | 1.74466 | -0.15665 | -0.24868 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 1.38823 | 1.86272 | -0.69018 |
| C | 1.00699 | 1.92568 | -2.12540 |
| C | 2.65763 | 2.60124 | -0.47659 |
| C | 0.27864 | 2.44192 | 0.12976 |
| O | 3.83295 | -0.24609 | 0.00364 |
| O | -0.25157 | -0.44022 | -0.51334 |
| H | -0.63102 | 1.93240 | -0.18666 |
| H | 0.18745 | 3.50060 | -0.14930 |
| C | 0.44715 | 2.30101 | 1.63876 |
| C | -0.92539 | -0.78417 | 0.54892 |
| C | 3.77296 | -1.51161 | 0.20538 |
| C | 5.00458 | -2.29664 | 0.53136 |
| O | -0.44748 | -1.06652 | 1.64832 |
| C | -2.44801 | -0.79811 | 0.32918 |
| H | -2.90147 | -0.57071 | 1.29493 |
| C | -2.98518 | -2.18348 | -0.14867 |
| N | -2.83223 | 0.28568 | -0.56144 |
| C | -3.53297 | 1.37211 | -0.13871 |
| O | -4.00282 | 1.46630 | 0.99874 |
| C | -3.67910 | 2.48362 | -1.15989 |
| H | -4.70176 | 2.86464 | -1.12863 |
| H | -3.43946 | 2.16675 | -2.17739 |
| H | -3.00960 | 3.30436 | -0.88242 |
| C | -4.51956 | -2.10222 | -0.21607 |
| C | -2.58093 | -3.26329 | 0.86872 |
| C | -2.42643 | -2.54693 | -1.53454 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | -2.72809 | -1.81721 | -2.29319 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -2.81148 | -3.52256 | -1.84774 |
| H | -1.33416 | -2.59631 | -1.52601 |
| H | -4.94325 | -1.85414 | 0.76290 |
| H | -4.93482 | -3.06461 | -0.53203 |
| H | -4.84423 | -1.34014 | -0.92980 |
| H | -2.94345 | -3.01541 | 1.87182 |
| H | -3.01452 | -4.22605 | 0.57985 |
| H | -1.49591 | -3.38187 | 0.92527 |
| H | 4.89857 | -3.32627 | 0.18586 |
| H | 5.13775 | -2.30922 | 1.61848 |
| H | 5.88216 | -1.82927 | 0.08184 |
| H | 3.42838 | 2.17672 | -1.11892 |
| H | 2.97069 | 2.50574 | 0.56131 |
| H | 2.51342 | 3.65941 | -0.72267 |
| O | 2.62506 | -2.06713 | 0.14738 |
| H | -2.37644 | 0.32227 | -1.46113 |
| H | 0.61350 | 1.24773 | 1.88552 |
| C | -0.80497 | 2.80663 | 2.36355 |
| H | 1.32188 | 2.86247 | 1.98256 |
| H | -1.69466 | 2.24199 | 2.06604 |
| H | -0.99165 | 3.86347 | 2.14280 |
| H | -0.68937 | 2.70792 | 3.44663 |
| H | 1.82400 | 1.53431 | -2.73221 |
| H | 0.80522 | 2.96432 | -2.41224 |
| H | 0.11425 | 1.32026 | -2.27742 |

## 329 - Bidentate ligand-amine complex

Geometry optimisation
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1202.30685337
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.386185$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1203.80917498


| Pd | 0.43563 | -0.21868 | -0.09507 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.55929 | -1.95054 | 0.22330 |
| N | -1.28354 | 0.57028 | 0.85464 |
| O | 1.40339 | 1.56883 | -0.42209 |
| N | 2.05692 | -1.13170 | -1.10339 |
| C | -1.82540 | -1.81839 | 0.53915 |
| O | -2.54892 | -2.77990 | 0.77991 |
| C | -2.39223 | -0.38632 | 0.57515 |
| C | -3.18652 | 0.00765 | -0.70777 |
| C | -2.31512 | -0.05556 | -1.97462 |
| C | -3.74339 | 1.43496 | -0.53325 |
| C | -4.37569 | -0.95841 | -0.85933 |
| H | -4.04453 | -1.98713 | -1.00862 |
| H | -4.97882 | -0.65648 | -1.72100 |
| H | -5.01711 | -0.93683 | 0.02781 |
| H | -1.49490 | 0.66690 | -1.93820 |
| H | -2.92651 | 0.18073 | -2.85145 |
| H | -1.89014 | -1.05336 | -2.11949 |
| H | -2.95769 | 2.19470 | -0.52698 |
| H | -4.31972 | 1.52119 | 0.39399 |
| H | -4.41146 | 1.66958 | -1.36767 |
| C | -0.94287 | 0.72890 | 2.23561 |
| H | -3.08388 | -0.34817 | 1.41969 |
| C | -0.11965 | 1.94907 | 2.56071 |
| O | -1.30299 | -0.09507 | 3.05421 |
| H | -1.29283 | 1.47094 | 0.33786 |
| C | 0.73388 | 2.65118 | -0.67157 |


| O | -0.50142 | 2.77942 | -0.57452 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.60133 | 3.81349 | -1.11588 |
| H | 2.01480 | 3.59571 | -2.10580 |
| H | 2.44282 | 3.93697 | -0.42954 |
| H | 1.01582 | 4.73179 | -1.16177 |
| C | 1.91154 | -2.60718 | -1.11997 |
| C | 3.33479 | -0.71937 | -0.44629 |
| C | 2.03948 | -0.62203 | -2.49924 |
| H | 1.10051 | -0.91061 | -2.97310 |
| H | 2.87771 | -1.04833 | -3.06325 |
| H | 2.12069 | 0.46369 | -2.47922 |
| H | -0.55157 | 2.84318 | 2.10466 |
| H | 0.88931 | 1.83287 | 2.15494 |
| H | -0.06710 | 2.05979 | 3.64304 |
| H | 4.16200 | -1.17095 | -1.01138 |
| C | 3.43427 | -1.09195 | 1.03023 |
| H | 3.39624 | 0.36419 | -0.55556 |
| H | 1.84261 | -2.98707 | -0.10372 |
| H | 2.77492 | -3.05222 | -1.62838 |
| H | 0.99537 | -2.86771 | -1.64706 |
| C | 4.68195 | -0.46967 | 1.66314 |
| H | 3.46462 | -2.17926 | 1.15333 |
| H | 2.53672 | -0.73564 | 1.55033 |
| H | 5.59392 | -0.80967 | 1.16063 |
| H | 4.75997 | -0.74295 | 2.71906 |
| H | 4.65114 | 0.62330 | 1.59841 |

## $A_{3}$ - Deprotonated ligand complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1202.28951164
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.385896$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1203.79102065


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pd | 0.49324 | -0.24681 | -0.05523 |
| O | -0.41903 | -1.96575 | 0.43446 |
| N | -1.24840 | 0.55058 | 0.70242 |
| O | 1.37406 | 1.57586 | -0.66116 |
| N | 2.22144 | -1.22081 | -0.86535 |
| C | -1.70507 | -1.85951 | 0.68847 |
| O | -2.38083 | -2.82942 | 1.02199 |
| C | -2.32031 | -0.45395 | 0.54875 |
| C | -3.14379 | -0.27657 | -0.76939 |
| C | -2.26920 | -0.43865 | -2.02375 |
| C | -3.78732 | 1.12165 | -0.75753 |
| C | -4.27405 | -1.32130 | -0.80909 |
| H | -3.88402 | -2.33909 | -0.85826 |
| H | -4.90337 | -1.14587 | -1.68765 |
| H | -4.90885 | -1.24924 | 0.08053 |
| H | -1.49941 | 0.33434 | -2.08871 |
| H | -2.89102 | -0.36121 | -2.92180 |
| H | -1.77196 | -1.41355 | -2.04158 |
| H | -3.04548 | 1.92250 | -0.74452 |
| H | -4.43635 | 1.24558 | 0.11626 |
| H | -4.40355 | 1.25602 | -1.65243 |
| C | -1.09063 | 1.22888 | 1.89547 |
| H | -3.04263 | -0.36398 | 1.36167 |
| O | -0.05323 | 1.85740 | 2.13144 |
| C | -2.24003 | 1.26750 | 2.89099 |
| C | 0.68468 | 2.58030 | -0.93439 |
| O | -0.60955 | 2.63319 | -0.79195 |
|  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.32849 | 3.82783 | -1.45569 |
| H | 2.36395 | 3.63273 | -1.72958 |
| H | 1.29384 | 4.59342 | -0.67407 |
| H | 0.76712 | 4.20378 | -2.31394 |
| C | 2.16131 | -2.68487 | -0.64516 |
| C | 3.45490 | -0.63655 | -0.25945 |
| C | 2.22330 | -0.95106 | -2.32531 |
| H | 1.31759 | -1.36855 | -2.76718 |
| H | 3.10143 | -1.41199 | -2.79487 |
| H | 2.24505 | 0.12566 | -2.49152 |
| H | 4.32397 | -1.13128 | -0.71658 |
| C | 3.52796 | -0.74414 | 1.26164 |
| H | 3.47562 | 0.41496 | -0.55161 |
| H | 2.08364 | -2.89971 | 0.41807 |
| H | 3.06152 | -3.15781 | -1.05641 |
| H | 1.27519 | -3.08133 | -1.13800 |
| C | 4.75175 | 0.00281 | 1.79945 |
| H | 3.57576 | -1.79327 | 1.57054 |
| H | 2.61468 | -0.32321 | 1.69901 |
| H | 5.67949 | -0.40246 | 1.38118 |
| H | 4.81098 | -0.08028 | 2.88821 |
| H | 4.70613 | 1.06715 | 1.54464 |
| H | -3.18402 | 1.54732 | 2.41580 |
| H | -1.99167 | 1.99659 | 3.66181 |
| H | -2.38124 | 0.28992 | 3.36337 |
| H | 0.9553 | 1.79347 | 0.29933 |

## 330 - Amine-ligand complex with vacant site

Geometry optimisation
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-973.143454999$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.326354$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -974.571293387


| O | -1.42552 | -2.56239 | 1.35221 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -1.08991 | -1.55632 | 0.73667 |
| C | -2.02528 | -0.32119 | 0.64781 |
| H | -2.42957 | -0.14378 | 1.65222 |
| C | -3.23233 | -0.60628 | -0.31619 |
| C | -4.13418 | -1.69867 | 0.28058 |
| C | -4.05791 | 0.68118 | -0.47133 |
| C | -2.71488 | -1.04335 | -1.69654 |
| N | -1.22815 | 0.80304 | 0.19337 |
| Pd | 0.59795 | 0.39692 | -0.40126 |
| O | 0.05860 | -1.49880 | 0.08691 |
| N | 2.58778 | -0.12553 | -0.98760 |
| C | 3.34275 | 1.08963 | -1.36566 |
| C | 3.24883 | -0.86567 | 0.12641 |
| C | 2.46820 | -1.02525 | -2.15973 |
| C | -1.13100 | 2.06433 | 0.59535 |
| C | -2.14891 | 2.74818 | 1.46668 |
| O | -0.10193 | 2.69102 | 0.17519 |
| H | -4.99392 | -1.86550 | -0.37681 |
| H | -4.51610 | -1.39714 | 1.26250 |
| H | -3.59848 | -2.64071 | 0.40344 |
| H | -4.91797 | 0.49288 | -1.12190 |
| H | -3.46679 | 1.48386 | -0.91952 |


| H | -4.43989 | 1.02875 | 0.49420 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -3.55356 | -1.17986 | -2.38668 |
| H | -2.16856 | -1.98998 | -1.64060 |
| H | -2.04417 | -0.28825 | -2.11745 |
| C | 3.39792 | -0.06588 | 1.41833 |
| H | -2.72638 | 2.03882 | 2.06255 |
| H | -2.84676 | 3.31473 | 0.84224 |
| H | -1.63606 | 3.45105 | 2.12628 |
| H | 3.35696 | 1.79284 | -0.53345 |
| H | 2.84915 | 1.56153 | -2.21708 |
| H | 4.37378 | 0.83431 | -1.64245 |
| H | 1.96199 | -0.49676 | -2.96911 |
| H | 1.87972 | -1.89861 | -1.87679 |
| H | 3.46041 | -1.34640 | -2.50191 |
| H | 4.10793 | 0.75613 | 1.28035 |
| C | 3.87484 | -0.96541 | 2.56203 |
| H | 2.43248 | 0.38504 | 1.67853 |
| H | 4.83697 | -1.43210 | 2.32438 |
| H | 3.15368 | -1.76592 | 2.75848 |
| H | 4.00042 | -0.39070 | 3.48389 |
| H | 4.23438 | -1.20889 | -0.22115 |
| H | 2.62936 | -1.74665 | 0.31233 |

## $A_{4}$ - Agostic complex with ligand

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -973.144763814
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.332255$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -974.574235357


| O | 2.18579 | -2.16067 | -1.73158 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.50911 | -1.32963 | -1.13586 |
| C | 2.12583 | -0.14404 | -0.37444 |
| H | 2.97121 | 0.21140 | -0.97045 |
| C | 2.70118 | -0.59781 | 1.01580 |
| C | 3.86293 | -1.58079 | 0.79277 |
| C | 3.23237 | 0.63938 | 1.75637 |
| C | 1.61852 | -1.27092 | 1.87551 |
| N | 1.10002 | 0.88970 | -0.25582 |
| Pd | -0.73767 | 0.10121 | -0.15509 |
| O | 0.19205 | -1.42497 | -1.09128 |
| N | -2.64367 | -0.87892 | -0.09443 |
| C | -3.44560 | -0.33493 | 1.04346 |
| C | -3.48669 | 1.18845 | 1.10257 |
| C | -2.10772 | 1.82233 | 1.28929 |
| C | -3.32009 | -0.64123 | -1.39223 |
| C | -2.44777 | -2.33623 | 0.09692 |
| C | 1.20459 | 2.14255 | -0.74104 |
| C | 2.57151 | 2.65951 | -1.17335 |
| O | 0.20916 | 2.89132 | -0.83171 |
| H | 4.30705 | -1.85438 | 1.75558 |
| H | 4.64792 | -1.12748 | 0.17725 |
| H | 3.52983 | -2.49211 | 0.29285 |


| H | 3.60349 | 0.35216 | 2.74565 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 2.44672 | 1.38779 | 1.88908 |
| H | 4.06363 | 1.10068 | 1.21266 |
| H | 2.04290 | -1.57609 | 2.83761 |
| H | 1.21155 | -2.16305 | 1.38936 |
| H | 0.78811 | -0.58627 | 2.07537 |
| H | -2.98728 | -0.71868 | 1.95931 |
| H | -4.46152 | -0.74681 | 0.97872 |
| H | -2.71078 | -1.06805 | -2.18958 |
| H | -3.43090 | 0.42872 | -1.56714 |
| H | -4.31050 | -1.11377 | -1.39746 |
| H | -1.94165 | -2.50645 | 1.04798 |
| H | -1.82309 | -2.71822 | -0.70911 |
| H | -3.41619 | -2.85119 | 0.10017 |
| H | 2.88515 | 2.17898 | -2.10655 |
| H | 3.34511 | 2.47073 | -0.42677 |
| H | 2.48871 | 3.73274 | -1.34563 |
| H | -1.55192 | 1.36499 | 2.11269 |
| H | -2.17708 | 2.89351 | 1.50304 |
| H | -1.48894 | 1.86635 | 0.35095 |
| H | -4.11988 | 1.45525 | 1.95535 |
| H | -3.97847 | 1.60264 | 0.21696 |

## TS ${ }_{18}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-973.128193134
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.328440$
Imaginary frequency = -1330.6650

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-974.557695057$


| O | 2.07875 | -2.32945 | -1.65787 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.41166 | -1.47435 | -1.07711 |
| C | 2.08545 | -0.20982 | -0.49619 |
| H | 2.85191 | 0.10291 | -1.21170 |
| C | 2.81264 | -0.52089 | 0.86143 |
| C | 3.99088 | -1.47352 | 0.59817 |
| C | 3.35935 | 0.79074 | 1.44796 |
| C | 1.84855 | -1.16079 | 1.87422 |
| N | 1.05587 | 0.81271 | -0.35967 |
| Pd | -0.78105 | 0.05592 | -0.05833 |
| O | 0.12077 | -1.59075 | -0.88766 |
| N | -2.69008 | -0.87277 | 0.03333 |
| C | -3.59648 | -0.02858 | 0.86626 |
| C | -3.29809 | 1.44945 | 0.66324 |
| C | -1.83134 | 1.75321 | 0.98792 |
| C | -3.17850 | -0.95690 | -1.36507 |
| C | -2.57107 | -2.23754 | 0.59561 |
| C | 1.12047 | 2.08489 | -0.68997 |
| C | 2.38045 | 2.75803 | -1.17469 |
| O | 0.07514 | 2.82122 | -0.58371 |
| H | 4.52551 | -1.66800 | 1.53380 |
| H | 4.70123 | -1.03180 | -0.10959 |
| H | 3.65183 | -2.42428 | 0.18390 |


| H | 3.89367 | 0.58463 | 2.38098 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.55489 | 1.49680 | 1.67073 |
| H | 4.06270 | 1.27253 | 0.76118 |
| H | 2.37096 | -1.34878 | 2.81791 |
| H | 1.45576 | -2.11481 | 1.51003 |
| H | 0.99876 | -0.50339 | 2.08155 |
| H | -3.40669 | -0.29089 | 1.91072 |
| H | -4.63977 | -0.28035 | 0.63858 |
| H | -2.45843 | -1.52393 | -1.95564 |
| H | -3.27470 | 0.04508 | -1.78372 |
| H | -4.15459 | -1.45765 | -1.39508 |
| H | -2.19294 | -2.17193 | 1.61665 |
| H | -1.86615 | -2.80550 | -0.01114 |
| H | -3.54844 | -2.73602 | 0.59912 |
| H | 3.15260 | 2.05855 | -1.49206 |
| H | 2.78465 | 3.37565 | -0.36717 |
| H | 2.12432 | 3.41883 | -2.00506 |
| H | -1.53288 | 1.33266 | 1.95525 |
| H | -1.70377 | 2.83510 | 1.11786 |
| H | -0.88070 | 2.05295 | 0.04471 |
| H | -3.96453 | 2.02260 | 1.31975 |
| H | -3.53837 | 1.75552 | -0.36088 |

## $\mathrm{A}_{5}$ - Cyclopalladated amine

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-973.158971609$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.331680$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-974.587943691


| O | 2.18749 | -2.20560 | -1.78552 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.43426 | -1.37303 | -1.26378 |
| C | 2.07385 | -0.24895 | -0.39913 |
| H | 2.98426 | 0.05910 | -0.91787 |
| C | 2.50496 | -0.76170 | 1.02061 |
| C | 3.76172 | -1.63334 | 0.85070 |
| C | 2.84776 | 0.44133 | 1.91502 |
| C | 1.40300 | -1.59391 | 1.69818 |
| N | 1.12737 | 0.87190 | -0.33576 |
| Pd | -0.79653 | 0.14739 | -0.13872 |
| O | 0.14795 | -1.37151 | -1.36671 |
| N | -2.70983 | -0.75489 | -0.06221 |
| C | -2.56289 | -2.21157 | 0.16112 |
| C | -3.49132 | -0.11661 | 1.04442 |
| C | -3.36675 | -0.52538 | -1.37186 |
| C | 1.43449 | 2.08222 | -0.64535 |
| C | 2.78512 | 2.65061 | -0.95186 |
| O | 0.47330 | 3.01091 | -0.71895 |
| H | 4.07973 | -2.02394 | 1.82293 |
| H | 4.58988 | -1.04810 | 0.43522 |
| H | 3.56822 | -2.46990 | 0.17729 |
| H | 3.22211 | 0.08826 | 2.88130 |
| H | 1.97061 | 1.06720 | 2.10064 |


| H | 3.62712 | 1.06647 | 1.46657 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 1.74748 | -1.93111 | 2.68139 |
| H | 1.14901 | -2.47918 | 1.10781 |
| H | 0.48886 | -1.00958 | 1.84099 |
| C | -3.13701 | 1.35984 | 1.11213 |
| H | 3.58573 | 1.92286 | -0.85241 |
| H | 2.97405 | 3.48284 | -0.26803 |
| H | 2.78336 | 3.05242 | -1.96896 |
| H | -2.08334 | -2.37856 | 1.12637 |
| H | -1.93018 | -2.62407 | -0.62486 |
| H | -3.54458 | -2.70225 | 0.15036 |
| H | -2.74559 | -0.95086 | -2.16065 |
| H | -3.48209 | 0.54405 | -1.54598 |
| H | -4.35491 | -1.00398 | -1.38786 |
| H | -3.51361 | 1.88105 | 0.22456 |
| C | -1.61502 | 1.48129 | 1.20544 |
| H | -3.64111 | 1.81551 | 1.97611 |
| H | -3.18364 | -0.60572 | 1.97292 |
| H | -4.56213 | -0.30723 | 0.89721 |
| H | -1.25152 | 1.10261 | 2.17006 |
| H | -1.28381 | 2.52157 | 1.12802 |
| H | -0.37908 | 2.55640 | -0.55062 |
|  |  |  |  |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{19}$ - Ligand-assisted $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-H elimination on the methyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-973.112087402
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.325599$
Imaginary frequency = -1152.4688

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -974.545843759


| O | 1.70586 | -2.77269 | -1.28876 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.25192 | -1.75527 | -0.76621 |
| C | 2.13123 | -0.48814 | -0.61687 |
| H | 2.76289 | -0.41573 | -1.50897 |
| C | 3.08047 | -0.62832 | 0.63260 |
| C | 4.08386 | -1.76658 | 0.38065 |
| C | 3.86484 | 0.67746 | 0.83620 |
| C | 2.27313 | -0.92248 | 1.90820 |
| N | 1.22504 | 0.64402 | -0.51904 |
| Pd | -0.60722 | 0.21554 | 0.14722 |
| O | 0.04157 | -1.69426 | -0.26744 |
| N | -2.56458 | 0.58191 | 0.80397 |
| C | -2.87919 | 0.22567 | 2.20169 |
| C | -1.92897 | 1.87999 | 0.62036 |
| C | -3.64412 | 0.25097 | -0.16156 |
| C | 1.35225 | 1.89382 | -0.93217 |
| C | 2.57501 | 2.38102 | -1.67326 |
| O | 0.41654 | 2.74313 | -0.73108 |
| H | 4.77022 | -1.84908 | 1.22989 |
| H | 4.68141 | -1.57055 | -0.51667 |
| H | 3.57900 | -2.72412 | 0.24444 |
| H | 4.54168 | 0.56912 | 1.68985 |
| H | 3.19950 | 1.51917 | 1.04540 |


| H | 4.47246 | 0.92269 | -0.04011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 2.94658 | -0.97557 | 2.76985 |
| H | 1.73700 | -1.87290 | 1.83809 |
| H | 1.53803 | -0.13434 | 2.09848 |
| H | -0.70770 | 2.03652 | -0.00092 |
| H | 2.24267 | 2.95377 | -2.54180 |
| H | 3.22916 | 1.57361 | -2.00077 |
| H | 3.14714 | 3.05414 | -1.02902 |
| H | -2.03507 | 0.49080 | 2.83770 |
| H | -3.04705 | -0.84833 | 2.27035 |
| H | -3.77711 | 0.75810 | 2.53598 |
| H | -2.44458 | 2.49708 | -0.11621 |
| H | -1.77214 | 2.40819 | 1.55902 |
| H | -3.38213 | 0.73128 | -1.10748 |
| H | -4.58196 | 0.69605 | 0.19770 |
| C | -3.80250 | -1.25124 | -0.38122 |
| C | -4.83555 | -1.54103 | -1.47348 |
| H | -4.10867 | -1.74090 | 0.54920 |
| H | -2.82817 | -1.66946 | -0.65970 |
| H | -5.81788 | -1.13506 | -1.20948 |
| H | -4.94785 | -2.61815 | -1.62438 |
| H | -4.53446 | -1.09719 | -2.42852 |

## $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ - Imine complex I

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-973.153013549$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.328162$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-974.584174216


| Pd | 0.66902 | -0.10855 | -0.17982 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 2.54177 | -0.65950 | -0.96538 |
| O | -0.28076 | -1.24910 | 1.43525 |
| N | -1.15070 | 0.91631 | 0.11190 |
| C | -1.50817 | -0.93849 | 1.66965 |
| O | -2.19291 | -1.39316 | 2.59792 |
| C | -2.18472 | 0.05511 | 0.68788 |
| C | -1.26676 | 2.18822 | -0.03454 |
| O | -0.24934 | 2.88289 | -0.56359 |
| C | -2.43034 | 3.06799 | 0.30382 |
| H | -3.18098 | 2.55718 | 0.90212 |
| H | -2.89642 | 3.41763 | -0.62223 |
| H | -2.06662 | 3.94454 | 0.84494 |
| C | -3.01135 | -0.69604 | -0.41760 |
| H | -2.89405 | 0.64464 | 1.27478 |
| C | -2.16117 | -1.75025 | -1.14626 |
| H | -1.28305 | -1.29654 | -1.61708 |
| H | -2.75821 | -2.23169 | -1.92792 |
| H | -1.80937 | -2.52531 | -0.46000 |
| C | -4.21211 | -1.38552 | 0.25312 |
| H | -3.88620 | -2.10128 | 1.00926 |
| H | -4.80649 | -1.91135 | -0.50142 |
| H | -4.86202 | -0.65163 | 0.74267 |


| C | -3.53892 | 0.31950 | -1.44496 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -2.72457 | 0.81338 | -1.98235 |
| H | -4.15611 | 1.08781 | -0.96822 |
| H | -4.16247 | -0.19365 | -2.18411 |
| C | 3.74315 | -0.53837 | -0.09850 |
| C | 3.70983 | 0.61688 | 0.89618 |
| C | 2.54098 | -1.91775 | -1.73886 |
| C | 2.01400 | 0.49195 | -1.60026 |
| C | 4.93342 | 0.57563 | 1.81634 |
| H | 2.78781 | 0.55238 | 1.48613 |
| H | 3.68389 | 1.57649 | 0.36982 |
| H | 4.96616 | -0.35747 | 2.38859 |
| H | 4.90937 | 1.40581 | 2.52743 |
| H | 5.86376 | 0.65142 | 1.24345 |
| H | 3.81886 | -1.48425 | 0.44519 |
| H | 4.62165 | -0.45955 | -0.75246 |
| H | 3.43831 | -1.97354 | -2.36512 |
| H | 1.65173 | -1.95535 | -2.36687 |
| H | 2.52806 | -2.76088 | -1.04661 |
| H | 2.56875 | 1.41177 | -1.43383 |
| H | 1.69215 | 0.34264 | -2.62805 |
| H | 0.46085 | 2.23430 | -0.76456 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{20}$ - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the propyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-973.112699884
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.325991$
Imaginary frequency = -1185.6646

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-974.545300918$


| O | 2.84071 | -2.38247 | -0.98593 | H | 3.63498 | 2.11946 | 0.39451 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.92797 | -1.62695 | -0.65008 | H | 2.40653 | -0.17873 | 3.05350 |
| C | 2.19868 | -0.11339 | -0.45662 | H | 1.85559 | -1.49528 | 2.00437 |
| H | 2.92462 | 0.19206 | -1.21789 | H | 0.95760 | 0.02844 | 2.05293 |
| C | 2.84215 | 0.15666 | 0.95449 | H | -1.42384 | 1.07413 | -0.57144 |
| C | 4.23409 | -0.49504 | 1.01016 | H | 1.36812 | 3.02885 | -2.70128 |
| C | 3.00041 | 1.67083 | 1.16502 | H | 2.67607 | 2.16902 | -1.85159 |
| C | 1.96047 | -0.40945 | 2.08054 | H | 1.83017 | 3.51531 | -1.06823 |
| N | 0.92811 | 0.56703 | -0.63621 | H | -2.51695 | -1.17485 | 2.16106 |
| Pd | -0.69664 | -0.53324 | -0.24468 | H | -2.95478 | -2.63824 | 1.26395 |
| O | 0.71429 | -2.04484 | -0.39642 | H | -4.18518 | -1.38190 | 1.55670 |
| N | -2.73166 | -0.94376 | 0.06902 | H | -3.22928 | -2.60950 | -1.10957 |
| C | -3.12471 | -1.56430 | 1.34772 | H | -3.10650 | -1.07704 | -2.00018 |
| C | -2.59026 | 0.51279 | 0.00750 | H | -4.52965 | -1.39381 | -0.96534 |
| C | -3.44911 | -1.54170 | -1.07555 | C | -2.82976 | 1.30556 | 1.28643 |
| C | 0.64591 | 1.73977 | -1.17395 | C | -2.69873 | 2.81500 | 1.06535 |
| C | 1.70832 | 2.65556 | -1.73305 | H | -2.90351 | 3.34919 | 1.99688 |
| O | -0.56834 | 2.14123 | -1.24638 | H | -3.41211 | 3.16501 | 0.31173 |
| H | 4.70354 | -0.28398 | 1.97671 | H | -1.69562 | 3.07760 | 0.72282 |
| H | 4.88578 | -0.09484 | 0.22540 | H | -2.12987 | 0.98813 | 2.06441 |
| H | 4.17612 | -1.57658 | 0.87798 | H | -3.84011 | 1.07657 | 1.64787 |
| H | 3.47121 | 1.86020 | 2.13514 | H | -3.17219 | 0.90262 | -0.83247 |
| H | 2.03344 | 2.18079 | 1.15950 |  |  |  |  |

