Search

🦣 / Collections / Collections & departments / Taylor-Schechter Genizah Research Unit / Fragment of the month / FOTM 2020

Cambridge University Library

Study at Cambridge

What's On Using the Library Research Teaching & Learning Search & find Collections Home About Giving Contact Fragment of the Month: October 2020

Cambridge University Library \triangle Collections **Collections & departments** \triangle Taylor-Schechter Genizah Research Unit 🔨 Fragment of the month **FOTM 2020**

- > Fragment of the Month: December
- 2020 > Fragment of the Month: November
- 2020
- > Fragment of the Month: October 2020 > Fragment of the Month: September
- > Fragment of the Month: August 2020
- > Fragment of the Month: July 2020
- > Fragment of the Month: June 2020
- > Fragment of the Month: May 2020
- > Fragment of the Month: April 2020
- > Fragment of the Month: March 2020
- > Fragment of the Month: February 2020
- > Fragment of the Month: January 2020

FOTM 2021

2020

FOTM 2019

FOTM 2018

FOTM 2017

Fragment of the Month: December 2016

Fragment of the Month: November 2016

Fragment of the Month: October 2016

2016

Fragment of the Month: August 2016

Fragment of the Month: September

Fragment of the Month: June 2016

Fragment of the Month: May 2016

Fragment of the Month: April 2016

Fragment of the Month: January 2016

Fragment of the Month: September

2015

Fragment of the Month: August 2015

Fragment of the Month: June 2015

Fragment of the Month: April 2015

Fragment of the Month: March 2015

Fragment of the Month: February 2015

Fragment of the Month: January 2015

Fragment of the Month: December 2014

Fragment of the Month: November 2014 Fragment of the Month: October 2014

Fragment of the Month: September 2014

Fragment of the Month: May 2014

Addendum to November 2011's Fragment of the Month

FRAGMENT OF THE MONTH ARCHIVE

Sa'adya's Hermeneutical Principles? T-S Ar. 50.159

Zvi Stampfer

Collection that bears his name (together with Dr Charles Taylor). Schechter is also known as a researcher who published a great many articles and books in the field of Jewish studies. In this FOTM, I would like to connect these two areas of interest and try to solve a riddle related to his first academic publication. This will be conducted through a new suggested reading of Genizah fragment T-S Ar. 50.159.

Most readers of the FOTM (Fragment Of The Month) are familiar with Dr Solomon Schechter as the founder of the Cambridge T-S Genizah

In 1885, three years after his arrival in London, Schechter published his first academic paper. It was an article on an unpublished manuscript from the Bodleian Library. The work in this article deals with the thirteen Talmudical hermeneutical principles (= Middot sheha-Tora Nidreshet bahen). The manuscript bears the title: 'Commentary by our master and rabbi, Sa'adya Gaon'. Another piece of information was mentioned in the manuscript: that this work is a translation from Arabic to Hebrew, and the name of the medieval translator was Nahum.

Professor Moritz Steinschneider, the great bibliographer and orientalist, had already mentioned this manuscript in 1882. Hence, Schechter prepared an edition, published it and dedicated the publication to the memory of his benefactor Nathaniel Montefiore.

Steinschneider, as a cautious researcher, hesitated to accept the attribution to Sa'adya Gaon, even though it appears in the manuscript.

Steinschneider wrote: 'angeblich von Sa'adya Gaon, übersetzt von nachom [wohl ha-Maarabi]', i.e. 'Allegedly by Sa'adya Gaon, translated by Nahum [al-Maghrebi]'. Despite Steinschneider's hesitation, Schechter was sure that Saʿadya Gaon was the author of this work. Steinschneider was at that time nearly 75 years old and well known as a prestigious scholar. Schechter was, at that time, in his early academic career, taking his first steps into the world of Hebrew manuscripts. Was it beginner's self-confidence? Time will tell. Schechter argued that one should trust the information supplied by the author or the scribe of the manuscript. He also discovered that a 14th century Jewish scholar mentioned one of Saʿadya's opinions, and the same opinion appears in this work.

Jacob Mann found a list of Sa'adya's writings in the Genizah, prepared by his sons in 953.3 There was no mention of this particular work in the list. The claim of Schechter that Sa'adya was the author of this 'commentary to the 13 hermeneutical principles' was again put in doubt.

More than a decade later in 1896, Schechter brought the Cairo Genizah to Cambridge University Library and gained his glory. But in 1921,

Time has passed and Schechter's article was re-published again and again, with no real advance in our knowledge about the work.