## $A_{7}$ - Imine complex II

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-973.157025370$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.330053$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -974.586995895


| Pd | -0.83523 | -0.38531 | -0.39154 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| N | -2.86326 | -0.72768 | 0.04624 |
| O | 0.57242 | -2.02373 | -0.87011 |
| N | 1.00391 | 0.61096 | -0.67492 |
| C | 1.81459 | -1.69536 | -0.80995 |
| O | 2.76713 | -2.44761 | -1.06758 |
| C | 2.14389 | -0.24719 | -0.35154 |
| C | 1.10322 | 1.76073 | -1.23968 |
| O | -0.01337 | 2.44536 | -1.53377 |
| C | 2.33813 | 2.51133 | -1.63137 |
| H | 3.23704 | 1.90341 | -1.56332 |
| H | 2.44981 | 3.37919 | -0.97432 |
| H | 2.22292 | 2.88034 | -2.65316 |
| C | 2.51842 | -0.18395 | 1.17269 |
| H | 3.03004 | 0.06331 | -0.91144 |
| C | 1.45028 | -0.85264 | 2.05382 |
| H | 0.47601 | -0.36765 | 1.93537 |
| H | 1.74057 | -0.77980 | 3.10726 |
| H | 1.33086 | -1.91102 | 1.80625 |
| C | 3.86452 | -0.90130 | 1.37402 |
| H | 3.80766 | -1.94286 | 1.05435 |
| H | 4.14920 | -0.86734 | 2.43087 |
| H | 4.65775 | -0.41481 | 0.79537 |


| C | 2.67274 | 1.28462 | 1.60247 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 1.72889 | 1.83099 | 1.52202 |
| H | 3.42491 | 1.80212 | 0.99827 |
| H | 2.99703 | 1.33206 | 2.64704 |
| C | -3.71902 | -1.08606 | -1.10215 |
| H | -3.54625 | -2.13110 | -1.36349 |
| H | -3.46374 | -0.45657 | -1.95391 |
| H | -4.77406 | -0.94556 | -0.84042 |
| C | -3.16165 | -1.54391 | 1.23754 |
| H | -2.43917 | -1.34263 | 2.02479 |
| H | -3.09403 | -2.59593 | 0.95801 |
| H | -4.17367 | -1.32868 | 1.59923 |
| C | -2.50665 | 0.64446 | 0.18347 |
| H | -0.76822 | 1.88428 | -1.25114 |
| C | -2.49631 | 1.32181 | 1.53635 |
| H | -1.98274 | 0.70674 | 2.28014 |
| H | -3.53249 | 1.44352 | 1.88589 |
| C | -1.81640 | 2.69182 | 1.46112 |
| H | -0.76226 | 2.58750 | 1.18863 |
| H | -1.87070 | 3.20321 | 2.42581 |
| H | -2.29526 | 3.33309 | 0.71326 |
| H | -2.95786 | 1.25724 | -0.59907 |

## 329a - Bidentate ligand-amine complex with Ac-Ala-OH

Geometry optimisation
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1084.33978871$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.306336$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1085.81744372$


| Pd | 0.01613 | -0.18591 | -0.37552 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 1.12457 | -1.88111 | -0.24895 |
| N | 1.79827 | 0.66149 | 0.39331 |
| O | -1.06536 | 1.55669 | -0.48739 |
| N | -1.72888 | -1.16065 | -1.07174 |
| C | 2.17268 | -1.78733 | 0.53218 |
| O | 2.91339 | -2.73223 | 0.78332 |
| C | 2.41583 | -0.42316 | 1.20038 |
| C | 1.83463 | -0.41445 | 2.61548 |
| C | 2.56438 | 1.12641 | -0.71976 |
| H | 3.49493 | -0.26096 | 1.23704 |
| C | 2.12833 | 2.45191 | -1.29032 |
| O | 3.48714 | 0.46024 | -1.14835 |
| H | 1.33418 | 1.42677 | 0.92638 |
| C | -0.89745 | 2.49944 | 0.38890 |
| O | 0.01053 | 2.54855 | 1.23942 |
| C | -1.94547 | 3.59337 | 0.30935 |
| H | -2.89819 | 3.20109 | 0.68019 |
| H | -2.09762 | 3.89717 | -0.72906 |
| H | -1.64966 | 4.45040 | 0.91468 |
| C | -1.97252 | -0.66346 | -2.45079 |
| C | -2.88775 | -0.78139 | -0.20581 |


| C | -1.54255 | -2.63127 | -1.10264 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.00455 | 3.19393 | -0.49755 |
| H | 1.15888 | 2.34273 | -1.78473 |
| H | 2.87101 | 2.78681 | -2.01340 |
| H | -3.02274 | 0.29321 | -0.33280 |
| C | -2.70382 | -1.09964 | 1.27493 |
| H | -3.77853 | -1.29018 | -0.59935 |
| C | -3.84929 | -0.50811 | 2.10105 |
| H | -2.65340 | -2.18135 | 1.43552 |
| H | -1.74967 | -0.68015 | 1.61486 |
| H | -4.81819 | -0.90519 | 1.77972 |
| H | -3.88289 | 0.58197 | 1.99851 |
| H | -3.72598 | -0.74296 | 3.16189 |
| H | 2.03936 | 0.53795 | 3.11191 |
| H | 2.29770 | -1.21575 | 3.19496 |
| H | 0.75299 | -0.57671 | 2.60003 |
| H | -1.13162 | -0.94445 | -3.08592 |
| H | -2.06583 | 0.42152 | -2.42186 |
| H | -2.89318 | -1.10521 | -2.85091 |
| H | -0.72231 | -2.87224 | -1.77656 |
| H | -2.46552 | -3.10800 | -1.45303 |
| H | -1.29018 | -2.99553 | -0.10972 |

## 330a - Amine-ligand complex with vacant site and Ac-Ala-OH

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-855.177860678$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.248373$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-856.579692254$


| O | -1.79063 | 3.02521 | -0.98519 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -1.44753 | 1.89626 | -0.65230 |
| C | -2.49875 | 0.87879 | -0.13982 |
| H | -3.08115 | 0.58490 | -1.02724 |
| C | -3.43528 | 1.53898 | 0.87364 |
| N | -1.79035 | -0.26595 | 0.41194 |
| Pd | 0.09775 | -0.41257 | -0.11494 |
| O | -0.19325 | 1.49818 | -0.73627 |
| N | 2.14577 | -0.50355 | -0.75065 |
| C | 2.68923 | -1.86198 | -0.52679 |
| C | 2.17216 | -0.18776 | -2.19910 |
| C | 2.92644 | 0.53231 | -0.01431 |
| C | -2.17146 | -1.54219 | 0.48752 |
| C | -3.61693 | -1.95980 | 0.48246 |
| O | -1.22926 | -2.38936 | 0.58176 |
| H | 1.72393 | 0.79395 | -2.35424 |
| H | 1.59178 | -0.93672 | -2.74022 |
| H | 3.20353 | -0.18777 | -2.57459 |


| C | 2.93127 | 0.36108 | 1.50278 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.47441 | 1.49367 | -0.27280 |
| H | 3.95721 | 0.52999 | -0.39856 |
| H | -4.09170 | -1.67798 | 1.42741 |
| H | -3.68535 | -3.04117 | 0.35948 |
| H | -4.16493 | -1.46325 | -0.32430 |
| H | 2.12587 | -2.57209 | -1.13451 |
| H | 2.58480 | -2.13698 | 0.52254 |
| H | 3.74884 | -1.90609 | -0.80979 |
| H | 1.89924 | 0.23927 | 1.85427 |
| C | 3.57339 | 1.57062 | 2.18759 |
| H | 3.47427 | -0.54731 | 1.78331 |
| H | 3.01715 | 2.48813 | 1.96785 |
| H | 4.60543 | 1.71540 | 1.85018 |
| H | 3.59164 | 1.43925 | 3.27311 |
| H | -4.24870 | 0.86499 | 1.15255 |
| H | -3.85909 | 2.44461 | 0.43368 |
| H | -2.88193 | 1.80995 | 1.77767 |

## TS ${ }_{18 \mathrm{a}}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation with Ac-Ala-OH

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-855.161047727$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.247925$
Imaginary frequency $=-1336.3442$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-856.564871645$


| O | 2.32577 | -2.96155 | -0.48002 | H | -4.29252 | 0.25438 | 0.04877 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.71368 | -1.91194 | -0.29302 | H | 3.57680 | 1.77974 | -1.67001 |
| C | 2.49432 | -0.63038 | 0.07146 | H | 4.03691 | 1.68198 | 0.03442 |
| H | 3.28437 | -0.51841 | -0.67963 | H | 3.40967 | 3.20979 | -0.63460 |
| C | 3.13505 | -0.80908 | 1.45537 | H | -1.27650 | 1.62281 | 1.77545 |
| N | 1.58549 | 0.51005 | 0.03368 | H | -1.08082 | 3.02344 | 0.78309 |
| Pd | -0.36132 | 0.02567 | 0.08258 | H | -0.17688 | 2.03674 | -0.01084 |
| O | 0.40942 | -1.82308 | -0.35156 | H | -3.42354 | 2.52734 | 0.60098 |
| N | -2.37251 | -0.64645 | -0.08955 | H | -2.74179 | 1.98969 | -0.92324 |
| C | -3.28305 | 0.40488 | 0.45119 | H | 3.77098 | -1.69732 | 1.45651 |
| C | -2.73981 | 1.79451 | 0.15469 | H | 2.35738 | -0.92918 | 2.21625 |
| C | -1.32904 | 1.95593 | 0.73212 | H | 3.74155 | 0.06148 | 1.71896 |
| C | -2.60697 | -0.85656 | -1.53937 | H | -2.36577 | -1.77366 | 1.69148 |
| C | -2.55661 | -1.92853 | 0.62871 | H | -1.84265 | -2.65337 | 0.23836 |
| C | 1.89928 | 1.73187 | -0.34058 | H | -3.58005 | -2.29956 | 0.49179 |
| C | 3.32211 | 2.12396 | -0.66166 | H | -3.62439 | -1.23223 | -1.70705 |
| O | 0.98389 | 2.61934 | -0.46087 | H | -1.88336 | -1.58224 | -1.91154 |
| H | -3.32771 | 0.26275 | 1.53446 | H | -2.47820 | 0.08421 | -2.07497 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{19 \mathrm{a}}$ - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the methyl substituent with Ac-Ala-OH

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-855.147365032
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.244748$
Imaginary frequency = -1118.8913

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-856.555901610


| 0 | -2.04451 | 3.14665 | -0.91344 | H | -3.98866 | -1.78860 | 1.57450 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -1.59545 | 2.04009 | -0.61913 | C | 3.48070 | 1.06328 | 0.39924 |
| C | -2.55506 | 0.96294 | -0.05667 | H | 1.29950 | -2.85848 | -0.60908 |
| H | -3.37417 | 0.86177 | -0.78102 | H | 1.52121 | -2.34441 | 1.10965 |
| C | -3.12119 | 1.46071 | 1.28147 | C | 4.34264 | 1.60642 | 1.54238 |
| N | -1.82170 | -0.28640 | 0.06841 | H | 3.95235 | -1.03772 | 0.68877 |
| Pd | 0.14648 | -0.20242 | -0.23449 | H | 2.57175 | -0.45010 | 1.63316 |
| 0 | -0.32774 | 1.73344 | -0.72365 | H | 2.57662 | 1.67220 | 0.28562 |
| N | 2.11976 | -0.91255 | -0.34752 | H | 4.03713 | 1.13491 | -0.54097 |
| C | 3.07073 | -0.38275 | 0.66345 | H | 3.79621 | 1.58845 | 2.49141 |
| C | 1.29019 | -2.02591 | 0.09418 | H | 4.64014 | 2.63998 | 1.34539 |
| C | 2.70203 | -1.06318 | -1.69621 | H | 5.25458 | 1.01321 | 1.66864 |
| C | -2.28110 | -1.50110 | 0.31828 | H | -3.86487 | 0.76730 | 1.68024 |
| C | -3.75842 | -1.75857 | 0.50480 | H | -3.59109 | 2.43620 | 1.13757 |
| 0 | -1.48440 | -2.49485 | 0.41549 | H | -2.31457 | 1.56140 | 2.01460 |
| H | -0.07977 | -1.96833 | 0.19957 | H | 3.57218 | -1.72908 | -1.66178 |
| H | -4.00355 | -2.73391 | 0.08149 | H | 3.00593 | -0.08625 | -2.06944 |
| H | -4.38067 | -0.99215 | 0.04022 | H | 1.94811 | -1.47573 | -2.36619 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{20 \mathrm{a}}$ - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the propyl substituent with Ac-Ala-OH

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -855.147240531
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.245436$
Imaginary frequency = -1202.6684

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-856.554328796$


| O | 3.47984 | -2.21975 | 0.14009 | H | 2.29926 | 3.55220 | 0.15907 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.48873 | -1.49394 | 0.06299 | C | -2.75931 | 1.14566 | 1.02107 |
| C | 2.68761 | 0.04212 | 0.03880 | C | -2.79549 | 2.66414 | 0.82797 |
| H | 3.37786 | 0.25408 | -0.78832 | H | -3.25080 | 3.14020 | 1.70054 |
| C | 3.33325 | 0.48260 | 1.36035 | H | -3.39132 | 2.93244 | -0.05098 |
| N | 1.39816 | 0.67084 | -0.19360 | H | -1.79097 | 3.06866 | 0.69062 |
| Pd | -0.21003 | -0.51358 | -0.11996 | H | -2.18351 | 0.90139 | 1.91830 |
| O | 1.26563 | -1.95201 | 0.03040 | H | -3.78015 | 0.77872 | 1.18434 |
| N | -2.23027 | -1.04932 | -0.17596 | H | -2.59906 | 0.78589 | -1.12902 |
| C | -2.79568 | -1.73335 | 1.00211 | H | 4.25891 | -0.07533 | 1.51833 |
| C | -2.18483 | 0.41453 | -0.18822 | H | 2.65281 | 0.28248 | 2.19423 |
| C | -2.68408 | -1.66499 | -1.44037 | H | 3.56171 | 1.55099 | 1.35095 |
| C | 1.13337 | 1.91178 | -0.55712 | H | -2.21346 | -1.15514 | -2.28104 |
| C | 2.22884 | 2.93459 | -0.74207 | H | -3.77435 | -1.59284 | -1.52683 |
| O | -0.07447 | 2.28788 | -0.75064 | H | -2.38667 | -2.71433 | -1.44700 |
| H | -0.95734 | 1.09659 | -0.43043 | H | -3.88766 | -1.64032 | 1.01730 |
| H | 1.96915 | 3.58821 | -1.57638 | H | -2.37798 | -1.31973 | 1.91718 |
| H | 3.20379 | 2.48002 | -0.92407 | H | -2.52654 | -2.78809 | 0.93651 |

## III.II. Nuclear coordinates for complexes containing 1-propylpiperidine

210-1-propylpiperidine

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-369.908534444$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.205055$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-369.995744353$


| N | 0.03783 | -0.21075 | -0.17504 | H | 4.02567 | 0.02036 | 1.09171 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| C | -0.45958 | 1.06008 | 0.36210 | H | 4.62966 | 0.63623 | -0.45199 |
| C | -1.85154 | 1.38680 | -0.18197 | H | 4.08934 | -1.04341 | -0.31922 |
| H | 0.23708 | 1.85720 | 0.09054 | C | -2.27126 | -1.07899 | -0.36542 |
| H | -0.49648 | 1.02826 | 1.47094 | H | -0.92275 | -1.42657 | 1.29072 |
| C | 1.40555 | -0.49561 | 0.26216 | H | -0.44915 | -2.23946 | -0.20977 |
| C | -0.86474 | -1.30763 | 0.18899 | C | -2.83829 | 0.25659 | 0.12613 |
| C | 2.46232 | 0.40726 | -0.37747 | H | -1.78056 | 1.52727 | -1.26778 |
| H | 1.62760 | -1.53352 | -0.01438 | H | -2.19584 | 2.33438 | 0.24729 |
| H | 1.49513 | -0.44301 | 1.36629 | H | -3.81442 | 0.45664 | -0.32957 |
| C | 3.88173 | -0.01787 | 0.00613 | H | -2.99785 | 0.20301 | 1.21191 |
| H | 2.30727 | 1.44970 | -0.07767 | H | -2.91940 | -1.91164 | -0.06989 |
| H | 2.33661 | 0.37228 | -1.46621 | H | -2.22181 | -1.07624 | -1.46148 |

## 335 - Bisamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1323.67811778$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.523247$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1325.19342190$


| Pd | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.40696 | -1.34129 | -1.51545 |
| N | 2.16203 | -0.39077 | -0.12172 |
| O | 0.40695 | 1.34130 | 1.51545 |
| N | -2.16204 | 0.39077 | 0.12172 |
| C | 2.55584 | -0.92620 | -1.46289 |
| C | 2.29442 | 0.06338 | -2.59095 |
| H | 2.02468 | -1.86137 | -1.61736 |
| H | 3.63069 | -1.15679 | -1.41513 |
| C | 2.50256 | -1.43969 | 0.89118 |
| C | 2.95125 | 0.85394 | 0.12690 |
| C | -2.95125 | -0.85394 | -0.12690 |
| C | -2.71866 | -1.91033 | 0.95137 |
| H | -2.67731 | -1.23555 | -1.10643 |
| H | -4.01264 | -0.56449 | -0.14997 |
| C | -2.50257 | 1.43969 | -0.89117 |
| C | -2.55585 | 0.92619 | 1.46289 |
| C | 0.28915 | 2.62567 | 1.35537 |
| O | -0.11707 | 3.19987 | 0.33710 |
| C | 0.72455 | 3.41117 | 2.58552 |
| H | 0.49512 | 4.47047 | 2.46436 |
| H | 1.80277 | 3.28966 | 2.73284 |
| H | 0.22818 | 3.02071 | 3.47807 |
| C | -0.28915 | -2.62567 | -1.35538 |
| C | -0.72455 | -3.41116 | -2.58554 |
| H | -1.80277 | -3.28962 | -2.73287 |
| H | -0.49514 | -4.47046 | -2.46438 |
| H | -0.22816 | -3.02070 | -3.47808 |
| O | 0.11707 | -3.19988 | -0.33712 |
| C | -2.07685 | 1.15265 | -2.32746 |
| H | -2.00894 | 2.35152 | -0.55337 |
| H | -3.59145 | 1.59375 | -0.85192 |
| C | -2.48859 | 2.31024 | -3.24174 |
| H | -2.51627 | 0.21908 | -2.69039 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | -0.99205 | 1.02060 | -2.36238 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -3.57492 | 2.45312 | -3.24099 |
| H | -2.17668 | 2.12303 | -4.27344 |
| H | -2.02905 | 3.25065 | -2.91775 |
| C | -2.29442 | -0.06338 | 2.59095 |
| H | -3.63069 | 1.15678 | 1.41514 |
| H | -2.02469 | 1.86136 | 1.61738 |
| C | 2.07687 | -1.15264 | 2.32748 |
| C | 2.71866 | 1.91032 | -0.95138 |
| H | 4.01264 | 0.56449 | 0.14997 |
| H | 2.67731 | 1.23556 | 1.10643 |
| C | 2.48858 | -2.31024 | 3.24175 |
| H | 0.99208 | -1.02053 | 2.36241 |
| H | 2.51634 | -0.21909 | 2.69040 |
| H | 2.02900 | -3.25062 | 2.91777 |
| H | 2.17671 | -2.12302 | 4.27346 |
| H | 3.57491 | -2.45317 | 3.24098 |
| H | 2.00891 | -2.35151 | 0.55340 |
| H | 3.59145 | -1.59377 | 0.85191 |
| C | 3.04637 | 1.37476 | -2.34770 |
| H | 1.67625 | 2.23896 | -0.91034 |
| H | 3.33859 | 2.78149 | -0.71156 |
| H | 4.12660 | 1.19358 | -2.42870 |
| H | 2.78836 | 2.11537 | -3.11215 |
| H | 1.21742 | 0.24341 | -2.66218 |
| H | 2.60771 | -0.39992 | -3.53305 |
| C | -3.04636 | -1.37478 | 2.34770 |
| H | -1.67623 | -2.23896 | 0.91033 |
| H | -3.33859 | -2.78150 | 0.71155 |
| H | -2.78836 | -2.11538 | 3.11214 |
| H | -4.12660 | -1.19360 | 2.42869 |
| H | -2.60771 | 0.39991 | 3.53305 |
| H | -1.21742 | -0.24342 | 2.66219 |

## 336 - Monoamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-953.728100582$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.289342$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-955.156305780$


| Pd | 0.28571 | -0.53036 | -0.22618 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 2.04792 | -0.98969 | 0.86056 |
| O | 1.11225 | -2.49492 | -0.42849 |
| C | 2.05465 | -2.17433 | 0.37143 |
| C | 3.15550 | -3.13362 | 0.70292 |
| H | 3.53209 | -2.94306 | 1.70933 |
| H | 3.97796 | -2.98626 | -0.00536 |
| H | 2.80219 | -4.16201 | 0.61380 |
| N | -0.14336 | 1.47473 | 0.24833 |
| C | -1.42610 | 1.52069 | 1.01778 |
| C | -0.32376 | 2.27395 | -1.00624 |
| O | -1.32629 | -0.50311 | -1.45499 |
| C | -2.37784 | -1.14589 | -1.01207 |
| C | -3.55536 | -1.07579 | -1.97187 |
| O | -2.44842 | -1.72978 | 0.07162 |
| C | 0.98278 | 2.03325 | 1.06287 |
| H | -3.94036 | -0.05112 | -1.99643 |
| H | -4.34821 | -1.74930 | -1.64488 |
| H | -3.24038 | -1.33176 | -2.98653 |
| H | -2.20776 | 1.20034 | 0.32738 |
| H | -1.62058 | 2.57066 | 1.27539 |


| C | -1.46612 | 0.65441 | 2.27280 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -2.88733 | 0.60897 | 2.84035 |
| H | -0.77391 | 1.03817 | 3.02906 |
| H | -1.15078 | -0.36114 | 2.01418 |
| H | -3.26195 | 1.61269 | 3.06943 |
| H | -2.91936 | 0.02122 | 3.76210 |
| H | -3.56849 | 0.14548 | 2.11963 |
| C | 2.28596 | 2.16041 | 0.27501 |
| H | 1.12145 | 1.38340 | 1.92689 |
| H | 0.66039 | 3.01964 | 1.42477 |
| C | 0.95251 | 2.35716 | -1.83462 |
| H | -0.64304 | 3.28209 | -0.70801 |
| H | -1.13112 | 1.80185 | -1.56490 |
| C | 2.09672 | 2.96006 | -1.01605 |
| H | 2.66643 | 1.16090 | 0.04490 |
| H | 3.02137 | 2.63979 | 0.92973 |
| H | 3.02438 | 2.96860 | -1.59706 |
| H | 1.85868 | 4.00433 | -0.77434 |
| H | 0.74194 | 2.96003 | -2.72403 |
| H | 1.22647 | 1.35323 | -2.18255 |

## 337 - Bidentate ligand-amine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1319.07145791
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.449159$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1320.59932117


| Pd | -0.30083 | 0.11765 | -0.15688 | H | -1.74874 | 2.93843 | 3.21553 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.52457 | -0.93100 | -1.67187 | H | -0.45944 | 2.45486 | 4.35890 |
| N | 1.64573 | 0.96047 | -0.10260 | C | -2.00331 | -2.25332 | -0.82010 |
| 0 | -1.07582 | 1.23174 | 1.38769 | C | -2.78060 | -0.12097 | -1.66280 |
| N | -2.19710 | -0.80933 | -0.46778 | C | -3.11105 | -0.69498 | 0.70993 |
| C | 1.83249 | -0.87279 | -1.75848 | C | -2.62526 | -1.50137 | 1.91116 |
| 0 | 2.45862 | -1.45307 | -2.63965 | H | -4.09949 | -1.05163 | 0.38663 |
| C | 2.57465 | -0.04826 | -0.68885 | H | -3.18679 | 0.35648 | 0.97460 |
| C | 3.26355 | -0.91056 | 0.41274 | H | 1.48607 | 3.44501 | 0.93136 |
| C | 2.26002 | -1.80581 | 1.15843 | H | -0.06679 | 3.21151 | 0.13563 |
| C | 3.97017 | 0.02491 | 1.41458 | H | 1.14996 | 4.27192 | -0.62328 |
| C | 4.33226 | -1.79303 | -0.25773 | C | -3.02802 | 1.37711 | -1.50187 |
| H | 3.89142 | -2.48196 | -0.97934 | H | -1.31851 | -2.28863 | -1.66623 |
| H | 4.85499 | -2.37333 | 0.50875 | H | -2.97944 | -2.64351 | -1.14054 |
| H | 5.07298 | -1.18197 | -0.78416 | C | -1.47596 | -3.07680 | 0.34826 |
| H | 1.49778 | -1.21864 | 1.67903 | C | -2.40526 | -2.97400 | 1.55956 |
| H | 2.78685 | -2.40540 | 1.90751 | H | -0.47434 | -2.72626 | 0.61675 |
| H | 1.75775 | -2.49354 | 0.47195 | H | -1.37412 | -4.11451 | 0.01361 |
| H | 3.26671 | 0.59168 | 2.02991 | H | -1.98516 | -3.51349 | 2.41456 |
| H | 4.62845 | 0.73091 | 0.89713 | H | -3.36821 | -3.44546 | 1.32285 |
| H | 4.58512 | -0.57093 | 2.09593 | H | -3.36697 | -1.39297 | 2.70965 |
| C | 1.55613 | 2.19601 | -0.82195 | H | -1.69255 | -1.06298 | 2.27886 |
| H | 3.35864 | 0.49890 | -1.21707 | C | -3.47239 | 1.99274 | -2.83171 |
| C | 1.00127 | 3.36133 | -0.04431 | H | -2.11183 | 1.86624 | -1.15478 |
| 0 | 1.90981 | 2.25328 | -1.98418 | H | -3.79375 | 1.55787 | -0.74122 |
| H | 1.68142 | 1.09364 | 0.92533 | H | -2.70118 | 1.86903 | -3.59965 |
| C | -0.34523 | 1.51334 | 2.42159 | H | -3.66616 | 3.06299 | -2.71832 |
| 0 | 0.88365 | 1.33074 | 2.51452 | H | -4.39070 | 1.52290 | -3.20023 |
| C | -1.13170 | 2.11013 | 3.57312 | H | -2.08263 | -0.29541 | -2.48618 |
| H | -1.80717 | 1.34966 | 3.97771 | H | -3.72346 | -0.62685 | -1.91376 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{21}$ - Acetate-assisted C-H activation with equatorial binding

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-953.685235968$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.287919$
Imaginary frequency = -1345.0032

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -955.114906219


| O | 0.58753 | 2.25270 | 1.32950 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.29889 | 2.58332 | 0.17241 |
| O | 2.61594 | 0.25721 | -0.60244 |
| Pd | 0.58528 | -0.21889 | -0.43388 |
| O | 0.16749 | 1.76959 | -0.83429 |
| N | -1.46285 | -0.64564 | -0.14311 |
| C | -1.65482 | -2.12343 | -0.30155 |
| C | -0.49851 | -2.89214 | 0.31216 |
| C | 0.82229 | -2.43572 | -0.30251 |
| C | -1.78244 | -0.18505 | 1.25231 |
| C | -2.29496 | 0.11083 | -1.13359 |
| C | 3.31937 | -0.34871 | 0.26397 |
| C | 4.76450 | 0.04429 | 0.42805 |
| O | 2.85695 | -1.28304 | 0.99694 |
| H | 1.71109 | -1.62107 | 0.43087 |
| C | 0.01283 | 4.03635 | -0.18594 |
| H | -1.69003 | -2.31942 | -1.37678 |
| H | -2.61449 | -2.42784 | 0.12319 |
| H | -0.66573 | -3.96134 | 0.13009 |
| H | -0.48346 | -2.76591 | 1.40016 |
| H | 1.62823 | -3.12907 | -0.03151 |


| H | 0.79619 | -2.47381 | -1.39814 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -3.27471 | -0.25409 | 1.59168 |
| C | -3.79647 | 0.01576 | -0.85333 |
| H | 5.02350 | 0.87006 | -0.23318 |
| H | 5.39833 | -0.82010 | 0.21147 |
| H | 4.94264 | 0.33029 | 1.46817 |
| C | -4.11283 | 0.51121 | 0.56192 |
| H | -1.42216 | 0.84175 | 1.33103 |
| H | -1.19114 | -0.78827 | 1.94326 |
| H | -1.96285 | 1.14844 | -1.08566 |
| H | -2.04341 | -0.27419 | -2.12454 |
| H | -3.40590 | 0.16732 | 2.59387 |
| H | -3.60953 | -1.29605 | 1.64828 |
| H | -4.14696 | -1.01504 | -0.98112 |
| H | -4.31736 | 0.61943 | -1.60399 |
| H | -5.18023 | 0.40309 | 0.77961 |
| H | -3.87773 | 1.58177 | 0.62839 |
| H | -1.05804 | 4.15433 | -0.38311 |
| H | 0.54578 | 4.32165 | -1.09650 |
| H | 0.29808 | 4.69509 | 0.63541 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{22}$ - Acetate-assisted C-H activation with axial binding

Geometry optimisation
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -953.682186473
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.288701$
Imaginary frequency = -1359.2072

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -955.111293784


| O | 2.41321 | 2.21993 | -1.82407 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 2.32552 | 2.54819 | -0.63265 |
| O | 5.34628 | 0.93447 | 0.11731 |
| Pd | 3.49631 | -0.00512 | -0.18377 |
| O | 2.65071 | 1.79946 | 0.37991 |
| N | 1.68456 | -1.11483 | -0.33070 |
| C | 1.91373 | -2.02540 | -1.49884 |
| C | 3.23817 | -2.75814 | -1.37887 |
| C | 4.39387 | -1.77778 | -1.20460 |
| C | 1.51939 | -1.93635 | 0.91355 |
| C | 0.43444 | -0.32932 | -0.60575 |
| C | 6.17244 | 0.15838 | 0.69231 |
| C | 7.47543 | 0.73037 | 1.18705 |
| O | 5.95273 | -1.08647 | 0.85665 |
| H | 4.93426 | -1.35066 | 0.04392 |
| C | 1.74950 | 3.89916 | -0.23086 |
| H | 1.91350 | -1.39515 | -2.39256 |
| H | 1.06853 | -2.72168 | -1.57298 |
| H | 3.38228 | -3.35228 | -2.28973 |
| H | 3.21112 | -3.47466 | -0.55286 |
| H | 5.33677 | -2.33368 | -1.13137 |


| H | 4.52030 | -1.13999 | -2.08688 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 1.08170 | -1.11260 | 2.11764 |
| H | 2.46371 | -2.44101 | 1.11375 |
| H | 0.76270 | -2.70594 | 0.70070 |
| C | -0.11148 | 0.44973 | 0.59094 |
| H | -0.32153 | -1.05382 | -0.94093 |
| H | 0.66210 | 0.34074 | -1.43600 |
| H | 7.51150 | 1.80859 | 1.03760 |
| H | 8.30036 | 0.25332 | 0.65021 |
| H | 7.59352 | 0.49533 | 2.24800 |
| H | 0.69957 | 3.76469 | 0.05265 |
| H | 1.79595 | 4.59638 | -1.06883 |
| H | 2.27521 | 4.31110 | 0.63316 |
| C | -0.25580 | -0.42733 | 1.83552 |
| H | -1.07686 | 0.87168 | 0.29096 |
| H | 0.55919 | 1.27707 | 0.81989 |
| H | 1.84886 | -0.36490 | 2.35047 |
| H | 1.01174 | -1.78588 | 2.97827 |
| H | -1.02933 | -1.19104 | 1.67844 |
| H | -0.57048 | 0.17846 | 2.69134 |

## TS ${ }_{23}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation with equatorial binding

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1089.88874092
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.392493$
Imaginary frequency = -1325.8891

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1091.34427963


| 0 | 1.74930 | -2.69738 | -1.62722 | H | 2.53421 | -1.75995 | 2.79169 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.38581 | -1.67471 | -1.04762 | H | 1.37714 | -2.25743 | 1.54744 |
| C | 2.42139 | -0.64487 | -0.54179 | H | 1.42082 | -0.57356 | 2.09031 |
| H | 3.20938 | -0.58320 | -1.29845 | H | -2.76009 | 0.95356 | 2.08154 |
| C | 3.09488 | -1.12320 | 0.79492 | H | -3.98226 | 1.26994 | 0.84930 |
| C | 3.94351 | -2.37444 | 0.51257 | C | -4.31484 | -0.44143 | -1.22801 |
| C | 4.01590 | -0.00923 | 1.31828 | C | -3.95126 | -1.70787 | 0.91776 |
| C | 2.04000 | -1.44742 | 1.86598 | H | 3.43059 | 2.78208 | -2.18046 |
| N | 1.73617 | 0.63401 | -0.40178 | H | 4.04504 | 1.19236 | -1.66448 |
| Pd | -0.22522 | 0.44829 | 0.00832 | H | 4.12941 | 2.58197 | -0.57036 |
| O | 0.12815 | -1.41121 | -0.79532 | H | -0.50294 | 1.95679 | 1.98301 |
| N | -2.30976 | 0.08272 | 0.23378 | H | -0.27052 | 3.41328 | 1.08148 |
| C | -2.90560 | 1.20116 | 1.02617 | H | 0.26041 | 2.39033 | 0.02698 |
| C | -2.20351 | 2.51573 | 0.72126 | H | -2.65978 | 3.29435 | 1.34567 |
| C | -0.70224 | 2.40766 | 1.00376 | H | -2.38106 | 2.81336 | -0.31829 |
| C | -2.85475 | 0.01892 | -1.16143 | C | -4.50332 | -1.78327 | -0.51060 |
| C | -2.49397 | -1.24061 | 0.90618 | H | -2.09021 | -1.15008 | 1.91735 |
| C | 2.14912 | 1.82415 | -0.78132 | H | -1.87205 | -1.95250 | 0.35807 |
| C | 3.52474 | 2.09235 | -1.33952 | H | -4.56160 | -1.02767 | 1.52392 |
| 0 | 1.36688 | 2.83426 | -0.66140 | H | -3.99396 | -2.68782 | 1.40468 |
| H | 4.44393 | -2.69760 | 1.43135 | H | -5.56051 | -2.06756 | -0.50178 |
| H | 4.71631 | -2.16294 | -0.23493 | H | -3.96513 | -2.56578 | -1.06194 |
| H | 3.33148 | -3.19675 | 0.13869 | H | -2.72677 | 1.00372 | -1.61566 |
| H | 4.51510 | -0.34155 | 2.23418 | H | -2.21795 | -0.68535 | -1.70342 |
| H | 3.45400 | 0.89895 | 1.55244 | H | -4.59511 | -0.51986 | -2.28374 |
| H | 4.79228 | 0.24252 | 0.58868 | H | -4.97463 | 0.31497 | -0.78778 |

## TS ${ }_{24}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation with axial binding

Geometry optimisation
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1089.88840029
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.392120$
Imaginary frequency = -1319.7876

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1091.34317150


| O | 4.01567 | -2.65165 | -1.17871 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 3.61143 | -1.56229 | -0.77304 |
| C | 4.59785 | -0.41551 | -0.47040 |
| H | 5.35790 | -0.42980 | -1.25695 |
| C | 5.33947 | -0.63055 | 0.89668 |
| C | 6.27096 | -1.84836 | 0.77608 |
| C | 6.18658 | 0.61458 | 1.20502 |
| C | 4.34187 | -0.85902 | 2.04449 |
| N | 3.83698 | 0.82507 | -0.51108 |
| Pd | 1.91143 | 0.62226 | 0.04292 |
| O | 2.34650 | -1.31394 | -0.55204 |
| N | -0.20579 | 0.39233 | 0.46583 |
| C | -0.60156 | 1.57172 | 1.29514 |
| C | 0.07167 | 2.85116 | 0.83258 |
| C | 1.58894 | 2.69253 | 0.88109 |
| C | -0.93678 | 0.43364 | -0.83929 |
| C | -0.59097 | -0.83873 | 1.22751 |
| C | 4.14918 | 1.94155 | -1.13504 |
| C | 5.47694 | 2.17507 | -1.81230 |
| O | 3.31148 | 2.91291 | -1.15637 |
| H | 6.81526 | -1.99326 | 1.71510 |
| H | 7.00840 | -1.70024 | -0.02073 |
| H | 5.71108 | -2.75734 | 0.55074 |
| H | 6.73735 | 0.46671 | 2.13953 |
| H | 5.56265 | 1.50524 | 1.31886 |
| H | 6.91877 | 0.80449 | 0.41343 |


| H | 4.88366 | -0.99891 | 2.98563 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 3.72766 | -1.74839 | 1.87384 |
| H | 3.67066 | -0.00338 | 2.16470 |
| H | -0.28617 | 1.35718 | 2.32052 |
| H | -1.69575 | 1.65685 | 1.29229 |
| C | -0.71173 | -0.82221 | -1.67539 |
| H | -0.61376 | 1.32712 | -1.37649 |
| H | -2.00975 | 0.54252 | -0.61930 |
| H | 0.01735 | -0.85550 | 2.13514 |
| C | -0.43341 | -2.13582 | 0.44032 |
| H | -1.64205 | -0.71535 | 1.53033 |
| H | 5.30194 | 2.71653 | -2.74362 |
| H | 6.02671 | 1.25878 | -2.02264 |
| H | 6.09446 | 2.80693 | -1.16683 |
| H | 1.92620 | 2.30088 | 1.84774 |
| H | 2.07277 | 3.67445 | 0.81229 |
| H | 2.33603 | 2.56373 | -0.25553 |
| H | -0.25370 | 3.66139 | 1.49705 |
| H | -0.25949 | 3.12834 | -0.17381 |
| C | -1.16106 | -2.06790 | -0.90608 |
| H | 0.34866 | -0.90892 | -1.93085 |
| H | -1.27261 | -0.71222 | -2.60964 |
| H | -0.83163 | -2.94798 | 1.05861 |
| H | 0.62657 | -2.32803 | 0.26930 |
| H | -0.96211 | -2.97236 | -1.49029 |
| H | -2.24667 | -2.02276 | -0.74323 |

## TS $\mathbf{2 5}_{25}$ - Acetate-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the heterocycle

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-953.681966304$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.283031$
Imaginary frequency $=-1082.6931$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-955.114688428


| O | -1.95930 | 0.99008 | 1.80240 | H | -2.08341 | -1.39888 | 1.30705 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.76946 | 1.95613 | 1.05276 | C | 1.27460 | -2.21885 | 1.82565 |
| O | 2.06230 | 1.63759 | -0.33665 | H | 2.39074 | -2.85271 | 0.06712 |
| Pd | 0.35888 | 0.39665 | -0.19029 | H | 0.85247 | -3.68438 | 0.27013 |
| O | -0.83837 | 2.04769 | 0.15074 | H | 1.98429 | -1.39355 | 1.94405 |
| N | -0.67981 | -1.38480 | -0.23393 | H | 1.60024 | -3.01826 | 2.49654 |
| C | -1.68505 | -1.49526 | -1.32869 | H | -0.53609 | -2.26851 | 3.06360 |
| C | 0.68304 | -1.74058 | -0.57951 | H | -0.14880 | -0.67026 | 2.44292 |
| C | -1.16447 | -1.92740 | 1.06640 | C | -3.84314 | -0.69368 | -2.34213 |
| C | 3.09423 | 0.92910 | -0.58961 | H | -2.06462 | -2.52504 | -1.32667 |
| C | 4.42120 | 1.64291 | -0.71179 | H | -1.15279 | -1.33604 | -2.26942 |
| O | 3.07704 | -0.32929 | -0.74547 | H | -2.41376 | 0.51808 | -1.24908 |
| H | 1.68950 | -0.76946 | -0.59671 | H | -3.32802 | -0.59314 | -0.24370 |
| H | 4.31318 | 2.71228 | -0.53433 | H | -3.37195 | -0.57292 | -3.32370 |
| H | 4.82898 | 1.47361 | -1.71234 | H | -4.65308 | 0.03730 | -2.26803 |
| H | 5.12685 | 1.21599 | 0.00604 | H | -4.28890 | -1.69345 | -2.30537 |
| C | -2.83010 | -0.49305 | -1.21212 | C | -2.68781 | 3.17221 | 1.09942 |
| C | 1.35309 | -2.71367 | 0.37841 | H | -3.23420 | 3.20040 | 2.04346 |
| H | 0.76129 | -2.02105 | -1.63016 | H | -3.41078 | 3.10112 | 0.27905 |
| C | -0.15156 | -1.73284 | 2.19049 | H | -2.12354 | 4.09752 | 0.96289 |
| H | -1.38826 | -2.98940 | 0.90370 |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{TS}_{\mathbf{2 6}}$ - Acetate-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the propyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -953.682590499
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.284360$
Imaginary frequency = -1169.2647

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -955.115519718


| O | -1.41811 | 1.83933 | 1.79946 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -1.63184 | 2.30672 | 0.67111 |
| O | 1.92785 | 1.70583 | -0.20499 |
| Pd | 0.30345 | 0.36323 | -0.18856 |
| O | -1.05472 | 1.91695 | -0.42302 |
| N | -0.63680 | -1.46401 | -0.19724 |
| C | -1.25446 | -1.79717 | -1.51467 |
| C | 0.77782 | -1.77541 | -0.07943 |
| C | -1.54128 | -1.79983 | 0.93876 |
| C | 3.01365 | 1.05817 | -0.37542 |
| C | 4.30332 | 1.84369 | -0.41948 |
| O | 3.07696 | -0.20389 | -0.49409 |
| C | 1.25913 | -2.43971 | 1.20657 |
| H | 1.73997 | -0.74576 | -0.28586 |
| H | 4.11175 | 2.91551 | -0.45674 |
| H | 4.89254 | 1.53515 | -1.28618 |
| H | 4.88751 | 1.61001 | 0.47599 |
| H | -0.53136 | -1.54096 | -2.29091 |
| C | -2.56566 | -1.04008 | -1.69495 |
| H | -1.42178 | -2.88212 | -1.53720 |
| H | 0.62879 | -3.31839 | 1.38990 |


| H | 1.12172 | -1.76417 | 2.05572 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| C | 2.72240 | -2.88360 | 1.12777 |
| H | 2.87836 | -3.56936 | 0.28825 |
| H | 3.00094 | -3.40784 | 2.04577 |
| H | 3.39075 | -2.03066 | 0.99738 |
| C | -2.89262 | -1.10376 | 0.79765 |
| H | -1.05408 | -1.50051 | 1.86452 |
| H | -1.66522 | -2.89084 | 0.94422 |
| H | 1.11494 | -2.30338 | -0.97506 |
| C | -3.54188 | -1.37515 | -0.56285 |
| H | -2.74526 | -0.03213 | 0.95054 |
| H | -3.53295 | -1.46025 | 1.61119 |
| H | -2.98645 | -1.30494 | -2.66998 |
| H | -2.35428 | 0.03515 | -1.70023 |
| H | -3.82835 | -2.43288 | -0.63078 |
| H | -4.45983 | -0.78792 | -0.66490 |
| C | -2.67147 | 3.40072 | 0.45894 |
| H | -3.54874 | 2.96935 | -0.03507 |
| H | -2.28121 | 4.18526 | -0.19409 |
| H | -2.97743 | 3.82797 | 1.41482 |

## TS 27 - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the heterocycle

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1089.87564087$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.387778$
Imaginary frequency = -1138.6981

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1091.33454353


| 0 | 2.54148 | 1.19283 | 2.60819 | H | 1.46664 | 1.42897 | -1.53000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.85805 | 0.78428 | 1.66861 | H | -0.73553 | -1.47757 | -0.91710 |
| C | 2.49120 | -0.11024 | 0.57224 | H | 2.30666 | -3.97194 | -0.20549 |
| H | 3.22258 | -0.76559 | 1.05783 | H | 3.42501 | -2.61191 | 0.05939 |
| C | 3.26477 | 0.78173 | -0.47179 | H | 2.97404 | -3.09733 | -1.58571 |
| C | 4.47189 | 1.43704 | 0.22071 | C | -2.55005 | 2.72361 | -0.26156 |
| C | 3.78016 | -0.09465 | -1.62420 | C | -2.75262 | -2.24119 | -0.67827 |
| C | 2.34758 | 1.87086 | -1.05409 | H | -2.03089 | -0.72110 | -2.09925 |
| N | 1.41011 | -0.87561 | -0.02418 | C | -3.00821 | -1.28295 | 1.67499 |
| Pd | -0.40674 | -0.04974 | 0.12075 | H | -4.41028 | -0.26631 | 0.35322 |
| 0 | 0.60159 | 1.11237 | 1.50698 | H | -3.52432 | 0.80453 | 1.44494 |
| N | -2.45558 | 0.21008 | -0.24926 | C | -2.66493 | -2.53356 | 0.82318 |
| C | -2.78700 | 1.42788 | -1.03365 | H | -2.31885 | -3.05848 | -1.25819 |
| C | -2.02901 | -0.94844 | -1.03334 | H | -3.79751 | -2.13858 | -0.99722 |
| C | -3.43550 | -0.08804 | 0.82600 | H | -1.64499 | -2.86127 | 1.04581 |
| C | 1.39637 | -2.08653 | -0.55234 | H | -3.33089 | -3.36536 | 1.06767 |
| C | 2.61038 | -2.98463 | -0.56078 | H | -3.82213 | -1.49473 | 2.37422 |
| 0 | 0.32075 | -2.55719 | -1.06381 | H | -2.14326 | -0.98813 | 2.27689 |
| H | 5.03295 | 2.03747 | -0.50308 | C | -2.81858 | 3.94583 | -1.14374 |
| H | 5.15051 | 0.67774 | 0.62535 | H | -3.83235 | 1.35529 | -1.36288 |
| H | 4.16098 | 2.08174 | 1.04426 | H | -2.15381 | 1.41594 | -1.92414 |
| H | 4.34089 | 0.52397 | -2.33241 | H | -1.51399 | 2.73545 | 0.09555 |
| H | 2.95920 | -0.56421 | -2.17236 | H | -3.19371 | 2.76404 | 0.62322 |
| H | 4.45272 | -0.87899 | -1.26412 | H | -2.16200 | 3.95141 | -2.02051 |
| H | 2.89042 | 2.44835 | -1.80953 | H | -2.64364 | 4.87079 | -0.58747 |
| H | 2.00063 | 2.56322 | -0.28227 | H | -3.85414 | 3.95944 | -1.50003 |

$\mathrm{TS}_{28}$ - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the propyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1089.87886303$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.390159$
Imaginary frequency = -1195.4260

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1091.33765931


| O | 2.28998 | -2.93643 | -1.07254 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.68732 | -1.92553 | -0.70870 |
| C | 2.45852 | -0.60194 | -0.46742 |
| H | 3.25179 | -0.53942 | -1.22036 |
| C | 3.14463 | -0.61648 | 0.94979 |
| C | 4.23428 | -1.70170 | 0.97363 |
| C | 3.80390 | 0.74611 | 1.21573 |
| C | 2.11603 | -0.89279 | 2.05968 |
| N | 1.49981 | 0.47827 | -0.61933 |
| Pd | -0.40699 | -0.01416 | -0.25853 |
| O | 0.40262 | -1.91197 | -0.46317 |
| N | -2.45830 | 0.25501 | 0.05424 |
| C | -3.00339 | -0.25905 | 1.33587 |
| C | -1.86098 | 1.58492 | 0.00953 |
| C | -3.33153 | -0.08700 | -1.09992 |
| C | 1.63892 | 1.69578 | -1.11019 |
| C | 2.95400 | 2.21550 | -1.63952 |
| O | 0.63364 | 2.48896 | -1.16190 |
| H | 4.74025 | -1.69744 | 1.94481 |
| H | 4.98860 | -1.51618 | 0.20080 |
| H | 3.81537 | -2.69442 | 0.80152 |
| H | 4.30334 | 0.72943 | 2.18989 |
| H | 3.06660 | 1.55308 | 1.23373 |
| H | 4.55885 | 0.98156 | 0.45931 |
| H | 2.60799 | -0.86441 | 3.03749 |
| H | 1.64929 | -1.87482 | 1.94311 |


| H | 1.32109 | -0.14043 | 2.05650 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -0.54575 | 1.74697 | -0.53112 |
| H | 2.77065 | 2.71257 | -2.59452 |
| H | 3.70091 | 1.43373 | -1.77526 |
| H | 3.35312 | 2.96157 | -0.94662 |
| H | -2.32557 | 0.02281 | 2.13967 |
| C | -3.15089 | -1.77801 | 1.26567 |
| H | -3.97611 | 0.21840 | 1.51731 |
| C | -3.48829 | -1.59945 | -1.22193 |
| H | -2.87568 | 0.33608 | -1.99703 |
| H | -4.30642 | 0.39532 | -0.94434 |
| C | -1.85777 | 2.41662 | 1.28673 |
| C | -1.29536 | 3.82213 | 1.05753 |
| H | -1.33219 | 4.39751 | 1.98633 |
| H | -1.88003 | 4.36027 | 0.30384 |
| H | -0.26033 | 3.78005 | 0.71156 |
| H | -1.27876 | 1.91352 | 2.06599 |
| H | -2.89087 | 2.49075 | 1.64891 |
| H | -2.26711 | 2.14021 | -0.84037 |
| C | -4.02490 | -2.20284 | 0.08079 |
| H | -3.57689 | -2.12707 | 2.21156 |
| H | -2.15236 | -2.22121 | 1.17106 |
| H | -5.05419 | -1.85798 | 0.24500 |
| H | -4.06096 | -3.29423 | 0.00799 |
| H | -2.51138 | -2.03563 | -1.46190 |
| H | -4.15916 | -1.81421 | -2.05976 |

## III.III. Nuclear coordinates for complexes containing 1-propylpyrrolidine

## 331 - 1-propylpyrrolidine

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-330.578787318
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.176270$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-330.658289978

| N | 0.27119 | -0.19734 | -0.26447 | H | 1.11419 | -2.12926 | -0.45109 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 0.79870 | 1.05321 | 0.29163 | C | -1.07250 | -0.53719 | 0.18427 |
| C | 2.30569 | 0.99803 | -0.02416 | C | -2.14412 | 0.42218 | -0.33469 |
| H | 0.63744 | 1.09705 | 1.38707 | H | -1.12302 | -0.57851 | 1.29246 |
| H | 0.30275 | 1.92267 | -0.14800 | H | -1.29829 | -1.54934 | -0.17483 |
| C | 2.61084 | -0.51680 | -0.19287 | C | -3.55424 | -0.02269 | 0.05868 |
| H | 2.89305 | 1.45630 | 0.77546 | H | -2.05679 | 0.48634 | -1.42602 |
| H | 2.52920 | 1.54174 | -0.94590 | H | -1.95951 | 1.43051 | 0.05387 |
| C | 1.26992 | -1.20197 | 0.10995 | H | -3.78506 | -1.01255 | -0.35068 |
| H | 3.40215 | -0.86533 | 0.47545 | H | -4.30975 | 0.67676 | -0.31166 |
| H | 2.92626 | -0.73185 | -1.21751 | H | -3.66011 | -0.08201 | 1.14768 |

## 338 - Bisamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1245.02327889$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.467986$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM (DMF) Energy = -1246.52087026


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pd | 0.00810 | 0.01561 | -0.01415 |
| O | -0.05566 | -1.53979 | 1.34840 |
| N | -2.00477 | 0.61970 | 0.62143 |
| O | 0.06314 | 1.59074 | -1.35200 |
| N | 2.01688 | -0.60199 | -0.64119 |
| C | -2.11052 | 0.50923 | 2.10821 |
| C | -1.36565 | 1.73843 | 2.62497 |
| H | -1.69445 | -0.43906 | 2.43966 |
| H | -3.17527 | 0.55607 | 2.37569 |
| C | -2.99078 | -0.26224 | -0.05885 |
| C | -2.29495 | 2.06828 | 0.38353 |
| C | 2.22068 | -2.08017 | -0.55316 |
| C | 3.01320 | 0.15541 | 0.16328 |
| C | 2.19768 | -0.35020 | -2.10358 |
| C | 0.69872 | 2.68664 | -1.05026 |
| O | 1.33632 | 2.89089 | -0.00976 |
| C | 0.56346 | 3.76613 | -2.11495 |
| H | 1.32255 | 4.53654 | -1.97263 |
| H | -0.42634 | 4.22808 | -2.02825 |
| H | 0.64075 | 3.33953 | -3.11766 |
| C | -0.67965 | -2.65217 | 1.08462 |
| C | -0.66732 | -3.62791 | 2.25383 |
| H | 0.32474 | -3.67516 | 2.70940 |
| H | -0.97754 | -4.62025 | 1.92390 |
| H | -1.36459 | -3.27572 | 3.02191 |
| O | -1.25762 | -2.92722 | 0.02640 |
| C | 2.92017 | -0.08865 | 1.66688 |
| H | 2.84886 | 1.21343 | -0.04322 |
| H | 4.01500 | -0.12351 | -0.19721 |
| C | 3.90526 | 0.80564 | 2.42352 |
| H | 3.12276 | -1.14098 | 1.89378 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | 1.89827 | 0.11198 | 2.00283 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 4.93756 | 0.61968 | 2.10672 |
| H | 3.84924 | 0.62667 | 3.50126 |
| H | 3.68720 | 1.86434 | 2.24628 |
| C | 1.40741 | -1.47207 | -2.77735 |
| H | 3.26963 | -0.43801 | -2.32773 |
| H | 1.85647 | 0.64904 | -2.35861 |
| C | -2.90119 | -0.23516 | -1.58126 |
| C | -1.61637 | 2.82104 | 1.54234 |
| H | -3.38523 | 2.19127 | 0.42665 |
| H | -1.94785 | 2.35957 | -0.60328 |
| C | -3.89997 | -1.21344 | -2.20322 |
| H | -1.88349 | -0.50790 | -1.87907 |
| H | -3.08389 | 0.77767 | -1.95647 |
| H | -3.69563 | -2.23673 | -1.87149 |
| H | -3.83954 | -1.19423 | -3.29534 |
| H | -4.92956 | -0.96724 | -1.92052 |
| H | -2.81180 | -1.27924 | 0.28832 |
| H | -3.99573 | 0.04743 | 0.26502 |
| H | -0.29659 | 1.52105 | 2.70468 |
| H | -1.72221 | 2.03290 | 3.61458 |
| H | -2.27011 | 3.61783 | 1.90516 |
| H | -0.67685 | 3.26924 | 1.22184 |
| C | 1.49310 | -2.65691 | -1.77753 |
| H | 1.85855 | -2.45198 | 0.40042 |
| H | 3.30219 | -2.26372 | -0.61665 |
| H | 1.82174 | -1.71108 | -3.75942 |
| H | 0.36771 | -1.16380 | -2.91903 |
| H | 0.49776 | -2.99994 | -1.49657 |
| H | 2.04936 | -3.50409 | -2.18598 |

## 339 - Monoamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -914.400153699
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.260318$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -915.820005834


| Pd | 0.47258 | -0.32861 | -0.21213 | H | -2.45885 | 0.68726 | 0.06870 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2.29137 | 0.00728 | 0.80911 | H | -2.29817 | 2.30491 | 0.76700 |
| 0 | 1.89850 | -1.93228 | -0.13318 | C | -1.65642 | 0.69779 | 2.07358 |
| C | 2.68575 | -1.18204 | 0.53486 | C | -3.02074 | 0.42055 | 2.70897 |
| C | 4.04157 | -1.65433 | 0.96020 | H | -1.06828 | 1.36194 | 2.71635 |
| H | 4.33267 | -1.17267 | 1.89527 | H | -1.10611 | -0.24293 | 1.97254 |
| H | 4.77014 | -1.37797 | 0.19044 | H | -3.61839 | 1.33462 | 2.79537 |
| H | 4.04815 | -2.73982 | 1.06952 | H | -2.90549 | -0.00068 | 3.71162 |
| N | -0.54435 | 1.49386 | -0.05166 | H | -3.58401 | -0.29804 | 2.10450 |
| C | -1.82119 | 1.31807 | 0.69038 | C | 1.35151 | 2.87968 | -0.55325 |
| C | -0.77495 | 2.04550 | -1.42208 | H | 0.87227 | 2.04969 | 1.44094 |
| 0 | -1.07695 | -0.98498 | -1.34222 | H | -0.22143 | 3.34374 | 0.90092 |
| C | -1.87135 | -1.84880 | -0.76175 | C | 0.60547 | 2.53088 | -1.87186 |
| C | -3.01496 | -2.29135 | -1.66148 | H | -1.47838 | 2.88297 | -1.33063 |
| 0 | -1.74667 | -2.26207 | 0.39329 | H | -1.21966 | 1.27197 | -2.04677 |
| C | 0.38192 | 2.48813 | 0.57288 | H | 0.51769 | 3.38663 | -2.54430 |
| H | -3.67122 | -1.43876 | -1.86291 | H | 1.12945 | 1.73600 | -2.40883 |
| H | -3.58869 | -3.08384 | -1.17996 | H | 2.27656 | 2.30693 | -0.46914 |
| H | -2.62980 | -2.64115 | -2.62291 | H | 1.60340 | 3.93998 | -0.48681 |

## 340 - Bidentate ligand-amine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1279.74464415
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.422035$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1281.26383512


| Pd | 0.40019 | 0.02017 | 0.07091 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.38180 | -1.59258 | 1.00655 |
| N | -1.46148 | 0.85397 | 0.65054 |
| O | 1.15820 | 1.67919 | -0.87799 |
| N | 2.21552 | -0.93689 | -0.44949 |
| C | -1.66968 | -1.53641 | 1.24872 |
| O | -2.27259 | -2.43820 | 1.82208 |
| C | -2.42068 | -0.28184 | 0.76296 |
| C | -3.21883 | -0.49597 | -0.55921 |
| C | -2.30753 | -0.91782 | -1.72429 |
| C | -3.94917 | 0.81302 | -0.92122 |
| C | -4.27694 | -1.58797 | -0.31895 |
| H | -3.81915 | -2.54628 | -0.06981 |
| H | -4.87999 | -1.71194 | -1.22362 |
| H | -4.94837 | -1.31228 | 0.50089 |
| H | -1.56931 | -0.14628 | -1.96007 |
| H | -2.91180 | -1.08481 | -2.62165 |
| H | -1.77640 | -1.84724 | -1.49962 |
| H | -3.26242 | 1.60845 | -1.22204 |
| H | -4.55219 | 1.17238 | -0.08064 |
| H | -4.62184 | 0.63262 | -1.76530 |
| C | -1.21227 | 1.56872 | 1.86495 |
| H | -3.13855 | -0.03097 | 1.54705 |
| C | -0.59535 | 2.93388 | 1.69744 |
| O | -1.48569 | 1.06609 | 2.93810 |
| H | -1.56245 | 1.47904 | -0.17090 |
| C | 0.37138 | 2.47513 | -1.53473 |
| O | -0.87354 | 2.43713 | -1.52183 |
| C | 1.10720 | 3.50474 | -2.37081 |


| H | 1.58081 | 3.00246 | -3.22072 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1.90044 | 3.97228 | -1.78259 |
| H | 0.41529 | 4.26166 | -2.74050 |
| C | 2.15545 | -2.40727 | -0.18688 |
| C | 3.31625 | -0.24772 | 0.27494 |
| C | 2.40803 | -0.86689 | -1.93138 |
| H | 3.45215 | -1.13198 | -2.14315 |
| H | 2.22337 | 0.14976 | -2.27313 |
| H | -1.11913 | 3.50777 | 0.92930 |
| H | 0.44466 | 2.83246 | 1.37490 |
| H | -0.63036 | 3.45457 | 2.65359 |
| H | 1.71137 | -2.59804 | 0.78694 |
| H | 3.18538 | -2.78601 | -0.19927 |
| C | 3.18809 | -0.30141 | 1.79484 |
| C | 1.45142 | -1.92393 | -2.48713 |
| C | 1.33321 | -2.97790 | -1.35179 |
| H | 1.83615 | -2.34777 | -3.41705 |
| H | 0.47718 | -1.47844 | -2.70203 |
| H | 0.29380 | -3.10401 | -1.04469 |
| H | 1.71872 | -3.95496 | -1.65049 |
| C | 4.30661 | 0.49817 | 2.46755 |
| H | 3.31269 | 0.78917 | -0.06897 |
| H | 4.26367 | -0.70776 | -0.03936 |
| H | 3.21706 | -1.34123 | 2.13756 |
| H | 2.21247 | 0.10329 | 2.08950 |
| H | 5.29286 | 0.10368 | 2.20026 |
| H | 4.21351 | 0.45831 | 3.55636 |
| H | 4.27368 | 1.55044 | 2.16477 |

$\mathrm{TS}_{29}$ - Acetate-assisted C-H activation with pseudo-equatorial binding

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -914.355926407
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.258677$
Imaginary frequency = -1343.3404