Recently I came across the Genizah fragment T-S Ar. 50.159, which may shed light on this riddle. The fragment is part of Sa adya Gaon's commentary on Leviticus 1:2, the very beginning of the book. Sa'adya explains the verse by using a pair of the hermeneutical principles. Then he wrote: 'These two principles are part of the thirteen (principles), which the sages said that they practice in (Jewish) tradition. I shall now explain to you (may God help you) their meaning. But first I will open with an introduction'.

in Saʿadya's list of publications: it was not originally a work that stands on its own. The extrication of this work from its original place happened a few generations after the time of Saʿadya, apparently by the medieval translator. Careful reading of the fragment uncovers another piece of information. In this fragment Sa'adya recommends his reader to study another

This means that Sa'adya integrated his work on 13 principles into his biblical commentary. This explains why the work was not mentioned

work of his: 'Kitāb al-radd 'ala [Anan]' i.e. 'The book on the refutation of Anan'. This is an early work by Sa'adya, written at the age of 23,

source for judicial interpretation. In the next stage of my research I managed to identify, transcribe, and translate the entire work of the 13 principles by Saʿadya from the original Judaeo-Arabic, which has survived in three copies in the Genizah.

in which he fought against the ideas of the proto-Qaraite scholar Anan Ben David. In this book Sa'adya claims that tradition is the only

So it has happened that 135 years after Schechter published his first article and determined that Sa'adya was likely the author of the 'commentary to the 13 Talmudical hermeneutical principles', we now have in the Genizah the evidence that he was right from the beginning.



Sa adya Gaon's commentary on Leviticus 1, T-S Ar.50.159 verso

Transcription of the relevant lines of T-S Ar. 50.159v

... .1

2. והאתאן כלתאן מן י"ג אלתי קאל אלאולון אנהא גרנאהא

3. גאריה פי אלסנן ואבין לך אסעדך אללה [מע]ניהא ואקדם $[1]^{1}$, קבל דלך מקדמה והי אן אלחכמ' 1'ל' לם [1]יסתעמ

[...]מ^סת [.] אלקיאס פקט פי שי מן אלפרץ' לאנהם ל 6. פירגעון אלי קיאס עקולהם ואראיה[ם וא]נמא הם קום

7. נאקלין ען אלרסול וחמאל אתארה פאד כאן אלאמר כדאך

עמלון $^{\circ}$ פק[ד א]ס^תגנו ען יגהדון אראהם או י $^{\circ}$ 9. מק[איסת]הם ואיצ'א לאנהם אן אסתע^[מלו כ]ד^לך אפסך

רד $^{^{^{^{^{}}}}}$ מ]א נקלוה ען אלנבי כמא שר $^{^{^{^{}}}}$ חנ]א פי כתאב א $^{^{^{}}}$ ל רד

'עלי [...]ב $^{\wedge}$ אלקיאם וקלנא אן אלקיאס יחכם בנקץ. 11. 12. אח[כאם מנצוצה] פי אלכתאב וקד קאל אלחכמ' איצ'א מתל דלך

> ערפונא בהדא [...] .13 אלי פסך מ'א' שי ממא [...] אלי

מר עלי מא וצפת פמא [....] מר

16. [... שלוש עש]ר^ה^ מצות התורה נדרשת פאן 17. [...] ו ואל כוארג הדה אלמסלה

18. $[\dots t]$ קלוה אהל אלגמאעה מן אלדין ש^ר^חה אלעלמא אנמא [...] פקה אל[...] ש

20. [...]ון אן ינסאג לה כדלך

ווי]ג^תהדון ואנא כאשף הדא ומוצחה [... i]

22. [...] מנהא מא הו גארי עלי קיאס

23. [...] ואלמג'אלטה ואלכיאנה ומא 24. [...] כמא אן אלעקל יסוי בינהם

ק^יאס אלעקל והי עקובה אלסארק [...] .25 26. []ט בינהמא ועלי אנהם פי אלעקל

Footnotes

[1] Beth Talmud: Zeitschrift fur rabbinische Literatur und Geschichte, 4 (תרמ״ה), p. 236ff. [2] Hebräische Bibliographie, Blätter für neuere und ältere Literatur des Judenthums, Berlin 1882, s.134.

[3] Jacob Mann, 'A Fihrist of Sa'adya's Works', JQR 11.4 (1921), pp. 423–428.

[4] The fragment was published some years ago by Moses Zucker ('Fragments of the "Kitāb Taḥṣīl Al-Sharā'i' Al-Samā'īyah", Tarbiz, 41 [1972], pp. 375–376). Zucker did not enjoy the optimal conditions that we now have, and this caused him some misreading and misinterpretation. Zucker already suspected that Sa'adya located this work in his commentary on Leviticus (as he suggested in the Proceedings of the American Academy for Jewish Research, 23 [1954], p. 47, note 9), but did no succeed in establishing this notion.

If you enjoyed this Fragment of the Month, you can find others here.

Contact us Cambridge University Library, West Road,

Cambridge CB3 9DR, UK Email: library@lib.cam.ac.uk

Tel: +44 (0) 1223 333000 Fax: +44 (0) 1223 333160 **Privacy Policy**

Privacy Policy

Diversifying Collections and Practices Diversifying Collections and Practices

Executive and professional education

Library blogs Instagram

Social Media

Twitter **Facebook** YouTube

News

Events

Jobs

Job opportunities

News

Join us



UNIVERSITY OF

University A-Z Contact the University Accessibility Freedom of information

Terms and conditions

Study at Cambridge

Undergraduate Postgraduate International students Continuing education

Courses in education

About the University

Giving to Cambridge

How the University and Colleges work Visiting the University Map

Features

Research at Cambridge

Discussion Spotlight on... About research at Cambridge