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -915.778013722


| 0 | 0.39598 | 2.57805 | -1.49722 | H | -0.66758 | -3.16773 | 0.45279 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.11710 | 2.73754 | -0.30403 | H | 1.40231 | -2.91570 | -1.07797 |
| 0 | 2.44449 | 0.36773 | -0.05700 | H | 0.55025 | -1.72481 | -1.99435 |
| Pd | 0.40828 | -0.10670 | -0.14733 | H | 4.77719 | -0.53732 | 1.79634 |
| O | -0.01994 | 1.78195 | 0.57209 | H | 4.85741 | 0.72325 | 0.53232 |
| N | -1.63117 | -0.59150 | -0.05416 | H | 5.21875 | -0.98452 | 0.14846 |
| C | -1.86524 | -1.87262 | -0.79711 | H | -1.19811 | 4.21614 | 0.54097 |
| C | -0.70866 | -2.83299 | -0.58954 | H | 0.11386 | 4.89344 | -0.45547 |
| C | 0.60559 | -2.16607 | -0.99209 | H | 0.44020 | 4.27068 | 1.18883 |
| C | -2.01731 | -0.71592 | 1.39121 | C | -3.92215 | 0.13762 | 0.10051 |
| C | -2.56265 | 0.47821 | -0.53319 | C | -3.55633 | -0.58979 | 1.42341 |
| C | 3.14432 | -0.57635 | 0.42431 | H | -1.54735 | 0.11994 | 1.91135 |
| C | 4.59325 | -0.31229 | 0.74233 | H | -1.63098 | -1.64851 | 1.80200 |
| 0 | 2.67667 | -1.74115 | 0.64240 | H | -2.55740 | 0.51155 | -1.62293 |
| H | 1.52246 | -1.76925 | -0.00085 | H | -2.18065 | 1.41934 | -0.14207 |
| C | -0.14013 | 4.12344 | 0.27412 | H | -4.50701 | -0.51323 | -0.55410 |
| H | -1.93503 | -1.61090 | -1.85624 | H | -4.50678 | 1.04418 | 0.26937 |
| H | -2.82082 | -2.31095 | -0.49309 | H | -3.86784 | -0.02483 | 2.30428 |
| H | -0.89583 | -3.72889 | -1.19487 | H | -4.03411 | -1.57049 | 1.47970 |

TS ${ }_{30}$ - Acetate-assisted C-H activation with pseudo-axial binding

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -914.358413546
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.261209$
Imaginary frequency $=-1349.4954$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -915.779043963


| O | -0.98068 | 2.08791 | -1.77293 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| C | -1.06306 | 2.38625 | -0.57265 |
| O | 2.13247 | 1.07787 | -0.09968 |
| Pd | 0.37298 | -0.05627 | -0.16133 |
| O | -0.63115 | 1.66020 | 0.41310 |
| N | -1.35766 | -1.25952 | -0.06945 |
| C | -1.13281 | -2.40165 | -0.99850 |
| C | 0.25259 | -2.98608 | -0.79216 |
| C | 1.31645 | -1.90897 | -0.99139 |
| C | -1.54024 | -1.70268 | 1.35122 |
| C | -2.63522 | -0.53700 | -0.39804 |
| C | 3.08539 | 0.44613 | 0.45434 |
| C | 4.35912 | 1.18752 | 0.76713 |
| O | 3.01639 | -0.79277 | 0.74514 |
| H | 1.96209 | -1.23754 | 0.07793 |
| C | -1.76370 | 3.66708 | -0.13728 |
| H | -1.22497 | -2.00994 | -2.01573 |
| H | -1.92647 | -3.14368 | -0.84395 |
| H | 0.39602 | -3.80541 | -1.50738 |


| H | 0.33315 | -3.42866 | 0.20638 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 2.31418 | -2.36485 | -0.96674 |
| H | 1.25287 | -1.45556 | -1.98766 |
| C | -2.22251 | -0.51588 | 2.02230 |
| C | -3.17163 | 0.03114 | 0.93227 |
| H | 4.25771 | 2.24964 | 0.54833 |
| H | 5.17286 | 0.76322 | 0.17182 |
| H | 4.61245 | 1.04381 | 1.82056 |
| H | -2.83984 | 3.47266 | -0.06706 |
| H | -1.61308 | 4.44815 | -0.88524 |
| H | -1.41462 | 4.00627 | 0.83929 |
| H | -3.33126 | -1.27445 | -0.81304 |
| H | -2.42758 | 0.21386 | -1.15847 |
| H | -4.19679 | -0.31097 | 1.09389 |
| H | -3.17572 | 1.12070 | 0.93029 |
| H | -1.48151 | 0.24124 | 2.28461 |
| H | -2.74771 | -0.82014 | 2.93024 |
| H | -0.58224 | -1.97959 | 1.79082 |
| H | -2.19568 | -2.58432 | 1.34981 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{31}$ - Ligand-assisted $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation with pseudo-equatorial binding

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1050.56049327$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.364104$
Imaginary frequency =-1325.1427

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1052.00759041$


| O | 1.80386 | -2.64880 | -1.57871 | H | 4.59581 | 0.55039 | 0.60602 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.35705 | -1.64942 | -1.01748 | H | 2.49236 | -1.59415 | 2.82859 |
| C | 2.30446 | -0.53401 | -0.51965 | H | 1.38011 | -2.19300 | 1.58824 |
| H | 3.08864 | -0.41855 | -1.27415 | H | 1.29397 | -0.50433 | 2.11121 |
| C | 3.00845 | -0.94015 | 0.82549 | H | -3.01755 | 0.66318 | 2.03609 |
| C | 3.95352 | -2.12492 | 0.56352 | H | -4.24487 | 0.87186 | 0.77883 |
| C | 3.83747 | 0.24954 | 1.33593 | H | 3.04983 | 2.94123 | -2.19087 |
| C | 1.97835 | -1.33104 | 1.89832 | H | 3.78830 | 1.41594 | -1.64354 |
| N | 1.51823 | 0.68737 | -0.39823 | H | 3.74731 | 2.82502 | -0.57208 |
| Pd | -0.42689 | 0.35120 | -0.00776 | H | -0.83910 | 1.85108 | 1.95504 |
| O | 0.07956 | -1.48206 | -0.78021 | H | -0.71603 | 3.31291 | 1.04045 |
| N | -2.46683 | -0.16024 | 0.19063 | H | -0.09872 | 2.32459 | 0.00073 |
| C | -3.16851 | 0.89906 | 0.97910 | H | -3.09065 | 3.00627 | 1.30095 |
| C | -2.57181 | 2.26551 | 0.67955 | H | -2.76459 | 2.54670 | -0.36189 |
| C | -1.06712 | 2.27579 | 0.97051 | C | -4.08087 | -1.94708 | 0.34465 |
| C | -3.06243 | -0.31237 | -1.17607 | C | -4.32068 | -1.18882 | -0.98955 |
| C | -2.66682 | -1.51994 | 0.77774 | H | -2.31953 | -0.82434 | -1.79026 |
| C | 1.83832 | 1.90312 | -0.78676 | H | -3.25633 | 0.66941 | -1.60913 |
| C | 3.19251 | 2.27490 | -1.33792 | H | -2.51353 | -1.48117 | 1.85708 |
| O | 0.97712 | 2.84864 | -0.68329 | H | -1.90622 | -2.16641 | 0.33644 |
| H | 4.47614 | -2.39277 | 1.48774 | H | -4.82035 | -1.65570 | 1.09495 |
| H | 4.70875 | -1.86524 | -0.18674 | H | -4.14167 | -3.03103 | 0.22724 |
| H | 3.40895 | -2.99892 | 0.20275 | H | -4.43635 | -1.86823 | -1.83658 |
| H | 4.35613 | -0.02968 | 2.25872 | H | -5.22479 | -0.57779 | -0.93655 |
| H | 3.20547 | 1.11445 | 1.55447 |  |  |  |  |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{32}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation with pseudo-axial binding

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1050.56157242
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.364337$
Imaginary frequency = -1346.0304

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1052.00803526$


| O | 3.31953 | -2.75958 | -1.19788 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.82412 | -1.71434 | -0.77656 |
| C | 3.71376 | -0.48925 | -0.46752 |
| H | 4.47353 | -0.43503 | -1.25302 |
| C | 4.46741 | -0.65783 | 0.90062 |
| C | 5.49461 | -1.79520 | 0.77247 |
| C | 5.21134 | 0.64722 | 1.22694 |
| C | 3.48639 | -0.97620 | 2.04142 |
| N | 2.85583 | 0.68699 | -0.49628 |
| Pd | 0.94785 | 0.31007 | 0.01264 |
| O | 1.54504 | -1.58386 | -0.53801 |
| N | -1.10221 | -0.16498 | 0.38308 |
| C | -1.61726 | 0.86543 | 1.32056 |
| C | -1.14358 | 2.24929 | 0.90684 |
| C | 0.38506 | 2.31658 | 0.88621 |
| C | -1.83482 | -0.17687 | -0.92034 |
| C | -1.29805 | -1.54968 | 0.91739 |
| C | 3.08179 | 1.84558 | -1.07755 |
| C | 4.39203 | 2.21015 | -1.72977 |
| O | 2.16325 | 2.74254 | -1.08179 |
| H | 6.04385 | -1.90672 | 1.71321 |
| H | 6.22196 | -1.57821 | -0.01787 |
| H | 5.01154 | -2.74386 | 0.53307 |
| H | 5.76753 | 0.53243 | 2.16289 |
| H | 4.51844 | 1.48431 | 1.34783 |


| H | 5.93023 | 0.90381 | 0.44217 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 4.03197 | -1.06996 | 2.98610 |
| H | 2.95409 | -1.91569 | 1.86478 |
| H | 2.74122 | -0.18345 | 2.15721 |
| H | -1.22334 | 0.62489 | 2.31283 |
| H | -2.71239 | 0.79783 | 1.36401 |
| H | 4.18231 | 2.74938 | -2.65539 |
| H | 5.02386 | 1.34958 | -1.94559 |
| H | 4.94173 | 2.88397 | -1.06577 |
| H | 0.81569 | 2.00414 | 1.84439 |
| H | 0.69807 | 3.36255 | 0.77902 |
| H | 1.18017 | 2.27838 | -0.23915 |
| H | -1.54684 | 2.97925 | 1.61967 |
| H | -1.55551 | 2.51377 | -0.07311 |
| C | -1.34682 | -2.47836 | -0.31134 |
| C | -1.48244 | -1.53190 | -1.53060 |
| H | -0.52755 | -1.46803 | -2.05600 |
| H | -2.24661 | -1.85703 | -2.24000 |
| H | -2.19629 | -3.16065 | -0.23186 |
| H | -0.43262 | -3.06483 | -0.38824 |
| H | -2.24932 | -1.57010 | 1.46375 |
| H | -0.49359 | -1.78034 | 1.61675 |
| H | -1.54087 | 0.67802 | -1.53030 |
| H | -2.91158 | -0.10629 | -0.71153 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{33}$ - Acetate-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the heterocycle

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -914.361915216
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.254981$
Imaginary frequency = -1088.7877

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -915.786345125


| O | 1.57597 | 1.42089 | 1.83560 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.48263 | 2.17230 | 0.85542 |
| O | -2.24439 | 1.31228 | -0.40349 |
| Pd | -0.43105 | 0.26066 | -0.16042 |
| O | 0.66494 | 2.01579 | -0.14205 |
| N | 0.77066 | -1.39728 | 0.00691 |
| C | 1.26360 | -1.69371 | 1.38867 |
| C | -0.57223 | -1.92745 | -0.14887 |
| C | 1.74874 | -1.59966 | -1.08848 |
| C | -3.21135 | 0.48591 | -0.52413 |
| C | -4.59346 | 1.06032 | -0.73627 |
| O | -3.08206 | -0.77503 | -0.50196 |
| H | -1.66195 | -1.07538 | -0.33086 |
| H | -5.32701 | 0.48987 | -0.16337 |
| H | -4.85115 | 0.96582 | -1.79627 |
| H | -4.62752 | 2.11330 | -0.45759 |
| H | -0.70794 | -2.47450 | -1.08195 |
| H | 1.20208 | -1.52255 | -2.03151 |
| H | 2.14021 | -2.62161 | -1.00265 |


| C | 2.88145 | -0.57837 | -1.04939 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 3.88802 | -0.82870 | -2.17457 |
| H | 3.38833 | -0.62333 | -0.07976 |
| H | 2.44957 | 0.42326 | -1.13847 |
| H | 4.34345 | -1.82099 | -2.08808 |
| H | 4.69142 | -0.08744 | -2.14657 |
| H | 3.40718 | -0.76501 | -3.15671 |
| C | 2.39698 | 3.38275 | 0.71128 |
| C | -0.93585 | -2.63560 | 1.15262 |
| C | -0.01446 | -1.96137 | 2.18198 |
| H | 1.83515 | -0.84105 | 1.74849 |
| H | 1.89532 | -2.58796 | 1.32824 |
| H | 0.17269 | -2.58622 | 3.05705 |
| H | -0.44688 | -1.01448 | 2.51903 |
| H | -0.69758 | -3.70079 | 1.05538 |
| H | -1.99667 | -2.54250 | 1.39015 |
| H | 2.79423 | 3.67713 | 1.68404 |
| H | 1.87402 | 4.22168 | 0.24724 |
| H | 3.23669 | 3.11342 | 0.06063 |

$\mathrm{TS}_{34}$ - Acetate-assisted $\beta$ - H elimination on the propyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -914.353336646
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.254810$
Imaginary frequency = -1195.2711

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -915.777003481


| O | -2.15300 | -1.54976 | 1.27529 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -2.28702 | -1.81775 | 0.07162 |
| O | 1.53258 | -1.93074 | -0.35223 |
| Pd | 0.14641 | -0.34801 | -0.18481 |
| O | -1.44362 | -1.50606 | -0.86429 |
| N | -0.50069 | 1.54766 | 0.21861 |
| C | -1.40087 | 1.69398 | 1.40292 |
| C | 0.92783 | 1.61418 | 0.41646 |
| C | -1.18288 | 2.26218 | -0.89399 |
| C | 2.64211 | -1.57610 | 0.16776 |
| C | 3.77235 | -2.57847 | 0.17056 |
| O | 2.85857 | -0.43032 | 0.67033 |
| C | 1.71330 | 2.50560 | -0.53887 |
| H | 1.68620 | 0.39613 | 0.43832 |
| H | 4.56737 | -2.21566 | -0.48797 |
| H | 3.43416 | -3.55575 | -0.17202 |
| H | 4.19015 | -2.65667 | 1.17720 |
| H | 1.27922 | 3.51222 | -0.48528 |
| H | 1.58248 | 2.15559 | -1.56689 |


| C | 3.20334 | 2.58286 | -0.19833 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 3.35273 | 2.93581 | 0.82751 |
| H | 3.70312 | 3.28466 | -0.87121 |
| H | 3.68355 | 1.60716 | -0.29253 |
| C | -2.63438 | 1.81594 | -0.72361 |
| H | 1.15149 | 1.80723 | 1.46871 |
| C | -3.53844 | -2.52505 | -0.43370 |
| H | -4.01881 | -3.07490 | 0.37729 |
| H | -3.30704 | -3.19876 | -1.26136 |
| H | -4.24223 | -1.77148 | -0.80486 |
| C | -2.82150 | 1.66041 | 0.81033 |
| H | -1.17548 | 2.65811 | 1.87144 |
| H | -1.20214 | 0.88851 | 2.10729 |
| H | -3.42097 | 2.47225 | 1.22690 |
| H | -3.30547 | 0.71372 | 1.04867 |
| H | -2.77534 | 0.85401 | -1.22134 |
| H | -3.32492 | 2.53708 | -1.16432 |
| H | -1.06872 | 3.34135 | -0.73373 |
| H | -0.73884 | 1.99272 | -1.85071 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{35}$ - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the heterocycle

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1050.55515579$ Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.360837$

Imaginary frequency $=-1121.3581$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1052.00582612$


| O | -2.11646 | 3.07706 | -0.44241 | H | -1.76274 | 1.26294 | 2.27272 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -1.55954 | 1.99187 | -0.27414 | H | -1.47093 | -0.45439 | 1.96796 |
| C | -2.35123 | 0.67063 | -0.44780 | H | 0.64082 | -1.67929 | -0.81326 |
| H | -3.06787 | 0.81727 | -1.26336 | H | -2.46885 | -2.05361 | -3.29689 |
| C | -3.17159 | 0.35288 | 0.85968 | H | -3.47429 | -0.98216 | -2.29145 |
| C | -4.24461 | 1.43700 | 1.05898 | H | -3.21845 | -2.67372 | -1.82420 |
| C | -3.87289 | -1.00683 | 0.71460 | C | 1.98584 | -1.81446 | 2.13558 |
| C | -2.25210 | 0.30213 | 2.09185 | H | 2.37614 | -1.94942 | -1.20326 |
| N | -1.38085 | -0.36020 | -0.77487 | C | 1.91725 | -2.67875 | 0.86529 |
| Pd | 0.47987 | -0.01468 | -0.12848 | H | 3.16946 | 0.22472 | -1.58922 |
| O | -0.31046 | 1.88343 | 0.10239 | H | 4.46095 | -0.20776 | -0.45160 |
| N | 2.49623 | -0.41684 | 0.27423 | C | 3.60933 | 1.76974 | -0.14626 |
| C | 2.86033 | -0.63658 | 1.70366 | C | 4.57833 | 2.52356 | -1.05988 |
| C | 1.91463 | -1.64955 | -0.26090 | H | 3.94826 | 1.84357 | 0.89310 |
| C | 3.49249 | 0.30218 | -0.54785 | H | 2.61210 | 2.22297 | -0.19129 |
| C | -1.48927 | -1.45141 | -1.51281 | H | 5.58275 | 2.08887 | -1.01868 |
| C | -2.74851 | -1.79457 | -2.27316 | H | 4.65516 | 3.57318 | -0.76308 |
| O | -0.50039 | -2.25547 | -1.63108 | H | 4.24186 | 2.49274 | -2.10185 |
| H | -4.84448 | 1.20506 | 1.94526 | H | 2.81265 | -3.30411 | 0.77784 |
| H | -4.92066 | 1.48217 | 0.19784 | H | 1.04238 | -3.33025 | 0.83029 |
| H | -3.79909 | 2.42472 | 1.18709 | H | 0.98787 | -1.46344 | 2.41607 |
| H | -4.46463 | -1.21187 | 1.61262 | 2.41307 | -2.34672 | 2.98737 |  |
| H | -3.15317 | -1.82151 | 0.59958 | -0.14184 | -1.92600 | -0.89471 | 1.74849 |
| H | -4.55374 | -1.01946 | -2.83710 | 0.04523 | 2.98102 |  |  |

$\mathrm{TS}_{36}$ - Ligand-assisted $\beta$ - H elimination on the propyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1050.54799886$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.359273$
Imaginary frequency = -1204.4477

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1051.99818655


| O | 1.76929 | -4.18973 | -1.03155 | H | 1.02851 | -3.18108 | 1.96213 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.06069 | -3.24384 | -0.68409 | H | 0.50742 | -1.49356 | 2.06439 |
| C | 1.68570 | -1.84302 | -0.45870 | H | -1.54843 | 0.18112 | -0.54732 |
| H | 2.46679 | -1.70402 | -1.21418 | H | 1.64563 | 1.46338 | -2.61762 |
| C | 2.37122 | -1.76926 | 0.95699 | H | 2.70600 | 0.29947 | -1.78536 |
| C | 3.57659 | -2.72426 | 0.98532 | H | 2.19610 | 1.78981 | -0.97229 |
| C | 2.87300 | -0.33930 | 1.21255 | C | -4.14975 | -3.53476 | 0.85057 |
| C | 1.38174 | -2.15188 | 2.07090 | C | -4.36151 | -3.47775 | -0.69086 |
| N | 0.61667 | -0.87357 | -0.62067 | C | -2.95407 | 0.70291 | 1.25484 |
| Pd | -1.22887 | -1.56147 | -0.26153 | C | -2.44270 | 2.13566 | 1.08803 |
| O | -0.21934 | -3.36408 | -0.44357 | H | -2.57159 | 2.69165 | 2.02045 |
| N | -3.29523 | -1.49170 | 0.03198 | H | -2.99647 | 2.66105 | 0.30269 |
| C | -3.84683 | -2.08967 | 1.27292 | H | -1.38536 | 2.14803 | 0.81472 |
| C | -2.84344 | -0.11340 | -0.02683 | H | -2.40610 | 0.20549 | 2.06019 |
| C | -4.15491 | -2.00443 | -1.06465 | H | -4.01068 | 0.72441 | 1.55099 |
| C | 0.62684 | 0.34719 | -1.12316 | H | -3.29374 | 0.38989 | -0.88735 |
| C | 1.87982 | 0.99839 | -1.65759 | H | -4.76275 | -1.55262 | 1.54910 |
| O | -0.45637 | 1.02959 | -1.18255 | H | -3.12937 | -2.01004 | 2.08804 |
| H | 4.07778 | -2.65991 | 1.95687 | H | -5.10080 | -1.44812 | -1.05149 |
| H | 4.30598 | -2.45663 | 0.21255 | H | -3.66346 | -1.84740 | -2.02522 |
| H | 3.27274 | -3.75828 | 0.81526 | H | -3.62597 | -4.10427 | -1.20006 |
| H | 3.37170 | -0.29295 | 2.18613 | H | -5.35487 | -3.81824 | -0.98847 |
| H | 2.04966 | 0.37971 | 1.22592 | H | -5.02534 | -3.91558 | 1.37933 |
| H | 3.59644 | -0.02583 | 0.45371 | H | -3.30386 | -4.18121 | 1.09447 |
| H | 1.86872 | -2.06158 | 3.04744 |  |  |  |  |

## III.IV. Nuclear coordinates for complexes containing 1-propylazepane

333 - 1-Propylazepane

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -409.220627721
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.232031$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-409.315591490$

| C | -2.52702 | -1.12431 | -0.21119 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -2.51633 | 0.27245 | -0.85241 |
| H | -3.03619 | -1.82886 | -0.88062 |
| C | -1.15275 | -1.70142 | 0.15075 |
| H | -3.14162 | -1.07085 | 0.69850 |
| H | -1.88748 | 0.27567 | -1.75106 |
| H | -3.53650 | 0.50153 | -1.18269 |
| C | -2.05571 | 1.37055 | 0.12119 |
| H | -1.29922 | -2.62031 | 0.73370 |
| C | -0.23039 | -0.75595 | 0.92919 |
| H | -0.62069 | -1.98704 | -0.76491 |
| C | -0.53622 | 1.57715 | 0.20984 |
| H | -2.49493 | 2.33379 | -0.16254 |
| H | -2.46030 | 1.14167 | 1.11461 |
| N | 0.24715 | 0.34851 | 0.08611 |


| H | -0.72912 | -0.38425 | 1.84008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.63488 | -1.32839 | 1.27543 |
| H | -0.31202 | 2.11445 | 1.15294 |
| H | -0.21715 | 2.23884 | -0.60523 |
| C | 1.67771 | 0.61447 | 0.22763 |
| C | 2.55678 | -0.44968 | -0.43280 |
| H | 1.97115 | 0.73412 | 1.28951 |
| H | 1.88505 | 1.57764 | -0.25401 |
| C | 4.04810 | -0.13940 | -0.28734 |
| H | 2.28153 | -0.51439 | -1.49222 |
| H | 2.34619 | -1.43433 | 0.00164 |
| H | 4.29758 | 0.82600 | -0.74192 |
| H | 4.66298 | -0.90459 | -0.77074 |
| H | 4.34084 | -0.09339 | 0.76758 |

## 341 - Bisamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1402.29615318$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.576630$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1403.82966801$


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | -0.00004 | 0.59310 | -0.01850 |
| O | -0.19514 | 0.94695 | 2.00782 |
| N | 1.88178 | 1.73142 | -0.13310 |
| O | 0.19227 | 0.19963 | -2.03126 |
| N | -1.89717 | -0.52607 | 0.09906 |
| C | 2.58134 | 1.72143 | 1.19263 |
| C | 2.82044 | 0.32467 | 1.77423 |
| C | 1.49595 | 3.15561 | -0.41639 |
| C | 2.78601 | 1.25785 | -1.22437 |
| C | -2.85486 | 0.12320 | 1.04598 |
| C | -3.14969 | 1.59620 | 0.74596 |
| C | -1.57267 | -1.91737 | 0.56881 |
| C | -2.51856 | -0.69315 | -1.25111 |
| C | 0.54149 | -0.98376 | -2.44149 |
| O | 0.71525 | -1.97734 | -1.72428 |
| C | 0.74545 | -1.05060 | -3.94823 |
| H | 0.84061 | -2.08682 | -4.27489 |
| H | 1.65924 | -0.50742 | -4.21218 |
| H | -0.08498 | -0.56677 | -4.46863 |
| C | -0.47140 | 2.09271 | 2.55301 |
| C | -0.68515 | 1.98196 | 4.05807 |
| H | -1.62577 | 1.45486 | 4.25088 |
| H | -0.73192 | 2.97262 | 4.51212 |
| H | 0.11669 | 1.39640 | 4.51510 |
| O | -0.57707 | 3.17891 | 1.97131 |
| C | -0.89072 | -2.05378 | 1.92759 |
| H | -0.92039 | -2.33777 | -0.19747 |
| H | -2.51261 | -2.48950 | 0.57654 |
| C | -0.47873 | -3.51130 | 2.15779 |
| H | -1.55683 | -1.73276 | 2.73485 |
| H | -0.01455 | -1.40496 | 1.97235 |
| H | -1.34273 | -4.18402 | 2.11516 |
| H | -0.00872 | -3.63358 | 3.13808 |
| H | 0.23793 | -3.84137 | 1.39769 |
| C | -2.95724 | 0.57540 | -1.98376 |
| C | 0.72620 | 3.39050 | -1.71422 |
| C | 3.45224 | -0.10807 | -1.04375 |
|  |  |  |  |


| C | 0.21415 | 4.83282 | -1.77048 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -0.11703 | 2.69605 | -1.76769 |
| H | 1.35531 | 3.19059 | -2.58743 |
| H | -0.47073 | 5.03717 | -0.94048 |
| H | -0.32293 | 5.02106 | -2.70479 |
| H | 1.03834 | 5.55219 | -1.70794 |
| H | 0.87597 | 3.46944 | 0.42298 |
| H | 2.41833 | 3.75571 | -0.42023 |
| C | 4.17364 | -0.31357 | 1.43250 |
| C | -4.31508 | 1.89940 | -0.20405 |
| C | -4.32118 | 1.11393 | -1.53296 |
| C | 4.60865 | -0.13282 | -0.03298 |
| H | -3.39554 | -1.34488 | -1.12265 |
| H | -1.79872 | -1.24262 | -1.85073 |
| H | -3.02688 | 0.29802 | -3.04187 |
| H | -2.17720 | 1.33931 | -1.92405 |
| H | -4.73373 | 1.75330 | -2.32111 |
| H | -5.00627 | 0.26046 | -1.45460 |
| H | -4.28477 | 2.97471 | -0.41616 |
| H | -5.26369 | 1.72333 | 0.31714 |
| H | -2.23056 | 2.06155 | 0.38105 |
| H | -3.35559 | 2.08890 | 1.70209 |
| H | -3.77782 | -0.47515 | 1.04960 |
| H | -2.40410 | 0.04983 | 2.03125 |
| H | 3.52882 | 2.26576 | 1.07433 |
| H | 1.95759 | 2.30500 | 1.86502 |
| H | 2.71628 | 0.38784 | 2.86223 |
| H | 2.00715 | -0.32447 | 1.44010 |
| H | 4.10885 | -1.38288 | 1.66675 |
| H | 4.95262 | 0.09474 | 2.08755 |
| H | 5.30412 | -0.93738 | -0.29605 |
| H | 5.17829 | 0.79912 | -0.13973 |
| H | 2.70345 | -0.87117 | -0.81428 |
| H | 3.84840 | -0.36498 | -2.03336 |
| H | 3.57070 | 2.02069 | -1.33986 |
| H | 2.19058 | 1.24739 | -2.13268 |

## 342 - Monoamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -993.037907754
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.316654$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-994.475446658


| Pd | 0.24923 | 0.63599 | -0.22906 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.78079 | 2.14788 | 0.84091 |
| O | 0.80945 | 2.67628 | -0.57152 |
| C | -0.07632 | 3.05241 | 0.26787 |
| C | -0.31345 | 4.50320 | 0.55272 |
| H | -0.69926 | 4.63152 | 1.56533 |
| H | -1.05993 | 4.88478 | -0.15217 |
| H | 0.60867 | 5.07103 | 0.41865 |
| N | -0.63013 | -1.16742 | 0.40927 |
| C | 0.42063 | -1.97410 | 1.11597 |
| C | -1.08845 | -1.96879 | -0.77573 |
| O | 1.41449 | -0.46854 | -1.46985 |
| C | 2.67192 | -0.55972 | -1.11697 |
| C | 3.49085 | -1.41149 | -2.07435 |
| O | 3.16463 | -0.05169 | -0.10706 |
| C | -1.72791 | -0.84022 | 1.37390 |
| H | 1.17591 | -2.21281 | 0.36730 |
| H | -0.04371 | -2.91764 | 1.43309 |
| C | 1.08441 | -1.28752 | 2.30674 |
| C | 2.32953 | -2.06683 | 2.73886 |
| H | 0.38567 | -1.20517 | 3.14504 |
| H | 1.37936 | -0.27321 | 2.01789 |


| H | 2.08758 | -3.10655 | 2.98548 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| H | 2.78625 | -1.61123 | 3.62205 |
| H | 3.07183 | -2.06760 | 1.93478 |
| C | -2.99363 | -0.19749 | 0.80597 |
| H | -1.29103 | -0.17434 | 2.11804 |
| H | -1.99925 | -1.77596 | 1.88250 |
| C | -1.97505 | -1.20992 | -1.76590 |
| H | -1.58811 | -2.87022 | -0.39874 |
| H | -0.17261 | -2.27388 | -1.27950 |
| C | -3.48705 | -1.25944 | -1.49753 |
| H | -1.77816 | -1.61983 | -2.76203 |
| H | -1.63140 | -0.17002 | -1.80337 |
| C | -3.87958 | -1.14441 | -0.01567 |
| H | -2.74333 | 0.70724 | 0.24411 |
| H | -3.55423 | 0.13996 | 1.68492 |
| H | -4.92138 | -0.81236 | 0.04659 |
| H | -3.85481 | -2.13594 | 0.45403 |
| H | -3.90110 | -2.19095 | -1.90063 |
| H | -3.95577 | -0.44680 | -2.06468 |
| H | 4.55475 | -1.29671 | -1.86393 |
| H | 3.28062 | -1.13954 | -3.11145 |
| H | 3.21247 | -2.46290 | -1.94675 |

## 343 - Bidentate ligand-amine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1358.38207928$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.477667$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1359.91888506


| Pd | -1.22644 | 0.35872 | -0.15032 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.78344 | -1.47716 | 0.57208 |
| N | 0.67653 | 0.11168 | -1.05326 |
| O | -1.58300 | 2.26757 | -0.82770 |
| N | -3.13378 | 0.48889 | 0.79512 |
| C | 0.46031 | -1.86514 | 0.42426 |
| O | 0.85337 | -2.96519 | 0.80116 |
| C | 1.43866 | -0.87433 | -0.23544 |
| C | 2.40147 | -0.16990 | 0.76938 |
| C | 1.63644 | 0.61311 | 1.84920 |
| C | 3.32745 | 0.78665 | -0.00895 |
| C | 3.27631 | -1.24227 | 1.44375 |
| H | 2.67646 | -1.94915 | 2.01816 |
| H | 3.98829 | -0.75599 | 2.11773 |
| H | 3.84635 | -1.80948 | 0.70046 |
| H | 2.79631 | 1.65265 | -0.41210 |
| H | 3.82489 | 0.26708 | -0.83478 |
| H | 4.10186 | 1.16897 | 0.66304 |
| C | 0.40679 | -0.28608 | -2.40266 |
| H | 2.05101 | -1.46260 | -0.92239 |
| C | 0.03908 | 0.83129 | -3.34474 |
| O | 0.47264 | -1.45816 | -2.72032 |
| H | 0.96165 | 1.10644 | -0.97821 |
| C | -0.61218 | 3.12163 | -0.93383 |
| O | 0.60156 | 2.85523 | -0.84998 |
| C | -1.08142 | 4.54261 | -1.18091 |
| C | -4.14286 | 0.99607 | -0.19528 |
| C | -3.00543 | 1.52898 | 1.86891 |
| C | -3.52438 | -0.81809 | 1.40680 |
| C | -3.87621 | -1.95474 | 0.44652 |
| H | 0.75320 | 1.65489 | -3.26755 |
| H | -0.94486 | 1.22921 | -3.08174 |
|  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 0.01668 | 0.44031 | -4.36114 |
| C | -4.19579 | 0.21888 | -1.51319 |
| C | -5.17306 | -0.96585 | -1.55952 |
| C | -5.22540 | -1.80241 | -0.27042 |
| H | 0.98944 | -0.04799 | 2.43338 |
| H | 1.02110 | 1.40781 | 1.41794 |
| H | 2.34652 | 1.08035 | 2.53882 |
| H | -1.79555 | 4.56165 | -2.00815 |
| H | -0.23499 | 5.19330 | -1.40073 |
| H | -1.60194 | 4.90895 | -0.29037 |
| C | -2.03854 | 1.17636 | 2.99683 |
| C | -1.67232 | 2.42361 | 3.80522 |
| H | -1.13104 | 0.73760 | 2.57220 |
| H | -2.47792 | 0.42281 | 3.65776 |
| H | -1.16156 | 3.16000 | 3.17522 |
| H | -1.00618 | 2.16867 | 4.63422 |
| H | -2.56315 | 2.90262 | 4.22587 |
| H | -4.00752 | 1.71893 | 2.27727 |
| H | -2.67112 | 2.43806 | 1.36511 |
| H | -3.85372 | 2.02515 | -0.39876 |
| H | -5.12157 | 1.00912 | 0.30127 |
| H | -3.18175 | -0.12216 | -1.74768 |
| H | -4.45765 | 0.92827 | -2.30535 |
| H | -4.88511 | -1.60696 | -2.40094 |
| H | -6.18206 | -0.60144 | -1.78527 |
| H | -5.62216 | -2.79423 | -0.51249 |
| H | -5.94255 | -1.35897 | 0.43210 |
| H | -3.90850 | -2.85285 | 1.07368 |
| H | -3.05861 | -2.11343 | -0.26288 |
| H | -4.38371 | -0.62327 | 2.06455 |
| H | -2.68620 | -1.13026 | 2.02611 |
|  |  |  |  |

## TS 37 - Acetate-assisted C-H activation

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -992.995262810
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.314402$
Imaginary frequency $=-1340.2168$

Single-point energy calculation:


B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-994.434851177$

| 0 | 0.56881 | 2.59097 | -1.49517 | H | 2.06171 | -2.79120 | -1.09336 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.24669 | 2.71456 | -0.30809 | H | 2.05560 | -1.65419 | 0.00332 |
| 0 | 2.78760 | 0.55219 | 0.02416 | H | -0.14834 | -3.80639 | -1.24010 |
| Pd | 0.80453 | -0.09276 | -0.16260 | H | -0.01689 | -3.21060 | 0.40400 |
| 0 | 0.19333 | 1.74677 | 0.56223 | C | -4.48840 | 0.30722 | 0.04451 |
| N | -1.22094 | -0.73182 | -0.18027 | C | -3.93774 | 0.09147 | 1.46488 |
| C | -1.29268 | -2.04419 | -0.89844 | H | -0.92893 | -1.67272 | 1.66139 |
| C | -0.05755 | -2.89011 | -0.64275 | H | -1.23881 | 0.06142 | 1.74721 |
| C | 1.20211 | -2.11351 | -1.01791 | H | -3.01313 | -1.42196 | 2.69229 |
| C | -1.55835 | -0.86934 | 1.27293 | H | -3.42419 | -1.99934 | 1.09469 |
| C | -2.04866 | 0.31116 | -0.87601 | H | -3.37591 | 0.97905 | 1.78247 |
| C | 3.54953 | -0.33907 | 0.51134 | H | -4.78412 | 0.01285 | 2.15569 |
| C | 4.96017 | 0.03892 | 0.88188 | H | -5.39965 | -0.28770 | -0.08644 |
| 0 | 3.17734 | -1.54476 | 0.68705 | H | -4.79407 | 1.35558 | -0.04988 |
| H | -1.35579 | -1.81489 | -1.96564 | H | -3.84703 | 0.48642 | -2.00446 |
| H | -2.20496 | -2.57645 | -0.61635 | H | -3.61270 | -1.10718 | -1.35279 |
| C | -3.02651 | -1.13266 | 1.63541 | H | -1.55517 | 0.48416 | -1.83377 |
| C | -3.52500 | -0.04640 | -1.10343 | H | -1.95113 | 1.22373 | -0.28939 |
| H | 5.12295 | 1.10912 | 0.76166 | C | -0.17741 | 4.06157 | 0.26373 |
| H | 5.65534 | -0.51457 | 0.24390 | H | 0.33099 | 4.25480 | 1.21188 |
| H | 5.15544 | -0.25687 | 1.91575 | H | -1.25336 | 4.04533 | 0.46795 |
| H | 1.12807 | -1.66959 | -2.01783 | H | 0.03842 | 4.86203 | -0.44521 |

## TS ${ }_{38}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation

Geometry optimisation
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1129.19977997
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.419134$
Imaginary frequency =-1326.5874

Single-point energy calculation:


B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1130.66451483

| O | 2.64678 | -1.56159 | -1.65531 | H | 2.47671 | 0.56228 | 2.06294 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 2.38409 | -0.51388 | -1.06584 | H | -1.50393 | 2.53096 | 2.07239 |
| C | 3.51392 | 0.40290 | -0.54523 | H | -2.66854 | 2.94681 | 0.81191 |
| H | 4.31423 | 0.38565 | -1.29115 | C | -3.13477 | 1.20509 | -1.34459 |
| C | 4.11569 | -0.14457 | 0.79930 | C | -2.82050 | 0.01111 | 1.36779 |
| C | 4.83894 | -1.47331 | 0.52246 | H | 5.54380 | 3.43205 | -0.54117 |
| C | 5.13442 | 0.87047 | 1.34211 | H | 4.88428 | 3.72162 | -2.15437 |
| C | 3.01670 | -0.36601 | 1.85224 | H | 5.32383 | 2.07074 | -1.65197 |
| N | 2.96108 | 1.74457 | -0.40928 | H | 0.85429 | 3.28455 | 1.97567 |
| Pd | 0.99240 | 1.75427 | -0.00098 | H | 1.23500 | 4.70946 | 1.07467 |
| O | 1.15838 | -0.12947 | -0.81313 | H | 1.67169 | 3.63831 | 0.02573 |
| N | -1.12346 | 1.58233 | 0.24425 | H | -1.15449 | 4.82990 | 1.31332 |
| C | -1.60712 | 2.77043 | 1.01036 | H | -0.91194 | 4.31101 | -0.34413 |
| C | -0.77302 | 4.00460 | 0.69854 | C | -3.66282 | -0.72517 | 0.31125 |
| C | 0.70783 | 3.75064 | 0.99430 | C | -3.43305 | -0.28818 | -1.14664 |
| C | -1.65555 | 1.56748 | -1.15297 | H | -1.45799 | 2.55942 | -1.56610 |
| C | -1.36118 | 0.30331 | 0.98776 | H | -1.04333 | 0.85341 | -1.70961 |
| C | 3.49704 | 2.88848 | -0.77625 | H | -3.37141 | 1.48135 | -2.37841 |
| C | 4.89801 | 3.01698 | -1.32072 | H | -3.78298 | 1.82493 | -0.71407 |
| O | 2.82132 | 3.97233 | -0.65436 | H | -2.59912 | -0.85820 | -1.57539 |
| H | 5.28685 | -1.85145 | 1.44733 | H | -4.31515 | -0.56424 | -1.73448 |
| H | 5.64309 | -1.33632 | -0.20917 | H | -4.71891 | -0.58680 | 0.57063 |
| H | 4.15394 | -2.22720 | 0.13103 | H | -3.47139 | -1.80210 | 0.38286 |
| H | 5.58579 | 0.48601 | 2.26241 | H | -2.80390 | -0.59328 | 2.28117 |
| H | 4.66109 | 1.82826 | 1.57449 | H | -3.31139 | 0.94689 | 1.65165 |
| H | 5.94176 | 1.04839 | 0.62451 | H | -0.75676 | 0.37947 | 1.89515 |
| H | 3.46322 | -0.72010 | 2.78714 | H | -0.93249 | -0.49755 | 0.38159 |
| H | 2.28679 | -1.11142 | 1.52286 |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{TS}_{39}$ - Acetate-assisted $\boldsymbol{\beta}-\mathrm{H}$ elimination on the heterocycle

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-993.000456322
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.310572$
Imaginary frequency $=-1070.9846$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-994.443360970$


| O | -2.32377 | 2.16448 | -0.39306 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -2.22727 | 1.90958 | 0.81656 |
| O | 1.20368 | 2.21456 | 0.04513 |
| Pd | 0.10329 | 0.41940 | 0.03627 |
| O | -1.31153 | 1.16735 | 1.35703 |
| N | -0.28978 | -1.55614 | -0.42657 |
| C | -1.42878 | -1.77017 | -1.37624 |
| C | 0.98220 | -1.25909 | -1.06411 |
| C | -0.33459 | -2.53543 | 0.69978 |
| C | 2.24814 | 2.08916 | -0.67981 |
| C | 3.17664 | 3.27763 | -0.77857 |
| O | 2.55799 | 1.03245 | -1.30754 |
| H | 1.51754 | 0.03421 | -1.01517 |
| H | 3.37181 | 3.50163 | -1.83022 |
| H | 4.13309 | 3.01878 | -0.31458 |
| H | 2.75511 | 4.15071 | -0.28176 |
| C | -2.77048 | -1.24687 | -0.87215 |
| C | 2.24084 | -2.01659 | -0.65965 |
| H | 0.85117 | -1.25123 | -2.14621 |
| C | -3.89494 | -1.63274 | -1.83812 |
| H | -2.71066 | -0.15900 | -0.78171 |
| H | -2.99270 | -1.64492 | 0.12359 |


| H | -3.70815 | -1.23489 | -2.84156 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -4.85220 | -1.23176 | -1.49369 |
| H | -3.99588 | -2.72012 | -1.92201 |
| H | -1.17331 | -1.26188 | -2.30773 |
| H | -1.47788 | -2.84621 | -1.58526 |
| C | 2.75992 | -1.78574 | 0.76397 |
| C | 0.49664 | -2.18893 | 1.93417 |
| C | 1.98386 | -2.53810 | 1.84572 |
| H | -0.05131 | -3.51596 | 0.29723 |
| H | -1.38445 | -2.59143 | 0.98441 |
| H | 0.05606 | -2.75096 | 2.76504 |
| H | 0.35919 | -1.12744 | 2.17295 |
| H | 2.08088 | -3.61738 | 1.66690 |
| H | 2.44703 | -2.34344 | 2.81945 |
| H | 2.75583 | -0.70875 | 0.97244 |
| H | 3.80741 | -2.10405 | 0.79879 |
| H | 3.00723 | -1.70259 | -1.37351 |
| H | 2.07273 | -3.08682 | -0.83989 |
| C | -3.24841 | 2.44380 | 1.81449 |
| H | -2.74649 | 2.88282 | 2.68059 |
| H | -3.86505 | 1.61549 | 2.17914 |
| H | -3.89321 | 3.18579 | 1.34159 |

## TS 40 - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the heterocycle

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1129.19740067$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.415607$
Imaginary frequency = -1076.3291

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1130.66546122$


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 2.38763 | 3.05554 | -0.69614 |
| C | 1.76412 | 2.02528 | -0.43820 |
| C | 2.48346 | 0.81767 | 0.21501 |
| H | 3.23483 | 1.21444 | 0.90651 |
| C | 3.24037 | -0.02471 | -0.88048 |
| C | 4.37076 | 0.82888 | -1.48039 |
| C | 3.86021 | -1.27477 | -0.23639 |
| C | 2.28278 | -0.47338 | -1.99748 |
| N | 1.46811 | 0.05492 | 0.92087 |
| Pd | -0.39206 | 0.24801 | 0.20916 |
| O | 0.49932 | 1.86573 | -0.73431 |
| N | -2.45099 | -0.14963 | 0.01718 |
| C | -2.98479 | -0.65705 | -1.27653 |
| C | -1.91803 | -1.10693 | 0.98423 |
| C | -3.32222 | 0.90773 | 0.60755 |
| C | 1.54092 | -0.66575 | 2.02736 |
| C | 2.80881 | -0.77309 | 2.84172 |
| O | 0.51290 | -1.28863 | 2.46393 |
| H | 4.92828 | 0.24024 | -2.21644 |
| H | 5.07423 | 1.14937 | -0.70380 |
| H | 3.98229 | 1.72329 | -1.97006 |
| H | 4.40615 | -1.84571 | -0.99444 |
| H | 3.09473 | -1.93144 | 0.18536 |
| H | 4.56852 | -1.01136 | 0.55491 |
| H | 2.82755 | -1.07279 | -2.73425 |
| H | 1.83546 | 0.37879 | -2.51613 |
| H | 1.47103 | -1.08679 | -1.59596 |
| H | -0.62478 | -0.98049 | 1.48081 |


| H | 2.55571 | -0.60844 | 3.89143 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 3.57615 | -0.06141 | 2.53869 |
| H | 3.21507 | -1.78419 | 2.75186 |
| H | -2.34460 | -0.89925 | 1.96714 |
| C | -1.93761 | -2.59879 | 0.68175 |
| C | -1.06696 | -3.06950 | -0.48812 |
| C | -1.97145 | -1.34725 | -2.19008 |
| C | -3.19105 | 2.25770 | -0.09383 |
| C | -4.03247 | 3.32584 | 0.61014 |
| H | -3.02675 | 1.02093 | 1.65290 |
| H | -4.36092 | 0.55273 | 0.58885 |
| H | -3.50337 | 2.18017 | -1.14069 |
| H | -2.13409 | 2.54690 | -0.09842 |
| H | -5.09448 | 3.05820 | 0.61263 |
| H | -3.93152 | 4.29149 | 0.10723 |
| H | -3.71636 | 3.45446 | 1.65101 |
| C | -1.67843 | -2.81469 | -1.86721 |
| H | -1.59014 | -3.08098 | 1.60029 |
| H | -2.97849 | -2.91862 | 0.54119 |
| H | -3.83634 | -1.31645 | -1.06373 |
| H | -3.37644 | 0.22018 | -1.79022 |
| H | -1.04487 | -0.76069 | -2.20039 |
| H | -2.38135 | -1.29202 | -3.20472 |
| H | -0.89457 | -4.14547 | -0.37612 |
| H | -0.08410 | -2.58698 | -0.41079 |
| H | -2.61410 | -3.38420 | -1.94823 |
| H | -1.00696 | -3.21360 | -2.63595 |

## ATs59 - Acetate-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the propyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-992.993539533$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.311428$
Imaginary frequency $=-1130.8091$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -994.435265199


| O | -1.00911 | 2.61974 | 1.09916 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -1.38307 | 2.53923 | -0.07980 |
| O | 1.96904 | 1.65562 | -0.42510 |
| Pd | 0.38392 | 0.28370 | -0.19006 |
| O | -1.00321 | 1.63606 | -0.93110 |
| N | -0.49957 | -1.52642 | 0.24234 |
| C | -1.16748 | -2.21023 | -0.90195 |
| C | 0.93485 | -1.74464 | 0.40662 |
| C | -1.29354 | -1.60058 | 1.51131 |
| C | 3.01826 | 1.19261 | 0.13390 |
| C | 4.26968 | 2.03839 | 0.09272 |
| O | 3.07799 | 0.06174 | 0.70614 |
| H | 1.80214 | -0.62390 | 0.46834 |
| H | 5.00288 | 1.54981 | -0.55646 |
| H | 4.70503 | 2.09939 | 1.09286 |
| H | 4.05895 | 3.03749 | -0.28708 |
| C | -2.45907 | -1.54819 | -1.36864 |
| C | -2.32502 | -0.49593 | 1.72475 |
| C | 1.65254 | -2.68823 | -0.55525 |
| C | 3.08851 | -2.98593 | -0.11343 |
| H | 3.54432 | -3.70742 | -0.79667 |
| H | 3.10646 | -3.41759 | 0.89287 |


| H | 3.69780 | -2.08046 | -0.10523 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 1.65689 | -2.27501 | -1.56779 |
| H | 1.08886 | -3.62810 | -0.59195 |
| H | 1.15248 | -1.98554 | 1.44893 |
| C | -3.42177 | -0.30994 | 0.65156 |
| C | -3.60198 | -1.45875 | -0.34717 |
| H | -1.75908 | -2.59188 | 1.53074 |
| H | -0.58074 | -1.55910 | 2.33590 |
| H | -1.79600 | 0.45097 | 1.86620 |
| H | -2.79139 | -0.74270 | 2.68607 |
| H | -3.19910 | 0.58873 | 0.06982 |
| H | -4.37390 | -0.12085 | 1.15845 |
| H | -2.78792 | -2.13823 | -2.23186 |
| H | -2.22682 | -0.54255 | -1.73693 |
| H | -1.35466 | -3.24781 | -0.59643 |
| H | -0.46590 | -2.22295 | -1.73328 |
| H | -4.53170 | -1.28392 | -0.89992 |
| H | -3.73302 | -2.41954 | 0.16792 |
| C | -2.41319 | 3.51669 | -0.63442 |
| H | -3.41529 | 3.11292 | -0.45088 |
| H | -2.29705 | 3.65414 | -1.71095 |
| H | -2.33644 | 4.47664 | -0.12025 |

## ATS60 - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the propyl substituent

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1129.19117996$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.416867$
Imaginary frequency = -1163.6279

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1130.65849139


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 2.05807 | -3.06010 | -1.07356 |
| C | 1.55729 | -1.98960 | -0.72660 |
| C | 2.45091 | -0.74371 | -0.50016 |
| H | 3.24546 | -0.76410 | -1.25398 |
| C | 3.13383 | -0.80583 | 0.91707 |
| C | 4.12702 | -1.97964 | 0.94841 |
| C | 3.90545 | 0.49880 | 1.17118 |
| C | 2.08582 | -0.98586 | 2.02890 |
| N | 1.59857 | 0.42028 | -0.66203 |
| Pd | -0.34058 | 0.12139 | -0.26104 |
| O | 0.27965 | -1.84493 | -0.48717 |
| N | -2.35660 | 0.60614 | 0.08746 |
| C | -2.99977 | 0.14089 | 1.34846 |
| C | -1.59058 | 1.85546 | 0.08432 |
| C | -3.24788 | 0.44267 | -1.09668 |
| C | 1.84705 | 1.60764 | -1.18360 |
| C | 3.20076 | 1.98558 | -1.73573 |
| O | 0.92296 | 2.49240 | -1.24020 |
| H | 4.62821 | -2.01599 | 1.92139 |
| H | 4.89705 | -1.86129 | 0.17783 |
| H | 3.62488 | -2.93314 | 0.77687 |
| H | 4.40458 | 0.44826 | 2.14433 |
| H | 3.23790 | 1.36456 | 1.18353 |
| H | 4.67520 | 0.66431 | 0.41107 |
| H | 2.57887 | -0.99330 | 3.00653 |
| H | 1.53622 | -1.92495 | 1.91891 |
| H | 1.35784 | -0.16840 | 2.02129 |
| H | -0.30832 | 1.87409 | -0.54631 |


| H | 3.05747 | 2.47937 | -2.69919 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 3.86730 | 1.13268 | -1.86145 |
| H | 3.67697 | 2.70254 | -1.06094 |
| C | -2.71832 | -1.32043 | 1.69876 |
| C | -3.44824 | -1.00280 | -1.54161 |
| C | -1.40988 | 2.61530 | 1.39157 |
| C | -0.57800 | 3.88867 | 1.20985 |
| H | -0.50224 | 4.42271 | 2.16063 |
| H | -1.04307 | 4.56099 | 0.48126 |
| H | 0.42803 | 3.65727 | 0.85445 |
| H | -0.93649 | 1.97503 | 2.14165 |
| H | -2.40266 | 2.88669 | 1.77201 |
| H | -1.95220 | 2.50805 | -0.71478 |
| C | -3.96837 | -1.99218 | -0.48763 |
| C | -2.91740 | -2.35462 | 0.57264 |
| H | -4.07582 | 0.31262 | 1.24026 |
| H | -2.65967 | 0.76483 | 2.17070 |
| H | -1.69422 | -1.39902 | 2.08015 |
| H | -3.38258 | -1.55091 | 2.54042 |
| H | -1.96158 | -2.51770 | 0.06524 |
| H | -3.18572 | -3.30973 | 1.03757 |
| H | -4.25813 | -2.90834 | -1.01389 |
| H | -4.88533 | -1.60915 | -0.02031 |
| H | -2.49843 | -1.38066 | -1.93904 |
| H | -4.14902 | -0.96303 | -2.38388 |
| H | -4.21039 | 0.91036 | -0.85134 |
| H | -2.80075 | 1.00553 | -1.91843 |

## III.V. Nuclear coordinates for complexes containing tert-butyl 4-propyl-1,4-diazepane-1-carboxylate

## 334 - tert-Butyl 4-propyl-1,4-diazepane-1-carboxylate

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -771.136187129 Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.335100$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-771.333420855

| C | 0.41530 | 2.17027 | -0.60740 | C | -3.36289 | -0.76654 | 0.27665 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 1.24834 | 1.95707 | 0.66922 | C | -4.52417 | 0.19150 | 0.00936 |
| H | -0.20071 | 3.06636 | -0.51231 | C | -3.04078 | -0.89782 | 1.76587 |
| N | -0.45113 | 1.03714 | -0.93650 | C | -3.63982 | -2.13779 | -0.33797 |
| H | 1.07848 | 2.30586 | -1.46376 | H | -3.82205 | -2.04787 | -1.41248 |
| H | 2.15174 | 2.57300 | 0.59589 | H | -4.52229 | -2.58400 | 0.12823 |
| H | 0.68558 | 2.30587 | 1.54126 | H | -2.78853 | -2.80665 | -0.18343 |
| C | 1.63329 | 0.49303 | 0.91095 | H | -2.82956 | 0.07573 | 2.20694 |
| C | 0.13981 | -0.02849 | -1.74801 | H | -2.17210 | -1.54832 | 1.90637 |
| N | 2.15017 | -0.19893 | -0.28320 | H | -3.89247 | -1.34685 | 2.28520 |
| H | 2.38966 | 0.46419 | 1.69973 | H | -4.32214 | 1.17531 | 0.43156 |
| H | 0.76107 | -0.05157 | 1.30910 | H | -5.43792 | -0.20886 | 0.45853 |
| C | 1.09111 | -0.94151 | -0.97066 | H | -4.68862 | 0.29262 | -1.06761 |
| H | -0.65997 | -0.62395 | -2.18783 | H | 4.43552 | 0.49263 | 1.07530 |
| H | 0.68993 | 0.45661 | -2.55968 | H | 4.76165 | 0.40522 | -0.64746 |
| H | 0.50156 | -1.55655 | -0.26581 | C | 5.80359 | -1.08702 | 0.53688 |
| H | 1.55045 | -1.62532 | -1.69167 | H | 3.14208 | -1.69079 | 0.89082 |
| C | 3.31858 | -1.03334 | 0.01790 | H | 3.48825 | -1.69558 | -0.83809 |
| C | 4.58617 | -0.20742 | 0.24471 | H | 5.64892 | -1.68870 | 1.43941 |
| C | -1.57066 | 0.85621 | -0.17736 | H | 6.70279 | -0.48260 | 0.68914 |
| O | -1.97517 | 1.68207 | 0.63804 | H | 6.00107 | -1.77712 | -0.29107 |

## TS $\mathbf{4 1}$ - Acetate-assisted C-H activation

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1354.90602854
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.419716$
Imaginary frequency = -1344.8427

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1356.45281139


| O | 0.79498 | 2.17224 | 1.21885 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.48144 | 2.33691 | 0.03275 |
| O | 3.60922 | 1.28009 | -0.58186 |
| Pd | 2.00823 | -0.05631 | -0.45909 |
| O | 0.75711 | 1.52044 | -0.94112 |
| N | 0.36005 | -1.36631 | -0.19708 |
| C | 0.86306 | -2.76080 | -0.38329 |
| C | 2.22125 | -2.94533 | 0.27109 |
| C | 3.21685 | -1.92684 | -0.28040 |
| C | -0.07268 | -1.07667 | 1.20920 |
| C | -0.68650 | -1.01911 | -1.21636 |
| C | 4.47706 | 1.06284 | 0.32039 |
| C | 5.58300 | 2.06655 | 0.51661 |
| O | 4.45627 | 0.02759 | 1.06283 |
| H | 0.95987 | -2.91552 | -1.46134 |
| H | 0.12928 | -3.48079 | -0.00884 |
| C | -1.29066 | -1.84445 | 1.72819 |
| C | -1.80440 | -2.03580 | -1.48586 |
| H | 5.55719 | 2.43044 | 1.54740 |
| H | 5.47996 | 2.90272 | -0.17354 |
| H | 6.54704 | 1.57325 | 0.36574 |
| H | 3.26654 | -1.95969 | -1.37534 |
| H | 4.23422 | -2.18155 | 0.04128 |
| H | 3.60577 | -0.79522 | 0.47416 |
| H | 2.56008 | -3.96892 | 0.06786 |
| H | 2.14294 | -2.86116 | 1.36012 |
| C | -2.61933 | -2.50839 | -0.26095 |
| N | -2.48367 | -1.64831 | 0.91231 |
| H | 0.77722 | -1.27774 | 1.86422 |


| H | -0.27355 | -0.00738 | 1.26101 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -1.48796 | -1.48152 | 2.73857 |
| H | -1.10114 | -2.91805 | 1.79339 |
| H | -2.47051 | -1.53026 | -2.19219 |
| H | -1.40761 | -2.91012 | -2.01005 |
| H | -0.15730 | -0.85121 | -2.15682 |
| H | -1.09882 | -0.05777 | -0.91605 |
| C | -0.27283 | 3.58386 | -0.41240 |
| H | -0.82881 | 4.00759 | 0.42564 |
| H | -0.94932 | 3.36384 | -1.24015 |
| H | 0.45093 | 4.32952 | -0.75974 |
| H | -2.29315 | -3.50395 | 0.04971 |
| H | -3.67451 | -2.58446 | -0.52129 |
| C | -3.09902 | -0.42616 | 0.99184 |
| O | -2.85042 | 0.39844 | 1.86680 |
| O | -3.99307 | -0.27357 | -0.00616 |
| C | -4.68934 | 1.00788 | -0.21342 |
| C | -5.53096 | 0.72805 | -1.45721 |
| C | -3.66125 | 2.10572 | -0.48944 |
| C | -5.58048 | 1.32392 | 0.98781 |
| H | -6.25347 | 0.48496 | 1.18877 |
| H | -6.18972 | 2.20515 | 0.76577 |
| H | -4.98230 | 1.52063 | 1.87685 |
| H | -3.02429 | 1.82125 | -1.33267 |
| H | -3.03434 | 2.28500 | 0.38355 |
| H | -4.17756 | 3.03402 | -0.75041 |
| H | -4.89041 | 0.45448 | -2.30044 |
| H | -6.10132 | 1.62041 | -1.72849 |
| H | -6.23226 | -0.09023 | -1.27128 |

## TS 42 - Ligand-assisted C-H activation

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1491.10993145
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.522442$
Imaginary frequency = -1320.1835

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1492.68191289


| O | 2.13832 | 2.92325 | 1.61411 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.14697 | 1.84634 | 1.02067 |
| C | 3.45573 | 1.26841 | 0.44255 |
| H | 4.24626 | 1.47226 | 1.17051 |
| C | 3.85864 | 1.98413 | -0.89639 |
| C | 4.25887 | 3.43616 | -0.58539 |
| C | 5.06564 | 1.25507 | -1.50809 |
| C | 2.69890 | 1.97581 | -1.90658 |
| N | 3.26158 | -0.16549 | 0.28127 |
| Pd | 1.35739 | -0.69380 | -0.09379 |
| O | 1.06194 | 1.14223 | 0.81506 |
| N | -0.71843 | -1.24841 | -0.32655 |
| C | -0.74520 | -2.31573 | -1.38026 |
| C | 0.41537 | -3.28666 | -1.24756 |
| C | 1.74781 | -2.54413 | -1.31859 |
| C | -1.21729 | -1.83967 | 0.95974 |
| C | -1.57351 | -0.12712 | -0.82794 |
| C | 4.07298 | -1.13823 | 0.64138 |
| C | 5.46642 | -0.90701 | 1.17117 |
| O | 3.69659 | -2.35793 | 0.51654 |
| H | 4.56039 | 3.94400 | -1.50743 |
| H | 5.10612 | 3.46819 | 0.10877 |
| H | 3.43332 | 3.98882 | -0.13385 |
| H | 5.38327 | 1.76651 | -2.42240 |
| H | 4.81999 | 0.22173 | -1.76756 |
| H | 5.91649 | 1.24531 | -0.81925 |
| H | 3.01238 | 2.46245 | -2.83589 |
| H | 1.82607 | 2.51306 | -1.52341 |
| H | 2.38734 | 0.95510 | -2.14783 |
| H | -0.67022 | -1.80803 | -2.34580 |
| H | -1.71665 | -2.82467 | -1.34534 |
| C | -1.09920 | -0.92770 | 2.18482 |
| C | -1.94063 | 0.95571 | 0.18506 |
| H | 5.64612 | -1.60238 | 1.99308 |
| H | 5.63983 | 0.11234 | 1.51313 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | 6.18541 | -1.13175 | 0.37761 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1.80823 | -1.89857 | -2.20223 |
| H | 2.56451 | -3.26259 | -1.45955 |
| H | 2.53230 | -2.30768 | -0.22296 |
| H | 0.33703 | -4.01210 | -2.06706 |
| H | 0.34419 | -3.86627 | -0.32143 |
| C | -2.33889 | -0.05869 | 2.43897 |
| N | -2.88306 | 0.48833 | 1.19855 |
| H | -2.08250 | 0.75263 | 3.13201 |
| H | -3.13453 | -0.64592 | 2.89722 |
| H | -0.20721 | -0.30518 | 2.09120 |
| H | -0.94602 | -1.55721 | 3.06722 |
| H | -2.25901 | -2.15641 | 0.81023 |
| H | -0.62407 | -2.73865 | 1.12547 |
| H | -2.39471 | 1.77663 | -0.37064 |
| H | -1.04926 | 1.33765 | 0.68112 |
| H | -1.03212 | 0.32770 | -1.66127 |
| H | -2.50429 | -0.55397 | -1.22204 |
| C | -4.21853 | 0.34828 | 0.94403 |
| O | -4.51500 | 0.78553 | -0.30172 |
| O | -5.02928 | -0.11015 | 1.74536 |
| C | -5.88760 | 0.72858 | -0.82529 |
| C | -5.72820 | 1.30826 | -2.23030 |
| C | -6.80945 | 1.60683 | 0.02175 |
| C | -6.35905 | -0.72486 | -0.89041 |
| H | -5.34588 | 2.33181 | -2.18305 |
| H | -6.69545 | 1.32127 | -2.73979 |
| H | -5.03288 | 0.70301 | -2.81895 |
| H | -5.64765 | -1.32618 | -1.46491 |
| H | -7.32984 | -0.77116 | -1.39285 |
| H | -6.45628 | -1.14827 | 0.10885 |
| H | -6.40783 | 2.62265 | 0.08586 |
| H | -6.91672 | 1.20310 | 1.02807 |
| H | -7.79602 | 1.65820 | -0.44844 |
|  |  |  |  |

TS 43 - Acetate-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the heterocycle

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1354.90554630
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.414297$
Imaginary frequency = -1072.6440

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1356.45607482


| O | 1.39733 | 0.90604 | 2.70420 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.29578 | 1.60891 | 2.22056 |
| O | 3.40842 | -1.47601 | 0.54510 |
| Pd | 1.98442 | -0.06750 | -0.09243 |
| O | 2.82274 | 1.45170 | 1.04375 |
| N | 0.38141 | 0.65140 | -1.17281 |
| C | 0.77271 | 1.67898 | -2.19114 |
| C | 0.55340 | -0.72374 | -1.61958 |
| C | -0.83636 | 1.06192 | -0.41579 |
| C | 3.19678 | -2.59740 | -0.03122 |
| C | 4.09182 | -3.75366 | 0.34973 |
| O | 2.27540 | -2.80167 | -0.87689 |
| H | 1.55147 | -1.52805 | -1.05107 |
| H | 3.53288 | -4.42214 | 1.01265 |
| H | 4.98483 | -3.40562 | 0.86813 |
| H | 4.36509 | -4.32217 | -0.54135 |
| C | 1.21254 | 3.01126 | -1.58743 |
| C | -0.56864 | -1.74722 | -1.48864 |
| H | 0.96394 | -0.72230 | -2.62900 |
| C | 1.86372 | 3.89475 | -2.65608 |
| H | 1.91725 | 2.81559 | -0.77227 |
| H | 0.35670 | 3.54146 | -1.15785 |
| H | 2.76159 | 3.42017 | -3.06610 |
| H | 2.15665 | 4.85901 | -2.23194 |
| H | 1.17691 | 4.08913 | -3.48682 |
| H | 1.59995 | 1.25090 | -2.76070 |
| H | -0.07516 | 1.81265 | -2.87473 |
| C | -1.02714 | -2.08365 | -0.06652 |
| C | -1.18630 | 0.22537 | 0.81480 |


| N | -1.85509 | -1.03272 | 0.51098 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -1.68091 | 1.09103 | -1.11418 |
| H | -0.64123 | 2.08029 | -0.08291 |
| H | -1.85027 | 0.82769 | 1.43198 |
| H | -0.28439 | 0.03144 | 1.40280 |
| H | -0.15926 | -2.24433 | 0.58047 |
| H | -1.61606 | -2.99900 | -0.08517 |
| H | -0.19247 | -2.65969 | -1.95931 |
| H | -1.42822 | -1.41661 | -2.08379 |
| C | 2.87513 | 2.78758 | 2.99313 |
| C | -3.20178 | -1.08168 | 0.23413 |
| O | -3.75921 | -2.06695 | -0.23907 |
| O | -3.80760 | 0.07654 | 0.56471 |
| C | -5.25458 | 0.26755 | 0.36395 |
| C | -5.46885 | 1.69602 | 0.86204 |
| C | -6.03734 | -0.72959 | 1.21845 |
| C | -5.58862 | 0.15670 | -1.12415 |
| H | -4.96837 | 0.84876 | -1.70202 |
| H | -6.63745 | 0.42476 | -1.28171 |
| H | -5.42397 | -0.85705 | -1.48818 |
| H | -5.72801 | -0.65393 | 2.26519 |
| H | -5.87732 | -1.75061 | 0.87315 |
| H | -7.10490 | -0.49770 | 1.15976 |
| H | -5.18492 | 1.78334 | 1.91450 |
| H | -6.52243 | 1.97021 | 0.76190 |
| H | -4.86887 | 2.40022 | 0.27874 |
| H | 2.60306 | 3.72103 | 2.48971 |
| H | 3.96695 | 2.73308 | 3.00981 |
| H | 2.48901 | 2.80309 | 4.01303 |

## TS 44 - Ligand-assisted $\beta$-H elimination on the heterocycle

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1491.10058784
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.518445$
Imaginary frequency = -1059.1942

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1492.67732136


| O | 4.91909 | 0.25643 | -1.62534 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 3.84667 | 0.10274 | -1.04102 |
| C | 3.62363 | -1.12159 | -0.11806 |
| H | 4.57170 | -1.33166 | 0.38887 |
| C | 3.24856 | -2.38862 | -0.97648 |
| C | 4.45399 | -2.78105 | -1.84769 |
| C | 2.91261 | -3.56566 | -0.04734 |
| C | 2.03043 | -2.10978 | -1.87301 |
| N | 2.58988 | -0.74862 | 0.83288 |
| Pd | 1.33818 | 0.68338 | 0.21674 |
| O | 2.83065 | 0.91450 | -1.19577 |
| N | -0.33304 | 1.96677 | 0.28065 |
| C | -1.35731 | 1.88169 | -0.79317 |
| C | -0.42591 | 1.08437 | 1.44755 |
| C | 0.00363 | 3.38408 | 0.61152 |
| C | 2.39994 | -1.11220 | 2.09084 |
| C | 3.35705 | -2.02366 | 2.82254 |
| O | 1.39324 | -0.67620 | 2.74703 |
| H | 4.21530 | -3.67885 | -2.42734 |
| H | 5.32903 | -3.00441 | -1.22711 |
| H | 4.72806 | -1.98137 | -2.53761 |
| H | 2.67672 | -4.45026 | -0.64768 |
| H | 2.04316 | -3.34900 | 0.57895 |
| H | 3.75571 | -3.82029 | 0.60172 |
| H | 1.77111 | -3.01080 | -2.43830 |
| H | 2.22588 | -1.30515 | -2.58693 |
| H | 1.16090 | -1.82472 | -1.27354 |
| H | 0.62134 | 0.24978 | 1.79320 |
| H | 3.56981 | -1.58169 | 3.79844 |
| H | 4.29202 | -2.18556 | 2.28714 |
| H | 2.87945 | -2.99235 | 2.99253 |
| H | -0.28910 | 1.67873 | 2.35227 |
| C | -1.59503 | 0.12230 | 1.62086 |
| C | -1.78171 | -0.94738 | 0.54049 |
| C | -1.53378 | 0.51165 | -1.45094 |
|  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.84284 | 4.07775 | -0.45877 |
| C | 1.25411 | 5.48069 | -0.00259 |
| H | 0.56795 | 3.36699 | 1.54632 |
| H | -0.93205 | 3.92870 | 0.79325 |
| H | 0.28782 | 4.15212 | -1.39965 |
| H | 1.73121 | 3.46815 | -0.65810 |
| H | 0.37813 | 6.10844 | 0.19225 |
| H | 1.85669 | 5.97502 | -0.76936 |
| H | 1.84818 | 5.43819 | 0.91663 |
| N | -2.35844 | -0.41299 | -0.68893 |
| H | -1.41655 | -0.38194 | 2.57557 |
| H | -2.52476 | 0.69246 | 1.73507 |
| H | -2.31860 | 2.23448 | -0.40044 |
| H | -1.03671 | 2.58381 | -1.56243 |
| H | -0.55887 | 0.05028 | -1.63478 |
| H | -2.02107 | 0.67140 | -2.41183 |
| H | -2.43833 | -1.72876 | 0.91493 |
| H | -0.81898 | -1.40962 | 0.29768 |
| C | -3.71849 | -0.33237 | -0.88126 |
| O | -4.23211 | 0.31510 | -1.78861 |
| O | -4.38500 | -1.06217 | 0.03342 |
| C | -5.85666 | -1.13922 | 0.02581 |
| C | -6.14305 | -2.04915 | 1.21933 |
| C | -6.33912 | -1.78145 | -1.27501 |
| C | -6.44855 | 0.25264 | 0.25043 |
| H | -5.84895 | -2.74843 | -1.42267 |
| H | -6.12658 | -1.14063 | -2.13027 |
| H | -7.41843 | -1.95076 | -1.21718 |
| H | -6.03569 | 0.69482 | 1.16226 |
| H | -7.53278 | 0.17088 | 0.37078 |
| H | -6.23485 | 0.91007 | -0.59184 |
| H | -5.67849 | -3.02881 | 1.07643 |
| H | -7.22175 | -2.18854 | 1.32958 |
| H | -5.75286 | -1.60845 | 2.14103 |
|  |  |  |  |

## III.VI. Nuclear coordinates for complexes containing 1-cyclopropyl-N,N-dimethylmethanamine

## 362 - 1-Cyclopropyl-N,N-dimethylmethanamine

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-291.218432290
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.147942$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-291.289862396

| N | 1.29601 | -0.03121 | -0.35473 | C | -1.17904 | -0.23407 | -0.49624 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.10533 | -0.73460 | 0.12488 | C | -2.49370 | -0.60752 | 0.14215 |
| C | 2.50751 | -0.69607 | 0.10922 | H | 0.01686 | -0.67930 | 1.22995 |
| C | 1.30219 | 1.37489 | 0.03345 | H | 0.23356 | -1.79558 | -0.12366 |
| H | 2.60485 | -0.69842 | 1.21199 | C | -2.01384 | 0.82136 | 0.18947 |
| H | 3.38752 | -0.19295 | -0.30321 | H | -1.16123 | -0.20490 | -1.58342 |
| H | 2.51578 | -1.73611 | -0.23149 | H | -2.52072 | 1.55809 | -0.42600 |
| H | 1.29208 | 1.51566 | 1.13183 | H | -1.65583 | 1.20172 | 1.14196 |
| H | 0.43000 | 1.88454 | -0.37918 | H | -2.45948 | -1.18302 | 1.06329 |
| H | 2.20208 | 1.85764 | -0.35967 | H | -3.32826 | -0.85279 | -0.50690 |

## $\mathrm{A}_{8}$ - Bisamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1166.29742074
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.408852$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1167.77935393


| Pd | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0 | 1.61324 | 2.45338 | -0.99192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 0.62232 | 1.73425 | 0.90504 | C | -3.28302 | 0.31713 | 0.54905 |
| N | 1.53885 | -0.99088 | 1.13591 | H | -2.78374 | -0.67884 | -1.28183 |
| 0 | -0.62232 | -1.73425 | -0.90504 | H | -3.61214 | 0.86839 | -1.50356 |
| N | -1.53885 | 0.99088 | -1.13591 | C | -4.74679 | 0.12912 | 0.86719 |
| C | 1.15410 | -0.81210 | 2.55885 | H | -0.19082 | 1.29691 | -2.71767 |
| H | 0.19082 | -1.29691 | 2.71767 | H | -1.90934 | 1.26461 | -3.21464 |
| H | 1.07304 | 0.25401 | 2.76847 | H | -1.07304 | -0.25401 | -2.76847 |
| H | 1.90934 | -1.26461 | 3.21464 | C | 3.28302 | -0.31713 | -0.54905 |
| C | 2.86338 | -0.33797 | 0.89745 | H | 0.71805 | -2.92536 | 1.16489 |
| C | 1.63643 | -2.44178 | 0.84270 | H | 2.48509 | -2.86571 | 1.39358 |
| C | -1.63643 | 2.44178 | -0.84270 | H | 1.77965 | -2.59480 | -0.22347 |
| H | -0.71805 | 2.92536 | -1.16489 | C | 4.74679 | -0.12912 | -0.86720 |
| H | -1.77965 | 2.59480 | 0.22347 | H | 2.78374 | 0.67884 | 1.28183 |
| H | -2.48509 | 2.86571 | -1.39358 | H | 3.61214 | -0.86839 | 1.50355 |
| C | -2.86337 | 0.33797 | -0.89745 | C | 4.07749 | -1.44941 | -1.15384 |
| C | -1.15410 | 0.81210 | -2.55885 | H | 3.87735 | -1.71592 | -2.18707 |
| C | -1.32318 | -2.57881 | -0.20486 | H | 4.33376 | -2.28994 | -0.51591 |
| 0 | -1.61324 | -2.45338 | 0.99192 | H | 5.44581 | -0.08821 | -0.03634 |
| C | -1.74341 | -3.80830 | -0.99617 | H | 5.00408 | 0.51615 | -1.70096 |
| H | -2.49902 | -4.37366 | -0.44938 | H | 2.58654 | 0.20679 | -1.19638 |
| H | -0.86741 | -4.44642 | -1.15536 | C | -4.07749 | 1.44941 | 1.15384 |
| H | -2.12421 | -3.52358 | -1.98016 | H | -5.00408 | -0.51615 | 1.70096 |
| C | 1.32318 | 2.57881 | 0.20486 | H | -5.44581 | 0.08821 | 0.03633 |
| C | 1.74341 | 3.80831 | 0.99617 | H | -3.87736 | 1.71592 | 2.18707 |
| H | 0.86741 | 4.44642 | 1.15536 | H | -4.33376 | 2.28994 | 0.51591 |
| H | 2.49902 | 4.37366 | 0.44938 | H | -2.58654 | -0.20679 | 1.19638 |

## Ag - Bidentate ligand-amine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1240.38012218
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.392495$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1241.89098060$


| Pd | 0.45351 | 0.14002 | -0.23047 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.04594 | -1.81789 | -0.34233 |
| N | -1.32957 | 0.20464 | 0.91840 |
| O | 0.91658 | 2.13483 | -0.09526 |
| N | 2.14199 | -0.21830 | -1.45079 |
| C | -1.26978 | -2.10705 | 0.03428 |
| O | -1.69947 | -3.25564 | 0.05212 |
| C | -2.17452 | -0.92728 | 0.43977 |
| C | -3.16317 | -0.48005 | -0.68059 |
| C | -2.43328 | -0.01225 | -1.95187 |
| C | -4.04605 | 0.66330 | -0.14114 |
| C | -4.07527 | -1.67094 | -1.02782 |
| H | -3.50972 | -2.50595 | -1.44396 |
| H | -4.82012 | -1.35245 | -1.76346 |
| H | -4.60667 | -2.03320 | -0.14171 |
| H | -1.83784 | 0.88655 | -1.76902 |
| H | -3.16800 | 0.22910 | -2.72677 |
| H | -1.77306 | -0.79088 | -2.34519 |
| H | -3.48237 | 1.58405 | 0.03008 |
| H | -4.53531 | 0.37437 | 0.79505 |
| H | -4.82729 | 0.89531 | -0.87152 |
| C | -0.92159 | 0.12686 | 2.28714 |
| H | -2.76982 | -1.27499 | 1.28699 |
| C | -0.43029 | 1.41797 | 2.88978 |
| O | -0.96769 | -0.93419 | 2.88047 |
| H | -1.61124 | 1.16191 | 0.63161 |
| C | -0.02076 | 3.02774 | -0.05356 |


| O | -1.24310 | 2.79868 | 0.03997 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.49146 | 4.45590 | -0.07751 |
| H | 1.32995 | 4.55503 | -0.76976 |
| H | 0.85170 | 4.71657 | 0.92357 |
| H | -0.31149 | 5.14171 | -0.34977 |
| C | 2.96456 | -1.29360 | -0.80342 |
| C | 2.95463 | 0.99693 | -1.69161 |
| C | 1.62134 | -0.72607 | -2.74784 |
| H | 0.99953 | -1.60050 | -2.56074 |
| H | 2.45610 | -0.99520 | -3.40627 |
| H | 1.02325 | 0.05302 | -3.22159 |
| H | -1.11592 | 2.23922 | 2.66797 |
| H | 0.54022 | 1.67888 | 2.45825 |
| H | -0.32639 | 1.28694 | 3.96609 |
| H | 3.82705 | 0.73507 | -2.30182 |
| H | 3.27562 | 1.42488 | -0.74547 |
| H | 2.34618 | 1.73478 | -2.21136 |
| C | 3.47711 | -0.94919 | 0.57119 |
| C | 3.84954 | -2.08688 | 1.49197 |
| H | 3.80180 | -1.51262 | -1.48108 |
| H | 2.32780 | -2.17837 | -0.74921 |
| C | 2.64067 | -1.24028 | 1.79693 |
| H | 4.12521 | -0.07952 | 0.62581 |
| H | 1.66484 | -1.68795 | 1.64227 |
| H | 2.69168 | -0.53841 | 2.62309 |
| H | 4.73736 | -1.97050 | 2.10489 |
| H | 3.67784 | -3.09926 | 1.13742 |

## TS ${ }_{47}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (major enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1011.20942385
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.334309$
Imaginary frequency =-940.7264

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1012.64713405$


| O | 2.45186 | -2.26693 | -1.55257 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.67646 | -1.45984 | -1.04034 |
| C | 2.19833 | -0.12646 | -0.45878 |
| H | 2.97960 | 0.23296 | -1.13474 |
| C | 2.86437 | -0.34135 | 0.94909 |
| C | 4.15445 | -1.16033 | 0.77491 |
| C | 3.22439 | 1.02739 | 1.54914 |
| C | 1.91482 | -1.07614 | 1.91021 |
| N | 1.07827 | 0.80678 | -0.41581 |
| Pd | -0.68580 | -0.11953 | -0.15634 |
| O | 0.39197 | -1.68561 | -0.92799 |
| N | -2.51825 | -1.17455 | -0.00590 |
| C | -3.56255 | -0.10571 | -0.05794 |
| C | -3.20075 | 1.00288 | 0.89841 |
| C | -1.75557 | 1.53822 | 0.82138 |
| C | -2.69066 | -2.12924 | -1.12223 |
| C | -2.55589 | -1.90027 | 1.28502 |
| C | 1.07105 | 2.07397 | -0.78075 |
| C | 2.31036 | 2.81216 | -1.23212 |
| O | -0.01313 | 2.75457 | -0.74517 |
| H | 4.64053 | -1.29858 | 1.74637 |
| H | 4.86058 | -0.64234 | 0.11621 |
| H | 3.94895 | -2.14072 | 0.34216 |


| H | 3.72139 | 0.88865 | 2.51472 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.33406 | 1.64008 | 1.71398 |
| H | 3.90961 | 1.58058 | 0.89900 |
| H | 2.39071 | -1.18824 | 2.88992 |
| H | 1.66195 | -2.07498 | 1.54200 |
| H | 0.98137 | -0.52163 | 2.04733 |
| H | 3.13797 | 2.15862 | -1.50389 |
| H | 2.64173 | 3.47127 | -0.42424 |
| H | 2.04612 | 3.43816 | -2.08656 |
| H | -1.32796 | 1.79517 | 1.78726 |
| H | -0.97156 | 1.97320 | -0.09335 |
| H | -3.57177 | 0.89043 | 1.91279 |
| C | -2.99184 | 2.38661 | 0.42682 |
| H | -3.11195 | 2.59458 | -0.63262 |
| H | -3.24486 | 3.20988 | 1.08673 |
| H | -4.54813 | -0.53712 | 0.16313 |
| H | -3.57563 | 0.26775 | -1.08458 |
| H | -1.75741 | -2.64320 | 1.29545 |
| H | -3.52366 | -2.40328 | 1.41057 |
| H | -2.40095 | -1.20098 | 2.10594 |
| H | -1.86683 | -2.84218 | -1.10566 |
| H | -2.66791 | -1.58378 | -2.06665 |
| H | -3.64688 | -2.65906 | -1.02894 |

## TS 48 - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (major enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1011.19988749
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.333709$
Imaginary frequency $=-935.8338$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1012.63840447$


| O | 2.44595 | -2.29791 | -1.49834 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.67488 | -1.47518 | -1.00508 |
| C | 2.20678 | -0.13597 | -0.44244 |
| H | 2.98175 | 0.21242 | -1.13130 |
| C | 2.88423 | -0.32527 | 0.96113 |
| C | 4.17248 | -1.14810 | 0.79336 |
| C | 3.24733 | 1.05746 | 1.52646 |
| C | 1.94162 | -1.04073 | 1.94332 |
| N | 1.08895 | 0.79890 | -0.40426 |
| Pd | -0.67490 | -0.10980 | -0.12084 |
| O | 0.38664 | -1.68505 | -0.90218 |
| N | -2.55453 | -1.09725 | -0.06631 |
| C | -3.35649 | -0.50062 | 1.04426 |
| C | -2.99005 | 0.93403 | 1.36159 |
| C | -1.68566 | 1.57947 | 0.85999 |
| C | -3.20631 | -0.87996 | -1.38201 |
| C | -2.38199 | -2.55396 | 0.15110 |
| C | 1.04743 | 2.03367 | -0.87257 |
| C | 2.27831 | 2.77191 | -1.34665 |
| O | -0.05682 | 2.67783 | -0.90719 |
| H | 4.66654 | -1.26693 | 1.76338 |
| H | 4.87339 | -0.64411 | 0.11841 |
| H | 3.96261 | -2.13690 | 0.38228 |


| H | 3.75248 | 0.94375 | 2.49110 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.35667 | 1.67236 | 1.68094 |
| H | 3.92573 | 1.59579 | 0.85649 |
| H | 2.42394 | -1.13439 | 2.92185 |
| H | 1.68687 | -2.04668 | 1.59577 |
| H | 1.00886 | -0.48384 | 2.07631 |
| H | -3.14037 | -1.09127 | 1.93672 |
| H | -4.42640 | -0.61591 | 0.82623 |
| H | -2.57871 | -1.30784 | -2.16455 |
| H | -3.32836 | 0.18530 | -1.56928 |
| H | -4.19171 | -1.36281 | -1.39956 |
| H | -1.87410 | -2.71672 | 1.10212 |
| H | -1.76458 | -2.95782 | -0.65040 |
| H | -3.35725 | -3.05602 | 0.16350 |
| H | 3.12229 | 2.12133 | -1.57177 |
| H | 2.58598 | 3.47511 | -0.56665 |
| H | 2.01725 | 3.34976 | -2.23499 |
| H | -1.15064 | 2.12187 | 1.63539 |
| H | -1.01119 | 1.87718 | -0.17610 |
| H | -3.16957 | 1.15475 | 2.41100 |
| C | -3.08441 | 2.12091 | 0.48244 |
| H | -3.36781 | 2.00380 | -0.55840 |
| H | -3.39405 | 3.06215 | 0.92624 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{49}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (minor enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1011.20061195$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.334976$
Imaginary frequency =-947.0548

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1012.63836876$


| 0 | 2.35797 | -2.31980 | -1.61048 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.63484 | -1.50553 | -1.03876 |
| C | 2.18910 | -0.16958 | -0.51419 |
| H | 2.99627 | 0.14170 | -1.18443 |
| C | 2.82082 | -0.38814 | 0.91804 |
| C | 4.03540 | -1.32408 | 0.78464 |
| C | 3.30522 | 0.95025 | 1.49771 |
| C | 1.80383 | -1.00062 | 1.89664 |
| N | 1.09319 | 0.79897 | -0.52579 |
| Pd | -0.71630 | -0.07611 | -0.29339 |
| O | 0.37142 | -1.73797 | -0.77972 |
| N | -2.46431 | -1.19090 | 0.17069 |
| C | -3.19582 | -0.28617 | 1.10987 |
| C | -3.35182 | 1.06337 | 0.46200 |
| C | -2.11236 | 1.68093 | -0.21114 |
| C | -3.26175 | -1.48323 | -1.04210 |
| C | -2.10346 | -2.45634 | 0.84740 |
| C | 1.20449 | 2.11427 | -0.58955 |
| C | 2.48033 | 2.81741 | -0.99918 |
| O | 0.20070 | 2.86386 | -0.35316 |
| H | 4.50997 | -1.45490 | 1.76258 |
| H | 4.78200 | -0.90258 | 0.10241 |
| H | 3.74932 | -2.30552 | 0.40288 |


| H | 3.76744 | 0.77512 | 2.47450 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.48064 | 1.65214 | 1.64728 |
| H | 4.05556 | 1.42152 | 0.85679 |
| H | 2.26516 | -1.11332 | 2.88319 |
| H | 1.46135 | -1.98426 | 1.56601 |
| H | 0.92396 | -0.35841 | 2.00436 |
| H | -2.67321 | -2.12229 | -1.70138 |
| H | -3.49750 | -0.55631 | -1.56272 |
| H | -4.19233 | -1.99861 | -0.77079 |
| H | -1.52619 | -2.23122 | 1.74512 |
| H | -1.48986 | -3.05371 | 0.17450 |
| H | -3.00906 | -3.01047 | 1.12362 |
| H | 3.27763 | 2.14249 | -1.30512 |
| H | 2.83757 | 3.43942 | -0.17523 |
| H | 2.23354 | 3.48142 | -1.83173 |
| H | -2.34764 | 2.14647 | -1.16604 |
| H | -0.90350 | 2.01176 | -0.07085 |
| C | -2.78753 | 2.31248 | 1.02227 |
| H | -4.25309 | 1.18491 | -0.13201 |
| H | -2.58868 | -0.21538 | 2.01568 |
| H | -4.16370 | -0.73135 | 1.37744 |
| H | -2.22149 | 2.24805 | 1.94731 |
| H | -3.33516 | 3.23922 | 0.88333 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{50}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (minor enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1011.18927119
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.333940$
Imaginary frequency = -1247.7196

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1012.62775810


| O | 2.22742 | -2.52190 | -1.33923 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.53561 | -1.59962 | -0.91474 |
| C | 2.17241 | -0.27391 | -0.44373 |
| H | 2.99144 | -0.04442 | -1.13242 |
| C | 2.79323 | -0.42550 | 0.99283 |
| C | 3.98930 | -1.39014 | 0.92629 |
| C | 3.29210 | 0.94686 | 1.47280 |
| C | 1.75323 | -0.95841 | 1.99272 |
| N | 1.13923 | 0.75108 | -0.50700 |
| Pd | -0.71556 | 0.02848 | -0.26062 |
| O | 0.23077 | -1.69365 | -0.80083 |
| N | -2.59563 | -0.95619 | -0.11941 |
| C | -3.68330 | 0.04147 | 0.12634 |
| C | -3.20468 | 1.29593 | 0.81288 |
| C | -1.82219 | 1.84776 | 0.55222 |
| C | -2.82834 | -1.66687 | -1.40267 |
| C | -2.52050 | -1.96090 | 0.97141 |
| C | 1.23156 | 1.99212 | -0.94151 |
| C | 2.52932 | 2.61979 | -1.38697 |
| O | 0.18263 | 2.72344 | -0.97985 |
| H | 4.44835 | -1.47921 | 1.91634 |
| H | 4.75303 | -1.01917 | 0.23364 |
| H | 3.68562 | -2.38296 | 0.59057 |


| H | 3.75348 | 0.84673 | 2.46046 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 2.47244 | 1.66537 | 1.55707 |
| H | 4.04579 | 1.35810 | 0.79384 |
| H | 2.20019 | -1.03385 | 2.98927 |
| H | 1.39134 | -1.95123 | 1.70935 |
| H | 0.88888 | -0.29071 | 2.05817 |
| H | 3.31965 | 1.89504 | -1.57814 |
| H | 2.87366 | 3.31088 | -0.61205 |
| H | 2.34054 | 3.19952 | -2.29261 |
| H | -0.83076 | 1.96633 | -0.33168 |
| H | -4.00120 | 2.03432 | 0.88374 |
| C | -2.19157 | 1.33585 | 1.93513 |
| H | -1.74880 | 0.41573 | 2.29766 |
| H | -2.34815 | 2.07374 | 2.71741 |
| H | -1.64982 | -2.59507 | 0.80273 |
| H | -3.42951 | -2.57498 | 0.97891 |
| H | -2.42537 | -1.46142 | 1.93369 |
| H | -2.83707 | -0.94265 | -2.21787 |
| H | -3.78759 | -2.19837 | -1.37347 |
| H | -2.01584 | -2.37567 | -1.56039 |
| H | -4.49278 | -0.44580 | 0.68436 |
| H | -4.08653 | 0.32811 | -0.84870 |
| H | -1.80402 | 2.93757 | 0.51511 |

## TS ${ }_{51}$ - Ligand-assisted trans-C-H activation

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1011.18899242
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.334786$
Imaginary frequency $=-997.2578$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1012.62528071$


| O | 2.33918 | -2.22421 | -1.72112 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.60736 | -1.45396 | -1.09851 |
| C | 2.17741 | -0.13989 | -0.51880 |
| H | 2.93517 | 0.22075 | -1.21948 |
| C | 2.89810 | -0.41132 | 0.85578 |
| C | 4.13509 | -1.29032 | 0.60065 |
| C | 3.36381 | 0.91830 | 1.47090 |
| C | 1.96273 | -1.11714 | 1.85217 |
| N | 1.07947 | 0.82320 | -0.39993 |
| Pd | -0.69507 | -0.09036 | -0.11049 |
| O | 0.35092 | -1.70583 | -0.84777 |
| N | -2.57478 | -1.19308 | 0.14370 |
| C | -3.66797 | -0.18635 | 0.44747 |
| C | -3.13176 | 1.10794 | -0.09057 |
| C | -1.85648 | 1.53590 | 0.67337 |
| C | -2.84221 | -1.88205 | -1.14003 |
| C | -2.42319 | -2.18722 | 1.22647 |
| C | 1.16266 | 2.11555 | -0.57843 |
| C | 2.39558 | 2.83993 | -1.05309 |
| O | 0.14175 | 2.88993 | -0.35992 |
| H | 4.66431 | -1.46414 | 1.54320 |
| H | 4.82968 | -0.79893 | -0.08965 |
| H | 3.86053 | -2.25459 | 0.17023 |


| H | 3.89377 | 0.72072 | 2.40807 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 2.52192 | 1.57700 | 1.70045 |
| H | 4.05267 | 1.44931 | 0.80724 |
| H | 2.48490 | -1.27166 | 2.80198 |
| H | 1.63780 | -2.09263 | 1.48050 |
| H | 1.06819 | -0.51834 | 2.04877 |
| H | -3.80413 | -0.13544 | 1.52952 |
| H | -4.60534 | -0.52609 | -0.00566 |
| H | -1.97860 | -2.49664 | -1.39466 |
| H | -2.99266 | -1.14035 | -1.92620 |
| H | -3.73897 | -2.50973 | -1.06109 |
| H | -2.18164 | -1.67194 | 2.15736 |
| H | -1.60662 | -2.86407 | 0.97002 |
| H | -3.34647 | -2.76596 | 1.35921 |
| H | 3.17785 | 2.17584 | -1.41466 |
| H | 2.79572 | 3.44398 | -0.23450 |
| H | 2.09923 | 3.52099 | -1.85407 |
| H | -1.87652 | 1.19652 | 1.71143 |
| H | -0.72680 | 2.27615 | 0.10344 |
| H | -3.00435 | 1.08660 | -1.17206 |
| C | -3.07435 | 2.46930 | 0.47371 |
| H | -3.01213 | 3.29341 | -0.23236 |
| H | -3.60259 | 2.70385 | 1.39320 |

## TS $5_{2}$ - Ligand-assisted trans-C-H activation

Geometry optimisation
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1011.18839971$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.334468$
Imaginary frequency = -1047.0840

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1012.62475225


| O | 2.35532 | -2.38802 | -1.52122 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.60699 | -1.55855 | -1.00300 |
| C | 2.17506 | -0.22862 | -0.46103 |
| H | 3.00851 | 0.05587 | -1.10861 |
| C | 2.75387 | -0.43180 | 0.99114 |
| C | 3.96550 | -1.37722 | 0.91180 |
| C | 3.22587 | 0.91623 | 1.55967 |
| C | 1.70056 | -1.02626 | 1.94164 |
| N | 1.11049 | 0.77822 | -0.50987 |
| Pd | -0.71263 | -0.06054 | -0.29393 |
| O | 0.32792 | -1.75643 | -0.82962 |
| N | -2.61277 | -1.10120 | 0.04981 |
| C | -3.57597 | -0.10036 | 0.66276 |
| C | -2.69832 | 1.01640 | 1.15316 |
| C | -1.95382 | 1.66968 | -0.04853 |
| C | -3.13686 | -1.67791 | -1.20577 |
| C | -2.29978 | -2.18183 | 1.01343 |
| C | 1.27006 | 2.05685 | -0.73607 |
| C | 2.57364 | 2.69977 | -1.13654 |
| O | 0.27655 | 2.88742 | -0.64557 |
| H | 4.40205 | -1.50078 | 1.90818 |
| H | 4.73984 | -0.96821 | 0.25343 |
| H | 3.68484 | -2.35978 | 0.52923 |


| H | 3.65686 | 0.76094 | 2.55379 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.40008 | 1.62486 | 1.66583 |
| H | 3.99820 | 1.37020 | 0.93188 |
| H | 2.13008 | -1.13905 | 2.94239 |
| H | 1.35944 | -2.00857 | 1.60469 |
| H | 0.82376 | -0.37593 | 2.01882 |
| H | 3.35852 | 1.98479 | -1.37343 |
| H | 2.91896 | 3.34896 | -0.32781 |
| H | 2.38259 | 3.32964 | -2.00852 |
| H | -2.54648 | 1.56243 | -0.96086 |
| H | -0.69269 | 2.32673 | -0.30856 |
| C | -2.79279 | 2.47520 | 0.96539 |
| H | -2.05378 | 0.71851 | 1.97797 |
| H | -3.69364 | 2.91180 | 0.54384 |
| H | -2.29046 | 3.09905 | 1.69988 |
| H | -2.39138 | -2.36130 | -1.61528 |
| H | -3.31914 | -0.87571 | -1.92251 |
| H | -4.07172 | -2.22422 | -1.02598 |
| H | -1.51361 | -2.81036 | 0.59451 |
| H | -3.19240 | -2.78648 | 1.21869 |
| H | -1.94143 | -1.74314 | 1.94625 |
| H | -4.16438 | -0.59817 | 1.44014 |
| H | -4.25820 | 0.25034 | -0.11384 |

III.VII. Nuclear coordinates for complexes containing 1-cyclobutyl-N,N-dimethylmethanamine


Scheme 74: Transition states leading to an asymmetric $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation of cyclobutane rings in amine 442 and using acetyl-tert-leucine as ligand. Energy values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

## 442 - 1-Cyclobutyl- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylmethanamine

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-330.543622002$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.175037$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-330.622506653$

| N | -1.57626 | 0.08218 | 0.27400 | H | -0.74639 | -1.04764 | -1.33865 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -0.47693 | -0.67619 | -0.32789 | H | -0.31270 | -1.56263 | 0.29746 |
| C | -2.71950 | -0.78618 | 0.52868 | C | 2.85983 | 0.19430 | 0.34208 |
| C | -1.97581 | 1.22134 | -0.54462 | H | 2.50320 | -0.69398 | -1.72776 |
| H | -3.14497 | -1.22388 | -0.39486 | H | 2.04587 | -1.73087 | -0.35747 |
| H | -3.51225 | -0.21966 | 1.02710 | C | 1.47476 | 0.58350 | 0.92238 |
| H | -2.42173 | -1.60973 | 1.18495 | H | 0.74376 | 0.93631 | -1.10554 |
| H | -2.31230 | 0.92567 | -1.55717 | H | 3.35380 | 1.04791 | -0.13221 |
| H | -1.14712 | 1.92445 | -0.65512 | H | 3.57393 | -0.29331 | 1.01151 |
| H | -2.80052 | 1.74948 | -0.05680 | H | 1.18612 | -0.06596 | 1.75565 |
| C | 0.82700 | 0.10093 | -0.40196 | H | 1.31523 | 1.62349 | 1.22007 |

## $\mathrm{A}_{10}$ - Bisamine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1244.94845792$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.463466$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1246.44596411


| Pd | 0.00002 | 0.00001 | 0.00003 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O | 0.55148 | 1.74772 | 0.92792 |
| N | 1.37491 | -0.98460 | 1.33504 |
| O | -0.55145 | -1.74771 | -0.92783 |
| N | -1.37485 | 0.98464 | -1.33498 |
| C | 0.81728 | -0.78112 | 2.69623 |
| H | -0.16528 | -1.25112 | 2.73938 |
| H | 0.72582 | 0.28895 | 2.87977 |
| H | 1.47873 | -1.23238 | 3.44723 |
| C | 2.72325 | -0.34856 | 1.25372 |
| C | 1.49424 | -2.44036 | 1.07615 |
| C | -1.49424 | 2.44037 | -1.07601 |
| H | -0.54187 | 2.91496 | -1.29729 |
| H | -1.75157 | 2.61234 | -0.03422 |
| H | -2.27280 | 2.86124 | -1.72382 |
| C | -2.72317 | 0.34854 | -1.25378 |
| C | -0.81713 | 0.78125 | -2.69615 |
| C | -1.34802 | -2.55945 | -0.29363 |
| O | -1.74986 | -2.41096 | 0.86733 |
| C | -1.74193 | -3.77552 | -1.11901 |
| H | -2.50633 | -4.35622 | -0.60153 |
| H | -0.86004 | -4.40376 | -1.28185 |
| H | -2.10814 | -3.46773 | -2.10225 |
| C | 1.34804 | 2.55950 | 0.29375 |
| C | 1.74193 | 3.77553 | 1.11920 |
| H | 0.86001 | 4.40370 | 1.28215 |
| H | 2.50626 | 4.35632 | 0.60170 |
| H | 2.10823 | 3.46769 | 2.10238 |
| O | 1.74988 | 2.41107 | -0.86721 |
| C | -3.34462 | 0.33985 | 0.13057 |
| H | -2.60687 | -0.67734 | -1.60333 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H | -3.38393 | 0.86969 | -1.96235 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -4.66176 | -0.46668 | 0.27391 |
| H | 0.16542 | 1.25127 | -2.73922 |
| H | -1.47855 | 1.23254 | -3.44717 |
| H | -0.72564 | -0.28881 | -2.87975 |
| C | 3.34457 | -0.33991 | -0.13069 |
| H | 0.54184 | -2.91489 | 1.29745 |
| H | 2.27277 | -2.86123 | 1.72399 |
| H | 1.75157 | -2.61239 | 0.03436 |
| C | 4.66171 | 0.46659 | -0.27420 |
| H | 2.60703 | 0.67732 | 1.60326 |
| H | 3.38405 | -0.86973 | 1.96224 |
| C | -4.09645 | 1.57868 | 0.69451 |
| C | -5.16865 | 0.61428 | 1.26421 |
| H | -4.50101 | 2.20035 | -0.11139 |
| H | -3.56319 | 2.22225 | 1.40004 |
| H | -6.21603 | 0.91925 | 1.19190 |
| H | -4.95323 | 0.34106 | 2.30167 |
| H | -4.56900 | -1.49720 | 0.62513 |
| H | -5.23848 | -0.45785 | -0.65798 |
| H | -2.61288 | -0.03522 | 0.85136 |
| C | 5.16847 | -0.61442 | -1.26453 |
| H | 5.23855 | 0.45781 | 0.65761 |
| H | 4.56892 | 1.49709 | -0.62546 |
| C | 4.09629 | -1.57877 | -0.69471 |
| H | 2.61276 | 0.03516 | -0.85139 |
| H | 3.56291 | -2.22231 | -1.40019 |
| H | 4.50088 | -2.20048 | 0.11115 |
| H | 6.21585 | -0.91942 | -1.19232 |
| H | 4.95296 | -0.34122 | -2.30199 |

## $\mathrm{A}_{11}$ - Bidentate ligand-amine complex

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1279.70329417$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.418068$

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1281.22316021

| Pd | 0.16285 | 0.10590 | -0.26847 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | -0.31252 | -1.85927 | -0.26797 |
| N | -1.53142 | 0.19807 | 0.99945 |
| O | 0.60335 | 2.11212 | -0.24180 |
| N | 1.76624 | -0.26958 | -1.59890 |
| C | -1.50367 | -2.14601 | 0.20326 |
| O | -1.91755 | -3.29702 | 0.29307 |
| C | -2.39315 | -0.96014 | 0.62427 |
| C | -3.45787 | -0.56543 | -0.44486 |
| C | -2.81616 | -0.13982 | -1.77716 |
| C | -4.31756 | 0.58917 | 0.10787 |
| C | -4.37653 | -1.77697 | -0.68702 |
| H | -3.82882 | -2.62325 | -1.10419 |
| H | -5.16934 | -1.49454 | -1.38638 |
| H | -4.84762 | -2.10759 | 0.24445 |
| H | -2.21448 | 0.76667 | -1.66601 |
| H | -3.60183 | 0.07227 | -2.50947 |
| H | -2.17947 | -0.92975 | -2.18630 |
| H | -3.75605 | 1.52177 | 0.20572 |
| H | -4.74004 | 0.33194 | 1.08496 |
| H | -5.14838 | 0.78415 | -0.57720 |
| C | -1.03250 | 0.18151 | 2.34067 |
| H | -2.92682 | -1.27935 | 1.52222 |
| C | -0.52288 | 1.50255 | 2.85771 |
| O | -1.02958 | -0.85310 | 2.97991 |
| H | -1.84696 | 1.13991 | 0.69650 |
| C | -0.34106 | 2.99568 | -0.17032 |
| O | -1.55023 | 2.75705 | 0.01902 |
| C | 0.15000 | 4.42613 | -0.29385 |


| H | 0.89016 | 4.51024 | -1.09253 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| H | 0.63833 | 4.71407 | 0.64321 |
| H | -0.68671 | 5.10056 | -0.47787 |
| C | 2.67318 | -1.26084 | -0.94072 |
| C | 2.50150 | 0.95669 | -1.98721 |
| C | 1.16683 | -0.88728 | -2.81097 |
| H | 0.60253 | -1.77064 | -2.51600 |
| H | 1.95769 | -1.16681 | -3.51730 |
| H | 0.49749 | -0.16748 | -3.28346 |
| H | -1.23993 | 2.30243 | 2.65788 |
| H | 0.40786 | 1.76570 | 2.34734 |
| H | -0.33901 | 1.41361 | 3.92763 |
| H | 3.33831 | 0.68582 | -2.64131 |
| H | 2.86987 | 1.46556 | -1.10167 |
| H | 1.82260 | 1.62628 | -2.51284 |
| C | 3.30409 | -0.79693 | 0.36097 |
| H | 3.45658 | -1.53266 | -1.66266 |
| H | 2.06501 | -2.14763 | -0.74893 |
| C | 4.11319 | -1.87534 | 1.13227 |
| C | 4.57766 | 0.09685 | 0.37328 |
| C | 5.12809 | -0.77327 | 1.53201 |
| H | 2.53586 | -0.37088 | 1.01630 |
| H | 4.44766 | 1.16661 | 0.55845 |
| H | 5.16162 | -0.03306 | -0.54386 |
| H | 4.55930 | -2.60051 | 0.44296 |
| H | 3.59442 | -2.41876 | 1.92653 |
| H | 4.88891 | -0.34141 | 2.50833 |
| H | 6.18988 | -1.03149 | 1.51210 |

## 5

## $\mathrm{TS}_{53}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (major enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1050.52662338
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.361992$
Imaginary frequency = -1237.6546

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1051.97327000


| O | 2.88521 | -2.19742 | -1.28350 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.01351 | -1.43519 | -0.86770 |
| C | 2.37647 | -0.00318 | -0.40693 |
| H | 3.13392 | 0.37477 | -1.10055 |
| C | 3.01749 | -0.01122 | 1.02808 |
| C | 4.37990 | -0.72235 | 0.96972 |
| C | 3.23688 | 1.43927 | 1.48777 |
| C | 2.10556 | -0.72466 | 2.04019 |
| N | 1.16387 | 0.80206 | -0.47543 |
| Pd | -0.51623 | -0.26483 | -0.22616 |
| O | 0.75684 | -1.78830 | -0.75882 |
| N | -2.21199 | -1.53429 | -0.10097 |
| C | -3.39670 | -0.64675 | 0.08018 |
| C | -3.07764 | 0.45463 | 1.07111 |
| C | -1.86306 | 1.34016 | 0.62083 |
| C | -2.33732 | -2.33341 | -1.34118 |
| C | -2.02731 | -2.44665 | 1.05255 |
| C | 1.01437 | 2.02222 | -0.94798 |
| C | 2.16342 | 2.88164 | -1.41373 |
| O | -0.15796 | 2.53792 | -1.00898 |
| H | 4.84927 | -0.70494 | 1.95887 |
| H | 5.05462 | -0.21874 | 0.26840 |
| H | 4.27622 | -1.76005 | 0.64881 |
| H | 3.71026 | 1.44664 | 2.47484 |
| H | 2.29155 | 1.98306 | 1.56475 |


| H | 3.89357 | 1.98144 | 0.79995 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 2.56295 | -0.70003 | 3.03467 |
| H | 1.94334 | -1.77214 | 1.76915 |
| H | 1.12780 | -0.23754 | 2.10417 |
| H | 2.35729 | 3.65178 | -0.66131 |
| H | 1.86991 | 3.38341 | -2.33784 |
| H | 3.08373 | 2.32342 | -1.58023 |
| H | -1.34282 | 1.73372 | 1.49797 |
| H | -0.98619 | 1.61382 | -0.36065 |
| H | -2.97864 | 0.04918 | 2.08127 |
| C | -2.90934 | 2.38790 | 0.09443 |
| C | -4.00194 | 1.69189 | 0.93880 |
| H | -4.97477 | 1.53256 | 0.46356 |
| H | -4.15692 | 2.18354 | 1.90209 |
| H | -1.11787 | -3.02705 | 0.89466 |
| H | -2.88624 | -3.12412 | 1.14251 |
| H | -1.92433 | -1.87012 | 1.97113 |
| H | -4.26650 | -1.24235 | 0.38907 |
| H | -3.61699 | -0.21110 | -0.89842 |
| H | -1.42866 | -2.92106 | -1.47191 |
| H | -2.45457 | -1.66009 | -2.19137 |
| H | -3.20666 | -3.00047 | -1.28059 |
| H | -2.68358 | 3.43458 | 0.30840 |
| H | -3.08463 | 2.28243 | -0.97954 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{54}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (minor enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1050.52273402$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.361915$
Imaginary frequency =-1247.2650

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1051.96992113$


| O | 2.71878 | -2.57379 | -0.81692 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.89071 | -1.68779 | -0.60966 |
| C | 2.33405 | -0.23385 | -0.34008 |
| H | 3.19286 | -0.02920 | -0.98666 |
| C | 2.81246 | -0.05672 | 1.14775 |
| C | 4.11064 | -0.85525 | 1.35375 |
| C | 3.09641 | 1.42998 | 1.41501 |
| C | 1.74376 | -0.54545 | 2.13997 |
| N | 1.21115 | 0.62859 | -0.68105 |
| Pd | -0.57716 | -0.24733 | -0.40560 |
| O | 0.60218 | -1.91919 | -0.56648 |
| N | -2.37280 | -1.33286 | -0.08062 |
| C | -3.10291 | -0.52177 | 0.93192 |
| C | -3.24362 | 0.91656 | 0.46841 |
| C | -1.91120 | 1.56908 | -0.04968 |
| C | -3.13455 | -1.44686 | -1.34618 |
| C | -2.09708 | -2.68470 | 0.45531 |
| C | 1.22607 | 1.78554 | -1.31074 |
| C | 2.49315 | 2.47431 | -1.75526 |
| O | 0.12035 | 2.37924 | -1.55747 |
| H | 4.46873 | -0.72394 | 2.38013 |
| H | 4.89715 | -0.50538 | 0.67575 |
| H | 3.95768 | -1.91995 | 1.16939 |
| H | 3.46090 | 1.55747 | 2.43942 |
| H | 2.19416 | 2.03709 | 1.30250 |


| H | 3.86360 | 1.81933 | 0.73849 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | 2.09014 | -0.38804 | 3.16657 |
| H | 1.53146 | -1.61118 | 2.01446 |
| H | 0.80490 | 0.00188 | 2.01126 |
| H | -2.51061 | -0.57031 | 1.84998 |
| H | -4.08216 | -0.97679 | 1.13771 |
| H | -2.56154 | -2.05288 | -2.04894 |
| H | -3.29168 | -0.45936 | -1.77773 |
| H | -4.10614 | -1.92393 | -1.16264 |
| H | -1.54172 | -2.59312 | 1.38928 |
| H | -1.48881 | -3.23620 | -0.25983 |
| H | -3.03893 | -3.21628 | 0.63865 |
| H | 3.36862 | 1.82633 | -1.74245 |
| H | 2.68323 | 3.32697 | -1.09690 |
| H | 2.34121 | 2.85972 | -2.76546 |
| H | -2.24052 | 2.34924 | -0.74873 |
| H | -0.86142 | 1.59573 | -0.88580 |
| C | -1.70680 | 2.21209 | 1.34444 |
| C | -3.20772 | 1.94311 | 1.63689 |
| H | -3.46537 | 1.56018 | 2.62890 |
| H | -3.82969 | 2.81547 | 1.42214 |
| H | -1.36508 | 3.25020 | 1.36510 |
| H | -1.05257 | 1.61763 | 1.98719 |
| H | -4.08843 | 1.01639 | -0.21691 |

## TS $5_{55}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (minor enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1050.52153746$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.362753$
Imaginary frequency = -1259.5042

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1051.96787617$


| O | 2.40364 | -2.72878 | -0.89708 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.66074 | -1.77522 | -0.67193 |
| C | 2.23778 | -0.37237 | -0.37905 |
| H | 3.10284 | -0.23581 | -1.03531 |
| C | 2.75295 | -0.26931 | 1.10342 |
| C | 3.96298 | -1.20185 | 1.28186 |
| C | 3.19755 | 1.17503 | 1.38449 |
| C | 1.65177 | -0.65515 | 2.10515 |
| N | 1.19668 | 0.59990 | -0.68497 |
| Pd | -0.66158 | -0.12059 | -0.42388 |
| O | 0.35513 | -1.89005 | -0.63119 |
| N | -2.50576 | -1.13221 | -0.14157 |
| C | -3.57334 | -0.12454 | 0.14488 |
| C | -3.01141 | 1.15525 | 0.72208 |
| C | -1.80143 | 1.83891 | 0.00650 |
| C | -2.81176 | -1.89857 | -1.37383 |
| C | -2.34921 | -2.08668 | 0.98506 |
| C | 1.31248 | 1.76132 | -1.29529 |
| C | 2.63174 | 2.33959 | -1.74432 |
| O | 0.26308 | 2.45872 | -1.51866 |
| H | 4.35151 | -1.11297 | 2.30168 |
| H | 4.76939 | -0.93363 | 0.59017 |
| H | 3.69616 | -2.24381 | 1.09812 |
| H | 3.58297 | 1.25003 | 2.40635 |
| H | 2.36543 | 1.87764 | 1.28863 |


| H | 3.99571 | 1.48813 | 0.70418 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 2.03140 | -0.56295 | 3.12793 |
| H | 1.31530 | -1.68604 | 1.96138 |
| H | 0.78160 | 0.00019 | 2.00772 |
| H | -4.33837 | -0.57434 | 0.78993 |
| H | -4.05051 | 0.11978 | -0.80828 |
| H | -2.00225 | -2.60662 | -1.55370 |
| H | -2.88469 | -1.21160 | -2.21774 |
| H | -3.75818 | -2.44212 | -1.26080 |
| H | -2.19633 | -1.54183 | 1.91442 |
| H | -1.48321 | -2.71893 | 0.79033 |
| H | -3.25097 | -2.70486 | 1.07733 |
| H | 2.90469 | 3.16170 | -1.07634 |
| H | 2.50473 | 2.75227 | -2.74717 |
| H | 3.44515 | 1.61535 | -1.75065 |
| H | -2.13199 | 2.61106 | -0.69927 |
| H | -0.77249 | 1.76513 | -0.84976 |
| H | -3.83612 | 1.87905 | 0.74672 |
| C | -1.33769 | 2.34603 | 1.39822 |
| C | -2.23721 | 1.27004 | 2.06480 |
| H | -1.68993 | 3.36260 | 1.60252 |
| H | -0.26732 | 2.29530 | 1.61062 |
| H | -1.65913 | 0.37538 | 2.30617 |
| H | -2.81940 | 1.56137 | 2.94256 |

## $\mathrm{TS}_{56}$ - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (major enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1050.52038307$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.361667$
Imaginary frequency = -1297.2184

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1051.96658591


| 0 | 2.78206 | -2.08508 | -1.57346 | H | 3.91515 | 1.85641 | 0.90212 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.94602 | -1.35175 | -1.04733 | H | 2.61737 | -1.03098 | 2.88547 |
| C | 2.35027 | 0.01814 | -0.46160 | H | 1.95496 | -1.96357 | 1.53390 |
| H | 3.09791 | 0.45023 | -1.13349 | H | 1.16097 | -0.46832 | 2.04774 |
| C | 3.02486 | -0.14220 | 0.94884 | H | -2.70741 | -1.30934 | 2.01140 |
| C | 4.37684 | -0.85569 | 0.77933 | H | -4.10304 | -1.41678 | 0.93280 |
| C | 3.27165 | 1.25133 | 1.54876 | H | -2.12146 | -2.07946 | -2.02162 |
| C | 2.13333 | -0.95057 | 1.90669 | H | -3.18881 | -0.70998 | -1.66318 |
| N | 1.15002 | 0.84322 | -0.42400 | H | -3.70024 | -2.36221 | -1.23682 |
| Pd | -0.53705 | -0.21949 | -0.16558 | H | -1.19292 | -2.78807 | 1.39663 |
| O | 0.68739 | -1.68958 | -0.91897 | H | -1.01300 | -3.26215 | -0.30217 |
| N | -2.18679 | -1.54098 | 0.01150 | H | -2.56088 | -3.56435 | 0.54945 |
| C | -3.10183 | -0.97884 | 1.04730 | H | 2.41099 | 3.66651 | -0.37536 |
| C | -3.14761 | 0.53471 | 1.05526 | H | 1.85693 | 3.58062 | -2.05060 |
| C | -1.84458 | 1.37707 | 0.83609 | H | 3.07048 | 2.42363 | -1.45098 |
| C | -2.84460 | -1.67853 | -1.31031 | H | -1.28657 | 1.63173 | 1.74098 |
| C | -1.71045 | -2.87978 | 0.44114 | H | -0.97592 | 1.70756 | -0.15098 |
| C | 1.01855 | 2.10407 | -0.77306 | H | -3.54446 | 0.82194 | 2.03768 |
| C | 2.17105 | 2.98138 | -1.19384 | C | -2.82050 | 2.49850 | 0.29550 |
| 0 | -0.14226 | 2.64564 | -0.74221 | C | -3.87566 | 1.41071 | 0.01217 |
| H | 4.87114 | -0.94969 | 1.75184 | H | -2.47868 | 3.10739 | -0.54095 |
| H | 5.03999 | -0.28608 | 0.11868 | H | -3.12002 | 3.15438 | 1.11925 |
| H | 4.25237 | -1.85208 | 0.35200 | H | -4.92669 | 1.64390 | 0.20101 |
| H | 3.77152 | 1.15356 | 2.51781 | H | -3.77409 | 1.03561 | -1.00826 |

## TS 57 - Ligand-assisted trans-C-H activation

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1050.52560121
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.362104$
Imaginary frequency = -1276.0922

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1051.97091453


| O | 2.84475 | -2.13656 | -1.43193 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.99423 | -1.40260 | -0.92951 |
| C | 2.36635 | 0.02163 | -0.45798 |
| H | 3.09442 | 0.41833 | -1.17182 |
| C | 3.06460 | -0.01940 | 0.95160 |
| C | 4.41856 | -0.73761 | 0.81925 |
| C | 3.31636 | 1.41684 | 1.43807 |
| C | 2.19325 | -0.75000 | 1.98717 |
| N | 1.14646 | 0.82343 | -0.46295 |
| Pd | -0.51462 | -0.26494 | -0.15729 |
| O | 0.75846 | -1.78178 | -0.72588 |
| N | -2.18608 | -1.61840 | 0.04444 |
| C | -3.39138 | -0.88657 | 0.55316 |
| C | -3.30093 | 0.54107 | 0.06335 |
| C | -2.00220 | 1.23921 | 0.52090 |
| C | -2.43391 | -2.16191 | -1.31338 |
| C | -1.80265 | -2.72167 | 0.95426 |
| C | 1.00500 | 2.07676 | -0.83015 |
| C | 2.13974 | 2.94508 | -1.31313 |
| O | -0.15396 | 2.63285 | -0.78536 |
| H | 4.93086 | -0.74143 | 1.78694 |
| H | 5.06524 | -0.22525 | 0.09824 |
| H | 4.29486 | -1.76888 | 0.48489 |
| H | 3.82582 | 1.39292 | 2.40670 |
| H | 2.38129 | 1.96846 | 1.56692 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 3.95368 | 1.97025 | 0.74153 |
| H | 2.69787 | -0.75341 | 2.95884 |
| H | 2.00658 | -1.78835 | 1.69884 |
| H | 1.22486 | -0.25551 | 2.10964 |
| H | 2.37916 | 3.68133 | -0.54068 |
| H | 1.80381 | 3.48818 | -2.19884 |
| H | 3.04302 | 2.38595 | -1.55169 |
| H | -1.80318 | 1.00231 | 1.57328 |
| H | -0.98053 | 1.76425 | -0.22869 |
| H | -3.38219 | 0.57486 | -1.02920 |
| C | -2.82780 | 2.58116 | 0.52483 |
| C | -4.10784 | 1.71349 | 0.66610 |
| H | -2.59013 | 3.29740 | 1.31411 |
| H | -2.78768 | 3.08523 | -0.44424 |
| H | -5.00845 | 2.04185 | 0.13983 |
| H | -4.34781 | 1.53161 | 1.71864 |
| H | -3.35188 | -0.90056 | 1.64579 |
| H | -4.30071 | -1.41108 | 0.23655 |
| H | -1.51072 | -2.60569 | -1.68645 |
| H | -2.73901 | -1.35591 | -1.98164 |
| H | -3.22449 | -2.92237 | -1.28043 |
| H | -0.90368 | -3.19894 | 0.56364 |
| H | -2.61190 | -3.45914 | 1.02849 |
| H | -1.59176 | -2.31326 | 1.94360 |

## TS ${ }_{58}$ - Ligand-assisted trans-C-H activation

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1050.52278518$
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.362785$
Imaginary frequency =-1213.2752

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1051.96820268$


| O | 2.85095 | -2.24022 | -1.28230 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.99117 | -1.46662 | -0.86283 |
| C | 2.36248 | -0.03816 | -0.41351 |
| H | 3.16946 | 0.31276 | -1.06383 |
| C | 2.91806 | -0.05891 | 1.06179 |
| C | 4.25106 | -0.82712 | 1.08003 |
| C | 3.17639 | 1.37831 | 1.54136 |
| C | 1.92373 | -0.72851 | 2.02568 |
| N | 1.17308 | 0.79572 | -0.56442 |
| Pd | -0.53999 | -0.23888 | -0.35280 |
| O | 0.73551 | -1.80876 | -0.72027 |
| N | -2.19948 | -1.58759 | -0.00124 |
| C | -3.43338 | -0.81098 | 0.34865 |
| C | -2.97978 | 0.52977 | 0.88209 |
| C | -2.14396 | 1.31189 | -0.16594 |
| C | -2.41192 | -2.45280 | -1.18256 |
| C | -1.76535 | -2.41669 | 1.15050 |
| C | 1.11851 | 2.07443 | -0.86762 |
| C | 2.32252 | 2.89590 | -1.25937 |
| O | -0.00812 | 2.68779 | -0.85960 |
| H | 4.66443 | -0.82563 | 2.09390 |
| H | 4.98463 | -0.35485 | 0.41717 |
| H | 4.12340 | -1.86131 | 0.75623 |
| H | 3.59177 | 1.35584 | 2.55391 |
| H | 2.25520 | 1.96611 | 1.57527 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 3.89662 | 1.89398 | 0.89934 |
| H | 2.32375 | -0.70381 | 3.04456 |
| H | 1.73926 | -1.77242 | 1.75836 |
| H | 0.96100 | -0.20789 | 2.02505 |
| H | 3.21801 | 2.30099 | -1.43115 |
| H | 2.53123 | 3.62768 | -0.47463 |
| H | 2.07357 | 3.44649 | -2.16942 |
| H | -2.62005 | 1.19353 | -1.14759 |
| H | -0.91912 | 1.79505 | -0.42794 |
| C | -2.71883 | 2.61653 | 0.48902 |
| C | -3.90124 | 1.76179 | 1.01944 |
| H | -4.30117 | 1.99485 | 2.00996 |
| H | -4.72168 | 1.72917 | 0.29538 |
| H | -2.93385 | 3.44957 | -0.18358 |
| H | -2.07944 | 2.96643 | 1.30448 |
| H | -2.41368 | 0.39735 | 1.81066 |
| H | -4.04652 | -1.38714 | 1.05065 |
| H | -4.01287 | -0.66327 | -0.56638 |
| H | -1.47801 | -2.96823 | -1.40851 |
| H | -2.69727 | -1.83391 | -2.03440 |
| H | -3.20176 | -3.18880 | -0.98542 |
| H | -0.80899 | -2.88049 | 0.90997 |
| H | -2.51363 | -3.19058 | 1.36324 |
| H | -1.64355 | -1.78321 | 2.03017 |

## ATs61 - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (major enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1050.52413194
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.362303$
Imaginary frequency = -1356.0791

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy $=-1051.96979036$


| 0 | 2.88126 | -2.14506 | -1.39508 | H | 3.87703 | 2.02509 | 0.71893 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.01093 | -1.40871 | -0.93142 | H | 2.71978 | -0.73482 | 2.95275 |
| C | 2.36063 | 0.02226 | -0.46279 | H | 2.07298 | -1.79913 | 1.69469 |
| H | 3.07734 | 0.43120 | -1.18161 | H | 1.23178 | -0.29492 | 2.09792 |
| C | 3.06416 | 0.00532 | 0.94292 | H | 2.25245 | 3.68266 | -0.63249 |
| C | 4.44105 | -0.66677 | 0.80870 | H | 1.68613 | 3.43485 | -2.28690 |
| C | 3.26624 | 1.45155 | 1.42328 | H | 2.96228 | 2.39026 | -1.61484 |
| C | 2.21834 | -0.75289 | 1.97961 | H | -1.41706 | 1.09253 | 1.75633 |
| N | 1.12619 | 0.79676 | -0.46483 | H | -1.03376 | 1.66193 | -0.22033 |
| Pd | -0.52378 | -0.30924 | -0.16781 | C | -2.67274 | 2.58916 | 0.66687 |
| O | 0.76874 | -1.79018 | -0.77661 | C | -3.89302 | 1.84057 | 0.09461 |
| N | -2.20300 | -1.59324 | 0.00721 | H | -2.86182 | 2.98664 | 1.66866 |
| C | -3.38511 | -0.71637 | -0.22873 | H | -2.22826 | 3.37357 | 0.05496 |
| C | -3.30438 | 0.52141 | 0.64421 | H | -3.88976 | 1.84798 | -1.00047 |
| C | -1.90369 | 1.20928 | 0.78397 | H | -4.88345 | 2.13797 | 0.44786 |
| C | -2.15172 | -2.67386 | -1.00276 | H | -3.70814 | 0.31621 | 1.64153 |
| C | -2.22544 | -2.18513 | 1.36492 | H | -4.30856 | -1.28956 | -0.06254 |
| C | 0.93776 | 2.02579 | -0.88556 | H | -3.34785 | -0.42727 | -1.28252 |
| C | 2.03933 | 2.92255 | -1.38972 | H | -1.33781 | -2.80580 | 1.49351 |
| 0 | -0.24620 | 2.52777 | -0.86560 | H | -3.12354 | -2.80301 | 1.49548 |
| H | 4.95315 | -0.65793 | 1.77652 | H | -2.21801 | -1.39663 | 2.11641 |
| H | 5.07032 | -0.12963 | 0.09023 | H | -1.23183 | -3.24133 | -0.86758 |
| H | 4.35037 | -1.69957 | 0.46877 | H | -2.15070 | -2.23160 | -1.99975 |
| H | 3.78369 | 1.45104 | 2.38798 | H | -3.02160 | -3.33394 | -0.89661 |

## ATs62 - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (minor enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1050.52152865
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.362015$
Imaginary frequency = -1194.1235

Single-point energy calculation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy = -1051.96861621


| 0 | 2.55643 | -2.70599 | -0.80310 | H | 3.87396 | 1.66121 | 0.66750 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.76143 | -1.78560 | -0.61696 | H | 2.00655 | -0.41715 | 3.13946 |
| C | 2.26167 | -0.34558 | -0.36762 | H | 1.40907 | -1.64708 | 2.01422 |
| H | 3.12610 | -0.18355 | -1.01889 | H | 0.74208 | -0.00935 | 1.96656 |
| C | 2.74882 | -0.16217 | 1.11605 | H | -2.36854 | -0.85204 | 1.97663 |
| C | 4.01265 | -1.00963 | 1.33828 | H | -4.02666 | -0.80869 | 1.35643 |
| C | 3.09466 | 1.31640 | 1.35443 | H | -3.02005 | -1.47502 | -2.09645 |
| C | 1.65957 | -0.58692 | 2.11504 | H | -3.56853 | 0.06291 | -1.39970 |
| N | 1.17196 | 0.55405 | -0.71746 | H | -4.41175 | -1.44889 | -0.97706 |
| Pd | -0.64570 | -0.24603 | -0.42446 | H | -1.63289 | -2.79221 | 0.99916 |
| O | 0.46433 | -1.96662 | -0.58344 | H | -1.96292 | -3.13240 | -0.70655 |
| N | -2.50614 | -1.19959 | -0.07136 | H | -3.31427 | -3.08794 | 0.46398 |
| C | -2.99200 | -0.50136 | 1.15033 | H | 3.36006 | 1.64529 | -1.83031 |
| C | -2.85551 | 1.01402 | 1.00321 | H | 2.73708 | 3.17942 | -1.20091 |
| C | -1.91704 | 1.63705 | -0.10537 | H | 2.36423 | 2.70282 | -2.86040 |
| C | -3.43668 | -1.00057 | -1.20705 | H | -2.49095 | 2.03990 | -0.94744 |
| C | -2.34787 | -2.64730 | 0.18988 | H | -0.84850 | 1.58971 | -0.89137 |
| C | 1.22181 | 1.69209 | -1.38072 | C | -1.45890 | 2.67865 | 0.95836 |
| C | 2.50966 | 2.32580 | -1.84620 | C | -2.05290 | 1.79083 | 2.07457 |
| 0 | 0.13520 | 2.31634 | -1.63743 | H | -3.84344 | 1.46801 | 0.87718 |
| H | 4.37553 | -0.87371 | 2.36239 | H | -1.98434 | 3.63207 | 0.84669 |
| H | 4.81309 | -0.70530 | 0.65460 | H | -0.38580 | 2.87479 | 1.00581 |
| H | 3.81567 | -2.07029 | 1.17382 | H | -1.28867 | 1.15324 | 2.53040 |
| H | 3.46836 | 1.44834 | 2.37492 | H | -2.62488 | 2.28000 | 2.86683 |
| H | 2.21771 | 1.95807 | 1.23344 |  |  |  |  |

## ATs63 - Ligand-assisted C-H activation (minor enantiomer)

Geometry optimisation:
B3LYP-D3BJ/631G(d,p)/LANL2DZ-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1050.51867732
Thermal correction to Gibbs Free Energy $=0.362473$
Imaginary frequency $=-1303.9832$

Single-point energy calculation:


B3LYP-D3BJ/6311+G(2d,p)/SDD-IEFPCM(DMF) Energy =-1051.96544161

| 0 | 2.60023 | -2.57897 | -0.94197 | H | 4.01929 | 1.67267 | 0.73579 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.81668 | -1.66710 | -0.68214 | H | 2.24225 | -0.56787 | 3.13892 |
| C | 2.33379 | -0.24693 | -0.36257 | H | 1.55285 | -1.68558 | 1.95140 |
| H | 3.17228 | -0.04990 | -1.03775 | H | 0.93288 | -0.03254 | 2.07476 |
| C | 2.88682 | -0.16347 | 1.10720 | H | 3.41345 | 1.83070 | -1.70074 |
| C | 4.15124 | -1.03186 | 1.22046 | H | 2.81363 | 3.33223 | -0.97757 |
| C | 3.25861 | 1.29367 | 1.42550 | H | 2.39773 | 2.94357 | -2.64945 |
| C | 1.83828 | -0.64372 | 2.12416 | H | -0.79781 | 1.77656 | -0.71039 |
| N | 1.24016 | 0.68313 | -0.61136 | H | -3.99625 | 1.80036 | -0.36804 |
| Pd | -0.58321 | -0.13410 | -0.37658 | H | -1.59828 | -2.51623 | 1.23178 |
| O | 0.51957 | -1.84444 | -0.62621 | H | -3.37956 | -2.38722 | 1.28207 |
| N | -2.40738 | -1.20289 | -0.19508 | H | -2.35986 | -1.07572 | 1.92472 |
| C | -3.53668 | -0.24996 | -0.41827 | H | -2.39785 | -1.83256 | -2.21089 |
| C | -3.30974 | 1.13440 | 0.16368 | H | -3.38684 | -2.84815 | -1.12392 |
| C | -1.83305 | 1.66248 | 0.17267 | H | -1.59788 | -2.93590 | -1.07314 |
| C | -2.45397 | -2.27821 | -1.21723 | H | -4.46854 | -0.69946 | -0.04778 |
| C | -2.44289 | -1.83144 | 1.14804 | H | -3.62771 | -0.14713 | -1.50227 |
| C | 1.28626 | 1.86147 | -1.19835 | H | -1.86993 | 2.71172 | -0.14351 |
| C | 2.56614 | 2.51385 | -1.65992 | C | -1.82460 | 1.64129 | 1.73166 |
| O | 0.19979 | 2.51234 | -1.38541 | C | -3.35144 | 1.35278 | 1.70572 |
| H | 4.56360 | -0.95509 | 2.23194 | H | -1.51985 | 2.57524 | 2.21130 |
| H | 4.92125 | -0.69584 | 0.51698 | H | -1.24089 | 0.83207 | 2.17624 |
| H | 3.93682 | -2.08028 | 1.00754 | H | -3.70143 | 0.51088 | 2.30857 |
| H | 3.66783 | 1.35701 | 2.43891 | H | -3.94894 | 2.22773 | 1.97260 |
| H | 2.38629 | 1.95074 | 1.37476 |  |  |  |  |

# Catalytic $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bond activation in tertiary alkylamines 
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The development of robust catalytic methods to assemble tertiary alkylamines provides a continual challenge to chemical synthesis. In this regard, transformation of a traditionally unreactive C-H bond, proximal to the nitrogen atom, into a versatile chemical entity would be a powerful strategy for introducing functional complexity to tertiary alkylamines. A practical and selective metal-catalysed $\mathbf{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$-H activation facilitated by the tertiary alkylamine functionality, however, remains an unsolved problem. Here, we report a Pd (II)-catalysed protocol that appends arene feedstocks to tertiary alkylamines via $\mathbf{C}\left(s^{3}{ }^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization. A simple ligand for $\mathrm{Pd}(11)$ orchestrates the $\mathbf{C - H}$ activation step in favour of deleterious pathways. The reaction can use both simple and complex starting materials to produce a range of multifaceted $\gamma$-aryl tertiary alkylamines and can be rendered enantioselective. The enabling features of this transformation should be attractive to practitioners of synthetic and medicinal chemistry as well as in other areas that use biologically active alkylamines.

The development of methods catalysed by transition metals for converting $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds into a new chemical functionality is an emerging technology that has the potential to streamline chemical synthesis ${ }^{1-3}$. An important feature of many $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization strategies is the use of coordinating groups, which locate a metal catalystin proximity to a particular C-H bond, thereby enabling reactivity and ensuring selectivity. In an ideal situation, a native functionality present in the molecule would be capable of steering the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation via cyclometallation. Among a limited number of examples, carboxylic acids ${ }^{45}$ as well as primary and secondary amines ${ }^{6}$ have been most successfully deployed in combination with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ catalysts to affect $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization reactions. However, it is more common that the native functional group needs to be modified with an additional directing auxiliary to modulate its coordinating ability, which has led to a diverse range of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation processes ${ }^{3,3}$. Despite the efficacy of auxiliary-augmented $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation strategies, a number of practical drawbacks of this approach exist. First, the auxiliary must be incorporated into the substrate prior to, and removed after, the C-H transformation. Second, their removal sometimes requires harsh conditions that can be incompatible with delicate molecular architectures. A third, and arguably the most compelling, limitation is that auxiliary-augmented $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation is not possible if there is no functionality in the substrate to which a directing motif can be appended. This problem is especially pertinent when considering $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation in tertiary alkylamines; there is no simple way to attach and remove a directing auxiliary within a tertiary alkylamine motif ${ }^{-16}$.

With an estimated $26 \%$ of all drugs and agrochemicals featuring a tertiary alkylamine ${ }^{17 \lambda 8}$, the development of robust catalytic methods to assemble and modify the structure of these important molecular features provides a continual challenge to chemical synthesis ${ }^{1023}$. A selective single-step transformation of a traditionally unreactive $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond, proximal to the nitrogen atom, into a versatile chemical entity would be a particularly powerful strategy for introducing functional complexity to tertiary alkylamines. Despite the apparent efficacy of this ideal, practical and selective
metal-catalysed $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation facilitated by tertiary alkylamine scaffolds remains an elusive transformation (Fig. 1a). A possible reason for this methodological deficiency is the ease with which the electron-rich nitrogen atom in tertiary alkylamines can undergo decomposition reactions in the presence of many transition metal salts and commonly used oxidants, thus precluding the desired C-H activation pathway (Fig. 1b) ${ }^{25}$. Using alternative strategies, Hartwig has reported steric-controlled Rh- (ref. ${ }^{30}$ ), Ru- (ref. ${ }^{31}$ ) and Ir-catalysed ${ }^{32} \mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ borylation at methyl groups within simple tertiary alkylamines, in some cases with selectivity at the $\beta$-position. Remote $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ oxidations using $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{ref} .{ }^{33}\right), \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{ref}^{34}\right), \mathrm{Fe}^{35}$ and W (ref. ${ }^{36}$ ) catalysts under strongly acidic conditions, wherein the transformation is guided by the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond reactivity rather than the directing effect of the amine, have also been described. However, no examples of catalytic $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization directed by tertiary alkylamines have been reported (Fig. 1a,b). Given the ubiquity of tertiary alkylamines in biologically important molecules and the potential efficacy of a method that introduces aryl entities proximal to the nitrogen motif ${ }^{37}$, the development of strategies involving catalytic $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation directed by tertiary alkylamines to guide building block functionalization, fragment coupling and late-stage functionalization of biologically relevant molecules is an unmet synthetic need (Fig. 1c).

## Results and dlscussion

We reasoned that a successful $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-catalysed tertiary-alkylaminedirected $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation strategy would depend on the effective coordination of the substrate to the metal. The nitrogen atom is nucleophilic but often sterically hindered; however, based on Ryabov's cyclopalladation studies with benzylamines ${ }^{38}$, we proposed that the opposing steric and electronic characteristics inherent to tertiary alkylamines might synergistically combine to promote formation of the mono-amine Pd (II) complex required for C -H activation (Fig. 1b). However, the Pd(II)-ligated nitrogen motif in tertiary alkylamines will often be surrounded by a number of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds that can undergo deleterious $\beta$-hydride elimination reactions. Initial investigations revealed that a reaction between amine 1a
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Fg. 1 | Design plan towards $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$-H arylation of tertiary alkylamines. a, Direct methods to selectively arylate tertiary alkylamines at the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-position do not exist. $\mathbf{b}$, Directed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation is a potential solution to the functionalization of tertiary alkylamines; however, the presence of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds adjacent to the nitrogen atom could lead to undesired $\beta$-hydride elimination. $\mathbf{c}$, Applications for a $\mathrm{Pd}(n)$ catalysed $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation of tertiary alkylamines, which include the functionalization of available tertiary-alkylamine building blocks, a convergent strategy for target synthesis, late-stage functionalization and enantioselective synthesis of tertiary alkylamines.
and phenylboronic acid 2a under commonly used Pd (ir)-catalysis conditions led to significant amine decomposition and no arylation (see Supplementary Fig. 1) ${ }^{6}$. Computational analysis revealed a lower energy pathway for an acetate-assisted $\beta$-hydride elimination (Ts2) (Fig. 2a) than the desired C(sp $p^{3}$ )-H activation (Ts1), supporting the experimental observations. Interestingly, inner-sphere acetate-assisted $\beta$-hydride elimination (Ts2) is rarely considered for this common decomposition reaction ${ }^{33}$, yet all of our calculations converged on this pathway. We considered whether the introduction of a ligand would modulate the energetic preference for these competing pathways. While a number of directing functional groups are capable of intrinsically switching between neutral and anionic coordination to the $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyst, thereby supporting the use of ligands with diverse binding modes ${ }^{40}$, the neutral coordinating nitrogen atom in tertiary alkylamines restricts the type of ligand that can be deployed for $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation. We speculated that $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation in tertiary alkylamines would be matched to the coordination properties of $N$-acetyl $\alpha$-amino acid ligands ${ }^{11, / 1, A 2}$, permitting the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ centre to accommodate the bisanionic ligand (which contains the basic acetamide needed for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage), the neutral amine and the vacant coordination site required for C-H activation. Yu and co-workers have previously developed a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-catalysed method for arylation of $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in N -alkyl sulfonamides with derivatives of aryl-boronic esters ${ }^{11}$. In their
studies, they reported that an N -acetyl amino acid ligand was crucial for reactivity, with no reaction in its absence.

Interestingly, we found that including N -acetyl tert-leucine 4a as a ligand lowered the energy of the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation step (Ts3) relative to the corresponding ligand-assisted $\beta$-hydride elimination. We believe that the ligand distorts the co-planar geometry empirically required for $\beta$-hydride elimination (Ts4), making base-assisted C-H activation the more favoured pathway (see Supplementary Table 5), and represents an extension to the reactivity-inducing capacity of this class of ligand. Our calculations were validated by a reaction employing $25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of ligand 4 a , which produced a moderate yield of the $\gamma$-aryl alkylamine 3a. An extensive assessment of reaction parameters revealed optimal conditions, which involved the treatment of 2.5 equiv. amine la and phenylboronic acid 2a with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 25 \mathrm{~mol} . \% \mathrm{~N}$-acetyl tert-leucine 4 a , 2.5equiv. $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and 2equiv. 1,4-benzoquinone in a solution of N -methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h to afford 3a in an $81 \%$ yield (Fig. 2b).

An initial proposal for the reaction mechanism of the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation directed by tertiary alkylamine begins with coordination of amine 1a to the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-ligand catalyst to form Int-I Cyclopalladation via ligand-assisted concerted metallation deprotonation affords palladacycle Int-II, which undergoes transmetallation with 2 a to Int-III; reductive elimination of of the $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)$ groups, possibly facilitated by benzoquinone ${ }^{43}$, generates amine 3 a and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{o})$, which reforms the catalytically active $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-ligand species upon oxidation with $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$.

Having established optimal conditions for $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation, we next explored the scope of the amine component (Table 1). The $N$-propyl piperidine scaffolds bearing different functionalities on the heterocycle underwent efficient $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation to the desired products $\mathbf{3 a - m}$ in generally good yields. The yields of product were slightly reduced in the presence of electron-withdrawing substituents on the heterocycle (3e,f), which may reflect the attenuated binding of the amine to the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst brought about by the inductive effect of the remote functionality. Substrates displaying Lewis-basic aromatic heterocycles were compatible with the reaction conditions, delivering $\gamma$-arylated products adorned with the functionality commonly found in pharmaceutical and agrochemical intermediates ( $3 \mathbf{h}-\mathbf{j}$ ). The reacting $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bond can also be located in a 2-ethyl substituent on the piperidine ring, producing amine $3 n$ in useful yield. Interestingly, a substrate with the targeted $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in a 3 -methyl substituent on the heterocycle undergoes arylation to the 3-benzyl-piperidine derivative 3o. This means that cyclopalladation must have involved the $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst binding to the axial lone pair of the piperidine nitrogen, with the reacting methyl group also projected in the axial position. Other saturated heterocycles, including protected piperazines, morpholines and diazepanes, were compatible with the $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation ( $3 \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{s}$ ); the lower yield of pyrrolidine $\mathbf{3 t}$ is due to competing $\beta$-hydride elimination. Acyclic scaffolds were also compatible with the arylation process. The $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl-derived tertiary alkylamines, for example, are one of the most common classes of amines featured in pharmaceutical and agrochemical agents, and a method to elaborate their structures would represent an attractive transformation. However, these substrates can contain up to eight C-H bonds adjacent to nitrogen, which means they are especially prone to $\beta$-hydride elimination on complexation with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ salts. Therefore, we were pleased to find that a range of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dialkylamine derivatives smoothly reacted to form amines 3 u -ac in good yield, reinforcing the ligand effect in facilitating $\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation over $\beta$-hydride elimination. Acyclic tertiary alkylamines displaying a variety of $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-substituents along the reacting alkyl chain also undergo $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation ( $3 \mathrm{v}-\mathrm{y}$ ), and useful functionality could also be incorporated into the non-reacting alkyl substituents without affecting the success of the reaction (3ac). In a case where


Fig. 2 | The $\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation of tertiary alkylamines. a, Computational study of ligand-enabled $\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ activation. The $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ - $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation in the amino-alkyl Pd(iI) complex was found to require a higher-energy transition state (Ts1) than did the corresponding $\beta$-hydride elimination (Ts2). By contrast, with the amino acid ligand bound to the $\mathrm{Pd}(11)$ complex, the corresponding intermediate presented a lower-energy transition state (Ts3) for ligand-assisted $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-C-H activation in comparison to Ts4 (for ligand-assisted $\beta$-hydride elimination). Calculations were conducted using B3LYP-D3(BJ)/[6-311+G(2d,p)/ $\operatorname{SDD}(\mathrm{Pd})$ ] IEFPCM with DMF as solvent, $T=323.15 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{b}$, Optimized reaction and proposed mechanism of $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}{ }^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation. The $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, the ligand 4 a and benzoquinone ( BQ ) are all essential for the observed reactivity. The reaction goes through one turnover in the absence of the $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. While other amino acid ligands also work, 4a gave superior yields. Ligands containing the $N$-Ac motif were superior to other arnide and carbamate derivatives.
two equivalent propyl groups are present, $81 \%$ of the mono-arylated 3aa product is isolated with only trace amounts (8\%) of the competitive diarylation product observed.

Next, we evaluated the scope of the aryl-boronic acid coupling partner. Aryl groups with electron-donating and -withdrawing substituents at the para- and meta-positions were incorporated with good yields to form the $\gamma$-aryl alkylamine products (3ad-am); unfortunately, ortho-substituted aryl-boronic acids resulted in a lower yield (3an). Palladium-sensitive functionalities such as aryl bromides (3ag,ah) were tolerated under the mild reaction conditions. Heteroaryl-boronic acids, such as those containing functionalized pyridines and indoles, were successfully introduced into the tertiary amine framework (3ao-ar), offering opportunities for downstream structure modification.

Fenpropimorph 5, a marketed fungicide, can be synthesized in a single step from readily available materials (lad and 2p), demonstrating a convergent coupling application to target synthesis (Fig. 3a). Such a strategy would be particularly appealing for the synthesis of fenpropimorph analogues, wherein assembly via classical reductive amination or alkylation strategies may be limited by the availability of the corresponding substituted $\alpha$-methyl hydrocinnamaldehyde or C3-3-aryl-1-halo-2-methylpropanes, respectively; readily available $N$-propyl amines could be directly combined with
the vast array of commercial aryl-boronic acids, providing immediate access to a library of analogues. We found that $N$-propyl analogues of donezepil, ciprofloxacin and fluoxetine underwent $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation without affecting the functionality in these molecules. (6-8, Fig. 3b). The tricyclic antidepressant trimipramine, which is used to treat major depressive disorders, was also an excellent substrate for the arylation process, affording $\gamma$-(hetero) aryl tertiary alkylamine derivatives 9a-c in excellent yield (Fig. 3c); 90\% of the unreacted excess amine starting material can be recovered, further demonstrating the role of ligand 4 a in controlling the selectivity between potentially competing pathways. The success of this transformation demonstrates the potential of its application as a tool for late-stage functionalization of pharmaceutical agents; many different aryl groups could be transferred to already biologically active molecules, producing previously unexplored candidates that would require multistep syntheses to prepare by traditional means.

Given that the $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation process requires the presence of ligand 4a, we questioned whether an enantioselective transformation might be possible when using prochiral $N$-isobutyl tertiary alkylamines (lae-ag), thereby generating non-racemic $\beta$-methyl $\gamma$-aryl propylamines that would be difficult to synthesize directly by other methods. Enantioselective desymmetrization of isobutyl groups is challenging because the catalyst must

Table 1| Scope of the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation in tertiary alkylamines
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${ }^{\text {IT }}$ The reaction to produce 3 p was conducted at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under conditions otherwise identical to the optimized protocol.
sterically discriminate between an $\beta$-hydrogen atom and a relatively small $\beta$-methyl group. Furthermore, the prochiral centre is distant from the chirality in the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyst, making enantioselective control more challenging ${ }^{444}$. On the basis of computational studies, we noted a distinction between two chair-like transition states that orient the non-reacting methyl group in either an equatorial (Ts5) or axial (Ts6) position; the latter transition state appears to be destabilized by pseudo-1,3-diaxial interactions between the axial
$N$-Me and the non-reacting methyl group and carries an energetic cost of 2.1 kcalmol ${ }^{-1}$ (Fig. 3d). Under the previously optimal conditions, lae ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ) was converted to 3as with an e.e. of $81 \%$; conducting the reaction in dimethylformamide (DMF) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ increased the e.e. to $90 \%$. Interestingly, comparable enantioselectivity was observed with the N -acetyl alanine as ligand ( $86 \%$ e.e.), suggesting that steric parameters alone are not responsible for the asymmetric induction. Computational analysis suggested that the
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Fig. 3 | Applications and further advances of the $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-C-H arylation of tertiary alkylamines, a, Direct synthesis of the fungicide fenpropimorph from readily available materials. $\mathbf{b}$, The $\boldsymbol{\gamma}-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)$ - H arylation reaction in substrates containing pharmaceutically relevant amine fragments. c , Late-stage arylation of trimipramine. A range of aryl groups can be added directly to trimipramine, generating a range of previously unknown analogues in a single synthetic step. d, Enantioselective y-C(sp ${ }^{3}$ - -H arylation of tertiary alkylamines. Using substrates containing reacting enantiotopic $\beta$-methyl groups, an enantioselective desymmetrizing arylation generates non-racemic $\beta$-methyl- $\gamma$-aryl tertiary alkylamine products. e, Preliminary investigations into methylene C-H activation of tertiary alkylamines show selectivity for the trans isomer on cyclic systerms.
$\alpha$-substituent on the ligand projects the amide moiety below the square-plane of the palladium(I) complex, which relays the chiral information to the ligated substrate and controls its conformation. The calculated e.e. ( $88 \%$ for $4 \mathrm{a}, 83 \%$ for N -acetyl alanine) agreed with experimental values. A range of aryl-boronic acids and acyclic tertiary alkylamines exhibited good yields and e.e. values (3as-aw), showing only minimal erosion of the enantioselectivity compared to the parent reaction. Despite the lower levels of asymmetric induction, this enantioselective $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation methodology can be used to synthesize the fungicide fenpropidin (3ax) directly from readily available materials in $49 \%$ yield and with an e.e. of $64 \%$.

To the best of our knowledge, the only enantioselective synthesis of this compound has been described as requiring six chemical steps; the synthesis of non-racemic substituted-aryl analogues of these fungicides would also be directly accessible through this method (vide supra) ${ }^{\text {rit }}$ Finally, we also demonstrated that the process of $\gamma$-arylation directed by tertiary alkylamines can be applied to methylene C-H bonds (Fig. 3e). On treatment with the standard conditions, the cyclic dimethylamine derivative 10 underwent methylene C-H arylation to form 11 in a modest, but encouraging, $34 \%$ yield. Notably, 11 was produced mainly as the trans isomer, reflecting a proposed intermediate (Int-IV) prior to C-H activation that must
proceed through to a 5,6-trans-fused palladacycle; the e.e. of the arylation was also found to be a promising 64\% (ref. ${ }^{47}$ ).

In summary, we have developed a ligand-enabled $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ catalysed $\gamma-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ arylation process capable of selectively functionalizing a range of tertiary alkylamines with aryl-boronic acids. As well as having abilities to functionalize building-block-type amines, synthesize biologically active molecules and be applied as a late-stage functionalization tool, this reaction can also be performed enantioselectively.
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